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#### Abstract

This report shows the results of 323 double star measurements from 2022. During the observations some new components of known double stars and 6 new double stars could be found.


## 1. Introduction

In 2022323 double stars were measured. As in previous years, pairs with large magnitude differences were of interest. Especially the double stars discovered by the British astronomer Espin were chosen for observation. An short overview about Espin's double stars cand be found in the author's annual report from 2021 (Schlimmer 2022). During the observations some new components from known double stars and 6 new double stars were discovered and will be discussed.

## 2. Equipment and Methods

Observations were done with a 12 -inch Newtonian telescope in combination with a QHY5L II CMOS color camera. Focal length is 1500 mm , reproduction scale is about 0.52 arc seconds per pixel. In cases of separation < 3 " focal length were sometimes magnified with a Barlow lens. Calibration of the telescope setup was described in detail in the previous annual report of 2021 (Schlimmer, 2022).

For each measurement a video with 100 or 200 frames were recorded. Every frame is like a single measurement. Data analyses were done with REDUC software (Losse, 2016). For each frame separation and position angel will be automatically analyzed by the ELI interface. The standard deviation for measurements of the separation is usually smaller than $\pm 0.15 \mathrm{arc}$ seconds. The standard deviation for measurements of position angel depends on the separation of both components. For double stars with separation of about 5 arc seconds the standard deviation for position angle is usually $\pm 1$ degree.

## 3. Discoveries

During the observations some new components and 6 new double stars could be found which aren't listed in the WDS catalog. The nature of those isn't known. In the case where proper motion of both components is known but different it can be assumed that they are optical double stars.

### 3.1 New component of WDS04582+3503, BU 1390, (98 Tau)

BU 1390 AB was discovered by Burnham in 1912. AC was already discovered in 1891 by him, but there is no registration about his observations of 98 Tau in his famous General Catalogue of 1290 double stars discovered from 1871 to 1899 (Burnham, 1900). During the author's observation of BU 1390 a new component next to the primary could be found. Compared with the brightness of component B the brightness of the new component can be estimated at 14 mag. Separation is $28.0^{\prime \prime}$, position angel is about $109^{\circ}$. Figure 1 shows a stacked image of 100 frames. Exposure time was 1s.


Figure 1:98 Tau, (BU 1390), stacked image of 100 frames. Exposure time was 1s.

### 3.2 A new double star TYC2085-280-1

In a distance of 216' ' from ES 469 (WDS17467+2759) a new double star can be found. Identifier of the primary component is TYC2085-280-1, its brightness is 12.15 mag . A second component appears in $6.8^{\prime \prime}$ at an angle of $341^{\circ}$. Its brightness its about 12.7 mag. Figure 2 shows the neighborhood of ES 469 and TYC2085-280-1 from SIMBAD catalog with inlay of stacked frames of TYC2085-280-1 made by the measurement. Coordinates are $174623.8+275726.3$. Its nature is uncertain.


Figure 2 : ES 469 and TYC2085-280-1 from SIMBAD catalog with inlay of stacked frames of TYC2085-280-1 from observation

### 3.3 New optical double star TYC4209-01624-1 and TYC 4209-1724-1

In a distance of about 17 ' from 36 Dra an optical pair TYC4209-01624-1 / TYC 4209-1724-1 could be found. Brightness of TYC4209-01624-1 is 8.93 mag , proper motion is $-3.628 /-5.766 \mathrm{mas} / \mathrm{yr}$. Brightness of the secondary component is 11.44 mag , its proper motion is $-10.086 /-7.344 \mathrm{mas} / \mathrm{yr}$. Separation of both is $32.36^{\prime \prime}$, position angle is $263.8^{\circ}$. Coordinates of TYC4209-01624-1 are 181526.4 +64 09 35.1. Because of different proper motions of both components there is no physical relationship between them.


Figure 3 : TYC4209-01624-1 and TYC 4209-1724-18 from SIMBAD catalog with inlay of stacked frames from observation

### 3.4 New optical double star HD336458 and HD336459 next to WDS18349+2701, ES 477

Only 115" from ES 477 (WDS18349+2701) an nice optical pair with HD336458 and HD336459 can be found. Brightness are 10.34 and 10.69 mag , so they can be easily observed. Separation is $27.8^{\prime \prime}$ position angle is $252.4^{\circ}$. Proper motion of both components is very different, so it can be assumed that there is no physical relationship between them. Coordinates of HD336458 are $183443.9+2659$ 56.9.


Figure 4: ES 477 (WDS18349+2701) and HD336458 / HD336459. Image is stack of 100 frames

### 3.5 Three new components in WDS18569+3112, ES 2422

ES 2422 was discovered in 1930by Espin. His 2 known components has a brightness of 8.96 and 12.00 mag and are in a distance of 5.7''. During observation of ES 2422 the author found 3 further components. First one in a separation of $23.7^{\prime \prime}$ with a position angle of $249.6^{\circ}$. Brightness is about 13 mag . Second new component can be found in a distance of $31.9^{\prime \prime}$ with an angle of $317.2^{\circ}$. Brightness is about 12.5 mag. Third new component has more less the same brightness like component B. Separation is only 13.4 '', position angle is $321.2^{\circ}$.


Figure 5 : ES 2422 with 3 new components, labeled by numbers. Image is stack of 100 frames.

### 3.6 A new triple star next to WDS23154+5516, STI2963

In a distance of 84 ' from STI2963 a new triple star system can be found. The brightness of component A with 12 mag is similar to the primary of STI2963, B has a brightness of about 13 mag. Separation between both is $7.9^{\prime \prime}$ position angle is $345.8^{\circ}$. In a distance of $18.8^{\prime \prime}$ at an angle of $303.4^{\circ}$ component C can be found. Brightness is about 14 mag. The nature of the triple star system is uncertain.


Figure 6 : New triple star system next to STI2963

### 3.7 New double star HD 23616 next to ES 1044, WDS23271+5302

In a distance of 160 ''from ES 1044 a new double star can be found. Primary is HD 23616 which has a brightness of 10.23 mag , secondary is a not identified star in a distance of $12.25^{\prime \prime}$. Position angle is $164.5^{\circ}$. Brightness of the secondary is 12.2 mag. Coordinates of HD 23616 are $232728.8+5303$ 48.0. The nature of this double star is uncertain.


Figure 7 : ES 1044 and HD 23616 with companion.

### 3.8 A new double star USNO-B1 1422-0565068 and USNO-B1 1421-0552384

About 4' from WDS 23361+5211 (TDT4121) a new double star can be found. With a brightness of 11.4 mag USNO-B1 1422-0565068 is the primary, while USNO-B1 1421-0552384 in $3.95^{\prime \prime}$ is the secondary with a brightness of 12.3 mag . Position angle is $14.4^{\circ}$. Proper motion of the primary is -10 in RA and -4 mas in DE, proper motion of the secondary isn't known. The new double star can be found at 233539.9 in RA and +521211.8 in DE. The nature of this double star is uncertain.


Figure 8 : USNO-B1 1422-0565068 and USNO-B1 1421-0552384

## 4. Observation Data

The following table shows the measurements of separation and position angle of 323 double stars from 2022. Brightness and coordinates are taken from The Washington Double Star Catalog (Mason et al., 2020). Date is given in Julian years. N gives the numbers of observation nights. Usually, every double star will be observed only for one night ( $\mathrm{N}=1$ ).

Table 1: Measurements of 2022

| RA+DEC | Name | MAGS | PA | SEP | Date | N | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 03001+4323 | ES 1511 | 10.5,11.7 | 281.3 | 6.72 | 2022.120 | 1 |  |
| 03119+4146 | ES 1513 | 10.58,11.7 | 92.7 | 7.40 | 2022.120 | 1 |  |
| 03164+5050 | ES 769 | 10.23,12.1 | 139.1 | 5.42 | 2022.120 | 1 |  |
| $04010+3415$ | ES 237 | 9.82,11.17 | 331.0 | 3.09 | 2022.120 | 1 |  |
| 04026+5304 | ES 1066 | 10.9,11.1 | 115.1 | 5.65 | 2022.120 | 1 |  |
| 04075+6009 | ES 1715 | 8.73,10.6 | 165.1 | 5.86 | 2022.120 | 1 |  |
| $04078+6220$ | ES 2603AB | 6.91,12.9 | 255.3 | 6.41 | 2022.120 | 1 | NGC1502 |
| $04078+6220$ | STF 485AC | 6.91,13.5 | 0.8 | 11.18 | 2022.120 | 1 | NGC1502 |
| $04078+6220$ | STF 485AE | 6.91,6.94 | 305.2 | 17.91 | 2022.120 | 1 | NGC1502 |
| $04078+6220$ | STF 484GH | 9.63,10.5 | 133.4 | 5.55 | 2022.120 | 1 | NGC1502 |
| $04078+6220$ | HLM 3LM | 10.4,11.4 | 216.6 | 5.99 | 2022.120 | 1 | NGC1502 |
| $04078+6220$ | HZG 2OP | 9.49,10.69 | 229.2 | 17.37 | 2022.120 | 1 | NGC1502 |
| 04089+6231 | ES 1882 | 9.33,12.4 | 278.2 | 7.31 | 2022.120 | 1 |  |
| 04091+4801 | ES 1223 | 10.21,12.1 | 112.3 | 3.73 | 2022.120 | 1 |  |
| 04477+4744 | ES 1318 | 10.2,11.3 | 156.7 | 2.21 | 2022.167 | 1 |  |
| 04537+4737 | ES 1227 | 9.86,11.3 | 239.2 | 4.12 | 2022.162 | 1 |  |
| $04582+2503$ | BU 1390AB | 5.81,13.3 | 357.7 | 45.28 | 2022.162 | 3 |  |
| $04582+2503$ | NEW | 5.81/14 | 109.3 | 28.00 | 2022.162 | 2 | See section 3.1 |
| $05020+4349$ | BU 554AB | 2.99,14.0 | 226.2 | 29.33 | 2022.222 | 1 | Eps Aur |
| $05020+4349$ | BU 554AC | 2.99,11.26 | 276.0 | 43.17 | 2022.222 | 1 |  |
| $05020+4349$ | BU 554AD | 2.99,13.4 | 317.5 | 44.92 | 2022.222 | 1 |  |
| $05020+4349$ | SMR 25AG | 2.99,14. | 15.2 | 99.52 | 2022.222 | 1 |  |
| $05020+4349$ | SMR 25AI | 2.99,13.5 | 140.9 | 111.86 | 2022.222 | 1 |  |
| $05020+4349$ | SMR 25AJ | 2.99,13.5 | 159.9 | 132.44 | 2022.222 | 1 |  |
| $05039+3223$ | ES 412 | 8.3,13.1 | 275.0 | 5.13 | 2022.162 | 1 |  |
| $05046+4232$ | ES 1621 | 9.92,12.3 | 193.4 | 4.13 | 2022.162 | 1 |  |
| $05058+3540$ | ES 331 | 9.27,11.7 | 326.8 | 8.05 | 2022.167 | 1 |  |
| $05132+4429$ | ES 1373AB | 9.50,10.6 | 85.9 | 5.61 | 2022.167 | 1 |  |
| $05143+6127$ | ES 1963 | 9.09,12.8 | 269.7 | 3.59 | 2022.162 | 1 |  |
| $05144+5424$ | ES 889 | 10.0,11.4 | 319.3 | 7.91 | 2022.167 | 1 |  |
| 05145-0812 | STF 668A, BC | 0.3,6.8 | 202.8 | 9.46 | 2022.136 | 1 | Rigel |
| 05167+4600 | HJ 2256AF | 0.08,10.21 | 134.2 | 104.72 | 2022.222 | 1 |  |
| $05173+5335$ | ES 2610 | 9.51,10.13 | 52.4 | 45.00 | 2022.167 | 1 |  |
| $05212+5023$ | ES 2613 | 9.38,10.1 | 123.6 | 8.81 | 2022.167 | 1 |  |


| 05247+3723 | BU 888AB | 5.16,12.0 | 163.4 | 7.47 | 2022.162 | 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 05272+1758 | STT 107AB | 5.39,11.1 | 306.8 | 10.13 | 2022.162 | 2 |  |
| 05274+5422 | ES 891 | 9.40,12.6 | 67.3 | 6.90 | 2022.167 | 1 |  |
| 05280+4110 | ES 1724 | 10.67,12.9 | 48.4 | 2.90 | 2022.167 | 1 |  |
| 05314+4152 | ES 1625 | 10.6,11.6 | 80.3 | 3.14 | 2022.181 | 1 |  |
| 05316+3843 | ES 2216 | 11.4,11.6 | 125.8 | 3.35 | 2022.181 | 1 |  |
| 05320-0018 | STFA 14AC | 2.41,6.83 | 0.7 | 52.46 | 2022.110 | 1 |  |
| 05323+4924 | STF 718AB | 7.47,7.54 | 72.2 | 7.78 | 2022.181 | 1 |  |
| 05329+4912 | ES 1072 | 10.3,11.4 | 0.28 | 3.39 | 2022.181 | 1 |  |
| 05332+6212 | ES 1887 | 9.28,10.4 | 106.3 | 5.37 | 2022.181 | 1 |  |
| 05365+3903 | ES 2152 | 10.31,11.8 | 312.6 | 7.16 | 2022.181 | 1 |  |
| 05407-0157 | STF 774AB | 1.88,3.70 | 168.3 | 2.07 | 2022.110 | 1 |  |
| 05407-0157 | STF 774AC | 1.88,9.55 | 10.2 | 58.18 | 2022.110 | 1 |  |
| 05424+3831 | ES 2217 | 10.30,10.55 | 131.0 | 3.97 | 2022.181 | 1 |  |
| 05469+0931 | J 251 | 5.79,11.9 | 304.8 | 16.67 | 2022.162 | 1 |  |
| 05515+3909 | H 590 | 3.97,11.40 | 206.5 | 55.56 | 2022.222 | 1 |  |
| 05533+3725 | ES 284 | 10.4,12.4 | 182.9 | 4.67 | 2022.222 | 1 |  |
| 05574+0002 | BU 1190AC | 6.95,12.1 | 100.9 | 6.58 | 2022.162 | 1 |  |
| 05597+3713 | STT 545AB | 2.60,7.2 | 301.6 | 3.705 | 2022.222 | 1 |  |
| 06039+4754 | ES 1233 | 9.2,11.0 | 208.7 | 2.94 | 2022.222 | 1 |  |
| 06148+1909 | HJ 2302AB | 5.20,11.2 | 179.0 | 5.64 | 2022.162 | 2 |  |
| 06288-0702 | STF 919AB | 4.62,5.00 | 132.1 | 7.34 | 2022.181 | 1 |  |
| 06288-0702 | STF 919AC | 4.62,5.39 | 126.8 | 9.54 | 2022.181 | 1 |  |
| 06288-0702 | STF 919BC | 5.00,5.32 | 107.0 | 2.63 | 2022.181 | 1 |  |
| 06367+4404 | ES 582AB | 11.29,11.66 | 79.3 | 23.92 | 2022.222 | 1 |  |
| 06367+4404 | ES 582BC | 11.66,14.4 | 301.8 | 6.60 | 2022.222 | 1 |  |
| 06410+0954 | STF 950AC | 4.66,9.9 | 14.4 | 16.60 | 2022.181 | 2 | AB,C |
| 06410+0954 | D 11EP | 8.86,10.4 | 46.0 | 3.88 | 2022.181 | 2 |  |
| 06425+3902 | SIN 119AC | 8.34,11.3 | 28.9 | 15.41 | 2022.222 | 1 |  |
| 06451-1643 | AGC 1AB | -1.47,8.44 | 64.8 | 11.27 | 2022.175 | 8 | Sirius |
| 06505+5327 | ES 899 | 10.0,11.2 | 314.5 | 2.90 | 2022.222 | 1 |  |
| 07277+2208 | ES 2625AB | 6.98,12.4 | 26.4 | 11.63 | 2022.222 | 1 |  |
| $07303+4136$ | ES 586 | 8.26,11.2 | 23.1 | 13.45 | 2022.222 | 1 |  |
| $08358+0637$ | STF1245AB | 5.98,7.16 | 24.9 | 10.09 | 2022.235 | 1 |  |
| 08397+0546 | STF1255AB | 7.33,8.56 | 30.5 | 25.93 | 2022.235 | 1 |  |
| 08468+0625 | STF1273AB, C | 3.49,6.66 | 313.8 | 2.78 | 2022.235 | 1 |  |
| 08484+0550 | AGC 3 | 4.36,11.9 | 146.8 | 12.20 | 2022.235 | 1 |  |
| 08534+0513 | A 2752 | 8.99,11.01 | 229.6 | 5.11 | 2022.235 | 1 |  |
| 09036+4903 | ES 72 | 9.29,12.3 | 301.0 | 13.33 | 2022.402 | 1 |  |
| 09098+5340 | ES 715 | 10.36,11.7 | 232.5 | 7.77 | 2022.402 | 2 |  |
| 09144+0219 | HJ 2489AB | 3.85,9.9 | 255.8 | 20.64 | 2022.235 | 1 |  |
| 09188+3648 | STF1334AB | 3.92,6.09 | 222.0 | 2.54 | 2022.416 | 1 | 3000 mm |
| 09234+5136 | ES 718AB | 10.16,12.5 | 28.1 | 7.86 | 2022.402 | 1 |  |
| 09257+3837 | ES 298AB | 9.99,12.4 | 319.8 | 8.42 | 2022.402 | 1 |  |
| 09257+3837 | ES 298AC | 9.99,11.09 | 320.8 | 93.61 | 2022.402 | 1 |  |
| 09257+3837 | ES 298CD | 11.09,12.1 | 173.9 | 3.80 | 2022.402 | 1 |  |
| 09368+5755 | ES 1783AB | 10.04,10.75 | 240.8 | 120.54 | 2022.402 | 1 |  |


