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The Orion Nebula. The Trapezium is just above the center of the picture and Dawes 3 is in the lower left corner.
Photograph © 2006 Russell Croman, www.rc-astro.com.

I really appreciate the opening to Dave Arnold's article \#3 (page 54 of this issue) and can certainly agree with him.

I was observing last Thursday night and was working my way through our observing list (about 4600 objects long), and it was time for us to get another measurement of Dawes 3, a slow-moving, bright binary of about one arcsecond separation. When you have an observing list which is just a huge column of numbers you observe object after object, ticking down the list, with the hope that the observations will be useful, if not to you then to somebody, someday.

I didn't know it was coming, but had a nice "stop and smell the roses" moment, for nestled in the same field of view of my finder (actually a fabulous $8^{\prime \prime}$ refractor in its own right) with Dawes 3 was the Trapezium, and it was as gorgeous as ever and a tangible (or at least visible) reminder of one of the reasons we all got into astronomy in the first place.

Brian Mason
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# Double Star Measures for the Year 2005 

James A. Daley<br>Ludwig Schupmann Observatory<br>New Ipswich NH<br>E-mail: rigel@net 1 plus.com


#### Abstract

This yearly report contains 130 measures, 7 of which are new discoveries. The instrumentation has remained unchanged over nearly three years. A review of the system characteristics is included.


## Telescope

The telescope is a Schupmann medial of 9-inch clear aperture. This form of refractor is completely free of the usual secondary color of the normal Fraunhofer design. The unamplified focal length is $100-$ inches. A high quality Barlow lens is employed to reach a focal length of 278.82 -inches which gives $\sim 0.3$ arc-seconds per pixel at the CCD detector. Ray trace results show the focal length stability is very high, varying only a few mm over the seasonal temperature spread. Atmospheric dispersion is easily compensated by decentering the pupil image on the Schupmann corrector.

## CCD Detector

The CCD is manufactured by SBIG Astronomical Instruments and is their ST-7XE model. This detector was purchased without the usual anti-blooming gate, thus increasing both the sensitivity and dynamic range significantly. The pixel size is $9 x 9$ microns arranged in a $765 \times 510$ array on a KAF0401E chip. The CCD camera operates with a high grade mechanical shutter. Cooling is by a single stage TE cooler

## Photometric filters

Photometry is performed in the standard BVRIbands. The filter manufacturer is Schüler Astro Imag-
ing (now Astrodon) and the filters are made to Michael Bessel's formula as described in CCD Astronomy, Fall1995. Spectral characteristics in nanometers when used with the above CCD as follows:

Center wavelength: $\mathrm{B}=433, \mathrm{~V}=548, \mathrm{R}=639, \mathrm{I}=$ 811

Half bandwidth: $\mathrm{B}=100, \mathrm{~V}=110, \mathrm{R}=147, \mathrm{I}=179$

## General Information

Data is presented in a fairly standard way; the top row gives (left to right) the discoverer designation, WDS Epoch 2000 RA \& Dec, WDS magnitudes (LSO mags in brackets), LSO measured position angle in degrees, LSO measured separation in arcseconds, Decimal date and number of nights object was observed. Lastly, a notes column where a variety of data is presented as well as the note numbers which are found in the notes section after the measures \& discovery notes found in the last part of the notes section. Delta $m$ photometry results are shown as in the following example: $\mathrm{R}=0.11$ N 9 . This simply means that the difference in magnitude in R -band is 0.11 and 9 CCD frames were analyzed to obtain a mean value. Often included is the number of measures and time in years since the last measure: 2 m 105 . Additional photometry data is included throughout the notes section. Astrometry values are a minimum of 12 frame means.

## Double Star Measures for the Year 2005

| Discoverer Des. | WDS $\alpha, \delta(2000)$ | WDS Mags | $\theta$ (deg) | $\rho$ (arcsec) | Date | n | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BU 860 | 00000+3852 | 6.611 .4 | 107.4 | 6.60 | 2005.973 | 1 | 8m72, Fixed |
| STI1264 | 00049+5933 | 12.113 .8 | 354.8 | 7.19 | 2005.989 | 1 | 2m87, opening slightly |
| BU 997 | 00049+4540 | 7.479 .63 | 338.1 | 3.96 | 2005.948 | 1 |  |
| ES 1293 AB | 00052+4514 | 6.513 .5 | 110.2 | 14.62 | 2005.951 | 1 | 2 m 81 |
| BU 997 AC | 00052+4514 | 6.718 .83 | 235.9 | 21.36 | 2005.951 | 1 | 7 m 15 |
| STT 547 AB | 00057+4549 | 8.208 .26 | 184.3 | 6.02 | 2005.945 | 1 |  |
| POP 217 AP | 00057+4549 | 8.2013 .0 | 353.7 | 10.00 | 2005.945 | 1 | 2m9, Probably Optical |
| HJ 1001 | $00092+4443$ | 8.2010 .73 | 77.9 | 15.50 | 2005.953 | 1 | Practically Fixed |
| STF 3 | 00100+4623 | 7.518 .86 | 82.4 | 4.98 | 2005.962 | 1 |  |
| STF 60 AB | 00491+5749 | 3.467 .24 | 318.9 | 12.90 | 2005.044 | 1 |  |
| BU 11 AB | 00528+5638 | 8.589 .33 | 80.1 | 1.13 | 2005.962 | 1 |  |
| STF 180 AB | 01535+1918 | 3.883 .93 | 0.8 | 7.43 | 2005. 077 | 1 | $\mathrm{R}=0.11 \mathrm{~N} 9, \mathrm{I}=0.09 \mathrm{~N} 11$ |
| STF 93 AB | 02318+8916 | 1.978 .2 | 227.7 | 18.23 | 2005.197 | 1 | Polaris, See JDSO, this issue |
| STF 93 AC | 02318+8916 | 1.97 (13.8) | 96.7 | 38.74 | 2005.197 | 1 | 2 m 105 |
| STF 93 AD | 02318+8916 | 1.97 (14.3) | 187.5 | 82.67 | 2005.197 | 1 |  |
| STF 93 BC | 02318+8916 | 8.213 .5 | 81.2 | 52.96 | 2005.197 | 1 |  |
| STF 93 BD | 02318+8916 | 8.213 .2 | 177.6 | 69.36 | 2005.197 | 1 |  |
| KUI 11 AB | 03042+6142 | 6.6212 .5 | 313.1 | 61.0 | 2005.082 | 1 | 1m74, Optical ? |
| STF 353 AB | 03075+1753 | 10.813 .1 | 52.6 | 12.42 | 2005. 079 | 1 | 3m93, R=1.65 N7 |
| FOX 128 AC | 03075+1753 | 10.813 .7 | 16.5 | 30.04 | 2005.079 | 1 | 1m94, R=3.78 N4 |
| STI 428 | 03086+6028 | 12.813 .2 | 80.3 | 1.88 | 2005. 082 | 1 | 2m83, Rapid Motion |
| MLB 115 | 03162+5810 | 10.5310 .81 | 5.9 | 4.90 | 2005.088 | 1 | Note 1 |
| STF 362 AB | 03163+6002 | 8.58 .8 | 141.6 | 7.15 | 2005.085 | 1 |  |
| STF 362 AC | 03163+6002 | 7.910 .5 | 45.5 | 26.69 | 2005.085 | 1 |  |
| STF 362 AD | 03163+6002 | 7.911 .1 | 285.7 | 28.94 | 2005.085 | 1 |  |
| STF 362 AE | 03163+6002 | 7.99 .9 | 242.1 | 35.17 | 2005. 085 | 1 |  |
| STF 362 BE | 03163+6002 | 8.89 .9 | 253.1 | 37.16 | 2005.085 | 1 | 2 m 97 |
| STI1969 AB | 03165+5649 | (13.7 14.0) | 311.0 | 9.60 | 2005.085 | 1 | 1m94, Note 2 |
| DAL 22 AC | 03165+5649 | (13.7 14.4) | 222.1 | 32.34 | 2005.087 | 2 | see Note 2 |
| COU2164 Aa | 03166+4943 | 10.011 .3 | ------ | -- | 2005.088 | 1 | Round, single image |
| ES 463 Aa-B | 03166+4943 | 9.812 .2 | 258.9 | 5.58 | 2005.088 | 1 | PA ~fixed, Sep. in- creasing |
| STF 370 | 03166+3238 | 8.810 .9 | 319.2 | 16.84 | 2005.090 | 1 | 5m91 |
| BU 1039 AB | 03174+0739 | 7.3913 .4 | - | ------- | 2005.101 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { not found, see BU } 1039 \\ & \text { AC } \end{aligned}$ |

## Double Star Measures for the Year 2005

| Discoverer Des. | WDS $\alpha, \delta(2000)$ | WDS Mags | $\theta$ ( deg ) | $\rho(\operatorname{arcsec})$ | Date | n | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BU 1039 AC | 03174+0739 | (7.35 7.70) | 37.6 | 155.7 | 2005.101 | 1 | see note 3 |
| TOR 1 | 03181+2611 | (10.5 13.0) | 147.0 | 5.59 | 2005.096 | 1 | 1 m 27 Note 4 |
| HU 545 | 03182+4915 | 9.3710 .06 | 81.2 | 3.55 | 2005.099 | 1 | $\mathrm{V}=0.57 \mathrm{~N} 4, \mathrm{I}=0.33 \mathrm{~N}=8$ |
| STI1972 | 03184+5700 | (12.44 13.01) | 334.5 | 193.35 | 2005.099 | 1 | $\mathrm{V}=0.57 \mathrm{~N} 4, \mathrm{I}=0.64 \mathrm{~N} 5$ |
| PLQ 41 AB | 03210+0827 | 8.312 .5 | 310.2 | 42.71 | 2005.101 | 1 | 1m104 |
| PLQ 41 AC | 03210+0827 | 8.312 .7 | 7.9 | 50.75 | 2005.101 | 1 | 2 m 85 |
| STF 410 | 03350+3201 | 6.610 .6 | 212.8 | 5.21 | 2005.118 | 1 | closing slightly |
| BRT1177 | 03508+1418 | 11.211 .5 | 181.3 | 4.19 | 2005.120 | 1 | 2m23, closing slightly |
| KUI 14 | 03519+3422 | 5.7713 .2 | 29.7 | 14.97 | 2005.120 | 1 | 1m47, little motion |
| STI2051 | -4312+5858 | 12.712 .7 | 62.42 | 9.31 | 2005.134 | 2 | White Dwarf \& M4 pair |
| STI2055 | 04340+5808 | 12.412 .4 | 330.4 | 4.74 | 2005.159 | 1 | 3 m 16 |
| DAL 23 | 04343+5910 | (12.05 13.03) | 108.1 | 4.41 | 2005.128 | 2 | discovery note 1 |
| ES 1524 | 04509+4300 | 9.014 .2 | 85.9 | 6.33 | 2005.148 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1m89,PA incr.,opng } \\ & \text { slightly } \end{aligned}$ |
| STF 688 A-BC | 05145-0812 | 0.310 .4 | 203.8 | 9.42 | 2005.148 | 1 | Rigel |
| STF 738 AB | 05351+0956 | 3.515 .45 | 43.5 | 4.33 | 2005.189 | 1 | $\mathrm{I}=2.09 \mathrm{~N} 18$, Lambda Ori |
| STF 738 AC | 05351+0956 | 3.5110 .7 | 183.6 | 28.45 | 2005.189 | 1 |  |
| STF 738 AD | 05351+0956 | 3.5111 .2 | 271.3 | 78.10 | 2005.189 | 1 |  |
| STT 134 | 06093+2426 | (7.38 8.94) | 189.4 | 31.06 | 2005.170 | 1 | $\mathrm{V}=1.56 \mathrm{~N} 9, \mathrm{I}=2.52 \mathrm{~N} 6$ |
| STF 872 AB | 06156+3609 | 6.897 .38 | 216.3 | 11.37 | 2005.159 | 1 | $\mathrm{V}=0.60 \mathrm{~N} 8, \mathrm{I}=0.66 \mathrm{~N} 8$ |
| STF 943 AB | 06378+2311 | (9.04 9.43) | 135.4 | 30.37 | 2005.170 | 1 | $\mathrm{V}=0.39 \mathrm{~N} 6, \mathrm{I}=0.05 \mathrm{~N} 5$ |
| STF1066 | 07201+2159 | 3.558 .18 | 226.8 | 5.66 | 2005.189 | 1 | Delta Gem |
| STF1083 | 07256+2030 | 7.328 .13 | 45.3 | 6.69 | 2005.236 | 1 |  |
| STF1110 AB | 07346+3153 | 1.932 .97 | 61.1 | 4.25 | 2005.227 | 1 | Castor |
| STF1196 AC | 08122+1739 | 5.315 .85 | 71.3 | 6.34 | 2005.263 | 1 | Zeta Cancri |
| KU 32 | 08413+1916 | 8.0610 .24 | 165.8 | 2.19 | 2005.274 | 1 |  |
| STF1273 AB-C | 08468+0625 | 3.496 .66 | 300.2 | 3.01 | 2005.244 | 1 | Epsilon Hydrae |
| STF1273AB-D | 08468+0625 | 3.4912 .5 | 200.0 | 18.11 | 2005.293 | 1 |  |
| HDS1318 | 09050+2250 | 7.4610 .56 | ------ | ----- | 2005.299 | 1 | secondary not detected |
| STF1311 AB | 09074+2259 | 6.927 .13 | 198.9 | 7.72 | 2005.296 | 1 | $\mathrm{I}=0.33 \mathrm{~N} 8$ |
| HO 644 AC | 09074+2259 | 6.312 .6 | 116.8 | 27.87 | 2005.299 | 1 | cpm, see note 5 |
| STF1321 AB | 09144+5241 | 7.797 .88 | 94.5 | 17.12 | 2005.315 | 1 |  |
| P0U3077 | 10170+2326 | 12.412 .9 | 276.6 | 4.76 | 2005.340 | 1 | 2m95, little motion |
| STT 523 | 10172+2306 | 5.8111 .4 | 298.9 | 7.73 | 2005.337 | 1 | $\mathrm{I}=4.62 \mathrm{~N} 10$ |