| 09368+5755 | ES 1783Ba,Bb | 11.1,11.9 | 9.0 | 2.02 | 2022.402 | 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 09412+0954 | H 6 76AB | 3.56,10.83 | 48.9 | 97.79 | 2022.345 | 1 |  |
| 09447+3218 | ES 2347 | 10.72,11.0 | 251.4 | 7.95 | 2022.402 | 1 |  |
| 09504+4245 | ES 1244 | 10.24,11.07 | 242.1 | 2.06 | 2022.416 | 1 | 3000 mm |
| $09538+4658$ | ES 913 | 10.2,11.3 | 337.3 | 7.62 | 2022.402 | 1 |  |
| 10009+4631 | ES 914 | 10.46,12.8 | 327.3 | 5.85 | 2022.345 | 1 |  |
| 10050+4634 | ES 1149 | 9.33,12.7 | 249.6 | 7.98 | 2022.345 | 1 |  |
| 10084+1158 | STFB 6AB | 1.40,8.24 | 308.1 | 176.51 | 2022.345 | 1 |  |
| 10185+3630 | HJ 2525 | 11.80,12.00 | 67.4 | 13.60 | 2022.345 | 1 |  |
| $10258+3237$ | ES 432 | 11.06,11.62 | 165.7 | 2.64 | 2022.402 | 1 |  |
| $10262+3628$ | ES 302 | 11.22,12.7 | 345.9 | 2.66 | 2022.345 | 1 |  |
| $10303+6321$ | ES 1905AB | 8.59,12.64 | 67.0 | 41.2 | 2022.345 | 1 |  |
| $10443+3739$ | ES 2634 | 10.90,11.52 | 234.4 | 9.44 | 2022.402 | 1 |  |
| $10479+3958$ | ES 1543 | 10.0,12.5 | 7.9 | 3.34 | 2022.416 | 1 |  |
| $10532+4359$ | ES 2635AB | 9.54,10.3 | 34.5 | 9.62 | 2022.416 | 1 |  |
| $10562+4802$ | ES 2636 | 8.90,9.62 | 149.4 | 42.68 | 2022.416 | 1 |  |
| 10590+3256 | ES 2283AB | 11.13,12.62 | 106.9 | 5.64 | 2022.416 | 1 |  |
| $11141+2031$ | STT 573AB | 2.54,10.87 | 341.6 | 208.60 | 2022.345 | 1 |  |
| $11141+2031$ | BU 1282AC | 2.54,12.69 | 28.6 | 98.96 | 2022.345 | 1 |  |
| $11323+3323$ | ES 2284 | 11.0,11.1 | 76.2 | 2.94 | 2022.416 | 1 |  |
| $11381+3246$ | ES 2285AB | 11.01,12.39 | 331.2 | 10.78 | 2022.416 | 1 |  |
| $11491+1434$ | BU 604AD | 2.14,8.49 | 193.7 | 235.82 | 2022.345 | 1 |  |
| $12081+5528$ | STF1603AB | 7.82,8.26 | 83.5 | 22.22 | 2022.424 | 1 |  |
| $12104+5455$ | ES 73AB | 9.05,11.6 | 29.7 | 37.77 | 2022.424 | 1 |  |
| $12104+5455$ | ES 73BC | 11.6,12.1 | 306.9 | 3.06 | 2022.424 | 1 |  |
| $12269+2816$ | SMR 58 | 4.4,12. | 205.6 | 15.77 | 2022.402 | 1 |  |
| $12280+4753$ | ES 2642 | 10.15,10.41 | 258.0 | 28.22 | 2022.424 | 1 |  |
| $12347+4808$ | ES 924 | 11.07,11.72 | 219.4 | 4.17 | 2022.424 | 1 |  |
| $12353+3634$ | ES 2166 | 12.4,12.7 | 357.9 | 4.78 | 2022.424 | 1 |  |
| $12397+4028$ | ES 1402AB | 10.6,11.6 | 27.1 | 3.78 | 2022.424 | 2 |  |
| $12406+4017$ | HJ 2617AB | 8.41,9.61 | 1.4 | 5.69 | 2022.424 | 1 |  |
| 12417-0127 | STF1670AB | 3.48,3.53 | 356.2 | 3.15 | 2022.408 | 3 | 3000 mm |
| $12439+5349$ | ES 729 | 9.1,12.6 | 226.9 | 8.81 | 2022.424 | 1 |  |
| $13119+2753$ | STT 578 | 4.30,12.1 | 178.6 | 134.67 | 2022.402 | 1 |  |
| $13149+4847$ | PKO 10 | 12.00,11.90 | 269.7 | 20.38 | 2022.444 | 1 |  |
| $13152+4838$ | ES 732 | 10.93,10.98 | 88.2 | 3.39 | 2022.444 | 1 |  |
| $13239+5456$ | STF1744AB | 2.23,3.88 | 152.9 | 14.41 | 2022.424 | 1 |  |
| $13265+4233$ | ES 2645 | 10.99,11.10 | 210.9 | 21.94 | 2022.444 | 1 |  |
| $13486+4821$ | BU 802 | 7.56,11.78 | 221.1 | 3.25 | 2022.444 | 1 |  |
| $13529+4744$ | ES 960AB | 10.7,11.9 | 270.4 | 4.43 | 2022.444 | 1 |  |
| $14375+4743$ | ES 609AB | 10.23,11.9 | 15.2 | 4.51 | 2022.444 | 1 |  |
| $14375+4743$ | ES 609AC | 10.23,10.22 | 117.9 | 78.64 | 2022.444 | 1 |  |
| $14380+5135$ | STF1863 | 7.71,7.80 | 57.6 | 0.63 | 2022.405 | 1 |  |
| $14439+4743$ | ES 962AB | 8.7,11.3 | 267.2 | 11.44 | 2022.444 | 1 |  |
| $14573+4806$ | ES 2647 | 9.44,12.4 | 118.5 | 9.06 | 2022.444 | 1 |  |
| 15054+4809 | BU 1086 | 5.57,13.3 | 252.2 | 6.49 | 2022.444 | 1 |  |
| 15127+4835 | ES 2648AB | 7.28,11.25 | 340.7 | 26.24 | 2022.444 | 1 |  |


| 15135+3244 | ES 2476 | 10.5,11.5 | 212.7 | 2.56 | 2022.444 | 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $15151+3318$ | SMR 32AB | 12.76,11.21 | 337.5 | 27.80 | 2022.444 | 1 |  |
| $15151+3318$ | SMR 32AC | 12.76,12.90 | 70.2 | 37.51 | 2022.444 | 1 |  |
| $15151+3318$ | SMR 32BC | 11.21,12.90 | 105.8 | 47.69 | 2022.444 | 1 |  |
| 15185+5159 | BEM 18 | 10.3,12.0 | 13.5 | 8.68 | 2022.454 | 1 |  |
| $15198+5245$ | ES 741AB | 9.78,11.8 | 233.6 | 8.91 | 2022.454 | 1 |  |
| $15200+4603$ | ES 75AB,C | 11.02,10.74 | 35.7 | 4.42 | 2022.454 | 1 |  |
| $15222+4508$ | ES 2649 | 9.55,10.14 | 5.2 | 25.75 | 2022.454 | 1 |  |
| $15351+4150$ | ES 1553 | 11.40,11.78 | 151.6 | 2.69 | 2022.454 | 1 |  |
| 15409+5009 | ES 626 | 9.2,9.2 | 275.6 | 8.17 | 2022.454 | 1 |  |
| $16003+5856$ | STF2006AB | 8.48,9.96 | 180.3 | 1.50 | 2022.539 | 1 | 3000 mm |
| $16010+4338$ | ES 1556 | 9.5,11.2 | 235.3 | 11.05 | 2022.487 | 3 |  |
| $16042+5923$ | ES 2650 | 8.56,10.0 | 209.1 | 10.83 | 2022.505 | 3 |  |
| $16051+5426$ | ES 743 | 9.71,12.8 | 11.9 | 5.45 | 2022.507 | 1 |  |
| $16090+5756$ | ES 2651 | 6.33,12.14 | 139.4 | 12.38 | 2022.501 | 1 |  |
| $16126+5748$ | ES 1793 | 8.74,11.52 | 56.8 | 5.62 | 2022.501 | 1 |  |
| $16186+5120$ | ES 627 | 9.88,10.98 | 287.2 | 11.86 | 2022.501 | 1 |  |
| $16232+6017$ | ES 1827 | 10.2,12.1 | 36.4 | 4.92 | 2022.501 | 1 |  |
| $16356+5633$ | ES 2652 | 11.00,11.12 | 180.8 | 13.34 | 2022.501 | 1 |  |
| $16362+5255$ | STF2078AB | 5.38,6.42 | 103.5 | 2.99 | 2022.539 | 1 |  |
| $16379+5608$ | A 1140 | 8.61,11.7 | 117.8 | 3.29 | 2022.501 | 1 |  |
| $16408+5014$ | ES 632 | 11.27,11.70 | 107.9 | 1.64 | 2022.537 | 1 |  |
| $16426+2340$ | STF2087AB | 8.84,8.90 | 287.7 | 5.24 | 2022.539 | 1 | 3000 mm |
| $16440+5036$ | ES 76AB | 10.77,11.27 | 43.0 | 2.20 | 2022.519 | 2 |  |
| 16440+5036 | ES 76AC | 10.77,11.07 | 204.6 | 44.28 | 2022.519 | 2 |  |
| $16442+2331$ | STF2094AB | 7.48,7.87 | 75.0 | 1.03 | 2022.539 | 1 | 3000 mm |
| $16454+5027$ | ES 2653 | 10.48,12.0 | 289.5 | 10.85 | 2022.501 | 1 |  |
| $16461+5012$ | ES 969 | 11.01,11.45 | 237.5 | 2.57 | 2022.537 | 1 |  |
| $16465+4759$ | ES 1089AB | 10.66,11.7 | 150.5 | 12.55 | 2022.522 | 2 |  |
| 16465+4759 | ES 1089AC | 10.66,12.73 | 29.8 | 32.63 | 2022.522 | 2 |  |
| $16465+4759$ | CTT 20DE | 10.75,12.49 | 126.3 | 27.40 | 2022.507 | 1 |  |
| $16465+4759$ | CTT 20EF | 12.49,12.72 | 33.9 | 34.65 | 2022.507 | 1 |  |
| $16518+5103$ | ES 971AB | 10.53,12.21 | 43.42 | 46.31 | 2022.522 | 2 |  |
| $16555+5141$ | ES 972 | 11.64,11.93 | 104.1 | 2.08 | 2022.537 | 1 |  |
| $16566+5127$ | ES 2654 | 9.21,9.83 | 282.5 | 37.03 | 2022.537 | 1 |  |
| $16566+4505$ | ES 2655 | 9.72,9.92 | 67.2 | 47.70 | 2022.543 | 2 |  |
| $17053+5428$ | STF2130AB | 5.66,5.69 | 356.9 | 2.68 | 2022.539 | 1 | 3000 mm |
| $17131+5408$ | STF2146AB | 8.71,10.56 | 223.5 | 2.50 | 2022.539 | 1 | 3000 mm |
| $17146+1423$ | STF2140AB | 3.48,5.40 | 103.5 | 4.86 | 2022.602 | 1 | a Her |
| $17161+5854$ | ES 1794 | 11.00,11.22 | 139.4 | 4.02 | 2022.543 | 2 |  |
| $17195+5832$ | KR 46 | 9.34,9.60 | 63.5 | 1.58 | 2022.537 | 1 | 3000 mm |
| $17220+5638$ | ES 2657AB | 8.60,11.2 | 96.4 | 27.9 | 2022.548 | 1 |  |
| 17220+5638 | ES 2657BC | 11.2,11.5 | 72.9 | 11.51 | 2022.548 | 1 |  |
| $17245+4327$ | ES 1413 | 10.34,12.9 | 240.5 | 7.70 | 2022.548 | 1 |  |
| 17270+5718 | ES 2658 | 9.98,12.1 | 15.7 | 9.83 | 2022.548 | 1 |  |
| $17319+5655$ | ES 2659 | 8.63,11.15 | 215.0 | 11.69 | 2022.561 | 1 |  |
| 17374+5040 | ES 2661AB | 8.46,9.84 | 102.6 | 58.52 | 2022.561 | 1 |  |