## Double Star Measures for the Year 2005

| Discoverer Des. | WDS $\alpha, \delta(2000)$ | WDS Mags | $\theta$ (deg) | $\rho(\operatorname{arcsec})$ | Date | n | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STF1421 | $10181+2731$ | 8.199 .12 | 330.9 | 4.50 | 2005.318 | 1 | $\mathrm{V}=1.01 \mathrm{~N} 12, \mathrm{I}=1.21 \mathrm{~N} 11$ |
| STF1424 AB | 10200+1950 | 2.373 .64 | 126.0 | 4.57 | 2005.362 | 1 | Gamma Leo |
| LDS1251 | $10374+3133$ | 11.817 .2 | 47.5 | 32.03 | 2005.356 | 1 | cpm pair, WD candidate |
| STF1458 | $10395+3142$ | 9.399 .39 | 216.7 | 18.13 | 2005.353 | 1 | very slow binary |
| STF1459 | 10402+3824 | 8.348 .85 | 152.7 | 5.39 | 2005.359 | 1 |  |
| STF1524 | 11185+3306 | 3.4810 .1 | 148.6 | 7.35 | 2005.362 | 1 |  |
| LDS6246 AB | $11378+4150$ | 10.316 .0 | 340.1 | 28.53 | 2005.367 | 1 | 1m45, PA decr, sep incr |
| DAL 24 AC | $11378+4150$ | 10.3 (17.0) | 174.7 | 5.85 | 2005.367 | 1 | discovery measure |
| STT 237 | $11390+4109$ | 8.119 .32 | 247.1 | 1.92 | 2005.367 | 1 |  |
| STF1622 | $12161+4040$ | 5.868 .71 | 260.2 | 11.54 | 2005.399 | 2 | 2 CVn |
| STF3127 Aa-B | 17150+2450 | 3.148 .3 | 283.3 | 11.77 | 2005.627 | 1 | Delta Her, B Optical |
| STF2186 | $17358+0100$ | 7.557 .72 | 78.6 | 2.83 | 2005.641 | 1 |  |
| STF2213 | 17449+3108 | 7.698 .57 | 327.8 | 4.73 | 2005.644 | 2 | $\mathrm{V}=0.82 \mathrm{~N} 8$ |
| DAL 25 | 17556+2250 | (9.6 11.1) | 153.7 | 44.23 | 2005.655 | 1 | discovery note 2 |
| STF2271 AB | $18003+5251$ | 8.179 .24 | 268.0 | 3.30 | 2005.674 | 1 | $\mathrm{V}=0.77 \mathrm{~N} 6, \mathrm{I}=0.64 \mathrm{~N} 6$ |
| STF2280 Aa-B | 18078+2606 | 5.795 .83 | 183.2 | 14.21 | 2005.679 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{V}=-0.05 \mathrm{~N} 8, \mathrm{I}=0.03 \mathrm{~N} 8, \\ & \text { var } \end{aligned}$ |
| STF2323 AB | 18239+5848 | 4.987 .98 | 348.7 | 3.76 | 2005.693 | 1 | 39 Dra |
| HO 432 AB | 18240+3844 | 6.412 .9 | 285.5 | 17.49 | 2005.696 | 1 | 5m71, PA decr. difficult pair |
| STF2349 | $18366+3328$ | 5.49 .4 | 204.6 | 7.22 | 2005.699 | 1 |  |
| H 459 | 18367+3841 | 10.011 .1 | 299.0 | 29.86 | 2005.627 | 1 | 6m75, PA smoothly decr. |
| H $5 \quad 39 \mathrm{AB}$ | 18369+3846 | 0.039 .5 | 182.3 | 79.20 | 2005.833 | 1 | Vega |
| HJ 1337 | $18409+3132$ | (8.62 11.51) | 153.5 | 9.29 | 2005.679 | 1 | $\mathrm{V}=2.89 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{8} \mathrm{I}=,1.86 \mathrm{~N} 14$ |
| STF2398 AB | $18428+5933$ | 8.949 .69 | 175.5 | 12.24 | 2005.627 | 1 | 11.6 ly, M4 M5 Binary |
| STF 38 AD | 18448+3736 | 4.345 .73 | 149.9 | 43.58 | 2005.718 | 1 |  |
| STF2375 Aa-Bb | 18455+0530 | 5.825 .82 | 118.9 | 2.44 | 2005.731 | 1 |  |
| ES 2028 BC | $18545+3654$ | 11.211 .6 | 135.5 | 1.92 | 2005.715 | 1 |  |
| STF2434 A-BC | 19027-0043 | 8.368 .80 | 91.7 | 26.82 | 2005.742 | 1 |  |
| STF2446 AB | 19058+0633 | 6.978 .88 | 153.1 | 9.45 | 2005.756 | 1 |  |
| STF2455 | 19069+2210 | 7.249 .38 | 28.5 | 8.99 | 2005.764 | 1 |  |
| STF2457 | 19071+2235 | 7.439 .60 | 200.6 | 10.13 | 2005.764 | 1 |  |
| STF2486 AB | $19121+4951$ | 6.546 .67 | 205.8 | 7.31 | 2005.723 | 1 | $\mathrm{V}=0.16 \mathrm{~N} 8, \mathrm{I}=0.18 \mathrm{~N} 8$ |
| BUP 188 | 19198+6423 | 6.259 .8 | 179.9 | 115.2 | 2005.731 | 1 |  |
| STI 888 | 19210+6405 | 11.111 .4 | 172.7 | 3.80 | 2005.742 | 1 | 3m22, PA decr, sep incr |

## Double Star Measures for the Year 2005

| Discoverer Des. | WDS $\alpha, \delta(2000)$ | WDS Mags | $\theta$ (deg) | $\rho($ arcsec $)$ | Date | n | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STI2426 | 19210+5631 | (13.1 13.3) | 160.2 | 10.83 | 2005.753 | 1 | see note 6 |
| STI 890 | $19213+6412$ | 10.110 .4 | 146.6 | 4.85 | 2005.731 | 1 | sep \& PA decreasing |
| STI 887 | $19213+6227$ | 11.411 .7 | 90.3 | 7.91 | 2005.742 | 1 | 1m102, little motion |
| DAL 26 | 19260+6353 | (10.7810.95 | 301.7 | 18.30 | 2005.731 | 1 | discovery note 3 |
| DAL 20 AB | 19346+5039 | 11.3811 .45 | 50.2 | 28.74 | 2005.803 | 1 |  |
| ES 793 AB | 19351+5046 | 10.911 .2 | 245.3 | 44.84 | 2005.803 | 1 |  |
| ES 793 BC | 19351+5046 | 11.213 .6 | 282.7 | 4.04 | 2005.805 | 1 | 1m96, large PA incr, closing |
| ES 793 Aa | $19351+5046$ | 10.314 .8 | 91.1 | 14.98 | 2005.805 | 1 | 1m96, slight PA incr |
| DAL 19 AB | $19352+5048$ | 11.3213 .4 | 191.1 | 2.80 | 2005.803 | 1 | difficult CCD pair |
| DAL 19 AC | 19352+5048 | 11.3212 .9 | 39.4 | 9.41 | 2005.803 | 1 | opening ? |
| STF 46 | 19418+5032 | 6.006 .23 | 133.2 | 39.51 | 2005.756 | 1 | ```photometric calibra- tion pair``` |
| DAL 27 AD | 19508+0852 | 0.7611 .7 | 95.6 | 32.12 | 2005.838 | 2 | Altair, discovery note $4$ |
| HJ 2921 | 20027-0036 | (8.36 11.84) | 341.1 | 21.72 | 2005.762 | 1 | 3m94, PA incr, Sep incr |
| STF2725 | 20462+1554 | 7.097 .90 | 11.2 | 6.07 | 2005.759 | 1 |  |
| STF2727 | 20467+1607 | $4.27 \quad 5.15$ | 266.4 | 9.12 | 2005.759 | 1 | Gamma Del |
| BU 678 AB | 21008-0821 | 8.2011 .26 | 255.5 | 2.67 | 2005.875 | 2 | under-measured binary! |
| STF2758 AB | $21069+3845$ | 5.206 .05 | 151.0 | 30.93 | 2005.753 | 1 | 61 Cyg |
| STF 11 AB | 21221+1948 | 4.207 .56 | 311.6 | 36.10 | 2005.871 | 1 | 1 Peg |
| STF2863 Aa-B | $22038+6438$ | 4.266 .34 | 275.0 | 7.94 | 2005.888 | 1 |  |
| STF2909 | 22288-0001 | 4.364 .57 | 175.4 | 1.86 | 2005.937 | 1 | Zeta Aqr |
| STF2922 Aa-B | 22359+3938 | 5.736 .60 | 185.7 | 22.26 | 2005.882 | 1 | 8 Lac |
| A 1469 Aa-C | $22359+3938$ | 5.7310 .5 | 168.3 | 48.33 | 2005.882 | 1 |  |
| STF2922 BC | 22359+3938 | 6.4510 .3 | 154.5 | 27.86 | 2005.882 | 1 |  |
| A 1469 CD | 22359+3938 | 10.39 .6 | 116.2 | 42.11 | 2005.882 | 1 |  |
| A 1469 Da | 22359+3938 | 9.613 .3 | 228.0 | 9.65 | 2005.885 | 1 | 5m70, PA incr |
| DAL 28 Aa-G | 22359+3938 | 5.7313 .6 | 193.7 | 78.60 | 2005.885 | 1 | CCD discovery |
| DAL 28 Ga | 22359+3938 | 13.614 .0 | 77.0 | 6.51 | 2005.885 | 1 | CCD discovery |
| STI1116 | 22360+6347 | 11.211 .7 | 169.9 | 8.26 | 2005.888 | 1 | 1m96, PA incr, Sep incr |
| ES 2133 | 22360+3520 | 9.412 .9 | 91.9 | 3.53 | 2005.896 | 1 | 1m81, PA incr, Sep decr |
| POU5724 | 22360+2523 | 12.513 .5 | 140.5 | 6.33 | 2005.901 | 1 | 1m107, closing only |
| MLB 97 | 22361+6053 | 9.911 .4 | 62.5 | 7.18 | 2005.901 | 1 | 3m87, little motion |
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## 2005 Notes

1) MLB 115 - A delightful CCD binary, this gem's distance is listed as only 43.9 light years. The pair shows fairly fast motion which can be detected on a yearly basis with some care. Photometric work on this system proved perplexing as the components seem redder than the Hipparcos combined V-I value of 1.75 would indicate. The LSO determined $\Delta \mathrm{m}$ and colors as follows V-band $=0.20 \mathrm{~N} 9$, I band $=0.07 \mathrm{~N} 8$, V-I primary $=2.44$, secondary 2.57 . The pair was spot checked on the next night which confirmed these results.
2) STI 1969 AB - This pair is visually much fainter than Stein's photographic measure of 12.6 and required good dark adaption to center it up. The V-band measure reverses Stein's designation for the primary and is reflected in the LSO position angle flip. Very little $\left(\sim 3^{\circ}\right)$ actual PA motion since discovery, however, the separation has increased about 0.40 arcseconds. The magnitudes listed in this report are LSO values. A third component (DAL 22AC) was found by CCD, thus the AB designation. $\Delta \mathrm{m}$ and colors as follows: Vband $\mathrm{AB}=0.38 \mathrm{~N} 7, \mathrm{AC}=0.66 \mathrm{~N} 7$, I-band $\mathrm{AB}=0.36 \mathrm{~N} 7$, $\mathrm{AC}=0.55 \mathrm{~N} 7$, V-I $\mathrm{A}=1.19, \mathrm{~B}=1.21, \mathrm{C}=1.30$.
3) BU 1039 AC- This wide pair is a distinctive sight in the 3 -inch finder, the brighter component being the primary of BU 1039 AB which was the neglected double for that night. 107 years have past since the last measure, but since it showed an opening indication between 1889 and 1898 it was thought that it might be possible to image it. Every sort of exposure and filter was tried to image the 13.4 magnitude companion without success. Setting the proper motion command to 1,500 years in Guide- 8 revealed that the primary had a substantial vector length, however, and more surprising, both components of the wide pair showed the same vector length and direction. (See Figure 1) Hipparcos PM values in milliarcseconds are $\mathrm{A}=$ RA 169.3, Dec -7.64 and B= RA 170.4, Dec -7.48. This carries the pair along about 4.6 arcminutes in 1,500 years in PA 92.5 degrees. If BU 1039 was not bound it should have revealed itself. A 13.4 magnitude star was easily found in the trailed-off direction, but over 1'49" away, much too far to be caused by proper motion of "A" alone. Getting back to BU 1039 AC, the $\Delta \mathrm{m}$ and colors follow: V-band $=0.35 \mathrm{~N} 4, \mathrm{I}$-band $=0.38$ N6, V-I $\mathrm{A}=0.77, \mathrm{C}=0.74$. The listed distance to the pair is about 155 ly , so if we are observing them "broadside" the physical separation is only 0.1 light years or so, thus a fair possibility of true duplicity.
4) TOR 1 - LSO measured V magnitudes ( 10.51 12.96) are very close to one magnitude fainter than TOR values for both the primary and secondary. $\Delta \mathrm{m}$ and colors as follows: V-band $=2.45 \mathrm{~N} 3, \mathrm{I}$-band $=2.07$ N4, V-I primary $=0.84$, V-I secondary $=1.22$. This photometric data is a four frame average in each color. Colors hint at a physical system. Good student project. Very little motion since discovery. PA increasing $\sim 1$ degree and opening slightly.
5) HO 644 AC - This distant component of STF 1311 AB shows no significant change in position since the last measure in 1909 even thought the AB pair's proper motion is quite large ( .168 mas / yr mostly in RA) and should have changed the separation about 16 arcseconds if a background star. To fully confirm the apparent physical connection to the main pair, photometry in V \& I-bands was performed. $\quad \mathrm{m}$ and color as follows: V-band $=6.39 \mathrm{~N} 6$, I-band $=4.87 \mathrm{~N} 3, \mathrm{~V}-\mathrm{I}$ for $\mathrm{C}=2.11$. The color indicates an M0 or M1 red dwarf and the $\Delta \mathrm{m}$ agrees with what one would expect for an $\sim \mathrm{M} 0$ dwarf at the distance of the main pair ( $192 \pm 15$ ly.).
6) STI 2426 - Stein's original measure of 1917 gives a PA of $144^{\circ}$ and a separation of $10.3^{\prime \prime}$. Now, 88 years later we find this neglected pair's PA has increased $16^{\circ}$ and has widened about $0.5^{\prime \prime}$. This is enough motion to take the next step: a look at the colors to see if, perhaps, the pair is a binary . First off, LSO V-band measures find the pair roughly 2 magnitudes fainter than Stein's measure. This cannot be


Figure 1: Guide-8 chart of BU1039 AC showing 1500 year pm.

## Double Star Measures for the Year 2005

explained by Stein's use of blue plates; as we shall see, the pair is distinctly orange. LSO listed mags are, however, in reasonable agreement with the GSC values of 13.1 13.8.

LSO $\Delta \mathrm{m}$ values as follows: V-band 0.20 , R-band 0.40 , I-band 0.44 . LSO colors as follows: V-I primary $=0.84$, secondary $=0.60$. It appears that the secondary is the "bluer"; not a hopeful sign. More study of this pair may be needed to resolve the pair's nature.

## Discovery Notes

1) DAL 23 - 04hr 34 m 19.7142s +59deg 10' 29.680". Found while sweeping for STI 2051. A conspicuous visual pair even in nearby moonlight. The primary is GSC identifier 37441389 with proper motion in milliarcseconds / yr as follows: RA $26 \pm 3$, dec $20 \pm 3$. LSO magnitudes 12.05 and 13.03. These are both, more or less, reddish stars with LSO V-I values for the primary of 0.90 and 1.69 for the secondary. $\Delta \mathrm{m}$ as follows V-band $=0.98 \mathrm{~N} 7, \mathrm{R}$-band $=0.59 \mathrm{~N} 3$, Iband $=0.19 \mathrm{~N} 10$. It is quite possible that the secondary is a wide range variable which would explain this pair being missed in the past. Fig 2 below shows a CCD image of the pair.
2) DAL $25-17 \mathrm{hr} 55 \mathrm{~m} 35.549 \mathrm{~s}+22 \mathrm{deg} 50 \mathrm{l} 14.007$ ". CPM pair: Tycho-2 catalog data for proper motion (MAS/yr) of primary: RA: -54 , dec: -21 , secondary: RA: -54 , dec: -23 , all with an uncertainty of $\pm 1$. LSO V-I colors : primary $=0.78$, secondary $=1.09 . \Delta \mathrm{m} V$ band $=1.49 \mathrm{~N} 10$, I-band $=1.20 \mathrm{~N} 4$. Another interest-


Figure 2: CCD image of DAL 23.
ing student project!
3) DAL $26-19 h r 26 m 03.6001 \mathrm{~s}+63 \mathrm{deg} 53$ ' 25.573 " CPM pair: Tycho-2 catalog for proper motion (MAS/yr) of primary: RA: -17 , dec 31, secondary : RA: -14 , dec 35 , all uncertain by $\pm 4$. LSO magnitudes listed in results. LSO V-I colors: Primary $=0.81$, secondary $=$ 0.82 . $\Delta \mathrm{m}$ B-band $=0.16 \mathrm{~N} 7, \mathrm{~V}-$ band $=0.17 \mathrm{~N} 7$, I-band $=0.17$ N7. This is a great one for the catalog mining gurus. Bet it's a binary!
4) DAL 27 AD - A new, comparatively close, component of Altair. Discovered in the process of testing LSO's new stellar coronagraph. Altair lies at only 16.7 ly, thus attendants, even faint ones, cannot be simply dismissed as remote field stars. LSO colors weakly suggest a K5 or later object, however, the errors of measurement even leave open the possibility of some sort of white dwarf! The color and magnitude of this object puts it approximately at Altair's distance. Whether or not this is a physical attendant will be decided in short order, say 2 years, as the proper motion of Altair is very high. Perhaps next Summer a publishable measure of color will be possible. Figure 3 shows Altair, mostly hidden behind the occulting bar, with the new component to the left. The occulting bar runs from lower left to upper right leaving the orthogonal spillover. The center wavelength is 580 nm and the FWHM is around 200 nm . North is down and East is left.


Figure 3: Altair's light about 96\% occulted, showing companion. Exposure 25 seconds, 3X3 binned.
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#### Abstract

Polaris (STF 93) is a bright Cepheid variable, thus most interesting to the astrophysicist. One, for instance, may ask if the birth of these milepost stars typically involve the formation of additional physical components. Close study of Polaris and its 5 attendants, one spectroscopic, perhaps one interferometric and three visual, may help in the understanding of these special object's evolution. In addition to Sir William Herschel's early discovery of the B component, Burnham, in 1884, discovered C\&D, both much fainter companions of Polaris. The last observation and measurement of "C" was by Burnham in 1890 and "D" was recently (1999) identified in 2 MASS images. Described here is the technique used to recover C and solidly image both C\&D in the presents of the bright primary. These images were measured (results reported elsewhere) to obtain accurate positions angles and separations of the $\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C} \& \mathrm{D}$ components. Preliminary color measurements of C\&D were performed and is reported here. This work is in response to a challenge by Dr. Brian Mason of the USNO to the double star group to recover and measure the positions of these two faint components. The observing period was March13 through April 16, 2005.


## Introduction

Measuring faint components in the presence of a bright primary presents an especially difficult task, even with a CCD detector. As the exposure is increased to reveal the dim attendant stars, the light scatter in the telescope, CCD saturation effects, reflections off the pixel array to the chamber widow and back, and the Airy rings themselves, all add to an image blur of the primary perhaps 40 times or more greater in diameter than a properly exposed image. When the light ratio between the primary and faint components reach about 60,000 or about 12 magnitudes, the direct detection of these are limited to separations on the order of 50 arc seconds. Special instruments are currently employed to overcome these limitations and are patterned after Lyot's white light solar coronagraph where special occultors block, not only the offending star, but also the strong diffracted light originating at the entrance pupil rim. Great care is taken to also reduce various other instrumental sources of scattered light. Currently, circumstellar disks surrounding bright nearby stars, such as Beta Pictoris, are being revealed with such instruments.

A much simpler and older method, called the focal plane masking bar, can achieve satisfactory results for less demanding work. Visual observers sometimes use a bar mask in the field stop of their eyepiece to better view faint adjacent objects. In the measurements described here, a mask was placed against the outer surface of the CCD chamber window. Because the mask was located about 12 mm before focus, some annoying mask diffraction was present, but not so harsh as to prevent a satisfactory result. Placing the mask against the chip face was considered, but the risk of damage was considered too great. The 1 mm wide masks were of two types. The first a totally opaque foil and the second a \#29 Kodak red gelatin filter. In the second type the filter, when combined with a photometric V-band filter, greatly attenuated the primary, but left the faint components "in the clear," transmitting through the V-band alone. This optical trick allowed the measurement of PA and Separation of all components. Proceeding with example CCD images, I tell my little story.

## The Images

All CCD images were obtained with an ST-7XE
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camera manufactured by Santa Barbara Instrument Group (SBIG). The camera employs a Kodak KAF0401E chip without an anti- blooming gate. The camera is used at the 278.8 -inch focus of an 9 -inch medial refractor. Except where noted, North is up and East is left in the following images.

Figure 1 is a short ( 1 sec ) exposure of Polaris and its popular companion, a view familiar to us all. A photometric R -band filter was used to improve contrast. Slight saturation of the primary is evident.

Next, an attempt was made to directly detect components C\&D. This image (see Figure 2), although revealing their presence, left the primary saturated,


Figure 1: Polaris and its well known physical companion. Exposure: 1 second in R-band. No binning.
thus leaving no hope of determining its centroid. The signal to noise level of the faint components is also rather poor for accurate work. The scattered light surrounding the primary shows both a peculiar diffraction pattern and diffraction "spikes" typical of a 4 vane spider, yet the aperture is un-obscured. This pattern is, instead, most likely caused by CCD saturation and reflections from the CCD array itself and the chamber window. The non-telescopic origin of the bulk of this "scatter" is easily demonstrated by rotating the CCD head, where the pattern remains fixed in orientation and appearance with respect to the display.

To measure positions the color filter bar mask was employed and a typical image in this mode can be seen in Figure 3. Varying the background and level


Figure 2: Two stacked 40-second exposures of Polaris in Rband. No binning.
controls allowed good initial location of the primary centroid and could be sensitively trimmed to near zero error by careful adjustment of the sample area and its location. From there the other component's PA and separation is just a few keystrokes away. Polaris trails were used to correct the PA errors introduced from working so close to the true pole. Many images were taken and measured to reduce errors.


Figure 3: Polaris attenuated with red gelatin mask and V-band photometric filter. Image 2X2 Binned
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Finally, an attempt to measure the color index of C\&D was made using opaque occulting bars and mini cones suspended on 0.007 wire. The occulting bar method proved best and an example unfiltered image, shown in fig 4, reveals many very faint field stars with the C\&D components well exposed. The CCD head was rotated to better situate "C" so North is now upper left. Many exposures in V, R, and I-bands were made to arrive at preliminary magnitudes and color indices as follows: C component: V-band mag $=\sim 13.80$ R-I $=0.56$, D component: V -band magnitude $14.3 \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{I}=$ 0.67 , V-I $=1.08$, Obtaining V-I values for "C" proved very difficult due to excess scatter in V-band for this closer in star.


Figure 4: This image shows Polaris occulted with a foil bar. The faint components C and D are now well revealed. Exposure: 200 seconds, unfiltered.

## Tentative Conclusion

The color work in $R$ and I-bands show both components lying somewhere near a spectral class K5V or perhaps slightly redder. Polaris is a supergiant of spectral class F71b with an absolute magnitude of -3.64 . Assuming C and D are main sequence K 5 V objects, their absolute magnitude is about 7.4. Stars of these two spectral classes (F8 1b \& K5V would show a magnitude difference of $\sim 11.0$ if at the same distance. Polaris is listed at magnitude 1.97 so using LSO magnitudes a $\Delta \mathrm{m}$ of 11.8 for AC and 12.3 for AD is obtained. The color / magnitude slope in the region of K5 is steep and small errors of color measurement can swing the predicted $\Delta \mathrm{m}$ considerably either way. Also the measure of the V-magnitude is noisy and uncertain, further limiting the precision. Despite these difficulties the data do weakly infer that C and D may be physical with Polaris!

## Future Studies

Obviously, the occulting method needs improvement to reduce scattered light in B \& V-bands. I am presently designing a prime focus occulting arrangement to make use of the coronagraphic properties of the medial instrument. This color index work on Polaris's faint outer companions is an ongoing project, and hopefully in the spring of 2006 the instrumentation will be fully up to the task. A well made stellar coronagraph could prove to be a useful tool for amateur studies of other binaries.

Jim is a retired optical research worker and presently lives in southern NH. He measures doubles from his homemade backyard observatory located at an elevation of 1,300 feet. He reminds us to note that Polaris's very close companion Ab was recently resolved by Hubble in an imaging program headed up by Dr.Nancy Evans of CfA.
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#### Abstract

This report contains theta/rho measurements from 95 different double star systems. The time period spans from 2004.697 to 2004.770. All measurements were obtained using a $20-\mathrm{cm}$ Schmidt-Cassegrain telescope and an illuminated reticle micrometer. This report represents a portion of the work that is currently being conducted in double star astronomy at Divinus Lux Observatory in Flagstaff, Arizona.


Several weeks ago, I was privileged to experience one of those rare "number 10 " nights while I was measuring double stars in the star fields of Cygnus. During this observing session, a couple of aspects of observational astronomy occurred to me that served as a reminder of some factors that most of us know, but sometimes forget.

First of all, most telescopes that are manufactured for serious work perform in an outstanding manner on those nights when the atmosphere provides nearly perfect seeing and transparency to the observer. On such nights, the telescopic field of view can be full of faint stars that appear to be "rock steady." As a consequence, some double stars that are normally too close together, or too faint to measure, are within reach of one's instrumentation. On the night that I referred to above, I was easily able to measure a pair of magnitude +10 stars that were separated by 5 arc seconds. On an average night, such a measurement can present quite a challenge when using an illuminated micrometer. Hence, on a perfect night, one can come to appreciate the fact that his or her telescope does contain high quality optics after all.

Secondly, when one is working in a crowded star field, such as the one that exists in Cygnus, the number of double stars that appear in a "low power" field of view can be almost overwhelming, especially when one is sky sweeping. The temptation may be to forget about the double stars that are on the measuring list for that night, and simply enjoy the sight for its own sake. Maybe that is not such a bad thing to do on occasion. When the sky is nearly perfect, one may also wish to determine what the double star measuring
limits really are for one's optics, by selecting the closest and faintest double star that appears to be within range.

Perhaps the point of this discussion is simply to say that one should stop and "smell the roses" once in a while, so that one's research efforts don't get caught up in a stale routine. This is one way to guard against a loss of enthusiasm for this work, especially after a long period of time, which is something that I must also be alert to when working on an extended research project of this sort.

This article contains a listing of double star measurements that are part of a series, which have been continuously reported at Divinus Lux Observatory, since the spring of 2001. As has been done in previous articles, this one includes a continuation of measures that have been taken from the 2001.0 version of the WDS CATALOG, with published measurements that are no more recent than ten years ago. Exceptions to this time stipulation include STF 2758 AB, STF 2725, STF 60 AB , and STF 296 AB , because the theta/rho shifts for these confirmed binary stars are large enough to warrant more frequent measurements. There are also some noteworthy items that are discussed in reference to the following table.

First of all, WFC 365 has displayed a position angle increase of approximately 15.5 degrees and a separation increase of 2.2 ( $20 \%$ ) since the last published measurements in 1906. If this double star is a common proper motion pair, which appears to be the case, these shifts might be caused by orbital motion if this system is truly binary in nature. The reason for being cautious in making this statement is because this dou-
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ble star has only had one set of measurements, from 1906, listed in the WDS Catalog

WFC 15 is another double star that has had a position angle increase, possibly because of orbital motion. Since 1957, this common proper motion pair appears to have shown an increase of almost 12 degrees. If this amount of change is real, then the rate of change has recently increased as well. This might imply that the companion star is approaching periastron. Orbital motion is the likely cause of a 2.3 degrees increase in the theta value for STF 60 AB , since 1999. This statement can be made with a greater degree of confidence because this pair is a confirmed visual binary star that has had orbital elements preliminarily determined.

One possible new double star, labeled as ARN 83, is listed in the table because this pair does not appear to have been previously cataloged. While care is usually taken to avoid submitting new double stars that don't share a common proper motion, this particular entry might not meet this constraint. The reason for making this submission is because the separation is decreasing between the components, both stars display the same spectral type, and the magnitudes are similar. Time will reveal whether the decrease in separation is caused by orbital motion or by discordant proper motions.

Also being reported in this article are measurements of double stars that have displayed theta/rho shifts because of proper motions by one or both of the components. Four such systems are HJ 927, BU 764 AB-C, STT 24 AB , and AG 239. For HJ 927, proper motion by the reference point star is responsible for a $3.4 \%$ separation increase since 1991 . In the case of BU 764 AB-C, proper motion by the "C" component is the primary cause for a $2.3 \%$ separation increase since 1910. In regards to STT 24 AB , proper motion by both components has contributed to a $4.2 \%$ increase in separation since 1995. For AG239, proper motion by the companion star has brought about a 2.5 degrees decrease in the theta value since 1991.

Additional double stars showing shifts from proper motion include ENG 80 AC , BU 988 AC , and STF 2758 Aa . For ENG 80 AC , a large proper motion by the reference point star has caused a 4.2 " decrease in separation since 1991. A large decrease in the position angle, amounting to 5.5 degrees since 1991, has been caused by the proper motion of the "C" component in BU 988AC. Regarding STF 2758 Aa, a large common proper motion by the "AB" components is responsible for decreases of 89 degrees in position
angle and 68.5" in separation since 1921! Because the proper motion of "AB" is so large, the "C," "D," "E," and "a" components of STF 2758 are being "left behind." "AC" now shows a separation of 782 ". 2 , while the separation value for " AD " is 691 ". 5 according to the Hipparcos/Tycho catalogs. The position angles are 219 and 251 degrees respectively. Listings for these components do not appear in the table because my micrometer cannot measure components that are so widely separated. Measurements for "AE" were previously done on August 16, 2002.

Also worthy of mention are the theta/rho shifts that have been measured in the AG 239, SEI 882 AB/ES 2690 AC, and SEI 1023 star systems. A large proper motion by the companion star, in AG 239, has caused a 2.4 degrees decrease in the theta value since 1991. For the SEI 882 AB/ES2690 AC multiple star system, proper motion by the "A" component towards " C " and away from "B" has caused a $3.3 \%$ separation increase for "AB" and a $3.3 \%$ separation decrease for "AC" since 1991. In regards to SEI 1023, proper motion by the reference point star is responsible for $2.7 \%$ increase in the rho value since 1991.

Proper motions in opposite directions, by both of the components in three double stars, have caused noteworthy theta/rho shifts as well. The first such system to be mentioned is STF 2734, which has displayed a position angle increase of approximately 2.8 degrees since 1991. The second system in this category is WFC 384, which has had only one previous set of measurements in 1895. Partly as a result of this long time period between measurements, a 2.2 degrees theta decrease and a $26 \%$ rho increase are being reported. The third such system to be highlighted is A 3108 AB-CD. In this case, a $3.3 \%$ separation increase between "AB" and "CD" has occurred since 1991.