| 17374+5040 | ES 2661BC | 9.84,12.8 | 17.5 | 14.94 | 2022.561 | 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17386+5546 | STF2199 | 8.03,8.60 | 52.3 | 2.02 | 2022.539 | 1 | 3000 mm |
| $17444+4027$ | ES 9004 | 8.10,12.7 | 248.2 | 13.29 | 2022.561 | 1 |  |
| 17467+2759 | ES 469AB | 9.03,11.79 | 267.3 | 47.14 | 2022.548 | 1 |  |
| 17467+2759 | ES 469BC | 11.79,14.8 | 149.0 | 3.72 | 2022.548 | 1 |  |
| $17464+2757$ | NEW | 12.15,12.7 | 341.4 | 6.80 | 2022.548 | 1 | See section 3.2 |
| 17484+4941 | ES 1092 | 11.40,11.8 | 21.9 | 3.65 | 2022.561 | 1 |  |
| 17496+3649 | ES 2169 | 11.40,11.7 | 337.4 | 8.54 | 2022.548 | 1 |  |
| $17536+6103$ | ES 1833 | 7.95,12.6 | 261.4 | 8.14 | 2022.561 | 1 |  |
| $17555+4108$ | ES 1557AB | 9.08,12.4 | 12.8 | 11.75 | 2022.548 | 1 |  |
| $17555+4108$ | ES 1557AC | 9.08,12.29 | 216.0 | 27.00 | 2022.548 | 1 |  |
| $17555+4108$ | ES 1557AD | 9.08,13.35 | 313.7 | 40.22 | 2022.548 | 1 |  |
| $17566+3110$ | ES 343 | 9.74,12.4 | 284.6 | 8.28 | 2022.548 | 1 |  |
| $17573+3351$ | ES 344 | 9.7,10.2 | 31.6 | 9.06 | 2022.548 | 1 |  |
| $17574+5111$ | ES 78AB | 9.44,12.38 | 130.3 | 7.88 | 2022.548 | 1 |  |
| 18003+4548 | ES 1260 | 10.63,11.7 | 201.6 | 2.77 | 2022.561 | 2 |  |
| 18011+4521 | STF3129 | 7.59,10.64 | 167.7 | 30.82 | 2022.561 | 1 |  |
| 18015+4517 | STF2270 | 10.95,11.1 | 211.7 | 6.26 | 2022.561 | 1 |  |
| 18025+3643 | ES 2479 | 10.65,12.7 | 232.0 | 9.92 | 2022.561 | 1 |  |
| $18033+2751$ | ES 470 | 9.45,10.7 | 209.7 | 8.11 | 2022.600 | 1 |  |
| 18047+2750 | SLE 109 | 10.72,13.7 | 79.6 | 9.76 | 2022.600 | 1 |  |
| 18047+2707 | ES 471AC | 7.21,10.2 | 44.9 | 23.92 | 2022.600 | 1 |  |
| 18047+2707 | SLE 106AD | 7.21,11.03 | 244.9 | 88.83 | 2022.600 | 1 |  |
| 18047+2707 | SLE 106AE | 7.21,10.84 | 43.1 | 183.62 | 2022.600 | 1 |  |
| 18048+5435 | ES 641 | 11.0,11.2 | 65.4 | 1.94 | 2022.600 | 1 | 3000 mm |
| 18069+4647 | ES 1157AB | 8.42,11.29 | 179.6 | 30.6 | 2022.600 | 1 |  |
| 18069+4647 | ES 1157AD | 8.36,11.65 | 237.2 | 50.43 | 2022.600 | 1 |  |
| 18069+4647 | ES 1157BC | 11.29,12.0 | 11.7 | 2.55 | 2022.600 | 1 |  |
| 18077+3904 | ES 2018 | 10.13,11.15 | 235.1 | 5.76 | 2022.600 | 1 |  |
| 18080+3642 | ES 183 | 9.36,12.7 | 168.6 | 8.33 | 2022.600 | 1 |  |
| 18089+3254 | ES 184AB | 9.7,11.9 | 160.4 | 4.96 | 2022.600 | 1 |  |
| 18107+3903 | ES 2569 | 9.5,10.0 | 275.3 | 9.97 | 2022.602 | 1 |  |
| 18111+3258 | ES 185 | 9.89,10.99 | 283.6 | 12.79 | 2022.600 | 1 |  |
| 18127+5557 | ES 643 | 10.71,12.9 | 49.3 | 3.53 | 2022.613 | 1 |  |
| $18138+6235$ | ES 1836 | 10.65,12.5 | 151.9 | 3.89 | 2022.602 | 1 |  |
| $18138+5341$ | ES 645 | 8.94,12.7 | 84.7 | 2.96 | 2022.613 | 1 |  |
| $18147+5635$ | BU 1274A,BC | 6.37,10.91 | 237.2 | 93.98 | 2022.613 | 1 |  |
| 18147+5635 | BU 1274BD | 9.8,10.4 | 4.9 | 5.41 | 2022.613 | 1 |  |
| 181554+6410 | NEW | 8.93,11.44 | 263.8 | 32.36 | 2022.600 | 1 | See section 3.3 |
| 18156+4417 | ES 1420AB | 10.22,11.4 | 67.2 | 8.38 | 2022.613 | 1 |  |
| $18156+4417$ | ES 1420AC | 10.22,14.5 | 10.6 | 14.42 | 2022.613 | 1 |  |
| 18157+3723 | ES 2664 | 10.57,10.78 | 84.0 | 9.23 | 2022.655 | 2 |  |
| 18162+6404 | ES 186 | 8.23,12.0 | 329.0 | 7.95 | 2022.600 | 2 |  |
| 18162+4423 | ES 1421 | 8.70,11.86 | 344.7 | 12.12 | 2022.655 | 2 |  |
| $18197+4453$ | ES 2665AB | 8.76,11.27 | 21.8 | 10.07 | 2022.698 | 1 |  |
| 18210+3630 | ES 2110 | 9.3,10.8 | 95.2 | 6.40 | 2022.698 | 1 |  |
| 18218+6358 | ES 1837 | 9.83,11.6 | 212.3 | 16.20 | 2022.698 | 1 |  |


| 18259+6029 | ES 2666 | 8.45,9.92 | 268.5 | 45.28 | 2022.698 | 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18269+4031 | ES 1653 | 11.2,11.4 | 191.0 | 10.16 | 2022.698 | 1 |  |
| 18280+2708 | ES 475 | 9.74,10.7 | 221.6 | 10.20 | 2022.698 | 1 |  |
| $18306+2805$ | ES 476AB | 11.0,11.6 | 348.4 | 2.62 | 2022.723 | 1 |  |
| $18306+2805$ | ES 476AC | 11.0,12.0 | 324.3 | 28.34 | 2022.6723 | 1 |  |
| $18325+4513$ | ES 1262 | 10.90,11.15 | 256.1 | 1.53 | 2022.747 | 1 | 3000 mm |
| 18329+6343 | STF2357 | 9.32,9.89 | 270.0 | 4.62 | 2022.735 | 2 |  |
| 18337+3726 | MLB 937 | 11.53,13.9 | 117.4 | 2.887 | 2022.723 | 1 |  |
| $18339+3208$ | SLE 209 | 8.22,12. | 29.1 | 10.31 | 2022.723 | 1 |  |
| $18340+5058$ | ES 785AB | 9.56,10.0 | 97.6 | 9.43 | 2022.723 | 1 |  |
| 18340+5045 | ES 786 | 11.33,11.6 | 322.0 | 5.82 | 2022.723 | 1 |  |
| $18349+2701$ | ES 477 | 9.30,11.9 | 226.7 | 10.40 | 2022.747 | 1 |  |
| $18347+2700$ | NEW | 10.34,10.69 | 252.4 | 27.84 | 2022.735 | 2 | See section 3.4 |
| $18369+3846$ | H 5 39AB | 0.09,9.5 | 184.7 | 84.81 | 2022.582 | 2 | Vega |
| $18369+3846$ | STFB 9AE | 0.09,9.5 | 38.5 | 84.21 | 2022.592 | 2 |  |
| $18375+3112$ | A 250 | 9.39,10.61 | 115.2 | 2.39 | 2022.723 | 1 |  |
| $18386+4700$ | ES 1159AB | 9.8,11.5 | 252.0 | 3.75 | 2022.723 | 2 |  |
| $18386+4700$ | ES 1159AC | 9.8,11.1 | 346.2 | 19.70 | 2022.723 | 2 |  |
| $18393+6348$ | ES 126AB | 8.36,11.47 | 50.9 | 72.63 | 2022.723 | 1 |  |
| 18393+6348 | ES 126BC | 11.47,12.34 | 22.4 | 4.78 | 2022.723 | 1 |  |
| $18413+6311$ | ES 1839 | 10.5,12.5 | 12.8 | 4.21 | 2022.747 | 1 |  |
| 18439+6039 | ES 189AB | 10.2,12.2 | 107.3 | 3.18 | 2022.747 | 1 |  |
| $18443+3940$ | STF2382AB | 5.15,6.10 | 341.1 | 2.05 | 2022.602 | 1 | Eps Lyr, |
| $18443+3940$ | STF2383CD | 5.25,5.38 | 72.2 | 2.39 | 2022.602 | 1 |  |
| $18466+3853$ | ES 2021AB | 11.26,12.2 | 254.7 | 20.24 | 2022.747 | 1 |  |
| $18466+3853$ | ES 2021BC | 12.2,13.4 | 293.2 | 4.14 | 2022.747 | 1 |  |
| $18473+6254$ | ES 127 | 11.12,11.30 | 143.8 | 4.94 | 2022.747 | 1 |  |
| 18501+3322 | STFA 39AB | 3.63,6.69 | 147.5 | 45.79 | 2022.602 | 1 | b Lyr |
| $18501+3322$ | BU 293AE | 3.63,10.14 | 316.5 | 67.38 | 2022.602 | 1 |  |
| $18501+3322$ | BU 293AF | 3.63,10.62 | 17.4 | 86.20 | 2022.602 | 1 |  |
| $18508+4543$ | ES 1264 | 10.37,11.5 | 114.0 | 3.29 | 2022.764 | 1 |  |
| 18516+3739 | ES 2025AB | 10.98,11.46 | 354.6 | 25.81 | 2022.764 | 1 |  |
| 18516+3739 | FYM 39AE | 10.98,13.4 | 300.2 | 63.18 | 2022.764 | 1 |  |
| 18516+3739 | FYM 39AG | 10.98,12.8 | 114.6 | 55.53 | 2022.764 | 1 |  |
| 18516+3739 | ES 2025BC | 11.46,14.2 | 78.1 | 6.36 | 2022.764 | 1 |  |
| $18516+3739$ | ES 2025BD | 11.46,12.2 | 10.9 | 5.32 | 2022.764 | 1 |  |
| 18520+3731 | ES 2026AB | 7.34,12.8 | 110.5 | 20.42 | 2022.764 | 1 |  |
| 18520+3731 | ES 2026BC | 12.8,13.3 | 328.5 | 4.25 | 2022.764 | 1 |  |
| $18523+3321$ | ES 2233AB | 8.65,11.9 | 246.5 | 44.60 | 2022.764 | 1 |  |
| $18523+3321$ | ES 2233BC | 11.9,12.1 | 89.0 | 2.38 | 2022.764 | 1 |  |
| $18545+3719$ | HO 90 | 8.72,12.7 | 226.9 | 3.69 | 2022.764 | 1 |  |
| $18551+3645$ | ES 2485 | 11.0,11.5 | 164.0 | 5.20 | 2022.764 | 1 |  |
| $18569+5723$ | ES 1747 | 10.26,10.58 | 350.3 | 1.59 | 2022.764 | 1 |  |
| $18569+3112$ | ES 2422 | 8.96,12.0 | 179.8 | 5.66 | 2022.764 | 1 |  |
| $18569+3112$ | ES 2422 AC | 8.96,13 | 249.6 | 23.67 | 2022.764 | 1 | see section 3.5 |
| $18569+3112$ | ES 2422 AD | 8.96,12.5 | 317.2 | 31.85 | 2022.764 | 1 | see section 3.5 |
| $18569+3112$ | ES 2422 AE | 8.96,12 | 321.2 | 13.40 | 2022.764 | 1 | see section 3.5 |


| 18580+6159 | ES 1843 | 11.00,12.3 | 168.1 | 4.93 | 2022.764 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18593+6347 | ES 1844AB | 9.6,10.5 | 18.8 | 2.63 | 2022.764 | 1 |
| $18598+5543$ | ES 1748 | 9.45,10.8 | 53.5 | 6.59 | 2022.764 | 1 |
| $21069+3845$ | STF2758AB | 5.20,6.05 | 153.9 | 32.04 | 2022.723 | 1 |
| $21069+3845$ | STF2758AH | 5.35,9.97 | 261.8 | 139.04 | 2022.723 | 1 |
| $21069+3845$ | SMR 1AI | 5.35,10.74 | 235.4 | 54.54 | 2022.723 | 1 |
| $21069+3845$ | SMR 40AO | 5.35,12.65 | 274.2 | 181.10 | 2022.723 | 1 |
| $21069+3845$ | SMR 40AP | 5.35,12.84 | 282.1 | 170.61 | 2022.723 | 1 |
| $21069+3845$ | SMR 40AQ | 5.35,13.19 | 291.9 | 78.86 | 2022.723 | 1 |
| $23007+3105$ | STF2968 | 6.69,9.48 | 97.7 | 3.08 | 2022.947 | 1 |
| $23045+3123$ | ES 396 | 10.84,10.91 | 303.6 | 37.66 | 2022.947 | 1 |
| $23058+5006$ | ES 107AB | 9.40,12.3 | 221.6 | 6.47 | 2022.947 | 1 |
| $23058+5006$ | FOX9019AC | 9.40,12.5 | 57.3 | 11.26 | 2022.947 | 1 |
| $23105+5454$ | ES 1037 | 10.83,11.92 | 339.2 | 2.91 | 2022.947 | 1 |
| $23153+5500$ | HJ 1861AB | 10.12,12.0 | 258.7 | 18.44 | 2022.947 | 1 |
| $23153+5500$ | HJ 1861BC | 12.0,12.5 | 110.8 | 5.49 | 2022.947 | 1 |
| $23154+5516$ | STI2963 | 12.06,12.8 | 183.2 | 9.10 | 2022.947 | 1 |
| $23155+5516$ | NEW | 12,13 | 345.8 | 7.87 | 2022.947 | 1 see section 3.6 |
| $23155+5516$ | NEW | 12,14 | 303.4 | 18.79 | 2022.947 | 1 see section 3.6 |
| $23185+5409$ | ES 695AB | 10.00,10.98 | 312.4 | 3.82 | 2022.947 | 1 |
| $23187+5244$ | ES 1042 | 10.20,10.78 | 312.6 | 2.81 | 2022.947 | 1 |
| $23192+5408$ | ES 696 | 9.78,12.4 | 232.5 | 2.90 | 2022.947 | 2 |
| $23204+5530$ | ES 697AB | 9.10,9.89 | 344.5 | 67.79 | 2022.947 | 1 |
| $23204+5530$ | ES 697BC | 9.89,13.4 | 71.0 | 4.59 | 2022.947 | 1 |
| $23242+3753$ | ES 2002 | 10.6,11.1 | 101.1 | 4.02 | 2022.958 | 1 |
| $23249+5430$ | ES 2728 | 9.79,10.17 | 247.3 | 10.11 | 2022.947 | 1 |
| $23253+3528$ | ES 2136 | 10.3,12.0 | 355.6 | 3.64 | 2022.958 | 1 |
| $23257+4800$ | ES 857 | 10.5,11.8 | 166.4 | 2.63 | 2022.958 | 1 |
| $23266+5458$ | ES 1043AB | 9.30,11.60 | 27.2 | 28.53 | 2022.947 | 1 |
| $23266+5458$ | ES 1043AD | 9.30,12.14 | 15.5 | 43.27 | 2022.947 | 1 |
| $23266+5458$ | ES 1043BC | 11.1,11.3 | 116.0 | - | 2022.947 | 1 |
| $23271+5302$ | ES 1044 | 10.4,12.4 | 270.6 | 2.66 | 2022.953 | 1 |
| $23273+5204$ | New | 10.23,12.2 | 164.5 | 12.25 | 2022.953 | 1 see section 3.7 |
| $23293+2949$ | ES 400 | 10.83,11.4 | 210.5 | 6.01 | 2022.958 | 1 |
| $23370+3456$ | ES 2208 | 10.8,11.0 | 86.5 | 2.61 | 2022.947 | 1 |
| $23380+5249$ | ES 2729 | 8.08,9.53 | 142.0 | 19.80 | 2022.958 | 1 |
| $23354+5212$ | NEW | 11.4,12.3 | 14.4 | 3.95 | 2022.958 | 1 see section 3.8 |
| $23432+5455$ | ES 1048AB | 10.87,12.57 | 249.4 | 14.61 | 2022.958 | 1 |
| $23432+5455$ | ES 1048AC | 10.87,11.57 | 283.0 | 16.42 | 2022.958 | 1 |
| $23432+5455$ | ES 1048AD | 10.87,10.84 | 14.8 | 70.42 | 2022.958 | 1 |
| $23432+5455$ | ES 1048DE | 10.84,10.9 | 322.9 | 4.60 | 2022.958 | 1 |
| 23460+6013 | ES 1767 | 10.85,12.9 | 38.3 | 5.56 | 2022.947 | 1 |
| $23461+6028$ | STF3037AB | 7.35,9.20 | 214.1 | 2.26 | 2022.947 | 1 |
| $23465+5443$ | STI3032 | 11.94,12.9 | 220.7 | 10.62 | 2022.958 | 1 |
| $23481+5947$ | ES 2733 | 9.89,11.1 | 84.6 | 9.05 | 2022.947 | 1 |
| $23481+4106$ | ES 2734 | 8.35,10.08 | 222.8 | 28.67 | 2022.947 | 1 |
| $23503+5114$ | ES 1124 | 10.38,10.87 | 247.5 | 2.75 | 2022.958 | 1 |