Another situation pertaining to "WFC" double stars is being highlighted in this report. While specific work is being done on the "WFC" doubles, it has been noticed that WFC 378 (21063+3839) and STF 2758 AB ( $21069+3845$ ), also known as 61 Cygni, appear to be the same double star. This conclusion is based upon similarity of coordinates, magnitudes of the components, and theta/rho positions from 1895. Additionally, since there are no other bright double stars in that part of the sky, this would seem to confirm the existence of a duplicate entry in the WDS CATALOG.

As was mentioned in the last report, this one also contains measurements implying that a recent position angle listing in the WDS CATALOG might be anomalous for unknown reasons. Specifically, STF
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232 is listed as having a CATALOG theta value of 75 degrees in 1995, but measurements in 1832, my measurements, and values from the Hipparcos/Tycho Catalogs cluster around a value of 66 degrees. Because these component stars are relatively fixed, the 1995 theta value appears to be all the more suspect. Additional measurements by others would help to determine if this is the case.

Finally, the position angle measurement that is given in the table, for S 750, appears with a value that is deviant from other catalogs listings. While the WDS

CATALOG and the Hipparcos/Tycho Catalogs indicate a p.a. value of around 321 degrees, my measurements match up more closely with the 1825 value of 323 degrees. The micrometer was recalibrated during the measuring process to insure that accurate measurements were being obtained for this pair, but the subsequent measurements remained consistent with the initial values. Because the proper motions of the component stars should cause the theta value to decrease, the reason for this discrepancy is unknown.

| NAME | RA DEC | MAGS |  | PA | SEP | DATE | N | NOTES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HLM 25 | 19320+5259 | 8.9 | 9.6 | 253.8 | 11.85 | 2004.751 | 1n | 1 |
| STF2537 | 19336-0411 | 9.2 | 9.5 | 140.4 | 20.24 | 2004.751 | 1n | 2 |
| HJ 5128 AB | 19336-1837 | 8.3 | 10.4 | 111.2 | 21.23 | 2004.751 | 1n | 3 |
| HJ 1418 AB | 19337+5003 | 9.3 | 10.2 | 12.2 | 30.12 | 2004.751 | 1n | 4 |
| AG 232 | 19346+3518 | 9.2 | 10.3 | 278.0 | 10.86 | 2004.751 | 1n | 5 |
| STF2544 AC | 19371+0819 | 8.6 | 9.9 | 236.9 | 13.83 | 2004.751 | 1n | 6 |
| AG 388 | 19384+5211 | 9.7 | 9.9 | 185.2 | 7.90 | 2004.751 | 1n | 7 |
| HJ 893 | 19395+1012 | 9.3 | 10.0 | 189.7 | 7.90 | 2004.754 | 1 n | 8 |
| STF2563 AB | 19425+1726 | 8.6 | 9.5 | 285.9 | 5.93 | 2004.754 | 1n | 9 |
| STF2563 AC | 19425+1726 | 8.6 | 9.3 | 321.3 | 82.95 | 2004.754 | 1n | 9 |
| HJ 895 AC | 19429+0115 | 8.5 | 9.6 | 24.1 | 30.12 | 2004.754 | 1n | 10 |
| HO 579 AC | 19436-0904 | 9.2 | 10.4 | 155.6 | 64.19 | 2004.757 | 1n | 11 |
| STF2565 | 19453-1314 | 9.2 | 9.3 | 40.3 | 5.43 | 2004.757 | 1n | 12 |
| HJ 897 | 19468+0845 | 9.7 | 10.2 | 290.1 | 13.83 | 2004.757 | 1n | 13 |
| AG 239 | 19478+5154 | 8.6 | 9.8 | 239.6 | 15.80 | 2004.751 | 1n | 14 |
| HDS2814 | 19480-1434 | 8.5 | 10.5 | 139.1 | 18.27 | 2004.770 | 1n | 15 |
| KU 124 | 19487+2048 | 9.8 | 10.5 | 283.7 | 49.38 | 2004.770 | 1n | 16 |
| $\mathrm{HU} \quad 77 \mathrm{AB}-\mathrm{C}$ | 19506-1047 | 9.4 | 9.4 | 316.5 | 27.65 | 2004.770 | 1n | 17 |
| HJ 1443 | 19515+2522 | 10.0 | 9.9\#\# | 195.0 | 18.76 | 2004.770 | 1n | 18 |
| STF2591 | 19534-0600 | 8.7 | 9.1 | 106.9 | 29.63 | 2004.757 | 1 n | 19 |
| STF2602 AB | 19563-1321 | 9.3 | 9.8 | 147.8 | 12.34 | 2004.757 | 1n | 20 |
| ARG 35 | 19581+5355 | 9.0 | 9.9 | 226.1 | 7.41 | 2004.697 | 1n | 21 |
| KU 126 | 19583+3147 | 9.5 | 9.6 | 12.9 | 53.33 | 2004.697 | 1n | 22 |
| BU 1476 AC | 19589-1318 | 9.5 | 10.0 | 193.1 | 132.33 | 2004.770 | 1n | 23 |
| ES 1970 AB | 19591+3942 | 9.9 | 10.1 | 149.5 | 17.78 | 2004.697 | 1n | 24 |
| WFC 365 | 19593+2215 | 9.5 | 9.5 | 31.6 | 13.33 | 2004.699 | 1n | 25 |
| AG 397 | 20029+1056 | 8.8 | 9.6 | 113.6 | 28.64 | 2004.699 | 1n | 26 |
| STF2618 | 20034+1528 | 9.4 | 9.8 | 116.1 | 5.43 | 2004.699 | 1n | 27 |
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| NAME | RA DEC | MAGS | PA | SEP | DATE | N | NOTES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ARG 106 | 20054+5807 | 9.710 .2 | 179.2 | 30.61 | 2004.699 | 1n | 28 |
| HJ 2934 CA | 20057+5925 | 9.59 .8 | 264.2 | 36.54 | 2004.699 | 1n | 29 |
| HJ 902 | 20066+0207 | 9.910 .1 | 18.4 | 6.91 | 2004.699 | 1n | 30 |
| SEI 882 AB | 20069+3115 | 8.810 .5 | 60.5 | 33.58 | 2004.754 | 1n | 31 |
| ES 2690 AC | 20069+3115 | 8.810 .5 | 251.0 | 32.59 | 2004.754 | 1n | 31 |
| HJ 2936 | 20077+5908 | 9.510 .3 | 250.7 | 12.84 | 2004.699 | 1n | 32 |
| SEI 926 AD | 20094+3630 | 8.69 .5 | 349.2 | 29.63 | 2004.697 | 1n | 33 |
| BLL 45 | 20095+4752 | 8.69 .8 | 139.4 | 145.16 | 2004.697 | 1n | 34 |
| WFC 367 | 20102+4130 | 9.59 .6 | 298.0 | 4.94 | 2004.699 | 1n | 35 |
| ES 87 | 20102+3644 | 9.310 .3 | 298.0 | 8.89 | 2004.697 | 1n | 36 |
| SEI 958 | 20108+3646 | 10.010 .0 | 323.5 | 21.73 | 2004.697 | 1n | 37 |
| ARG 36 | 20110+5717 | 8.79 .9 | 128.2 | 7.90 | 2004.697 | 1n | 38 |
| STF2636 | 20117-0435 | 9.410 .3 | 203.0 | 12.34 | 2004.757 | 1n | 39 |
| SEI1023 | 20136+3640 | 9.110 .5 | 343.4 | 28.64 | 2004.754 | 1n | 40 |
| S 750 | 20299+2624 | 8.89 .0 | 323.6 | 68.14 | 2004.754 | 1n | 41 |
| STF2692 AC | 20310+2629 | 8.89 .6 | 301.1 | 25.68 | 2004.754 | 1n | 42 |
| HJ 2974 | 20310+2007 | 9.69 .8 | 296.6 | 14.32 | 2004.770 | 1n | 43 |
| HJ 2977 | 20329+1803 | 9.410 .3 | 317.4 | 19.75 | 2004.770 | 1n | 44 |
| A $3108 \mathrm{AB}-\mathrm{CD}$ | 20329+1357 | 9.19 .9 | 340.3 | 96.78 | 2004.770 | 1n | 45 |
| ARN 83 \# | 20336+2106 | 8.49 .1 | 73.4 | 58.26 | 2004.770 | 1n | 46 |
| WFC 374 | 20396+2018 | 8.610 .0 | 319.7 | 6.42 | 2004.713 | 1n | 47 |
| HJ 922 | 20411+2133 | $9.7 \quad 9.7$ | 312.3 | 7.41 | 2004.770 | 1n | 48 |
| HJ 921 | 20418-0430 | 9.49 .6 | 219.9 | 9.38 | 2004.757 | 1n | 49 |
| HLD 40 AB | 20434-1929 | 9.510 .3 | 355.8 | 5.43 | 2004.757 | 1n | 50 |
| HLD 40 AC | 20434-1929 | 9.510 .1 | 257.9 | 144.18 | 2004.757 | 1n | 50 |
| BU 1302 AC | 20448+2311 | 8.89 .4 | 210.2 | 54.31 | 2004.757 | 1n | 51 |
| ES 1449 | 20452+4337 | 9.49 .9 | 57.2 | 6.42 | 2004.754 | 1n | 52 |
| ES 2701 | 20459+4448 | 8.79 .2 | 80.5 | 51.35 | 2004.713 | 1n | 53 |
| STF2725 | 20462+1554 | 7.58 .1 | 11.7 | 6.42 | 2004.732 | 1n | 54 |
| ES 9006 | 20487+3334 | $9.0 \quad 10.4$ | 94.0 | 25.68 | 2004.713 | 1n | 55 |
| HJ 926 | 20493+2026 | 9.39 .9 | 190.5 | 5.93 | 2004.713 | 1n | 56 |
| HDS2970 | 20507-0929 | 8.910 .0 | 114.1 | 14.81 | 2004.713 | 1 n | 57 |
| ARG 40 | 20514+4519 | $9.3 \quad 10.2$ | 251.2 | 9.38 | 2004.713 | 1n | 58 |
| ARG 41 AB | 20515+5403 | 9.49 .5 | 192.6 | 10.86 | 2004.713 | 1n | 59 |
| HJ 3001 | 20519-1631 | 9.810 .4 | 241.4 | 6.91 | 2004.713 | 1n | 60 |
| STF2734 | 20541+1306 | 9.39 .8 | 223.8 | 23.70 | 2004.713 | 1n | 61 |
| HJ 927 | 20565-0134 | 9.59 .8 | 347.4 | 37.53 | 2004.713 | 1n | 62 |
| HWE 101 AB | 20575+0036 | 9.110 .1 | 138.9 | 40.49 | 2004.713 | 1n | 63 |
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| NAME | RA DEC | MAGS | PA | SEP | DATE | N | NOTES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AG 268 | 20582+0447 | 8.69 .9 | 287.2 | 12.34 | 2004.713 | 1 n | 64 |
| BU $764 \mathrm{AB}-\mathrm{C}$ | 20588-0921 | 9.29 .5 | 111.6 | 102.70 | 2004.713 | 1n | 65 |
| BU $764 \mathrm{AB}-\mathrm{D}$ | 20588-0921 | 9.2 9.1\#\# | 22.2 | 136.28 | 2004.713 | 1n | 65 |
| BU 69 AC | 21026+2141 | 8.1 7.9\#\# | 241.8 | 74.06 | 2004.732 | 1n | 66 |
| SEI1393 | 21047+3908 | 9.610 .4 | 133.4 | 26.66 | 2004.732 | 1n | 67 |
| S 773 | 21048+3531 | 8.99 .5 | 30.9 | 85.91 | 2004.732 | 1n | 68 |
| ENG 80 AC | 21053+0704 | 8.49 .0 | 106.4 | 169.36 | 2004.732 | 1n | 69 |
| ROE 45 AD | 21061+4448 | 8.110 .5 | 241.0 | 130.35 | 2004.732 | 1n | 70 |
| ROE 45 BC | 21061+4448 | 10.310 .5 | 238.2 | 123.44 | 2004.732 | 1n | 70 |
| STF2759 | 21065+3227 | 8.610 .0 | 332.6 | 18.76 | 2004.732 | 1 n | 71 |
| STF2758 AB | 21069+3845 | 5.26 .0 | 150.9 | 31.11 | 2004.732 | 1n | 72 |
| STF2758 AH | 21069+3845 | 5.210 .0 | 300.8 | 72.09 | 2004.732 | 1n | 72 |
| BU 988 AC | 21070+4125 | 10.0 9.8\#\# | 11.5 | 9.38 | 2004.732 | 1n | 73 |
| STF2761 | 21074+2429 | 9.39 .7 | 109.9 | 5.43 | 2004.732 | 1 n | 74 |
| ABH 141 AD | 21078+3421 | 8.510 .2 | 222.6 | 84.93 | 2004.732 | 1 n | 75 |
| AG 414 | 21082+4055 | 9.39 .6 | 105.0 | 5.43 | 2004.732 | 1n | 76 |
| WFC 384 | 21391+4421 | 9.510 .0 | 80.8 | 5.93 | 2004.713 | 1n | 77 |
| AG 274 AB | 21396+2322 | 9.610 .5 | 153.1 | 9.38 | 2004.699 | 1n | 78 |
| HJ 5298 | 21526-1548 | $9.0 \quad 10.2$ | 318.3 | 66.16 | 2004.697 | 1n | 79 |
| HJ 616 | 21550-1158 | 8.110 .5 | 275.0 | 30.61 | 2004.697 | 1n | 80 |
| ALL 4 | 21560+1948 | 9.29 .7 | 207.6 | 19.26 | 2004.697 | 1n | 81 |
| BU 1214 AC | 21566+3421 | 9.69 .9 | 14.9 | 106.65 | 2004.697 | 1n | 82 |
| HJ 1932 | 00043+4235 | 8.69 .4 | 307.5 | 6.91 | 2004.735 | 1n | 83 |
| ES 1488 | 00475+4214 | 9.19 .7 | 280.9 | 6.91 | 2004.738 | 1n | 84 |
| STF 60 AB | 00491+5749 | 3.57 .4 | 320.3 | 12.84 | 2004.735 | 1n | 85 |
| STF 136 AB | 01349+1234 | 7.38 .3 | 77.3 | 15.31 | 2004.735 | 1n | 86 |
| STF 205 A-BC | 02039+4220 | 2.15 .0 | 63.5 | 9.88 | 2004.735 | 1n | 87 |
| WFC 15 | 02045+4750 | 9.910 .3 | 119.8 | 5.43 | 2004.735 | 1n | 88 |
| STT 24 AB | 02129+5712 | 7.08 .7 | 332.0 | 88.88 | 2004.735 | 1n | 89 |
| STF 232 | 02147+3024 | $7.8 \quad 7.9$ | 65.9 | 6.91 | 2004.735 | 1n | 90 |
| STT 25 | 02169+5703 | 6.57 .4 | 204.9 | 101.71 | 2004.735 | 1n | 91 |
| STF 5 | 02370+2439 | 6.57 .0 | 275.1 | 37.53 | 2004.735 | 1n | 92 |
| STF 296 AB | 02442+4914 | $4.1 \quad 9.9$ | 308.5 | 20.74 | 2004.735 | 1n | 93 |
| WFC 23 | 03040+4707 | $9.6 \quad 10.4$ | 320.5 | 7.41 | 2004.735 | 1n | 94 |
| STF 412 AB-C | 03344+2428 | 6.19 .9 | 54.7 | 22.71 | 2004.735 | 1n | 95 |

\# Not listed in the WDS CATALOG.
\#\# Companion star is the brighter component.
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## Notes

1. In Cygnus. Common proper motion; p.a. decreasing. Spect. A0
2. In Aquila. Sep. \& p.a. increasing. Spect. A0.
3. In Sagittarius. Relatively fixed. Spect. A8, A5.
4. In Cygnus. Sep. \& p.a. increasing. Spect. K0.
5. In Cygnus. Relatively fixed. Common proper motion. Spect. F0, F0.
6. In Aquila. Sep. \& p.a. decreasing. Spect. A3, A3.
7. In Cygnus. Relatively fixed. Common proper motion. Spect. G0, G0.
8. In Aquila. Position angle decreasing. Spect. K2.
9. In Sagitta. A, B, \& C = relfixed; cpm. Spect. $\mathrm{AC}=\mathrm{G} 0, \mathrm{~A} 2$.
10. In Aquila. Sep. \& p.a. increasing. Spect. G1V, A2.
11. In Aquila. Sep. \& p.a. increasing. Spect. A0.
12. In Sagittarius. Common proper motion; p.a. increasing. Spect. K0III, G5.
13. In Aquila. Relatively fixed. Spect. G0, G0.
14. In Cygnus. Sep. increasing; p.a. decreasing. Spect. K2, G5.
15. In Sagittarius. Sep. \& p.a. increasing. Spect. B9IV, B9IV.
16. In Vulpecula. Sep. decreasing; p.a. increasing. Spect. K0, B8.
17. In Aquila. Sep. \& p.a. decreasing. Spect. K0, K2.
18. In Vulpecula. Relatively fixed. Spect. A3.
19. In Aquila. Common proper motion; p.a. decreasing. Spect. F8, G0.
20. In Sagittarius. Position angle decreasing. Spect. B9.5V, A0.
21. In Cygnus. Relatively fixed. Common proper motion. Spect. G5, F2.
22. In Cygnus. Relatively fixed. Spect. F8, A2.
23. In Sagittarius. Separation slightly increasing. Spect. K7, K5.
24. In Cygnus. Separation decreasing.
25. In Vulpecula. Common proper motion. Sep. \& p.a. increasing. Spect. K2, K2.
26. In Aquila. Position angle increasing. Spect. G5, A0.
27. In Aquila. Relatively fixed. Spect. A0.
28. In Cygnus. Common proper motion; sep. increasing. Spect. F8.
29. In Cygnus. Common proper motion; p.a. increasing. Spect. K7, K0.
30. In Aquila. Relatively fixed. Spect. F8.
31. In Cygnus. $\mathrm{AB}=$ sep. inc, p.a. dec. $\mathrm{AC}=$ sep. dec. Spect. $\mathrm{AB}=\mathrm{F} 7 \mathrm{~V}$, G0.
32. In Cygnus. Position angle decreasing. Spect. A0, A0.
33. In Cygnus. Relatively fixed. Spect. B0I.
34. In Cygnus. Relatively fixed. Spect. N4, K0.
35. In Cygnus. Relatively fixed. Common proper motion.
36. In Cygnus. Separation decreasing. Spect. A0V.
37. In Cygnus. Position angle decreasing. Spect. B8IV.
38. In Cygnus. Relatively fixed. Common proper motion. Spect. F8.
39. In Aquila. Relatively fixed. Common proper motion. Spect. A0.
40. In Cygnus. Separation increasing. Spect. A7III.
41. In Vulpecula. Separation slightly increasing. Spect. K0, K0.
42. In Vulpecula. Relatively fixed. Common proper motion. Spect. A0, A0.
43. In Delphinus. Position angle increasing. Spect. K2, K.
44. In Delphinus. Sep. increasing; p.a. decreasing. Spect. M2, K.
45. In Delphinus. Sep. increasing; p.a. decreasing. Spect. F8, K0.
46. In Vulpecula. Separation decreasing. Spect. K2, K2.
47. In Vulpecula. Position angle slightly decreasing. Spect. F8.
48. In Vulpecula. Common proper motion; p.a. increasing. Spect. F5, F5.
49. In Aquarius. Relatively fixed. Common proper motion. Spect. G0.
50. In Capricornus. $\mathrm{AB}=$ p.a. dec. $\mathrm{AC}=$ relfix; common proper motion. Spect. F 7 .
51. In Vulpecula. Sep. \& p.a. increasing. Spect. A0, F5.
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52. In Cygnus. Position angle increasing. Spect. A2, A.
53. In Cygnus. Separation decreasing. Spect. A7V, F0.
54. In Delphinus. Sep. \& p.a. increasing. Spect. K0, K0.
55. In Cygnus. Relatively fixed. Spect. A2.
56. In Vulpecula. Sep. \& p.a. dec. Common proper motion. Spect. G0, G0.
57. In Aquarius. Relatively fixed. Spect. G0, G0.
58. In Cygnus. Position angle slightly increasing. Spect. A5, F.
59. In Cygnus. Sep. \& p.a. increasing. Spect. F0.
60. In Capricornus. Relatively fixed. Spect. F6V.
61. In Delphinus. Sep. decreasing; p.a. increasing. Spect. G0, G0.
62. In Aquarius. Separation increasing. Spect. F8.
63. In Aquarius. Separation slightly decreasing. Spect. A5.
64. In Equuleus. Relatively fixed. Spect. A2.
65. In Aquarius. AB-C $=$ Sep. inc. $\mathrm{AB}-\mathrm{D}=$ Sep. dec. Spect. F8, G0, G0.
66. In Vulpecula. Sep. decreasing; p.a. increasing. Spect. F0, K0.
67. In Cygnus. Sep. \& p.a. decreasing.
68. In Cygnus. Separation slightly increasing. Spect. M0, K0.
69. In Equuleus. Sep. \& p.a. decreasing. Spect. K5, F0.
70. In Cygnus. $\mathrm{AD}=$ sep. increasing. $\mathrm{BC}=$ sep. slightly decreasing. Spect. K0.
71. In Cygnus. Sep. \& p.a. increasing. Spect. G5, G.
72. 61 Cygni. $\mathrm{AB}=$ sep. \& p.a. inc. $\mathrm{Aa}=$ p.a. dec. Spect. $\mathrm{AB}=\mathrm{K} 5 \mathrm{~V}, \mathrm{~K} 7 \mathrm{~V}$.
73. In Cygnus. Sep. \& p.a. decreasing. Spect. F8, K.
74. In Vulpecula. Position angle slightly decreasing. Spect. A2, A2.
75. In Cygnus. Relatively fixed. Spect. M0.
76. In Cygnus. Position angle decreasing. Spect. F5, F5.
77. In Cygnus. Sep. increasing; p.a. decreasing. Spect. A0.
78. In Pegasus. Relatively fixed. Common proper motion. Spect. F8, F8.
79. In Capricornus. Sep. \& p.a. increasing. Spect. K0IV.
80. In Capricornus. Relatively fixed. Common proper motion. Spect. A2.
81. In Pegasus. Sep. \& p.a. increasing. Spect. G0, G0.
82. In Pegasus. Sep. \& p.a. decreasing. Spect. A0.
83. In Andromeda. Sep. slightly decreasing; p.a. increasing. Spect. F5V, F7V.
84. In Andromeda. Position angle decreasing. Spect. A3.
85. Eta or 24 Cassiopeiae. Sep. \& p.a. increasing. Spect. F8, G0V.
86. In Pisces. Common proper motion; p.a. slightly decreasing. Spect. A6V, F5.
87. Gamma Andromedae. Sep. slightly dec; cpm. Spect. K3II, B8V.
88. In Perseus. Common proper motion; p.a. increasing. Spect. F8, F8.
89. In Perseus. Separation increasing. Spect. G2V, G0.
90. In Triangulum. Relatively fixed. Common proper motion. Spect. A0V, A0V.
91. In Perseus. Separation slightly decreasing. Spect. B1I, B1.
92. 30 Arietis. Relatively fixed. Common proper motion. Spect. F5V, F7V.
93. Theta or 13 Persei. Sep. \& p.a. increasing. Spect. F8, M1V.
94. In Perseus. Separation slightly increasing.
95. 7 Tauri. Position angle increasing. Spect. A2V.
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#### Abstract

This report contains theta/rho measurements from 97 different double star systems. The time period spans from 2004.902 to 2005.290. All measurements were obtained using a $20-\mathrm{cm}$ Schmidt-Cassegrain telescope and an illuminated reticle micrometer. This report represents a portion of the work that is currently being conducted in double star astronomy at Divinus Lux Observatory in Flagstaff, Arizona.


At the point in time when this article has been written, 2,678 theta/rho measurements of double stars have been completed at Divinus Lux Observatory. In addition, 88 new discoveries, including some new double stars and some additional components for currently cataloged systems, have been recorded. This work has been accomplished as the result of a double star research project that has been in progress since April of 2001.

The reason for mentioning this is to highlight the fact that a substantive double star measuring program can be conducted with the use of modest instrumentation. The intent is not to boast about what has been accomplished at Divinus Lux Observatory to date, but to encourage any reader of this article who may still have doubts about the possibly of conducting valuable research in double star astronomy with limited equipment. There is much more research that needs to be done by those who have the inclination for it, and this need is too large to be filled by any one individual, including myself.

As has been done in previous articles, the selected double star systems, which appear in this report, have been taken from the 2001.0 version of the Washington Double Star Catalog, with published measurements that are no more recent than ten years ago. Exceptions to this stipulation include the following: STF 305 AB, STF 742, STF 982 AB, STF 1110 AB, STF 1196 AB-C, STF 1321 AB, STF 1424 AB, STF 1785, STF 1888 AB, STF 1954 AB, STF 1788AB, STF 2032 AB, and STF 2021 Aa-B. The reason for these exceptions is because the theta/rho shifts for these visual binaries are large enough to warrant more frequent measure-
ments. There are also some noteworthy items that are mentioned in reference to the following table.

To begin with, the reader may wonder why STF 17 is included as part of the STF 16 multiple star system. The reason is that STF 16 and STF 17 share the same reference point star, Theta 2 Orionis, and the coordinates for both systems are identical. To confuse matters even more, the companion star for STF 17 is also the reference point star for the STF 748 multiple star system. Considering the overlapping that has occurred with these designations, one might question whether it is meaningful to retain the label of STF 17, or whether this should be eliminated or reconfigured. In addition, while the STF $16 / 17$ multiple star system has the appearance of being relatively fixed among all of the brighter components, the position angle measurement for STF 17, which is listed in the table, indicates an increase of 2 degrees since the last published measurements in 1995. While care was taken to be as accurate as possible, the p.a. listing in the table needs additional confirmation since this measurement appears to be so anomalous.

Anomalous position angle measurements appear to be a factor for five additional double star systems. For WFC 64, the theta catalog measurements for 1933 appear to be at a variance from other position angle decreases that have been recorded, which were caused by proper motion. A decrease of 5 degrees in the theta value, from 1899 to the present, seems consistent with proper motion shifts, while the 1933 measurements indicate an increase of 5 degrees since 1899. More measurements for this system would help to confirm that the position angle is actually decreasing.
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The second position angle anomaly pertains to WFC 60. In this case, the theta measurements have varied from 291 to 294 degrees from 1894 to the present, but this system is supposed to be relatively fixed. Because of the array of values that have been recorded over the past one hundred years, this is another double star that needs additional measurements in order to determine the theta value more accurately.

Thirdly, various theta/rho values have also been recorded for WFC 284. From 1897 to the present, theta values have ranged from 157 to 161 degrees, while rho values have ranged from $7.8^{\prime \prime}$ to 8.4 ". Because only a meager number of measurements exist for this common proper motion pair, this is another system that needs additional study.

The fourth position angle anomaly that I would like to highlight is in reference to STF 3118 AC. In this case, catalog p.a. measurements from 1897and 1991, and from the Hipparcos/Tycho data, seem to agree within a degree. However, when I measured this pair, a value was obtained that was 3.5 degrees greater than from these other sources. My position angle measurements for STF 3118 AD displayed no such variance, and the micrometer was recalibrated while measuring AC to provide a reality check. Since the cause for this phenomenon has not been determined, additional measurements of the AC components would help to either confirm the catalog value of 334 degrees, or the value of 337.5 degrees that I have obtained.

The final p.a. irregularity to be noted is in reference to STF 1807. WDS CATALOG measurements for this double star include a value of 26 degrees in 1831 and 24 degrees in 1995. The Hipparcos/Tycho listing indicates a value of 27.5 degrees, while the theta measurement that appears in this report is listed at 28.1 degrees. Additional measurements would, hope-
fully, help to establish which theta value is the most accurate.

As has been mentioned in past reports, this one also contains theta/rho shifts, which are listed in the table, that have been caused by the proper motions of one or both of the component stars in a given system. One such double star to fit this category is HJ 2352. Because of proper motion by the reference point star, the separation value has increased by $2.2 \%$ since 1991.

A large proper motion by the " $A$ " component of STF 1263 AB is responsible for increases of almost 2 degrees in position angle and 7+ seconds in separation since 1995. This double star is in an obvious optical alignment. Proper motions by the "AB" components toward the "C" component, in the H27 multiple star system, are the cause for a $5 \%$ decrease in separation since 1991. Because "AB" is a close and faint pair, I was not able to cleanly resolve these two components. Consequently, my measurements more accurately reflect parameters for "AB-C" rather than for "AC." Therefore, the table lists the measurements for H 27 AC as H 27 (AB-C). Measurements for "AB-C" are not listed in the WDS CATALOG. Finally, S 598 AB has shown a decrease of $3 \%$ in separation, since 1995, because of proper motion by the "A" component.