| $23537+5453$ | ES 1050AB | $9.73,12.6$ | 228.2 | 3.60 | 2022.958 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $23537+5453$ | ES 1050AC | $9.73,10.46$ | 309.6 | 39.95 | 2022.958 | 1 |
| $23544+5139$ | ES 1125 | $10.87,11.14$ | 331.6 | 4.44 | 2022.958 | 1 |
| $23546+5141$ | DAM 257 | $11.4,15$. | 325.3 | 8.33 | 2022.958 | 1 |
| $23583+5002$ | ES 927 | $10.42,12.9$ | 190 | 6.10 | 2022.958 | 1 |
| $23596+5359$ | ES 703AB | $8.40,12.0$ | 269.8 | 7.35 | 2022.958 | 2 |
| $23596+5359$ | ES 703AC | $8.40,11.32$ | 265.2 | 50.75 | 2022.958 | 2 |
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#### Abstract

The quintuple system WDS $15232+3017$ was observed using the iTelescope network's T19 telescope to make new measurements of the system's angular separation and position. WDS $15232+3017 \mathrm{AB}, \mathrm{C}$ has an average angular separation and position angle of 76.84" and $357.14^{\circ}, \mathrm{AB}, \mathrm{D} 218.06$ " and $39.77^{\circ}, \mathrm{AB}, \mathrm{E} 213.73^{\prime \prime}$ and $130.20^{\circ}$. These measurements, combined with parallax and proper motion data from Gaia DR3 and SIMBAD, suggest that the stars are physically relationship. It was not possible to measure $A B$, it is outside the resolution limit of the telescope used.


## 1. Introduction

The goal of this research was to provide additional measurements of angular separation and position angle to determine whether the components of WDS $15232+3017$ form a binary system. Furthermore, to provide new measurement data for the WDS on the components $\mathrm{AB}, \mathrm{C}$, for $\mathrm{AB}, \mathrm{D}$ and $\mathrm{AB}, \mathrm{E}$. WDS $15232+3017$ is registered as a quintuple system with components A, B, C, D, E. There are 8 observations of stars $C$ and $D$ each, and 1 observation of star $E$ in the WDS. The number of observations of the $A B$ components is over 1000 , and the orbit elements are also known for this. In this paper, the various orbit solutions are illustrated, and the calculated angular separation and position angle for the year 2023 are also given.

## 2. Brief History

WDS $15232+3017$ was discovered by Sir William Herschel in 1781. The first successful measurement of AB was made by Friedrich von Struve in 1827. The first successful measurement of component C was made in 1856, and component D in 1879. The star marked E was added to the system in 2000. In the WDS, the last observation for stars C and D was in 2006, for star E in 2000.

## 3. General Description

WDS 15232+3017 (STF 1937) is in the constellation Corona Borealis, Bayer's name is Eta CrB, Flamsteed's is 2 CrB . It is listed in the SIMBAD database as HD 137107, SAO 64673, GL584A, Tyc 256-$01366-1$. Its celestial coordinates are $15 \mathrm{~h} 23 \mathrm{~m} 12.23 \mathrm{~s}+30^{\circ} 17^{\prime} 17.7^{\prime \prime}$. The distance of the primary star from Earth is between 17.86 and 16.72 parsecs (SIMBAD, Wenger et al, 2000).
There are a very close double stars here, which we consider to be the main star. It has two similar type companions further afield, as well as a brown dwarf.

## 4. Equipment and Methods

The images were acquired by the T19 telescope, located in Beryl Junction, Utah, USA, at an elevation of 1570 meters. The CCD camera for T19 is an FLI-PL 16803 with a resolution of 0.63 " per pixel, housing an array 4096 by 4096 , with a FOV of 43,2 by 43,2 arcminutes. The CCD camera is mounted on a Planewave 17 Corrected Dirk-Kirkham (CDK) OTA, with a focal length of 2912 mm with an aperture of 431 mm and a focal ratio of $\mathrm{f} / 6.8$.
First, 10 images taken on July 4, $2023(2023,512)$ with 60 s exposure time and luminance filter. Second, on July 12, 2023 $(2023,526), 10$ images were taken with an exposure time of 120s and luminance filter. Figure 1 shows the instrument. Source: iTelescope.net.


Figure 1: The T19 Telescope

For measurements, was used AstroImageJ (Collins et al, 2017) software. The FITS files were calibrated using my astronomy.net key within the software. At this point, the program shows the images with the correct skyline, and allows for accurate separation and position angle measurement. Was adjusted the brightness and aperture sizes individually to get the best results.
All images were measured as shown in Figure 2. Was obtained astrometric data of the stars from the online databases of GAIA DR3 and SIMBAD (Gaia Collaboration, 2022 and Wenger et al, 2000). Was analyzed these with Plot tool Excel spreadsheets (Harshaw, 2020) and Rowe-Harshaw (RHS) Excel spreadsheet (Harshaw, 2018).


Figure 2: AstroimageJ during measurement. Was rounded the values obtained by measurements and calculations to 2 decimal places.
Was also used the interactive sky atlas ALADIN (Bonnarel et al, 2000), the Stellarium software (Zotti et al, 2021) for the research. Was received the WDS data on the Stelle Doppie (Sordiglioni) website, and the detailed data sets were requested from the USNO.
The latest DR3 release database of the ESA Gaia space observatory only contains data on components C and D. The satellite only measured the G,B,R magnitudes and exact position of component A. No data is available for component B. The SIMBAD database operated by the University of Strasbourg, on the other hand, contains more data than this, although they are not the most recent, but they provide a good starting point for performing the calculations. The Kirkpatrick et al study published in 2000 provides more information about the brown dwarf. Since there are no T-eff data for the AB pair, average surface temperatures corresponding to their spectrum type (G2V) were used. There is no exact surface temperature for the brown dwarf either, the mentioned study only puts it between 1300 and $1600 \mathrm{~K}^{\circ}$. It was calculated using the average of these two values.
The parallax data for star A is 1995, but the Hipparcos satellite provided a new parallax value for the two stars (A and B) in 2007. It was assumed that there is no great distance between them, since they appear very close, so the error-corrected parallax was given to component $B$ as well. The data appearing in different sources and at different times cannot provide a sufficiently certain result, but this state reflects our current knowledge of the stars of the system. If there will be more accurate measurements of the stars in the future, the following findings may of course change.

## 5. Data

Figure 3 shows an image of WDS $15232+3017$ with the components marked. Unfortunately, the E component is difficult to notice because it is more visible in the IR spectrum. This caused difficulties during the measurement, so the result is uncertain.
The AB components do not separate in the picture, they look like one star. However, the other components were well measurable. Component E is only noticeable as a faint speck of a few pixels.

The following table (Table 1) summarizes the results of


Figure 3: The WDS 15232+3017 system
measurements made in the images. The average (Mean), standard error (STE) and standard deviation (STD) of the measurements are given individually. The angular separation and position angle calculated from the coordinates from the Gaia and SIMBAD databases are also indicated with the 2016 epoch. (Gaia). The difference between the brightness of the two stars was also calculated from data in databases.

Table 1: Summary of results

|  | AB,C |  |  | $\mathrm{AB}, \mathrm{D}$ |  |  | $\mathrm{AB}, \mathrm{E}$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sep" | PA $^{\circ}$ | $\Delta$ mag | Sep" | PA $^{\circ}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{mag}$ | Sep" | PA $^{\circ}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{mag}$ |
| Mean | 76.84 | 357.14 | 6.02 | 218.06 | 39.77 | 5.46 | 213.73 | 130.20 | 10.37 |
| STE | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 2.07 | 0.80 | 0.48 |
| STD | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 2.82 | 1.08 | 0.61 |
| Gaia | 75.11 | 357.70 | 7.89 | 217.82 | 40.32 | 7.01 | 189.96 | 136.33 | 10.55 |

The recent measurements have been compared with the latest observations at WDS. The differences are shown in the following table.

Table 2: Deviations from WDS

| Comp | Epoch | $\Delta$ Sep" | $\Delta \mathbf{P A}^{\circ}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{AB}, \mathrm{C}$ | 2006 | 3.10 | 98.54 |
| $\mathrm{AB}, \mathrm{D}$ | 2006 | 0.40 | 1.13 |
| $\mathrm{AB}, \mathrm{E}$ | 2000 | 20.23 | 6.20 |

## 6. Discussion

First, based on the collected data, the astrophysical properties of the components were determined using the Plot tool in the Excel spreadsheet. These are summarized in Table 3.

Table 3: Astrophysical properties

| Comp | Rad (0) | Mass (0) | Lum (0) | Spect | T $_{\text {eff }} \mathbf{K}^{\circ}$ | Abs Mag |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 1.30 | 1.14 | 1.72 | G2V | 5830 | 4.25 |
| B | 0.99 | 1.00 | 1.00 | G2V | 5830 | 4.83 |
| C | 1.27 | 1.12 | 1.59 | G | 5656 | 4.33 |
| D | 6.94 | 2.75 | 48.53 | G | 5820 | 0.63 |
| E | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.00 | L8V | 1450 | 14.71 |

The mass values were estimated with the Plot tool, this Excel spreadsheet also estimated the spectrum type of components D and C in the "Spect" column. With the help of this data, the components could also be placed on the HRD (Figure 4).


Figure 4: Components on the HRD.

The stars in the system WDS $15232+3017$ are in the main sequence and are solar-like. The exception is star D , which is a giant star. The component E is also on the main sequence, but at the bottom of it.
The results of the detailed examination of the relationship of the components to each other are summarized in Table 4. First, some explanation for the table.

- The "Overlap" column shows the correlation between the distance between the two stars. Parallax errors must also be considered. In this way, it can be determined whether there is an overlap in the distance of the stars from the Earth.
- The "Wtd Sep" column shows the projected distance between the members as seen from Earth. The value is given in astronomical units (AU).
- The next two columns are a theoretical limit. They show how large the gravitational limit calculated from the mass of the stars can be. The first column shows the gravitational limit of the primary star, and the second the gravitational limit resulting from the mass of the two stars. In practice, we do not know where such a border between the stars might lie, but some theoretical value must be taken into account in order to establish the physical relationships. If the values of the "Wtd Sep" column do not exceed those of the two columns, the interaction between the two stars is likely. The value is given in astronomical units (AU).
- The column " $V_{\text {esc }}$ " shows the escape velocity of the system, and the next column shows the difference in radial velocities. The values are in $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{s}$. If the latter is smaller than the former, then a physical connection between the stars is possible.
- The "Vorb" and " $V$ obs" columns contain the values of the maximum orbital velocity and the observed orbital velocity calculated from the historical data of the observations. The values are in $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{s}$. If the observed velocity does not exceed the orbital one, then a physical connection is possible.
- The column " $\sum$ Prob" shows the aggregated possibility of gravitational interaction in percentage. Here you can see that there is a gravitational interaction between each member of the system.

Table 4: Relationships of components

| Comp | Overlap | Wtd Sep | $\mathbf{M}_{\text {A }}$ Limit | $\sum \mathbf{M}_{\text {tot }}$ Limit | Vesc $^{\text {est }}$ | $\Delta \mathbf{R V}$ | V orb | V obs | $\sum$ Prob |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AB | $15 \%$ | 40 | 3061 | 11587 | 9.71 | 1.36 | 7.54 | 0.04 | $95.05 \%$ |
| $\mathrm{AB}, \mathrm{C}$ | $-98 \%$ | 2619 | 3061 | 12253 | 1.24 | 26.63 | 0.96 | 20.77 | $86.96 \%$ |
| $\mathrm{AB}, \mathrm{D}$ | $-95 \%$ | 7687 | 3061 | 21073 | 0.95 | 138.04 | 0.74 | 1.66 | $89.90 \%$ |
| $\mathrm{AB}, \mathrm{E}$ | $-6 \%$ | 3464 | 3061 | 6380 | 0.78 | No RV | 0.60 | 0.94 | $88.82 \%$ |

In the case of AB components, the gravitational bond exists since it is a very close pair. Even the E component has an overlap, but it is much less than the former. While there is no overlap in the case of members C and D .
The projected separation does not exceed the aggregate limit for any component. But the D and E components are already beyond the gravitational binding limit of the A star. Their weighted value is a maximum of $2.5 \%$.
Only for the AB components, the possibility of gravitational bound is shown by the difference between the escape velocities and the radial velocities. Unfortunately, radial velocity is not available for component E .
This is also the case for the relationship between the maximum orbital velocity and the orbital velocity calculated from historical observational data. Thus, the different velocities do not show the possibility of gravitational bound, except for the AB component. The maximum weighted value of the speed correlation is $5 \%$.
The appendix of the RHS Excel spreadsheet gives a binarity probability from the distance and proper motion values of the components. The weighted value of the various indicators indicating the existence of a gravitational bond was added to this probability (see above). An additional maximum of $2.5 \%$ was assigned to the $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ value indicating the fitting of the trendline. This is how the aggregated probability was formed.