The last item that needs to be mentioned has to do with some measurements, which are recorded in the WDS CATALOG, that appear to be erroneous. These errors pertain to the listings for "AE" and "AF" in the STF 1543 multiple star system ( $11291+3920$ ). These two listings are duplicates of the correctly listed measurements for "DE" and "DF." The Hipparcos/Tycho star charts confirm that " D " is the actual reference point star for these measurements, rather than "A."

| Name | RA Dec | Mags |  | PA | Sep | Date | N | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STF 305 AB | $02475+1922$ | 7.5 | 8.2 | 307.0 | 3.46 | 2005.096 | 1 n | 1 |
| STF 654 AB | $05133+0252$ | 4.5 | 8.5 | 64.0 | 6.91 | 2004.902 | 1 n | 2 |
| STF 696 | $05228+0333$ | 5.0 | 6.8 | 29.1 | 32.59 | 2004.902 | 1 n | 3 |
| STF 14 Aa-C | $05320-0018$ | 2.4 | 6.9 | 0.7 | 53.33 | 2004.902 | 1 n | 4 |
| STF 718 AB | $05323+4924$ | 7.4 | 7.5 | 73.9 | 7.90 | 2004.902 | 1 n | 5 |
| STF 16 AB | $05354-0525$ | 5.0 | 6.2 | 93.3 | 52.83 | 2004.902 | 1 n | 6 |
| STF 16 AC | $05354-0525$ | 5.0 | 8.5 | 99.1 | 128.38 | 2004.902 | 1 n | 6 |
| STF 17 | $05354-0525$ | 5.0 | 5.1 | 316.1 | 133.31 | 2004.902 | 2 n | 6 |
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| Name | RA Dec | Mags | PA | Sep | Date | N | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STF 742 | 05364+2200 | 7.47 .8 | 274.5 | 3.95 | 2005. 096 | 1n | 7 |
| STT 65 AB | 05379+0058 | 7.58 .0 | 31.5 | 79.99 | 2004.904 | 1n | 8 |
| S 502 | 05547+1351 | 7.98 .3 | 131.1 | 45.92 | 2004.904 | 1 n | 9 |
| STF 873 | 06126-0118 | 9.710 .4 | 293.2 | 8.89 | 2004.945 | 1n | 10 |
| A $666 \mathrm{AB}-\mathrm{C}$ | 06133-0624 | 8.49 .1 | 320.7 | 230.09 | 2004.945 | 1 n | 11 |
| STT 71 | 06145+1148 | 7.17 .6 | 312.7 | 89.86 | 2004.904 | 1 n | 12 |
| BRT 376 | 06160-0745 | 9.49 .4 | 115.3 | 5.93 | 2004.945 | 1 n | 13 |
| STT 73 AB | 06194+1326 | 6.97 .6 | 44.0 | 72.09 | 2004.904 | 1n | 14 |
| HJ 386 AB | 06219+2731 | 9.710 .4 | 64.9 | 21.73 | 2004.945 | 1 n | 15 |
| HJ 386 AC | 06219+2731 | 9.7 9.6\#\# | 166.1 | 56.29 | 2004.945 | 1n | 15 |
| S 517 AB | 06222-1636 | 8.78 .7 | 192.1 | 22.71 | 2004.948 | 1n | 16 |
| HJ 728 | 06265-0150 | 9.110 .1 | 264.2 | 27.16 | 2004.945 | 1n | 17 |
| HJ 731 | 06308-0939 | 8.910 .0 | 34.0 | 11.85 | 2004.945 | 1 n | 18 |
| WFC 56 | 06314-1234 | 6.89 .9 | 171.1 | 19.26 | 2004.967 | 1n | 19 |
| BAL1315 | 06321+0130 | 9.910 .4 | 140.0 | 12.84 | 2004.945 | 1 n | 20 |
| HJ 2322 | 06337+0155 | $9.9 \quad 9.9$ | 319.5 | 16.79 | 2004.948 | 1n | 21 |
| STF 918 AB | 06340+5228 | 7.28 .2 | 333.9 | 4.94 | 2004.904 | 1 n | 22 |
| STF 918 AC | 06340+5228 | 7.210 .3 | 24.7 | 145.16 | 2004.904 | 1n | 22 |
| HJ 734 | 06359-0927 | $9.8 \quad 9.8$ | 33.0 | 7.90 | 2004.948 | 1 n | 23 |
| STF 940 | 06373+3826 | 8.710 .2 | 294.2 | 10.37 | 2004.945 | 1n | 24 |
| AG 118 | 06391+0220 | 8.810 .3 | 306.3 | 35.55 | 2004.964 | 1n | 25 |
| S 528 AB | 06393+3135 | 8.710 .3 | 27.0 | 82.95 | 2004.945 | 1n | 26 |
| WFC 60 | 06398-0358 | 10.110 .2 | 291.5 | 9.88 | 2004.964 | 1 n | 27 |
| ABH 50 AD | 06406+0947 | 8.59 .0 | 137.1 | 103.69 | 2004.948 | 1n | 28 |
| P0U1883 AB | 06414+2336 | 9.510 .4 | 24.8 | 17.78 | 2004.964 | 1n | 29 |
| STF3118 AC | 06415+0950 | 9.8 7.5\#\# | 337.5 | 94.80 | 2004.964 | 1n | 30 |
| STF3118 AD | 06415+0950 | 9.89 .9 | 31.8 | 128.38 | 2004.964 | 1n | 30 |
| GAL 410 | 06426-0934 | 8.59 .9 | 26.0 | 19.75 | 2004.964 | 1n | 31 |
| J 2009 | 06449+0728 | 8.69 .9 | 37.9 | 7.90 | 2004.964 | 1n | 32 |
| STF 959 | 06450+1346 | 9.810 .1 | 175.1 | 11.85 | 2004.964 | 1n | 33 |
| BAL1717 | 06456+0219 | 9.79 .9 | 10.2 | 8.89 | 2004.964 | 1n | 34 |
| WFC 64 | 06461+3323 | 10.1 9.7\#\# | 18.4 | 4.44 | 2004.964 | 1n | 35 |
| STF 965 AD | 06473+1055 | $8.9 \quad 9.4$ | 58.4 | 41.97 | 2004.964 | 1n | 36 |
| STF 970 | 06478-1143 | 9.19 .6 | 127.9 | 20.24 | 2004.964 | 1n | 37 |
| STF 962 | 06482+2642 | 9.29 .5 | 242.1 | 25.68 | 2004.948 | 1n | 38 |
| HJ 2347 | 06513+0533 | 9.810 .2 | 21.7 | 21.73 | 2004.964 | 1n | 39 |
| HJ 2352 | 06540+0034 | 9.810 .4 | 26.8 | 23.70 | 2004.967 | 1n | 40 |
| STF 988 | 06540-1002 | $9.4 \quad 9.7$ | 265.0 | 33.58 | 2004.967 | 1n | 41 |
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| Name | RA Dec | Mags | PA | Sep | Date | N | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STT 79 | 06541+0641 | 7.17 .5 | 88.8 | 115.54 | 2004.904 | 1n | 42 |
| STF 982 AB | 06546+1311 | $4.7 \quad 7.8$ | 145.4 | 7.41 | 2004.948 | 1n | 43 |
| STF 992 | 06556-0929 | 8.69 .8 | 301.2 | 13.83 | 2004.967 | 1n | 44 |
| STF 993 | 06558-1152 | 8.98 .9 | 240.3 | 36.54 | 2004.967 | 1n | 45 |
| H $27(\mathrm{AB}-\mathrm{C})$ | 06561+2005 | $9.5 \quad 9.7$ | 152.9 | 23.70 | 2004.981 | 1n | 46 |
| RST3475 A-BC | 06564-1016 | 9.710 .1 | 158.9 | 19.75 | 2004.967 | 1n | 47 |
| KU 92 | 07006+0921 | 9.610 .0 | 322.1 | 46.41 | 2004.967 | 1n | 48 |
| WFC 73 | 07024-0508 | 9.79 .9 | 201.2 | 8.89 | 2004.981 | 1n | 49 |
| STF1002 AB-C | 07042+5626 | 9.410 .0 | 318.1 | 30.61 | 2004.967 | 1n | 50 |
| ES 900 | 07042+4957 | 9.710 .2 | 52.2 | 9.88 | 2004.981 | 1n | 51 |
| J 1465 | 07046-0717 | 9.710 .1 | 289.3 | 8.89 | 2004.981 | 1n | 52 |
| STF1015 | 07049-0547 | 9.49 .4 | 198.5 | 4.94 | 2004.981 | 1n | 53 |
| J 702 AC | 07098+0526 | 9.610 .0 | 168.9 | 61.23 | 2004.981 | 1n | 54 |
| HJ 2359 | 07099+5806 | 9.410 .0 | 19.3 | 30.61 | 2004.984 | 1n | 55 |
| STF1020 | 07119+5730 | 8.710 .4 | 284.9 | 12.84 | 2004.984 | 1n | 56 |
| STF1025 AB | 07128+5548 | 8.28 .5 | 129.8 | 26.66 | 2004.967 | 1n | 57 |
| WFC 77 | 07143+0307 | 5.39 .9 | 319.2 | 51.35 | 2004.967 | 1n | 58 |
| HJ 419 | 07221-0402 | 9.210 .5 | 40.3 | 7.90 | 2004.984 | 1n | 59 |
| STF1080 | 07223+0429 | 10.010 .4 | 221.1 | 22.22 | 2004.984 | 1n | 60 |
| STT 84 AB | 07254+5633 | $7.5 \quad 7.7$ | 324.0 | 113.56 | 2004.967 | 1n | 61 |
| STF1101 | 07287-1349 | $9.5 \quad 9.7$ | 88.5 | 6.42 | 2004.984 | 1n | 62 |
| ENG 31 AB | 07299+4940 | 5.410 .0 | 304.4 | 179.73 | 2005.060 | 1n | 63 |
| STF1114 | -07337+0917 | 9.29 .9 | 53.0 | 6.42 | 2004.984 | 1n | 64 |
| HJ 56 | 07343-0313 | 9.59 .8 | 148.9 | 6.91 | 2004.984 | 1n | 65 |
| STF1110 AB | 07346+3153 | 1.93 .0 | 62.2 | 4.44 | 2004.967 | 1n | 66 |
| STT 87 | 07389+4229 | 7.7 7.5\#\# | 177.3 | 62.21 | 2004.967 | 1n | 67 |
| STT 89 | 07510+3137 | $6.8 \quad 7.7$ | 83.4 | 77.03 | 2004.967 | 1n | 68 |
| STF1178 | 08034-1312 | 9.29 .3 | 329.1 | 5.43 | 2005.060 | 1n | 69 |
| STF1196 AB-C | 08122+1739 | 5.25 .8 | 70.0 | 5.93 | 2004.981 | 1n | 70 |
| S 565 AB | 08247+4200 | 6.08 .5 | 176.1 | 83.94 | 2004.981 | 1n | 71 |
| S 566 | 08265+2754 | 5.610 .6 | 21.4 | 139.24 | 2004.981 | 1n | 72 |
| STF1263 AB | 08452+4140 | 8.59 .2 | 22.8 | 128.38 | 2004.981 | 1n | 73 |
| SHJ 101 | 09018+2754 | 6.19 .1 | 329.6 | 103.69 | 2005.041 | 1n | 74 |
| STF1321 AB | 09144+5241 | $7.6 \quad 7.7$ | 94.3 | 17.28 | 2005.041 | 1n | 75 |
| S 598 AB | 09287+4536 | $5.4 \quad 7.7$ | 161.5 | 70.11 | 2005.041 | 1n | 76 |
| STF1424 AB | 10200+1950 | 2.23 .2 | 125.5 | 4.44 | 2005.041 | 1n | 77 |
| STF1472 | 10470+1302 | 8.49 .4 | 34.5 | 42.36 | 2005.156 | 1n | 78 |
| STF1543 AD | 11291+3920 | 5.47 .6 | 252.8 | 343.65 | 2005.156 | 1n | 79 |
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| Name | RA Dec | Mags | PA | Sep | Date | N | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STT 114 | 12002+3644 | 7.58 .3 | 83.2 | 86.90 | 2005.156 | 1n | 80 |
| STF1740 | 13237+0243 | 7.17 .3 | 74.9 | 26.66 | 2005.216 | 1n | 81 |
| H 70 AC | 13309+2414 | 7.68 .2 | 256.5 | 75.05 | 2005.216 | 1n | 82 |
| STF1785 | 13491+2659 | 7.28 .0 | 178.0 | 3.46 | 2005.216 | 1n | 83 |
| STF1788 AB | 13550-0804 | 6.67 .2 | 98.7 | 3.46 | 2005.274 | 1n | 84 |
| STF1807 | 14113-0320 | 8.48 .7 | 28.1 | 7.41 | 2005.216 | 1n | 85 |
| STF1833 AB | 14226-0746 | 7.57 .5 | 174.3 | 5.93 | 2005.216 | 1n | 86 |
| STF1873 | 14448+0742 | 7.98 .3 | 92.5 | 6.91 | 2005.255 | 1n | 87 |
| STF1888 AB | 14514+1906 | 4.76 .8 | 312.9 | 6.42 | 2005.255 | 1n | 88 |
| STF1919 | 15127+1917 | 6.67 .3 | 10.6 | 23.70 | 2005.255 | 1n | 89 |
| STF1939 | 15275-1058 | 8.29 .2 | 130.8 | 9.88 | 2005.255 | 1n | 90 |
| STF1954 AB | 15348+1032 | 4.15 .1 | 172.9 | 4.44 | 2005.255 | 1 n | 91 |
| STF2021 Aa-B | $16133+1332$ | 7.37 | 356.1 | 4.44 | 2005.290 | 1n | 92 |
| STF2032 AB | 16147+3352 | 5.66 .4 | 237.7 | 7.41 | 2005.290 | 1n | 93 |
| H 38 | 16229+3220 | 6.49 .7 | 16.5 | 31.58 | 2005.290 | 1n | 94 |
| STF2044 | 16242+3702 | 8.38 .7 | 339.8 | 8.39 | 2005. 290 | 1n | 95 |
| WFC 284 | 16255+1944 | 10.6 10.5\#\# | 339.8 | 7.90 | 2005.290 | 1n | 96 |
| STF2098 AB | 16457+3000 | 8.79 .5 | 144.5 | 14.32 | 2005.290 | 1 n | 97 |
| STF2098 AC | 16457+3000 | 8.78 .8 | 128.7 | 66.16 | 2005.290 | 1n | 97 |

\#\# Companion star is the brighter component.

## Notes

1. In Aries. Common proper motion; p.a. decreasing. Spect. G0, G0.
2. Rho or 17 Orionis. Separation slightly decreasing. Spect. K2III, K3III.
3. In Orion. Sep. \& p.a. increasing. Spect. B1V, B3V.
4. Delta or 34 Orionis. Relatively fixed. Spect. B4, B0.
5. In Auriga. Relatively fixed. Common proper motion. Spect. F5, F5.
6. Theta 2 or 43 Orionis. Relatively fixed system. Spect. O9.5V, B, B8, O6.
7. In Taurus. Common proper motion, p.a. increasing. Spect. F8.
8. In Orion. Relatively fixed. Spect. B6V, B9.
9. In Orion. Relatively fixed. Spect. O6, A0.
10. In Orion. Relatively fixed. Spect. G, G.
11. In Monoceros. Relatively fixed. Spect. G5, K0.
12. In Orion. Position angle slightly increasing. Spect. K0, A0.
13. In Monoceros. Sep. \& p.a. increasing. Spect. A2.
14. In Orion. Possible common proper motion. Sep. decreasing. Spect. F6V, K1V.
15. In Gemini. $\mathrm{AB}=$ sep. inc. $\mathrm{AC}=\mathrm{cpm}$. Spect. $\mathrm{AC}=\mathrm{F} 2 \mathrm{~V}, \mathrm{~F} 5$.
16. In Canis Major. Relatively fixed. Spect. A5.
17. In Monoceros. Sep. \& p.a. increasing. Spect. K0, G.
18. In Monoceros. Relatively fixed. Spect. B8.
19. In Canis Major. Relatively fixed. Spect. B5.
20. In Monoceros. Relatively fixed. Spect. A0, A0.
21. In Monoceros. Position angle increasing. Spect. A0.
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22. In Auriga. $\mathrm{AB}=\mathrm{cpm}$, p.a. inc. $\mathrm{AC}=$ optical. Spect. $\mathrm{AB}=\mathrm{A} 3, \mathrm{~A} 3$.
23. In Monoceros. Relatively fixed. Spect. B9.
24. In Auriga. Relatively fixed. Spect. A5.
25. In Monoceros. Relatively fixed. Spect. B9.
26. In Auriga. Separation slightly increasing. Spect. F5.
27. In Monoceros. Relatively fixed. Common proper motion.
28. In Monoceros. Relatively fixed. Spect. B3V, B8.
29. In Gemini. Position angle decreasing. Spect. A, A.
30. In Monoceros. $\mathrm{AC} \& \mathrm{AD}=$ sep. decreasing. Spect. $\mathrm{AC}=\mathrm{A} 2, \mathrm{~B} 5$.
31. In Monoceros. Relatively fixed. Spect. A0, A0.
32. In Monoceros. Sep. increasing; p.a. decreasing. Spect. A2.
33. In Gemini. Relatively fixed. Common proper motion. Spect. G5.
34. In Monoceros. Relatively fixed. Spect. A0.
35. In Gemini. Position angle decreasing.
36. In Monoceros. Sep. \& p.a. decreasing. Spect. G0, F0.
37. In Canis Major. Relatively fixed. Common proper motion. Spect. F8, G.
38. In Gemini. Relatively fixed. Spect. G0, A0.
39. In Monoceros. Position angle increasing. Spect. F0.
40. In Monoceros. Sep. \& p.a. increasing. Spect. F8.
41. In Monoceros. Relatively fixed. Common proper motion. Spect. A2, A0.
42. In Monoceros. Relatively fixed. Spect. G5, A0.
43. 38 Geminorum. Sep. increasing; p.a. decreasing. Spect. F0, F0.
44. In Monoceros. Position angle increasing. Spect. G0.
45. In Canis Major. Position angle increasing. Spect. F0, F.
46. In Gemini. Sep. \& p.a. decreasing. Spect. A2, A0.
47. In Monoceros. Relatively fixed. Spect. K0, K0.
48. In Monoceros. Separation increasing. Spect. A, K7.
49. In Monoceros. Relatively fixed. Common proper motion. Spect. A0.
50. In Lynx. Relatively fixed. Common proper motion. Spect. G, F8.
51. In Lynx. Sep. \& p.a. decreasing. Spect. F, A2.
52. In Monoceros. Sep. \& p.a. increasing. Spect. A0.
53. In Monoceros. Position angle slightly increasing. Spect. A0, A0.
54. In Canis Minor. Relatively fixed. Spect. A0.
55. In Lynx. Position angle increasing. Spect. K2, G5.
56. In Lynx. Relatively fixed. Common proper motion. Spect. F5.
57. In Lynx. Sep. increasing; p.a. decreasing. Spect. K0, K0.
58. In Canis Minor. Relatively fixed. Spect. G5, G0.
59. In Monoceros. Common proper motion; p.a. decreasing. Spect. F5.
60. In Canis Minor. Relatively fixed. Common proper motion. Spect. A2, G0.
61. In Lynx. Position angle decreasing. Spect. K2, F8.
62. In Puppis. Relatively fixed. Spect. A5IV.
63. 22 Lyncis. Separation increasing. Spect. F6V.
64. In Canis Minor. Relatively fixed. Spect. G5.
65. In Monoceros. Position angle decreasing.
66. Castor or 66 Geminorum. Sep. increasing; p.a. decreasing. Spect. A0, A2V.
67. In Lynx. Sep. \& p.a. decreasing. Spect. F5, F5.
68. In Gemini. Relatively fixed. Common proper motion. Spect. A6III, A5.
69. In Puppis. Relatively fixed. Common proper motion. Spect. K0III, K0III.
70. Zeta or 16 Cancri. Sep. increasing; p.a. decreasing. Spect. G0, G0V.
71. In Lynx. Sep. \& p.a. increasing. Spect. K5III, K.
72. Phi 1 or 22 Cancri. Separation increasing. Spect. K5III.
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73. In Lynx. Sep. \& p.a. increasing. Spect. K3V, G5.
74. 67 Cancri. Sep. \& p.a. increasing. Spect. A8V, K7.
75. In Ursa Major. Sep. decreasing; p.a. increasing. Spect. K2, K2.
76. In Ursa Major. Separation decreasing. Spect. K0III, F8.
77. Gamma or 41 Leonis. Sep. \& p.a. increasing. Spect. K0III.
78. In Leo. Sep. increasing; p.a. decreasing. Spect. K0, K0.
79. 57 Ursae Majoris. Separation decreasing. Spect. A2V, K0.
80. In Ursa Major. Position angle slightly increasing. Spect. A0, K2.
81. In Virgo. Relatively fixed. Common proper motion. Spect. G5V, G5V.
82. In Coma Berenices. Sep. increasing; p.a. decreasing. Spect. G2III, G5.
83. In Bootes. Position angle increasing. Spect. K4V, K6V.
84. In Virgo. Sep. \& p.a. increasing. Spect. F8V, F8.
85. In Virgo. Common proper motion. Spect. F8, F8.
86. In Virgo. Common proper motion; p.a. increasing. Spect. G0V, G0V.
87. In Virgo. Relatively fixed. Spect. G5III, F5.
88. Xi or 37 Bootis. Sep. \& p.a. decreasing. Spect. G8II, K5V.
89. In Serpens. Relatively fixed. Common proper motion. Spect. G1V, G5V.
90. In Libra. Common proper motion; p.a. decreasing. Spect. G0, G0.
91. Delta or 13 Serpentis. Sep. increasing; p.a. decreasing. Spect. F0IV, F0IV.
92. In Hercules. Position angle increasing. Spect. G9V.
93. Sigma or 17 Coronae Borealis. Sep. \& p.a. increasing. Spect. G0V, G1V.
94. In Corona Borealis. Sep. \& p.a. decreasing. Spect. A4V, A2.
95. In Hercules. Common proper motion; p.a. decreasing. Spect. K0, K0.
96. In Hercules. Common proper motion. Relatively fixed?
97. In Hercules. $\mathrm{AB}=$ cpm. $\mathrm{AC}=$ p.a. decreasing. Spect. F2V, F0, F0.
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#### Abstract

Data mining the LSPM catalog has yielded 51 previously unreported double stars in the northern sky with each pair showing a large shared proper motion.


## Introduction

It is always exciting when a new catalog is made available through the Vizier system. All the more so when, in July 2005, a detailed survey of high proper motion stars appeared.

The LSPM catalog, Lepine+ 2005, is a comprehensive list of 61,977 stars north of the J2000 celestial equator that have proper motions larger than $0.15 \mathrm{~F} / \mathrm{yr}$ (local-background-stars frame). All the northern stars listed in the Luyten Half-Second and New Luyten Two-Tenths catalogs have been re-identified and positions, proper motions and magnitudes re-evaluated. Positions are given with an accuracy of $<\sim 100$ mas at the 2000.0 epoch and absolute proper motions are given with an accuracy of $\sim 8$ mas/yr. Corrections to the local-background-stars proper motions have been calculated and absolute proper motions in the extragalactic frame are given. The LSPM data can be accessed via the Vizier web pages at:
http://vizier.u-strasbg.fr/viz-bin/VizieR?-source=I/298

## Methodology

Once the positional data has been downloaded the mathematics involved in calculating the separation and position angle between two stars is relatively simple.

Using RA ( $\alpha$ ) and Dec ( $\delta$ ) values in decimal degrees:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Delta \delta=\left(\delta_{2}-\delta_{1}\right) \\
\Delta \alpha=\left(\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{1}\right) \cos \left(\delta_{1}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Then, the separation ( $\rho$ ) and position angle ( $\theta$ ) are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rho=\sqrt{(\Delta \delta)^{2}+(\Delta \alpha)^{2}} \\
& \theta=\arctan (\Delta \alpha / \Delta \delta)
\end{aligned}
$$

The three main complications are the quantity of data to be processed, the fact that astronomical position angle is measured from north in an anti-clockwise direction and that arctan yields two results, 180 degrees apart, if only positional data is used in the calculation. These problems were resolved by using a computer to aid data processing, by a simple arithmetical tweak to convert Microsoft Excel angles to the format required by astronomers and by combining positional data with magnitude data so that only position angles measured from the brighter to the fainter source were reported.

Purpose built software (Nicholson, 2005), written by C Whiting, was used to carry out the initial analysis. The method used was as follows:

- The stars were arranged in order by right ascension.
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- The software then calculated the distance between any given star and its neighbours in this list. If the distance was greater than 90 arc seconds then no further processing was done because, regardless of the declination, no two stars differing by more than 90 arc seconds in right ascension could possibly lie within a total of 90 arc seconds of each other.
- For pairs of stars found to be within 90 arc seconds in right ascension the declination was then used to calculate the total distance between the two stars. If the total distance was greater than 90 arc seconds no further processing was done.
- For pairs of stars within a total of 90 arc seconds the position angle was calculated using the standard formula and measuring from the brighter star to the fainter star.
- The resulting data was then written to a standard text tile in the format agreed between the programmer and myself.

The 15,286 stars of magnitude 14.0 or brighter yielded 429 "candidate pairs" of stars. This figure needed to be compared with the result that would be obtained on a purely random basis.

Imagine you have a catalogue that contains $N$ stars and these are uniformly distributed across the northern hemisphere of the sky ( $2.675 \times 10^{11} \mathrm{as}^{2}$ ) then the probability of having a star in any one arc second area, $P_{a}$, is $N / 2.675 \times 10^{11}$.

In the case of the LSPM, $N=15,288$. This gives a value of $P_{s}=5.75 \times 10^{-8}$.

The probability that there no other stars within a radius of r arc seconds is:

$$
P=\left(1-P_{a}\right)^{A}
$$

where $A=$ the area of the circle surrounding the star
The upper limit of 90 arc seconds, following the example of Greaves (2004), was chosen since the aim of this initial experiment was to find strong candidates for common proper-motion pairs rather than larger numbers of far less certain associations.

The probability that there is no companion within 90 arc seconds is $99.85 \%$. This means that $0.15 \%$ of stars would be expected, on a purely random basis, to have a companion within this distance. This is equivalent to approximately 11 pairs with separations between 0 and 90 arc seconds.

The 429 "candidate pairs" were not all new discoveries - following filtering to remove those already re-
corded in the LDS Catalogue: Doubles with Common Proper Motion (Luyten 1940-87) and in the Washington Visual Double Star Catalog, 1996.0 (Worley+, 1996) the number was reduced to 72 pairs.

The candidates were then checked against two further criteria:

1. If the difference in the quoted proper motion for the two stars in right ascension or declination was $>0.016$ arcsec/yr (twice the quoted error) the pair was eliminated. 21 pairs were eliminated at this stage.
2. If the pair was found to be within 30 arcmin of the center of an open cluster listed in the New Catalog of optically visible open clusters and candidates it would have been eliminated (Dias+, 2002). No pairs were eliminated.

## Results

Table 1 provides a listing in the standard format of all the common proper motion pairs found. Table 2 provides detail of the proper motion of both components of each pair. It is somewhat surprising that these pairs have not been reported previously.

## Conclusions

Each component of a common proper-motion pairs can be considered to be at the same distance from the observer, of the same age and subject to the same degree of reddening (Greaves, 2004). They are an interesting group to research because they do not fall into either of the extensively studied groups of orbiting binaries or open clusters.

Forty of the 51 pairs exhibit a catalogued V-J magnitude difference, a measure of the stars' color, of $<1.0$ but 8 pairs have a difference of $>2.5$. This diversity clearly has implications for any theory on the origin of such objects.

It is to be hoped that these new discoveries will be included in the Washington Double Star Catalog.
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(Continued on page 70)
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## Websites

Catalog of Northern stars with annual proper motions larger than 0.15", Lepine+ 2005 http://vizier.u-strasbg.fr/viz-bin/VizieR?source=I/298

The Washington Visual Double Star Catalog, 1996.0, Worley 1996 http://vizier.u-strasbg.fr/viz-bin/VizieR?-source=I/237

| \# | RA J2000 | DE J2000 | Mag 1 | Mag 2 | PA (deg) | Sep. (as) | Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 001056.07 | +48 0637.5 | 8.41 | 10.72 | 46.89 | 23.90 | 2000.000 |
| 2 | 004006.26 | +50 1415.5 | 10.88 | 12.96 | 311.17 | 24.91 | 2000.000 |
| 3 | 014151.50 | +47 4623.2 | 12.39 | 12.43 | 358.54 | 3.42 | 2000.000 |
| 4 | 024840.72 | +13 4448.0 | 10.79 | 11.35 | 18.75 | 14.19 | 2000.000 |
| 5 | 030209.83 | +26 0046.2 | 13.27 | 13.35 | 356.23 | 11.07 | 2000.000 |
| 6 | 032752.36 | +14 5049.7 | 12.75 | 12.75 | 299.14 | 3.74 | 2000.000 |
| 7 | $03 \quad 3028.34$ | +54 $17 \quad 37.7$ | 8.88 | 11.71 | 252.01 | 78.86 | 2000.000 |
| 8 | 034448.90 | +57 0141.6 | 11.32 | 11.49 | 315.95 | 16.56 | 2000.000 |
| 9 | 040757.53 | +04 4437.8 | 13.53 | 13.87 | 287.59 | 2.51 | 2000.000 |
| 10 | 041038.31 | +20 0225.9 | 12.33 | 12.33 | 227.32 | 3.34 | 2000.000 |
| 11 | 041248.86 | +19 5352.3 | 10.32 | 10.54 | 228.87 | 5.02 | 2000.000 |
| 12 | 044525.41 | +29 5528.5 | 11.34 | 11.67 | 145.46 | 84.18 | 2000.000 |
| 13 | 062053.28 | +54 2459.6 | 9.56 | 12.86 | 139.49 | 78.48 | 2000.000 |
| 14 | 072640.04 | +26 5851.5 | 10.93 | 10.98 | 150.58 | 5.44 | 2000.000 |
| 15 | 073136.14 | +62 0111.5 | 12.03 | 13.54 | 74.57 | 22.93 | 2000.000 |
| 16 | 074727.92 | +33 5156.8 | 13.53 | 13.9 | 226.20 | 49.07 | 2000.000 |
| 17 | 074807.48 | +50 13 03.3 | 11.2 | 11.25 | 341.92 | 31.21 | 2000.000 |
| 18 | 081533.20 | +11 2551.5 | 7.71 | 9.75 | 238.36 | 31.87 | 2000.000 |
| 19 | 082126.46 | +34 $18 \quad 22.4$ | 11.7 | 17.46 | 100.79 | 72.26 | 2000.000 |
| 20 | 082645.45 | +32 50 00.0 | 10.83 | 10.88 | 1.46 | 2.72 | 2000.000 |
| 21 | 084553.45 | +31 0719.4 | 11.07 | 11.99 | 301.73 | 21.01 | 2000.000 |
| 22 | 085258.17 | +29 3144.5 | 11.14 | 11.16 | 156.15 | 4.14 | 2000.000 |
| 23 | 085742.11 | +55 2200.1 | 13.63 | 13.72 | 258.67 | 12.16 | 2000.000 |
| 24 | 103840.78 | +11 3222.1 | 13.23 | 13.74 | 122.65 | 7.93 | 2000.000 |
| 25 | 112244.74 | +30 1740.5 | 11.98 | 12.48 | 85.15 | 4.47 | 2000.000 |
| 26 | 113223.31 | +76 3918.0 | 11.53 | 12.25 | 261.19 | 63.30 | 2000.000 |