From the historical data received from the USNO, the Plot tool drew the diagrams shown in Figure 5 per pair. For the AB components, the more than 1000 observations nicely show the orbit of the B component. Here the gravitational bound is clear. The fit of the trend line to the data points is also very high for the other components also. Furthermore, the length and direction of the resulting motion vectors are the same, with only component $E$ showing a difference in direction and length. These very well indicate the possibility of a physical relationship between the stars.


Figure 5: Historical observations of components.
Among the data points, the new observation was marked in red. The date of the first observation was also indicated. The red vector is predicted based on the proper motions, and the green one is the vector calculated based on the measurements. An orbit calculation was also performed for the AB component. This was compared with the orbit shape received from the USNO. Figure 6 shows that the two orbits have the same shape.


Figure 6: Orbit solutions.
During the orbit calculation, for the position of component B in 2023, an angular separation of $0.52^{\prime \prime}$ and a position angle of $340.81^{\circ}$ results were obtained.

Plotting the angular separation relative to the year of observation gives a good impression of the uniformly receding motion of the secondary stars.


Figure 7: The motion of the secondary stars in WDS $15232+3017$ relative to the primary stars. Historical data is shown in blue and current test results in red.

## 7. Conclusion

During the research, the latest angular separation and position angle of the components of the WDS $15232+3017$ quintuple system were determined. It has been established that the stars of this system are gravitationally bound.
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# Measurements of 50 Double Stars with 25 and 30-cm Refractors 
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#### Abstract

The present article forms a continuation of the author's first report, published in the April 2023 issue of the Journal of Double Star Observations. ${ }^{1}$ Since those observations were concluded, the author has continued taking measurements, initially using the same 254mm apochromatic refractor described there, but later employing a newly completed 310mm achromatic refractor, folded into a compact 1.5 -meter long configuration. This new instrument has proven excellent, as the images included in the present report will show. Since May 2023, the author has used this larger refractor exclusively, both for visual observation and for double-star measurements. It gradually became clear that by careful technique, this telescope could successfully image close doubles in the southern sky, down to a declination of about $-30^{\circ}$. This has opened the possibility of examining some neglected van den Bos and Rossiter pairs. The present report discusses the techniques employed to achieve this, and lists measures of 50 doubles and triples made by the author from January through early July 2023. Appended is an "atlas" or table of images for all the stars measured.


## 1. Introduction

The author designed and built a $310-\mathrm{mm}$ f/15 achromatic refractor in 2022-23. Ordinarily, such a classic type of instrument would have required an enormous tube and mounting, as was customary in the $19^{\text {th }} \mathrm{c}$. Instead, the author "folded" the light path using flat mirrors, allowing a much abbreviated tube. Figure 1 shows the ray-path of the system, with the achromatic doublet objective depicted at lower left, and three folding flats in succession. The focus falls at upper right where the rays (blue lines) converge to a point. The author built the lenses, mirrors, and complete tube assembly.


Figure 1: Ray-path of $310-\mathrm{mm}$ f/15 folded refractor

1. Ceragioli, R.C., "Measurements of 26 Double Stars with a 254 -mm Refractor," JDSO, 19.2 (2023), pp. 150-158.

The completed tube assembly is shown in Figure 2. It easily fits on an Astro-Physics ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ 1100GTO telescope mounting, and can be set up and taken down nightly, as needed.


Figure 2: Completed tube assembly of 310-mm f/15 folded refractor on AP-1100 mounting
To image stars with this telescope, a ZWO ASI290 monochrome CMOS camera (depicted in Figure 2) has been used exclusively, together with a yellow filter (Wratten \#12). The latter removes unfocused light (secondary spectrum), as found in all achromatic refractors, and eliminates the need for an atmospheric dispersion corrector (ADC) when observing at a low altitude. In the course of using the earlier $254-\mathrm{mm}$ apochromatic refractor, the author discovered how sensitive it was to such dispersion, which visibly elongated star images. This can be detected in the first five stellar systems (STF296AB to HO511AC) illustrated in the "atlas" of images, placed at the end of the present report (see Section 6 below), all of which were taken with the $254-\mathrm{mm}$ apochromatic refractor. The rest of the systems were imaged with the 310mm achromat. With both of these telescopes, a hexagonal mask was placed over the aperture to reshape the diffraction pattern and divert light from its rings, making faint companions near brighter primaries more easily visible. ${ }^{2}$

[^0]
## 2. Calibration and Method of Data Collection for this Report

A critical parameter in CCD metrology is the image scale (in arcseconds/pixel). This was evaluated as discussed in the author's first report, by applying a diffraction mask to the $310-\mathrm{mm}$ objective, consisting of a grating with alternating dark-and-light bars. The same grating was employed on the $254-\mathrm{mm}$ refractor, and was illustrated in the author's earlier report. With the $310-\mathrm{mm}$ objective, the constant $E$ was found to be $0.1292 \mathrm{arcsec} /$ pixel when using the ZWO ASI290 camera (with 2.9 -micron pixels), which implies an effective focal length of 4630 mm for the $310-\mathrm{mm}$ achromat, giving an adequate image scale without the need for a Barlow lens.

The general method of proceeding in the present research was identical to what the author described in his first report, except that here, all image grading, stacking, and other processing was carried out with F. Losse's program REDUC. "FITS Cubes" of $c a .2000$ frames each were taken via FireCapture, and opened directly into REDUC. After finding a subframe that showed both stars to be measured (sometimes after utilizing the "BestOf (Vis)" function) clearly enough, search boxes of suitable size were placed around them and the "ELI" or "Easy Lucky Imaging" tool was invoked. This automatically grades the frames, rejects some, and ultimately stacks those accepted with a view to reinforcing the stars and sharpening them. In the end, ELI allows different percentages of accepted frames to be stacked, which smooths but may also dilute the image cores. The user selects the stacking percentage that seems best for the given FITS Cube, and saves the result as a small FITS file.

Once all the Cubes have been processed, the user opens the reduced FITS files and measures them in the usual way, either by manually pointing and clicking on the sharpened star images or allowing REDUC to measure them automatically via the "AutoReduc" function (as explained in the online user's manual). The user can also perform speckle interferometry on the FITS Cubes and save separate files for measurement. Both methods were employed in the present research, in varying amounts, on a case-by-case basis. The "means" thus produced are what one finds in Tables 2 and 3 below.

Over the course of months and after trying other methods of image processing, the author found empirically that REDUC's "ELI" function, performed on FITS Cubes appeared to give the best (that is, sharpest and cleanest) star images, allowing the clearest separation of close doubles with his equipment.


Figure 3: STT208 (Phi UMa)

An example is given in Figure 3 above, showing the close, nearly equal pair STT208 (Phi UMa). This pair of 5th magnitude stars is currently separated by 0.45 arcsec , and lies near the Dawes' limit ( 0.37 arcsec ) of
the 310 mm aperture. STT208 is rather clearly split in the above figure, and was even more so by visual inspection on the night of May 24, 2023, when the above image was made. That image is the result of collecting and processing ten FITS Cubes of ca. 2000 frames each, reducing them with ELI, and then further stacking the resultant smaller FITS files. When measured in $R E D U C$ (and by working from the individual FITS files), the separation of the components was found to be on average 0.44 arcsec, closely matching the Washington Double Star Catalog's (WDS) ephemeris for the star (cf. Tables 2 and 4 below).

## 3. Data Acquired

The following tables summarize the data, and their probable errors. In Table 1, we have numbers derived from the WDS for comparison. From left to right, we find in the first column the WDS 9-digit identifier. In column two appears the discoverer's code and catalog number. The third and fourth columns present the WDS-listed magnitudes of the primary and secondary stars. The fifth column gives the magnitude difference. The sixth and seventh columns list the position angles ( $\theta$ ) in degrees, and separations ( $\rho$ ) in arcseconds of the stars, according to the latest observation contained in the WDS, measured in the year specified in the eighth and final column.

Table 1. WDS data on the doubles measured for the present report

| WDS ID | Name | M1 | M2 | $\Delta$ M | WDS $\theta$ | WDS $\rho$ | Year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $02442+4914$ | STF296AB | 4.16 | 10 | 5.84 | 306.1 | 21.15 | 2020 |
| $05003+3924$ | STT92AB | 6.02 | 9.50 | 3.48 | 285.0 | 4.08 | 2017 |
| $05013+5015$ | STF619 | 9.51 | 9.88 | 0.37 | 161.7 | 4.23 | 2021 |
| $05172+3246$ | COU1088 | 10.16 | 11.54 | 1.38 | 233.0 | 1.67 | 1991 |
| $06012+3516$ | HU826AB | 10.13 | 10.26 | 0.13 | 303.6 | 0.48 | 1992 |
| $06012+3516$ | HO511AC | 10.13 | 11.8 | 1.67 | 173.4 | 4.01 | 2016 |
| $09521+5404$ | STT208 | 5.28 | 5.39 | 0.11 | 316.9 | 0.48 | 2022 |
| $12115+5325$ | STF1608AB | 8.11 | 8.27 | 0.16 | 220.5 | 13.60 | 2021 |
| $12272+2701$ | STF1643AB | 9.03 | 9.45 | 0.42 | 3.10 | 2.76 | 2020 |
| $12412-0127$ | BU607 | 9.7 | 11.9 | 2.2 | 307.7 | 0.89 | 1982 |
| $12533+2115$ | STF1687AB | 5.15 | 7.08 | 1.93 | 202.8 | 1.20 | 2020 |
| $12533+2115$ | STF1687AC | 5.15 | 9.76 | 4.61 | 127.1 | 28.50 | 2016 |
| $13026+2318$ | COU95 | 9.7 | 11.3 | 1.6 | 286.1 | 0.70 | 2013 |
| $13076-1415$ | RST3820 | 10.5 | 11.2 | 0.7 | 253.1 | 0.81 | 1943 |
| $13120+3205$ | STT261 | 7.4 | 7.64 | 0.24 | 337.4 | 2.53 | 2020 |
| $13152-1004$ | A2781 | 10.4 | 12.3 | 1.9 | 358.5 | 0.91 | 1989 |
| $13166+5034$ | STT263 | 9.53 | 9.74 | 0.21 | 137.5 | 1.75 | 2019 |
| $13169+1701$ | BU800AB | 6.66 | 9.50 | 2.84 | 104.1 | 7.65 | 2020 |
| $13181-1820$ | RST2839AB | 9.7 | 11.3 | 1.6 | 47.5 | 0.50 | 1960 |
| $13199-2748$ | B247 | 9.56 | 12.7 | 3.14 | 316.6 | 2.54 | 1960 |
| $13284+1543$ | STT266 | 7.97 | 8.42 | 0.45 | 355.3 | 1.92 | 2020 |
| $13298-2634$ | B250 | 8.5 | 9.5 | 1.0 | 46.9 | 0.53 | 1990 |
| $13375+3618$ | STF1768AB | 4.98 | 6.95 | 1.97 | 94.5 | 1.62 | 2020 |


| $13400-1914$ | RST2858 | 10.4 | 11.2 | 0.8 | 235.9 | 0.66 | 1940 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $13403-1913$ | RST2859 | 10.45 | 10.70 | 0.25 | 123.3 | 2.34 | 2016 |
| $13491+2659$ | STF1785 | 7.36 | 8.15 | 0.79 | 192.6 | 2.72 | 2021 |
| $14095-2205$ | RST2891 | 10.4 | 12.7 | 2.3 | 141.0 | 0.93 | 2016 |
| $14165+2007$ | STF1825 | 6.47 | 8.42 | 1.95 | 153.9 | 4.22 | 2019 |
| $14247-1140$ | STF1837 | 6.87 | 7.94 | 1.07 | 270.0 | 1.27 | 2016 |
| $14271-1505$ | RST3879 | 10.4 | 12.6 | 2.2 | 110.1 | 0.71 | 1943 |
| $14314+8257$ | MLR337 | 9.90 | 11.62 | 1.72 | 167.2 | 2.17 | 2022 |
| $14381-0841$ | BU804 | 8.69 | 11.10 | 2.41 | 134.6 | 1.29 | 2016 |
| $14447-0712$ | RST3893 | 10.28 | 11.44 | 1.16 | 179.0 | 0.64 | 1991 |
| $14493-1409$ | BU106AB | 5.61 | 6.62 | 1.01 | 7.3 | 1.94 | 2019 |
| $14579-2834$ | B283 | 10.3 | 10.7 | 0.4 | 247.4 | 0.47 | 1962 |
| $15023-0858$ | RST3903 | 10.31 | 12.3 | 1.99 | 122.5 | 1.25 | 2016 |
| $15055+5707$ | A1113 | 9.6 | 12.3 | 2.7 | 317.3 | 0.72 | 2016 |
| $15055-0701$ | BU119AB | 8.09 | 8.76 | 0.67 | 273.9 | 2.33 | 2019 |
| $15199+6701$ | HU1161AB | 8.05 | 10.87 | 2.82 | 224.8 | 1.67 | 1991 |
| $15304-2717$ | B292AB | 9.11 | 12.3 | 3.19 | 103.6 | 1.82 | 1965 |
| $15304-2717$ | B292AC | 9.11 | 12.83 | 3.72 | 97.7 | 15.33 | 2016 |
| $15484-2210$ | B2370 | 10.3 | 11.7 | 1.4 | 92.8 | 0.50 | 1959 |
| $16006-2027$ | HLD126 | 9.66 | 11.72 | 2.06 | 34.2 | 2.29 | 1991 |
| $16009+1918$ | A2081AB | 9.08 | 12.4 | 3.32 | 321.0 | 2.42 | 1987 |
| $16011+6531$ | HU1170 | 9.73 | 11.27 | 1.54 | 147.1 | 1.13 | 1991 |
| $16044-1122$ | STF1998AB | 4.84 | 4.86 | 0.02 | 11.9 | 1.15 | 2020 |
| $16044-1122$ | STF1998AC | 4.84 | 7.30 | 2.46 | 44.6 | 7.15 | 2019 |
| $16044-1122$ | STF1998BC | 4.86 | 7.30 | 2.44 | 37.5 | 8.77 | 2019 |
| $16096-2037$ | HU660 | 8.6 | 11.8 | 3.2 | 67.3 | 2.57 | 1965 |
| $16359-2510$ | RST3033 | 9.3 | 11.5 | 2.2 | 145.7 | 0.58 | 1940 |

Table 2 presents the author's measured data. Column one and two reprise the WDS ID and discoverer codes. Columns three and four present the author's measured position angles and separations. These are averages of all the ELI and speckle images. Column five lists the Julian epoch (JE) of observation. And columns six and seven give the number of ELI and speckle images, and the number of nights on which the star was observed. When more than one night is indicated, the $\theta, \rho$, and JE are averages of the individual nights.

Table 2. Author's measurements.

| WDS ID | Name | Obs. $\theta$ | Obs. $\rho$ | JE | \#Ims | \#Nts |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $02442+4914$ | STF296AB | $305.3^{\circ}$ | $21.19^{\prime \prime}$ | 2023.02 | 11 | 1 |
| $05003+3924$ | STT92AB | $284.9^{\circ}$ | $4.20^{\prime \prime}$ | 2023.17 | 9 | 1 |
| $05013+5015$ | STF619 | $162.6^{\circ}$ | $4.24^{\prime \prime}$ | 2023.17 | 10 | 1 |
| $05172+3246$ | COU1088 | $223.5^{\circ}$ | $1.47^{\prime \prime}$ | 2023.10 | 30 | 3 |
| $06012+3516$ | HU826AB | $312.4^{\circ}$ | $0.80^{\prime \prime}$ | 2023.14 | 13 | 2 |


| 06012+3516 | HO511AC | $173.6^{\circ}$ | 4.03" | 2023.14 | 13 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 09521+5404 | STT208 | $315.8^{\circ}$ | 0.44" | 2023.39 | 10 | 1 |
| 12115+5325 | STF1608AB | $220.5^{\circ}$ | 13.57" | 2023.38 | 5 | 1 |
| 12272+2701 | STF1643AB | $2.0^{\circ}$ | 2.80" | 2023.40 | 14 | 2 |
| 12412-0127 | BU607 | $300.7^{\circ}$ | 0.86" | 2038.40 | 12 | 1 |
| 12533+2115 | STF1687AB | $204.6^{\circ}$ | 1.16" | 2023.42 | 32 | 3 |
| $12533+2115$ | STF1687AC | $126.7^{\circ}$ | 28.63" | 2023.44 | 10 | 1 |
| 13026+2318 | COU95 | $278.9^{\circ}$ | 0.70" | 2023.39 | 15 | 2 |
| 13076-1415 | RST3820 | $249.9^{\circ}$ | 0.81 " | 2023.45 | 6 | 1 |
| 13120+3205 | STT261 | $338.8^{\circ}$ | 2.66" | 2023.39 | 11 | 1 |
| 13152-1004 | A2781 | $7.3^{\circ}$ | 0.72" | 2023.44 | 6 | 1 |
| 13166+5034 | STT263 | $136.6^{\circ}$ | 1.72" | 2023.42 | 11 | 1 |
| 13169+1701 | BU800AB | $104.4^{\circ}$ | 7.73" | 2023.39 | 10 | 1 |
| 13181-1820 | RST2839AB | $30.4{ }^{\circ}$ | 0.60 " | 2023.42 | 6 | 1 |
| 13199-2748 | B247 | $308.8^{\circ}$ | 4.26" | 2023.45 | 5 | 1 |
| 13284+1543 | STT266 | $358.8^{\circ}$ | 1.97" | 2023.42 | 11 | 1 |
| 13298-2634 | B250 | $43.3^{\circ}$ | 0.52" | 2023.46 | 14 | 2 |
| 13375+3618 | STF1768AB | $93.9^{\circ}$ | 1.67" | 2023.40 | 12 | 1 |
| 13400-1914 | RST2858 | $224.8^{\circ}$ | 0.55" | 2023.42 | 6 | 1 |
| 13403-1913 | RST2859 | $123.2^{\circ}$ | 2.35 " | 2023.42 | 5 | 1 |
| 13491+2659 | STF1785 | $194.1^{\circ}$ | 2.62" | 2023.41 | 12 | 1 |
| 14095-2205 | RST2891 | $142.4^{\circ}$ | 0.82" | 2023.45 | 5 | 1 |
| 14165+2007 | STF1825 | $151.8^{\circ}$ | 4.35" | 2023.41 | 12 | 1 |
| 14247-1140 | STF1837 | $268.1^{\circ}$ | 1.10" | 2023.49 | 12 | 1 |
| 14271-1505 | RST3879 | $111.3^{\circ}$ | 0.77" | 2023.45 | 5 | 1 |
| 14314+8257 | MLR337 | $167.1^{\circ}$ | 2.14" | 2023.40 | 5 | 1 |
| 14381-0841 | BU804 | $134.0^{\circ}$ | 1.23" | 2023.40 | 7 | 1 |
| 14447-0712 | RST3893 | $174.8^{\circ}$ | 0.54" | 2023.41 | 5 | 1 |
| 14493-1409 | BU106AB | $8.4{ }^{\circ}$ | 1.89" | 2023.48 | 21 | 2 |
| 14579-2834 | B283 | $234.9^{\circ}$ | 0.52" | 2023.48 | 20 | 2 |
| 15023-0858 | RST3903 | $125.2^{\circ}$ | 1.12" | 2023.46 | 11 | 2 |
| 15055+5707 | A1113 | $317.6^{\circ}$ | $0.66{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 2023.48 | 8 | 1 |
| 15055-0701 | BU119AB | $273.8^{\circ}$ | 2.34 " | 2023.51 | 12 | 1 |
| 15199+6701 | HU1161AB | $227.0^{\circ}$ | 1.40" | 2023.51 | 11 | 1 |
| 15304-2717 | B292AB | $106.2^{\circ}$ | 1.87" | 2023.45 | 5 | 1 |
| 15304-2717 | B292AC | $97.1^{\circ}$ | 15.55" | 2023.45 | 5 | 1 |
| 15484-2210 | B2370 | $89.6{ }^{\circ}$ | 0.55" | 2023.47 | 8 | 1 |
| 16006-2027 | HLD126 | $39.5^{\circ}$ | 2.07" | 2023.51 | 4 | 1 |
| 16009+1918 | A2081AB | $324.5{ }^{\circ}$ | 2.44" | 2023.48 | 10 | 1 |
| 16011+6531 | HU1170 | $147.8^{\circ}$ | 0.86" | 2023.51 | 6 | 1 |
| 16044-1122 | STF1998AB | $16.5^{\circ}$ | 1.03" | 2023.51 | 10 | 1 |
| 16044-1122 | STF1998AC | $41.5{ }^{\circ}$ | 8.02" | 2023.51 | 11 | 1 |
| 16044-1122 | STF1998BC | $45.0^{\circ}$ | 7.11" | 2023.51 | 10 | 1 |


| $16096-2037$ | HU660 | $59.4^{\circ}$ | $3.94^{\prime \prime}$ | 2023.47 | 12 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $16359-2510$ | RST3033 | $139.6^{\circ}$ | $0.73^{\prime \prime}$ | 2023.51 | 9 | 1 |

Table 3 indicates the statistical errors, specifying the standard deviations (SD) of position angle ( $\theta$ ) and separation ( $\rho$ ), together with the standard errors of the mean (SEM), derived from the author's measures. The standard deviations come directly from REDUC. The standard errors were computed by the author. Where the double star in question was observed on more than one night, these are averages of the individual nights. As usual in such measurements, the largest SDs occur with the position angles of close doubles, as for example B250, whose separation is about 0.5 arcsec.

Table 3. Measurement errors.

| WDS ID | Name | $\theta$ SD | $\theta$ SEM | $\rho$ SD | $\rho$ SEM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $02442+4914$ | STF296AB | 0.31 | 0.093 | 0.11 | 0.033 |
| $05003+3924$ | STT92AB | 0.17 | 0.057 | 0.02 | 0.005 |
| $05013+5015$ | STF619 | 0.15 | 0.046 | 0.01 | 0.003 |
| $05172+3246$ | COU1088 | 0.74 | 0.135 | 0.03 | 0.005 |
| $06012+3516$ | HU826AB | 1.95 | 0.541 | 0.05 | 0.013 |
| $06012+3516$ | HO511AC | 0.31 | 0.086 | 0.02 | 0.006 |
| $09521+5404$ | STT208 | 1.48 | 0.466 | 0.04 | 0.011 |
| $12115+5325$ | STF1608AB | 0.09 | 0.040 | 0.05 | 0.021 |
| $12272+2701$ | STF1643AB | 0.22 | 0.059 | 0.02 | 0.005 |
| $12412-0127$ | BU607 | 0.66 | 0.189 | 0.01 | 0.004 |
| $12533+2115$ | STF1687AB | 0.87 | 0.154 | 0.04 | 0.007 |
| $12533+2115$ | STF1687AC | 0.08 | 0.025 | 0.04 | 0.014 |
| $13026+2318$ | COU95 | 1.39 | 0.065 | 0.03 | 0.008 |
| $13076-1415$ | RST3820 | 1.41 | 0.574 | 0.03 | 0.013 |
| $13120+3205$ | STT261 | 0.21 | 0.063 | 0.02 | 0.005 |
| $13152-1004$ | A2781 | 2.92 | 1.192 | 0.05 | 0.019 |
| $13166+5034$ | STT263 | 0.59 | 0.176 | 0.02 | 0.005 |
| $13169+1701$ | BU800AB | 0.14 | 0.044 | 0.03 | 0.009 |
| $13181-1820$ | RST2839AB | 3.30 | 1.347 | 0.05 | 0.021 |
| $13199-2748$ | B247 | 1.04 | 0.465 | 0.13 | 0.057 |
| $13284+1543$ | STT266 | 0.15 | 0.045 | 0.01 | 0.003 |
| $13298-2634$ | B250 | 4.15 | 1.109 | 0.05 | 0.013 |
| $13375+3618$ | STF1768AB | 0.43 | 0.123 | 0.01 | 0.003 |
| $13400-1914$ | RST2858 | 1.26 | 0.512 | 0.03 | 0.014 |
| $13403-1913$ | RST2859 | 0.68 | 0.302 | 0.04 | 0.018 |
| $13491+2659$ | STF1785 | 0.32 | 0.091 | 0.02 | 0.005 |
| $14095-2205$ | RST2891 | 1.70 | 0.758 | 0.03 | 0.015 |
| $14165+2007$ | STF1825 | 0.25 | 0.072 | 0.02 | 0.007 |
| $14247-1140$ | STF1837 | 1.21 | 0.349 | 0.03 | 0.009 |
| $14271-1505$ | RST3879 | 1.74 | 0.778 | 0.01 | 0.006 |


| $14314+8257$ | MLR337 | 0.66 | 0.295 | 0.05 | 0.022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $14381-0841$ | BU804 | 2.19 | 0.828 | 0.05 | 0.017 |
| $14447-0712$ | RST3893 | 0.76 | 0.340 | 0.01 | 0.006 |
| $14493-1409$ | BU106AB | 0.33 | 0.071 | 0.03 | 0.005 |
| $14579-2834$ | B283 | 3.38 | 0.755 | 0.04 | 0.009 |
| $15023-0858$ | RST3903 | 1.62 | 0.487 | 0.05 | 0.015 |
| $15055+5707$ | A1113 | 4.08 | 1.441 | 0.06 | 0.020 |
| $15055-0701$ | BU119AB | 0.48 | 0.137 | 0.02 | 0.005 |
| $15199+6701$ | HU1161AB | 1.75 | 0.528 | 0.07 | 0.021 |
| $15304-2717$ | B292AB | 1.00 | 0.449 | 0.08 | 0.036 |
| $15304-2717$ | B292AC | 0.26 | 0.116 | 0.09 | 0.040 |
| $15484-2210$ | B2370 | 1.79 | 0.631 | 0.02 | 0.007 |
| $16006-2027$ | HLD126 | 0.42 | 0.210 | 0.03 | 0.016 |
| $16009+1918$ | A2081AB | 0.74 | 0.232 | 0.05 | 0.015 |
| $16011+6531$ | HU1170 | 1.10 | 0.447 | 0.03 | 0.012 |
| $16044-1122$ | STF1998AB | 0.34 | 0.108 | 0.02 | 0.006 |
| $16044-1122$ | STF1998AC | 0.15 | 0.045 | 0.03 | 0.009 |
| $16044-1122$ | STF1998BC | 0.16 | 0.051 | 0.04 | 0.012 |
| $16096-2037$ | HU660 | 0.58 | 0.166 | 0.05 | 0.014 |
| $16359-2510$ | RST3033 | 2.42 | 0.807 | 0.09 | 0.030 |

## 4. Discussion and Notes

Table 4 shows the residuals of the author's measurements from the last WDS published data, as well as from the orbital ephemeris (if one exists). The first and second columns are as in the previous tables. The third and fourth give the residuals, showing the author's observations minus the most recent WDS data, and the author's work minus the current (2023) ephemeris position, respectively. The ephemerides come from Matson, et al., Sixth Catalog of Orbits of Visual Binary Stars, on the WDS website. The fifth column references the published orbit that generated the ephemeris in question. Notes on residuals of special interest follow the table.

Table 4. Residuals from WDS and 2023 Ephemerides.

| WDS ID | Name | $\Delta$ from WDS <br> $(\theta, \rho)$ | $\Delta$ from 2023 <br> Ephemeris | Orbital Ref. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $02442+4914$ | STF296AB | $-0.8^{\circ}, 0.04^{\prime \prime}$ | $0.2^{\circ}, 0.73^{\prime \prime}$ | KSC2017 |
| $05003+3924$ | STT92AB | $-0.1^{\circ}, 0.12^{\prime \prime}$ | $1.1^{\circ},-0.04^{\prime \prime}$ | Cve2006e |
| $05013+5015$ | STF619 | $0.9^{\circ}, 0.01^{\prime \prime}$ | $0.3^{\circ}, 0.13^{\prime \prime}$ | Kis2009 |
| $05172+3246$ | COU1088 | $-9.5^{\circ},-0.20^{\prime \prime}$ | N/A | N/A |
| $06012+3516$ | HU826AB | $8.8^{\circ}, 0.32^{\prime \prime}$ | N/A | N/A |
| $06012+3516$ | HO511AC | $0.2^{\circ}, 0.02^{\prime \prime}$ | N/A | N/A |
| $09521+5404$ | STT208 | $-1.1^{\circ},-0.04^{\prime \prime}$ | $-2.0^{\circ},-0.01^{\prime \prime}$ | Msn2021c |
| $12115+5325$ | STF1608AB | $0.0^{\circ},-0.03^{\prime \prime}$ | $0.0^{\circ},-0.02^{\prime \prime}$ | Izm2019 |