Table 1: Listing of common proper motion pairs found in this study. Continued on page 71.
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| \# | RA J2000 | DE J2000 | Mag 1 | Mag 2 | PA (deg) | Sep. (as) | Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 27 | 114353.14 | +33 1830.6 | 13.4 | 13.4 | 222.74 | 3.38 | 2000.000 |
| 28 | 115536.20 | +73 3019.1 | 12.59 | 12.66 | 150.88 | 3.33 | 2000.000 |
| 29 | 120456.96 | +17 2835.9 | 8.66 | 13.4 | 206.53 | 27.21 | 2000.000 |
| 30 | 125216.15 | +38 3540.0 | 13.8 | 13.9 | 158.38 | 10.61 | 2000.000 |
| 31 | 132429.41 | +41 1200.8 | 11.07 | 11.6 | 172.57 | 8.31 | 2000.000 |
| 32 | 134427.16 | +77 13 50.9 | 9.45 | 13.63 | 291.29 | 66.57 | 2000.000 |
| 33 | 143305.25 | +55 2733.7 | 9.62 | 11.71 | 333.60 | 28.93 | 2000.000 |
| 34 | 151036.61 | +39 2312.7 | 13.01 | 13.3 | 53.92 | 8.30 | 2000.000 |
| 35 | 160456.80 | +39 0923.4 | 6.66 | 12.86 | 280.36 | 70.28 | 2000.000 |
| 36 | 171527.74 | +30 5236.6 | 11.33 | 13.6 | 166.20 | 15.03 | 2000.000 |
| 37 | 172023.19 | +20 1657.4 | 9.83 | 13.83 | 124.15 | 4.50 | 2000.000 |
| 38 | 182624.59 | +11 2057.4 | 13.09 | 13.09 | 190.35 | 7.80 | 2000.000 |
| 39 | 193437.08 | +50 3911.3 | 11.22 | 11.33 | 50.69 | 28.81 | 2000.000 |
| 40 | 194918.13 | +41 3456.9 | 7.53 | 10.47 | 67.05 | 66.84 | 2000.000 |
| 41 | 204335.49 | +45 1410.7 | 12.98 | 13.58 | 165.83 | 17.69 | 2000.000 |
| 42 | 215730.78 | +28 5613.4 | 8.76 | 13.98 | 189.31 | 85.01 | 2000.000 |
| 43 | 220417.31 | +09 5134.6 | 11.53 | 13.44 | 135.47 | 40.44 | 2000.000 |
| 44 | 220546.11 | +65 3850.7 | 10.53 | 11.65 | 315.74 | 6.75 | 2000.000 |
| 45 | 223105.73 | +45 $08 \quad 42.4$ | 7.69 | 13.74 | 7.66 | 62.21 | 2000.000 |
| 46 | 224541.77 | +41 1210.5 | 9.04 | 10.79 | 323.13 | 21.08 | 2000.000 |
| 47 | 224649.75 | +19 0101.0 | 9.01 | 13.05 | 89.68 | 25.55 | 2000.000 |
| 48 | 232746.08 | +12 2340.9 | 12.54 | 13.77 | 278.68 | 11.61 | 2000.000 |
| 49 | 235002.80 | +05 3046.0 | 9.62 | 10.87 | 124.78 | 11.18 | 2000.000 |
| 50 | 235429.69 | +29 3817.7 | 8.51 | 12.55 | 201.94 | 22.49 | 2000.000 |
| 51 | 235536.04 | +00 4145.0 | 9.23 | 13.6 | 253.20 | 12.97 | 2000.000 |

Table 1, cont.: Listing of common proper motion pairs found in this study.
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| \# | PRIMARY PmRA arcsec/yr | SECONDARY PmRA arcsec/yr | PRIMARY PmDE arcsec/yr | SECONDARY PmDE arcsec/yr |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.169 | 0.168 | 0 | 0.003 |
| 2 | 0.146 | 0.143 | -0.071 | -0.073 |
| 3 | 0.256 | 0.209 | -0.105 | -0.108 |
| 4 | 0.115 | 0.114 | -0.105 | -0.104 |
| 5 | 0.161 | 0.161 | -0.08 | -0.08 |
| 6 | 0.172 | 0.172 | -0.14 | -0.14 |
| 7 | 0.147 | 0.125 | -0.12 | -0.13 |
| 8 | 0.113 | 0.116 | -0.161 | -0.158 |
| 9 | 0.166 | 0.166 | -0.025 | -0.025 |
| 10 | 0.045 | 0.045 | -0.213 | -0.213 |
| 11 | 0.247 | 0.241 | -0.019 | -0.028 |
| 12 | 0.001 | 0.002 | -0.156 | -0.16 |
| 13 | -0.094 | -0.092 | -0.173 | -0.159 |
| 14 | -0.132 | -0.139 | -0.106 | -0.105 |
| 15 | 0.025 | 0.025 | -0.16 | -0.16 |
| 16 | 0.179 | 0.124 | -0.148 | -0.096 |
| 17 | -0.033 | -0.035 | -0.154 | -0.154 |
| 18 | -0.198 | -0.198 | -0.233 | -0.23 |
| 19 | -0.133 | -0.111 | -0.149 | -0.116 |
| 20 | 0.034 | 0.039 | -0.177 | -0.184 |
| 21 | 0.002 | 0.025 | -0.153 | -0.16 |
| 22 | 0.083 | 0.084 | -0.134 | -0.144 |
| 23 | 0.164 | 0.164 | 0.065 | 0.065 |
| 24 | -0.004 | -0.004 | -0.167 | -0.167 |
| 25 | 0.146 | 0.146 | -0.078 | -0.078 |
| 26 | 0.115 | -0.155 | -0.6 | -0.163 |
| 27 | -0.215 | -0.215 | -0.081 | -0.081 |
| 28 | -0.224 | -0.224 | -0.08 | -0.08 |
| 29 | 0.03 | 0.019 | -0.209 | -0.188 |
| 30 | -0.084 | -0.084 | 0.126 | 0.126 |

Table 2: Proper motion of each pair. Column 1 contains running numbers of the pairs, columns 2 and 3 contain proper motion data in right ascension taken directly from the catalog. Columns 4 and 5 contain proper motion data in declination taken directly from the catalog. Continued on page 73.

Unreported High Proper Motion Northern Double Stars in the LSPM Catalog

| \# | PRIMARY pmRA arcsec/yr | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SECONDARY pmRA } \\ & \text { arcsec/yr } \end{aligned}$ | PRIMARY pmDE arcsec/yr | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SECONDARY pmDE } \\ & \text { arcsec/yr } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 31 | 0.084 | 0.084 | -0.141 | -0.141 |
| 32 | -0.165 | 0.026 | -0.055 | -0.181 |
| 33 | -0.115 | -0.098 | -0.14 | -0.133 |
| 34 | -0.121 | -0.136 | 0.099 | -0.223 |
| 35 | -0.572 | -0.547 | 0.052 | 0.055 |
| 36 | -0.182 | -0.182 | -0.171 | -0.171 |
| 37 | -0.053 | -0.032 | -0.176 | -0.161 |
| 38 | -0.014 | -0.014 | -0.266 | -0.266 |
| 39 | 0.046 | 0.046 | 0.174 | 0.173 |
| 40 | 0.104 | 0.106 | -0.176 | -0.176 |
| 41 | 0.138 | 0.138 | 0.065 | 0.065 |
| 42 | 0.167 | 0.166 | 0.029 | 0.031 |
| 43 | 0.175 | 0.175 | 0.076 | 0.076 |
| 44 | -0.312 | -0.312 | 0.211 | 0.211 |
| 45 | -0.174 | -0.167 | 0.038 | 0.027 |
| 46 | 0.161 | 0.153 | 0.116 | 0.116 |
| 47 | -0.064 | -0.057 | -0.176 | -0.173 |
| 48 | 0.144 | 0.147 | 0.071 | 0.069 |
| 49 | 0.128 | 0.126 | -0.097 | -0.11 |
| 50 | 0.001 | 0.009 | -0.192 | -0.188 |
| 51 | 0.232 | 0.242 | -0.002 | -0.006 |

Table 2, cont.: Proper motion of each pair. Column 1 contains running numbers of the pairs, columns 2 and 3 contain proper motion data in right ascension taken directly from the catalog. Columns 4 and 5 contain proper motion data in declination taken directly from the catalog.

Martin Nicholson is a retired teacher who lives in Daventry, England. He has been a double star observer for 5 years and has contributed many measurements of previously neglected doubles to the Washington Double Star Catalog. He also makes photometric observations for the AAVSO.

# Lambda Arietis $=$ WDS 01579+2336 

Wolfgang Vollmann<br>Vienna, Austria<br>E-Mail: vollmann@gmx.at


#### Abstract

Measurements made of the double star Lambda Arietis with a CCD are compared with those made with a web-cam.


Lambda Arietis ( $\lambda$ Ari) is a pretty double star system for binoculars at $1 \mathrm{~h} 57.9 \mathrm{~m}+23^{\circ} 36^{\prime}$ (2000.0). At a distance of 37 arcseconds from the 4.8 magnitude bright primary there is a companion of magnitude 6.7 (values taken from the WDS). This is definitely visible in steadily mounted $10 \times 50$ binoculars. Lambda Ari is catalogued in the Washington Double Star Catalog
(WDS) under the discoverer designation of H 512 which is a code for William Herschel's distance class V, entry number $12=\mathrm{H} V 12$. This double star was discovered before Herschel by Christian Mayer of Mannheim (Schlimmer, 2006).

Using a telescope, one can see two more faint wide companions: the WDS contains companion C with mag 9.7 at 188 arcsecond distance and D with mag 9.9 at 270 arcsecond distance. While looking at the system using my 130 mm refractor at 35 x , it is obvious that companions C and D are not approximately equally bright, but C is about a magnitude fainter than D .

Peter Wienerroither (2006) has a project underway of imaging wide double stars with his 106 mm refractor. He takes short exposures of 1 to 10 seconds with a CCD camera (SXV-H9) at a focal length of 1100 mm . He takes R(ed),

9-Lamda Ari
(o) Peter Wienerroither http:/homepage.univie.ac.ati/ powe G(reen) and (B)lue images and combines
Lambda Arietis imaged by Peter Wienerroither, http://homepage.univie.ac.at/peter.wienerroither/ pwafods/01579+2336.htm North is up and east to the left, as in a pair of binoculars. The letters iden- them to form color imtify the companions in the WDS.

## Lambda Arietis = WDS 01579+2336

ages. On Peters images, companion C is clearly fainter than D. I measured his $\mathrm{G}($ reen $)$ images by differential photometry and got a magnitude difference between C and D of 1.5 . The value is exact to around 0.1 mag and should be very near the visual magnitude difference. When looking up C in the Hubble Guide Star Catalog (GSC), I find a magnitude of 11.41 (these magnitudes are not very exact but usually in the right ballpark). D has an entry in the Tycho-2 catalogue and is given a V magnitude of 9.75 there. My measured magnitude difference is near this value.

I used Lambda Arietis as a test to compare Peter's CCD-images with my own Webcam Images. We use a very similar focal length of slightly more than one meter and Peter uses a 4 -inch and I a 5 -inch refractor. So I tried to take several Webcam videos. The resulting images are not as pretty as the CCD images and they do not reach to a magnitude limit as deep as the CCD due to the short exposure times possible with my off-the-shelf webcam (ToUCam Pro II). But the measures are almost exactly the same as the CCD measures! (See Table 1.) I trust the results even more since I

| components | webcam <br> distance | webcam <br> PA | CCD <br> distance | CCD <br> PA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A-B | $37.4^{\prime \prime}$ | $47.5^{\circ}$ | $37.5^{\prime \prime}$ | $47.5^{\circ}$ |
| A-C | --- | --- | $188.7^{\prime \prime}$ | $75.8^{\circ}$ |
| A-D | $270.7^{\prime \prime}$ | $84.8^{\circ}$ | $270.5^{\prime \prime}$ | $84.7^{\circ}$ |

Table 1: Comparison of measurements made with webcam to measurements made with CCD. PA = position angle. Webcam images taken at $\mathrm{f}=1040 \mathrm{~mm}$ on 11 Jan 2006, CCD images taken at $f=1100 \mathrm{~mm}$ on 14 Oct 2005. I am quite happy since the results agree so well!
used two different procedures to make them: the CCD images have a larger field of view and I did a classical astrometry with 6 reference stars from the Tycho-2 catalogue to determine the exact focal length and field orientation. Since the webcam has a much smaller field of view I used my own "drift astrometry" procedure: I let the stars drift across the field with the motor drive switched off and determine the field orientation this way. The focal length I calibrated with several dozen other observations. To reduce the images I use the software "Astronomical Image Processing" by Berry and Burnell in both cases. The only drawback of the cheap webcam was that I could not measure C due to its faintness.

The bright components A and B are apparently physically related: they show a common proper motion
and did not change their distance and PA measurably in the more than 200 years since they have been measured for the first time. C and D seem to be unrelated stars showing a small or no proper motion so they change their distance to A over the years: see the following tables.
(Continued on page 76)

| Date | Distance | PA | Source |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| 1779 | $36.6^{\prime \prime}$ | $48^{\circ}$ | WDS as of Jan. 2006 |
| 2003 | $36.7^{\prime \prime}$ | $47^{\circ}$ | WDS as of Jan. 2006 |
| 2005.79 | $37.5^{\prime \prime}$ | $47.5^{\circ}$ | CCD measure |

Lambda Ari A-B $=\mathrm{H}$ V 12AB

| Date | Distance | PA | Source |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 1892 | $175.3^{\prime \prime}$ | $74^{\circ}$ | WDS as of Jan. 2006 |
| 1998 | $187.7^{\prime \prime}$ | $76^{\circ}$ | WDS as of Jan. 2006 |
| 2005.79 | $188.7^{\prime \prime}$ | $75.8^{\circ}$ | CCD measure |

Lambda Ari A-C $=\mathrm{H}$ V 12AC

| Date | Distance | PA | Source |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1892 | $258.1^{\prime \prime}$ | $84^{\circ}$ | WDS as of Jan. 2006 |
| 2001 | $269.6^{\prime \prime}$ | $85^{\circ}$ | WDS as of Jan.2006 |
| 2005.79 | $270.5^{\prime \prime}$ | $84.7^{\circ}$ | CCD measure |

Lambda Ari A-D $=\mathrm{HV}$ 12AD

## Lambda Arietis = WDS 01579+2336
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[^0]:    Mr. Vollman tells us, "Mostly I admire beautiful and rare sights, naked eye or at the eyepiece of my telescope." Like so many of us, he became interested in astronomy as a child when being shown Orion. During the day he works as an IT instructor and lives in the suburbs of Vienna with his wife and two children.