| 12272+2701 | STF1643AB | $-1.1^{\circ}, 0.04^{\prime \prime}$ | $-0.1^{\circ}, 0.05^{\prime \prime}$ | Ole2003b |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12412-0127 | BU607 | $-7.0^{\circ},-0.03^{\prime \prime}$ | N/A | N/A |
| 12533+2115 | STF1687AB | $1.8^{\circ},-0.04{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | $1.7^{\circ},-0.05^{\prime \prime}$ | Izm2019 |
| $12533+2115$ | STF1687AC | $-0.4^{\circ}, 0.13^{\prime \prime}$ | N/A | N/A |
| 13026+2318 | COU95 | $-7.2^{\circ}, 0.00^{\prime \prime}$ | N/A | N/A |
| 13076-1415 | RST3820 | $-3.2^{\circ}, 0.00^{\prime \prime}$ | N/A | N/A |
| 13120+3205 | STT261 | $1.4^{\circ}, 0.13^{\prime \prime}$ | $0.6^{\circ}, 0.01^{\prime \prime}$ | Izm2019 |
| 13152-1004 | A2781 | $8.8^{\circ},-0.19^{\prime \prime}$ | N/A | N/A |
| 13166+5034 | STT263 | $-0.9^{\circ},-0.03^{\prime \prime}$ | $-1.2^{\circ}, 0.01^{\prime \prime}$ | Izm2019 |
| 13169+1701 | BU800AB | $0.3^{\circ}, 0.08^{\prime \prime}$ | $0.0^{\circ}, 0.00^{\prime \prime}$ | Izm2019 |
| 13181-1820 | RST2839AB | $-17.1^{\circ}, 0.10^{\prime \prime}$ | N/A | N/A |
| 13199-2748 | B247 | -7.8 ${ }^{\circ}, 1.72^{\prime \prime}$ | N/A | N/A |
| 13284+1543 | STT266 | $3.5^{\circ}, 0.05^{\prime \prime}$ | $0.2^{\circ}, 0.00^{\prime \prime}$ | Izm2019 |
| 13298-2634 | B250 | $-3.6^{\circ},-0.01^{\prime \prime}$ | N/A | N/A |
| 13375+3618 | STF1768AB | $-0.6^{\circ}, 0.05^{\prime \prime}$ | $0.4^{\circ},-0.04{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | Izm2019 |
| 13400-1914 | RST2858 | $-11.1^{\circ},-0.11^{\prime \prime}$ | N/A | N/A |
| 13403-1913 | RST2859 | $-0.1^{\circ}, 0.01^{\prime \prime}$ | N/A | N/A |
| 13491+2659 | STF1785 | $1.5^{\circ},-0.10^{\prime \prime}$ | $0.5^{\circ},-0.02^{\prime \prime}$ | Izm2019 |
| 14095-2205 | RST2891 | $1.4^{\circ},-0.11^{\prime \prime}$ | N/A | N/A |
| 14165+2007 | STF1825 | $-2.1^{\circ}, 0.13^{\prime \prime}$ | $-0.6^{\circ},-0.03^{\prime \prime}$ | Izm2019 |
| 14247-1140 | STF1837 | $-1.9^{\circ},-0.17^{\prime \prime}$ | $-1.2^{\circ},-0.09^{\prime \prime}$ | Izm2019 |
| 14271-1505 | RST3879 | $1.2^{\circ}, 0.06^{\prime \prime}$ | N/A | N/A |
| 14314+8257 | MLR337 | $-0.1^{\circ},-0.03^{\prime \prime}$ | N/A | N/A |
| 14381-0841 | BU804 | $-0.6^{\circ},-0.06^{\prime \prime}$ | N/A | N/A |
| 14447-0712 | RST3893 | $-4.2^{\circ},-0.10^{\prime \prime}$ | N/A | N/A |
| 14493-1409 | BU106AB | $1.1^{\circ},-0.05^{\prime \prime}$ | $1.0^{\circ},-0.07{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | Zir2015a |
| 14579-2834 | B283 | $-12.5^{\circ}, 0.05^{\prime \prime}$ | N/A | N/A |
| 15023-0858 | RST3903 | $2.7^{\circ},-0.13^{\prime \prime}$ | N/A | N/A |
| 15055+5707 | A1113 | $0.3^{\circ},-0.06^{\prime \prime}$ | N/A | N/A |
| 15055-0701 | BU119AB | $-0.1^{\circ}, 0.01^{\prime \prime}$ | $0.7^{\circ},-0.01^{\prime \prime}$ | Kiy2017 |
| 15199+6701 | HU1161AB | $2.2^{\circ},-0.27^{\prime \prime}$ | N/A | N/A |
| 15304-2717 | B292AB | $2.6^{\circ}, 0.05^{\prime \prime}$ | N/A | N/A |
| 15304-2717 | B292AC | -0.6 ${ }^{\circ}, 0.22^{\prime \prime}$ | N/A | N/A |
| 15484-2210 | B2370 | $-3.2^{\circ}, 0.05^{\prime \prime}$ | N/A | N/A |
| 16006-2027 | HLD126 | $5.3^{\circ},-0.22^{\prime \prime}$ | N/A | N/A |
| 16009+1918 | A2081AB | $3.5^{\circ}, 0.02^{\prime \prime}$ | N/A | N/A |
| 16011+6531 | HU1170 | $0.7^{\circ},-0.27^{\prime \prime}$ | N/A | N/A |
| 16044-1122 | STF1998AB | $4.6^{\circ},-0.12^{\prime \prime}$ | $0.7^{\circ},-0.09^{\prime \prime}$ | Doc2009g |
| 16044-1122 | STF1998AC | $-3.1^{\circ}, 0.87^{\prime \prime}$ | $-0.9^{\circ}, 0.50^{\prime \prime}$ | Zir2008 |
| 16044-1122 | STF1998BC | $7.5^{\circ},-1.66^{\prime \prime}$ | N/A | N/A |
| 16096-2037 | HU660 | -7.9 ${ }^{\circ}, 1.37{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | N/A | N/A |
| 16359-2510 | RST3033 | $-6.1^{\circ}, 0.15^{\prime \prime}$ | N/A | N/A |

## Notes:

05172+3246 COU1088: 3 WDS measures. The first two (from Couteau in 1974 \& and Heintz in 1988) agree with one another in PA to within $0.6^{\circ}$, while the third (from TYCHO in 1991) differs from these by $c a .+5.8^{\circ}$. The author's measures are closer to Couteau's in PA and Sep.

06012+3516 HU826AB: 6 WDS measures, from 1904 to 1981 which seem to show an increase in PA ( $300^{\circ}$ to $308^{\circ}$ ) over 77 years (i.e. $0.1^{\circ} /$ year). The author's measure would show a further increase to $312^{\circ}$ in 42 years (also $0.1^{\circ}$ year). TYCHO measurements (1992) would decrease PA by $4.5^{\circ}$ with respect to Heintz's (1981) at a rate of $0.4^{\circ}$ year. The author's Sep. increase is perhaps not real since there was no clear change in separation from 1904 to 1981. The companion double (HO511AC) shows good agreement with last published WDS measures made in 2015-16.

12412-0127 BU607: 18 WDS measures from 1867 to 1982. PA and Sep. show gradual decrease from $320^{\circ}$ to $308^{\circ}$, and from 1.4 to 0.9 arcsec over the interval. The author's measures show no further clear decrease in Sep., but a continued decrease in PA. Long term PA decrease over 115 years was by about $0.1 \%$ year; decrease since 1982 would be by $0.17 \%$ year.

13026+2318 COU95: 12 WDS measures from 1966 to 2013, suggesting rapid decrease in PA (from $298^{\circ}$ to $286^{\circ}$ or $283^{\circ}$ ), and some increase in Sep. which may now have ceased (from 0.5 to 0.7 arcsec ). Author's measures suggest continued rapid decrease in PA (to $279^{\circ}$ ), and no change in Sep.

13076-1415 RST3820: 2 WDS measures from 1937 and 1940. Author's measurement found no change in Sep., and modest $3^{\circ}$ decrease in PA. "Relfix" over 85 years.

13152-1004 A2781: 9 WDS measures from 1914 to 1989 , showing an increase of $17^{\circ}$ in PA $\left(0.23^{\circ} / \mathrm{yr}\right)$; and 0.2 to perhaps 0.4 arcsec in Sep. Author's measures show further increase of $9^{\circ}$ in PA at roughly the same rate $\left(0.26^{\circ} / \mathrm{yr}\right)$. Sep. is more in line with early measures than that of 1989 . Possibly no real change in Sep. since 1914.

13181-1820 RST2839AB: 4 WDS measures from 1935 to 1960 , with PA ranging from $32^{\circ}$ to $48^{\circ}$, and Sep. from 0.3 to 0.5 arcsec, without clear temporal direction (i.e. there is scatter in the data). Author's present measurement, after an interval of 63 additional years, may show a real decrease in PA and increase in Sep. Further long term, high precision measurements could clarify the matter.

13199-2748 B247: 3 WDS measures from 1926 to 1960 , showing PA decrease from $328^{\circ}$ to $317^{\circ}$, and increase in Sep. from 1.8 to 2.5 arcsec . Author's recent measures show further PA decrease to $309^{\circ}$ and Sep. increase to 4.3 arcsec, suggesting an optical pair with (perhaps) a linear solution.

13298-2634 B250: 8 WDS measures from 1926 to 1990. These show PAs from $41^{\circ}$ to $49^{\circ}$, and Seps of 0.43 to 0.55 arcsec , without clear temporal direction. Author's measurements on two nights fall within this range at $42^{\circ}$ and 0.54 arcsec. No clear movement after nearly 100 years.

13400-1914 RST2858: 2 WDS measures from 1935 and 1940, with PAs of $235^{\circ}$ and $236^{\circ}$, and Sep. of 0.7 arcsec . Author's measures after 83 years show PA decrease of $11^{\circ}$ and Sep. decrease of 0.1 arcsec . The nearby star RST2859, last measured in 2016, shows close agreement with the author's measures.

14095-2205 RST2891: 4 WDS measures from 1935 to 2016, perhaps showing an increase in PA from $136^{\circ}$ to $141^{\circ}$, and Sep. from 0.6 to 0.9 arcsec. Author's measurement might show a slight further increase in PA to $142^{\circ}$.

14271-1505 RST3879: 2 WDS measures from 1937 and 1943, showing PA of $110^{\circ}$ and Sep. of 0.7 arcsec. Author's measures show PA of $111^{\circ}$ and Sep. of 0.8 arcsec, suggesting no clear movement after about 85 years.

14447-0712 RST3893: 4 WDS measures from 1938 to 1991. The first two are by Rossiter, the third by W. Heintz, and the last by HIPPARCOS, with PA decrease from $190^{\circ}$ to $179^{\circ}$, and Sep. increase from 0.41 to 0.64 arcsec . The PA change would be at about $0.21^{\circ} / \mathrm{yr}$. The author found a further decrease of $4^{\circ}$ over 32 years giving a rate of $0.13 \% \mathrm{yr}$, and a possible decrease in Sep. of 0.1 arcsec .

14579-2834 B283: 4 WDS measures from 1926 to 1962 , showing a decrease in PA from $251^{\circ}$ to $247^{\circ}$ $\left(0.09^{\circ} / \mathrm{yr}\right)$, and no clear change in Sep. Author's measures after an interval of 61 years show further decrease in PA to $235^{\circ}\left(0.2^{\circ} / \mathrm{yr}\right)$ and no clear change in Sep.

15023-0858 RST3903: 3 WDS measures from 1938 to 2016, giving possible decreasing PA from $128^{\circ}$ to $123^{\circ}$, and Seps steady at 1.2 arcsec. Author's measure would imply slight increase in PA and decrease in Sep. Perhaps, then, no real change since 1938. "Relfix."
$15199+6701$ HU1161 AB: 7 WDS measures from 1905 to 1991, with a possible slight increase in PA from $222^{\circ}$ to $225^{\circ}$, and Sep. from 1.5 to 1.7 arcsec. Author's measurements after 32 additional years suggest a further increase in PA to $227^{\circ}$, and possible decrease in Sep. to $1.4 \operatorname{arcsec}$.

15304-2717 B292AB: 3 WDS measures from 1926 to 1965 , with PAs from $109^{\circ}$ to $104^{\circ}$, and Sep. steady at 1.8 arcsec. Author's recent measurement closely accords, suggesting no clear movement in about 100 years.

15484-2210 B2370: 3 WDS measures from 1929 to 1959 , possibly showing a slight decrease in PA by $2^{\circ}$ $\left(0.07^{\circ} / \mathrm{yr}\right)$, and no clear change in Sep. Author's measure after an interval of 64 years may show a further decrease in PA by $3^{\circ}\left(0.05^{\circ} / \mathrm{yr}\right)$, but no clear change in Sep.

16006-2027 HLD126: 11 WDS measures from 1882 to 1991 , showing no clear change in PA or Sep. Author's measures fall within the range of prior observations, also showing no clear movement of the pair after 140 years. "Relfix."
$16009+1918$ A 2081 AB : 8 WDS measures from 1909 to 1987 , showing a gradual increase in PA from about $309^{\circ}$ to $321^{\circ}\left(0.15^{\circ} / \mathrm{yr}\right)$, and no clear change in Sep. over an interval of 78 years. Author's measures show a further increase in PA to $325^{\circ}\left(0.11^{\circ} / \mathrm{yr}\right.$ since 1987$)$, but no clear change in Sep.

16096-2037 HU660: 10 WDS measures from 1902 to 1965 , showing decrease in PA from $88^{\circ}$ to $67^{\circ}$, and increase in Sep. from 1.8 to 2.6 arcsec. Author's measures show further decrease in PA to $59^{\circ}$, and increase in Sep. to 3.9 arcsec, suggesting an optical pair with (perhaps) a linear solution.

16359-2510 RST3033: 2 WDS measures from 1935 and 1940, with possible decrease of PA (from $150^{\circ}$ to $146^{\circ}$ ), and increase of Sep. (from 0.5 to 0.6 arcsec ). Author's measures would continue the trend (to $140^{\circ}$ and 0.7 arcsec).

## 5. Non-detections

Table 5 lists fifteen systems in which the secondary was not detected by the author, although probably being within range of his $310-\mathrm{mm}$ telescope.

Table 5. Non-Detection of Reported Secondaries

| WDS ID | Name | JE | \#Ims | \#Nts |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $13137+2949$ | HO55AB | 2023.42 | 5 | 1 |
| $13513-3315$ | RST2875 | 2023.45 | 3 | 1 |
| $14420-3249$ | SEE210AB | 2023.45 | 6 | 1 |


| $14471-2729$ | B280 | 2023.47 | 5 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $14489-1247$ | RST3895 | 2023.42 | 5 | 1 |
| $14491-2228$ | B1765 | 2023.42 | 4 | 1 |
| $14506-2221$ | B1766 | 2023.48 | 5 | 1 |
| $15055-0501$ | HDS2125AB | 2023.51 | 6 | 1 |
| $15139-2612$ | B288 | 2023.44 | 6 | 1 |
| $15195-2609$ | B289 | 2023.45 | 3 | 1 |
| $15343-1613$ | RST3923 | 2023.45 | 2 | 1 |
| $15475+7357$ | MLR194 | 2023.44 | 6 | 2 |
| $16152-0048$ | DOO62 | 2023.48 | 3 | 1 |
| $16164-2417$ | RST3010 | 2023.49 | 3 | 1 |
| $16500-2327$ | RST3045 | 2023.48 | 5 | 1 |
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## 6. Images of Systems Measured

Below are images of double and triple systems taken through the author's 254 -mm (first five images) and 310-mm (all remaining images) telescopes, demonstrating resolution of the stars.
(North toward bottom/east toward right)






Systems where reported secondary was not detected
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## ABSTRACT

This paper presents the final results of a 2022/23 programme of photographic measurements of fifteen southern multiple stars. All results were obtained using an Atik 460EX mono CCD camera used in conjunction with an equatorially mounted 400 mm F4.5 Newtonian reflector

The mean $95 \%$ confidence intervals for the new measures are $\pm 0.596^{\circ}$ in PA and $\pm 0.074^{\prime \prime}$ in separation.

| System | Last listed measure |  |  | New measure |  |  | Comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PA ${ }^{-}$ | Sep. " | Epoch | PA ${ }^{-}$ | Sep. ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ | Epoch* |  |
| B247 | 317 | 2.5 | 1960 | 311.279 | 4.150 | 2022.382 | Clear movement in both axes over 62 years. |
| BRT3000 | 310 | 2.8 | 1913 | 163.3 | 11.012 | 2022.382 | Large variation in both measurements. |
| DAM1225 | 88 | 13.2 | 2015 | 88.224 | 13.173 | 2023.061 | Confirmation of 2015 measurement. Little probable change. |
| DAM1389AC | 207 | 19.6 | 2015 | 207.963 | 19.333 | 2022.918 | Confirmation of 2015 measurement. Little probable change. |
| DAM1636 | 317 | 5.6 | 2015 | 316.82 | 5.600 | 2023.283 | Confirmation of 2015 measurement. Little probable change. |
| I 183 | 139 | 3.3 | 1999 | 137.907 | 3.802 | 2023.053 | Little probable movement over 21 years. |
| 1461 | 332 | 3.2 | 1986 | 331.286 | 3.034 | 2022.382 | Possible minor reduction in both axes over 37 years. |
| 11062 | 180 | 3.3 | 1980 | 177.406 | 3.21 | 2023.053 | Continuing decrease in PA. Separation appears static. |


| LDS201 A-B | 241 | 46.1 | 2018 | 236.697 | 47.758 | 2023.053 | Both figures similar to <br> original 1911 measure. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LDS201 A-C | 256 | 74.5 | 2015 | 254.840 | 74.02 | 2023.053 | Possible slight decrease <br> in PA. |
| LDS201 C-D | 57 | 4.0 | 2015 | 58.041 | 3.943 | 2023.053 | Possible slight increase <br> in PA. |
| MLO63 | 291 | 3.2 | 1895 | 140.494 | 3.529 | 2022.382 | Large difference in PA. |
| RSS205 | 312 | 10.4 | 2015 | 312.988 | 10.188 | 2023.176 | Possible slight increase <br> in PA. |
| SKF415 | 255 | 33.7 | 2015 | 255.366 | 33.467 | 2023.053 | Possible very small <br> decrease in PA. |
| TDS7065 | 175 | 2.6 | 1991 | 178.022 | 11.174 | 2023.283 | Considerable increase <br> in separation over 32 <br> years. |

* Epochs of new measures given in Besselian years as the average of the observations making up the measure.

Also included in a separate table below are the details of three possible new pairs found while studying the fifteen known pairs. These new pairs were located usually within or near the instrument field of view while searching for/imaging the known pairs.

| System | R.A. | Dec. | Mag. | PA ㅇ | Sep." | Epoch* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Possible new pair <br> near TDS7065 Vela | 1008.16 | -565548 | $14.55 \& 14.56$ | 155.964 | 4.974 | 2023.387 |
| Possible new pair <br> near DAM1389 (Beta <br> Fornax) | 0248.50 | -3228 | $12.09 \& 14.77$ | 215.341 | 6.673 | 2022.916 |
| Possible new pair <br> near DAM1636 <br> Antlia | 0930.25 | -392820 | $14.68 \& 16.38$ | 351.423 | 5.596 | 2023.285 |

[^1]
## INTRODUCTION

These latest results are part of an ongoing programme commenced in 2008 by the Double Star Section of the Astronomical Association of Queensland. The target stars were selected from the Washington Double Star Catalogue (WDSC) and were observed in Queensland, Australia from a latitude of approximately $27^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$.

## METHOD

Nightly sets of one hundred images were obtained with the equipment described above, after which the images were stacked using Atik DAWN software and then analyzed using the astrometric double star program REDUC (Losse, 2008). Approximately ten stacked images of each target were taken per night for seven nights and the results averaged to obtain measures of separation and position angle with sufficient confidence.

Full details of the method are given in Napier-Munn and Jenkinson (2009). Subsequent work on the errors inherent in the method is described in Napier-Munn and Jenkinson (2014). As proficiency has grown in the use of this equipment with the 400 mm reflector, close doubles with considerable magnitude difference between the components have been successfully measured.

Fellow AAQ member Des Janke provided invaluable assistance processing the original FITS image files into JPEG photographs, along with his use of Vizie-R to gather details of the possible new pairs.

## RESULTS

For all of the systems shown below the WDSC information is first reproduced, showing the epoch 2000 position, magnitudes, separation, PA, and the last recorded measurement. The new measurements are then given in tabular form, including the mean and standard deviation and $95 \%$ confidence limits. Any uncertainties between the images and the last recorded measurements are discussed. Finally a conclusion is given as to whether any movement of the component stars has occurred in PA or separation, based on the P -value for the t-test comparing the new mean values with the catalogued value ( $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ is considered as evidence of change).

Results as detailed in the tabulated results above, along with the fifteen known/neglected pairs:

- Three possible new pairs recorded in the constellations of Antlia, Fornax \& Vela.
- Confirmation checks were carried out on a number of pairs recently measured in 2015 \& 2018. These pairs were located in the same field of view as the nearby target pairs and were measured as part of the programme.
- Large variation in position of BRT3000 secondary compared to the original measure. Images appear to show a possible close companion to the secondary. Reference to Gaia DR2 Catalog data represented in Cartes du Ciel as reproduced below shows the following data suggesting this is a double star system:


# Gaia DR2 6188321238085200384 (primary) <br> Visual magnitude: 11.07 <br> Proper motion in right ascension: - 8.150 [mas/y] <br> Proper motion in declination: -28.878 [mas/y] <br> Distance: 907.2 light years 

Gaia DR2 6188321027631669376 (original secondary)
Visual magnitude: 17.28
Proper motion in right ascension: -8.521 [mas/y]
Proper motion in declination: -28.394 [mas/y]
Distance: 1112.7 light years
Gaia DR2 6188320928846317184 (possible triple companion)
Magnitude BP: 18.138
Proper motion in right ascension: -8.741 [mas/y]
Proper motion in declination: -29.220 [mas/y]
Distance: 918.6 light years

Image shows secondary in quite a different position angle. Looking at Gaia DR2 data it appears the secondary is a double, and forms a triple system, with primary due to similar proper motion.

## Please note that all attached images are aligned with North to the bottom and East to the right.

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Vol } 19 \text { No 4 Oct } 1,2023 \\ \underline{\text { B247 }} \end{gathered}$ | The Journal of Dou RA. 1319.9 | Observations DEC. -27 48 | Page 386 <br> Last Measure 1960 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hydra | MAG. 9.56 \& 12.70 | PA. $317^{\circ}$ | SEP. 2.5" |
| Date | No. images | PA ${ }^{\circ}$ | Sep" |
| 12 Apr 2022 | 10 | 311.82 | 4.235 |
| 14 Apr 2022 | 10 | 313.15 | 3.901 |
| 15 Apr 2022 | 10 | 311.04 | 4.412 |
| 18 Apr 2022 | 10 | 310.94 | 4.110 |
| 04 May 2022 | 10 | 310.99 | 4.090 |
| 06 Jun 2022 | 10 | 310.89 | 4.204 |
| 07 Jun 2022 | 10 | 310.12 | 4.099 |
| Mean |  | 311.279 | 4.150 |
| Standard deviation |  | 0.961 | 0.157 |
| 95\% CI +/- |  | 0.889 | 0.146 |
| $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{t})$ movement |  | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| COMMENTS |  |  |  |
| Movement in both axes over 62 years. |  |  |  |



| BRT3000 | RA. 1324.5 | DEC. -28 37 | Last Measure 1913 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hydra | MAG. 12.53 \& 12.62 | PA. $310^{\circ}$ | SEP. 2.8" |
| Date | No. images | PA ${ }^{\circ}$ | Sep" |
| 12 April 2022 | 10 | 162.70 | 11.116 |
| 14 April 2022 | 10 | 163.41 | 11.130 |
| 15 April 2022 | 10 | 163.88 | 10.927 |
| 18 April 2022 | 10 | 162.84 | 10.829 |
| 04 May 2022 | 10 | 162.65 | 11.098 |
| 06 June 2022 | 10 | 164.14 | 10.978 |
| 07 June 2022 | 10 | 163.48 | 11.008 |
| Mean |  | 163.300 | 11.012 |
| Standard deviation |  | 0.589 | 0.111 |
| 95\% CI +/- |  | 0.545 | 0.103 |
| $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{t})$ movement |  | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| COMMENTS <br> Large unexplained variations in both PA and separation measurements. The secondary also appears to show a barely resolved very close \& faint companion. |  |  |  |



| Vol 19 No 4 Oct 1, 2023DAM1225$\underline{\text { Puppis }}$ | The Journal of Doub | Star Observations | age 388 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | RA. 0801.7 | DEC. -14 12 | Last Measure 2015 |
|  | MAG. 10.8 \& 11.9 | PA. $88.0^{\circ}$ | SEP. 13.2" |
| Date | No. images | PA ${ }^{\circ}$ | Sep" |
| 20 December 2022 | 10 | 88.26 | 13.175 |
| 17 January 2023 | 10 | 88.19 | 13.152 |
| 18 January 2023 | 10 | 88.30 | 13.171 |
| 21 February 2023 | 10 | 88.20 | 13.164 |
| 24 February 2023 | 10 | 88.22 | 13.185 |
| 25 February 2023 | 10 | 88.11 | 13.199 |
| 27 February 2023 | 10 | 88.29 | 13.168 |
| Mean |  | 88.224 | 13.173 |
| Standard deviation |  | 0.066 | 0.015 |
| 95\% CI +/- |  | 0.061 | 0.014 |
| $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{t})$ movement |  | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| COMMENTS |  |  |  |
| Little probable movement since previous measure. |  |  |  |



| DAM 1389AC | RA. 02 49.1 | DEC. -32 24 | Last Measure 2015 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fornax | MAG. 4.46 \& 13.2 | PA. 207 | SEP. 19.6" |  |
| Date | No. images | $\underline{\text { PA }^{\circ}}$ | $\underline{\text { Sep" }}$ |  |
| 17 November 2022 | 10 | 207.93 | 19.468 |  |
| 18 November 2022 | 10 | 208.05 | 19.226 |  |
| 19 November 2022 | 10 | 207.94 | 19.370 |  |
| 25 November 2022 | 10 | 207.49 | 19.321 |  |
| 26 November 2022 | 10 | 207.81 | 19.372 |  |
| 14 December 2022 | 10 | 208.50 | 19.266 |  |
| 16 December 2022 | 10 | 208.02 | 19.310 |  |
| Mean |  | $\mathbf{2 0 7 . 9 6 3}$ | 19.333 |  |
| Standard deviation |  | 0.302 | 0.079 |  |
| 95\% CI +/- |  |  |  |  |
| P(t) movement |  | 0.279 | 0.073 |  |
| COMMENTS <br> Possible slight increase in PA over seven years. | 0.000 |  |  |  |




## Candidate Pair

DAM1636 Ant 11 Apr 2023

| I 183 | RA. 0700.8 | DEC. -25 39 | Last Measure 1999 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Canis Major | MAG. 7.41 \& 9.93 | PA. $139{ }^{\circ}$ | SEP. 3.3" |
| Date | No. images | PA ${ }^{\circ}$ | Sep" |
| 20 December 2022 | 10 | 140.15 | 3.606 |
| 21 December 2022 | 10 | 137.11 | 3.848 |
| 22 December 2022 | 10 | 135.17 | 3.923 |
| 17 January 2023 | 10 | 138.44 | 3.723 |
| 22 January 2023 | 10 | 136.80 | 3.940 |
| 18 February 2023 | 10 | 139.77 | 3.770 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Mean |  | 137.907 | 3.802 |
| Standard deviation |  | 1.905 | 0.128 |
| 95\% CI +/- |  | 1.999 | 0.134 |
| $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{t})$ movement |  | 0.219 | 0.118 |
| COMMENTS <br> Six nights imaging only due to inclement weather. Little if any probable movement over 21 years. |  |  |  |



| 1461 | RA. 0251.2 | DEC. -21 25 | Last Measure 1986 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Eridanus | MAG. 9.04 \& 10.6 | PA. $332{ }^{\circ}$ | SEP. 3.2" |
| Date | No. images | $\mathrm{PA}^{\circ}$ | Sep" |
| 17 November 2022 | 10 | 332.79 | 3.091 |
| 18 November 2022 | 10 | 332.01 | 2.737 |
| 19 November 2022 | 10 | 331.78 | 3.027 |
| 25 November 2022 | 10 | 331.23 | 3.050 |
| 26 November 2022 | 10 | 331.29 | 3.124 |
| 14 December 2022 | 10 | 329.75 | 3.223 |
| 16 December 2022 | 10 | 330.15 | 2.986 |
| Mean |  | 331.286 | 3.034 |
| Standard deviation |  | 1.055 | 0.152 |
| 95\% CI +/- |  | 0.976 | 0.140 |
| $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{t})$ movement |  | 0.124 | 0.027 |
| COMMENTS <br> Possible minor reduction of both axes over 37 years. |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |



| I 1062 | RA. 0804.3 | DEC. -31 24 | Last Measure 1980 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Puppis | MAG. 9.23 \& 11.70 | PA. $180^{\circ}$ | SEP. 3.3" |
| Date | No. images | PA ${ }^{\circ}$ | Sep" |
| 21 December 2022 | 10 | 177.30 | 3.249 |
| 22 December 2022 | 10 | 176.55 | 3.240 |
| 26 December 2022 | 10 | 177.64 | 3.112 |
| 17 January 2023 | 10 | 177.65 | 3.234 |
| 18 January 2023 | 10 | 177.07 | 3.094 |
| 22 January 2023 | 10 | 177.75 | 3.187 |
| 18 February 2023 | 10 | 177.88 | 3.354 |
| Mean |  | 177.406 | 3.210 |
| Standard deviation |  | 0.468 | 0.089 |
| 95\% CI +/- |  | 0.433 | 0.082 |
| $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{t})$ movement |  | 0.000 | 0.036 |
| COMMENTS <br> Continuing decrease in PA since the first 1911 measure of $183^{\circ}$. Separation would appear static since 1980 measure. |  |  |  |



| LDS201 A-B | RA. 0803.9 | DEC. -31 33 | Last Measure 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Puppis | MAG. 8.8 \& 9.71 | PA. $241^{\circ}$ | SEP. 46.1 " |
| Date | No. images | PA ${ }^{\circ}$ | Sep" |
| 21 December 2022 | 10 | 236.75 | 47.769 |
| 22 December 2022 | 10 | 236.66 | 47.753 |
| 26 December 2022 | 10 | 236.63 | 47.642 |
| 17 January 2023 | 10 | 236.78 | 47.759 |
| 18 January 2023 | 10 | 236.68 | 47.771 |
| 22 January 2023 | 10 | 236.68 | 47.813 |
| 18 February 2022 | 10 | 236.70 | 47.802 |
| Mean |  | 236.697 | 47.758 |
| Standard deviation |  | 0.052 | 0.056 |
| 95\% CI +/- |  | 0.048 | 0.052 |
| $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{t})$ movement |  | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| COMMENTS |  |  |  |
| Both measures seem to have returned to very similar figures from the first 1911 observation. |  |  |  |



| $\frac{\text { LDS201 A-C }}{\text { Puppis }}$ | RA. 08 03.5 | DEC. -31 33 | Last Measure 2015 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MAG. 8.8 \& 10.93 | PA. 256 | SEP. 74.5" |  |
| Date | No. images | PA $^{\circ}$ | Sep" |  |
| 21 December 2022 | 10 | 254.88 | 74.127 |  |
| 22 December 2022 | 10 | 254.83 | 74.036 |  |
| 26 December 2022 | 10 | 254.84 | 73.938 |  |
| 17 January 2023 | 10 | 254.92 | 73.931 |  |
| 18 January 2023 | 10 | 254.83 | 74.043 |  |
| 22 January 2023 | 10 | 254.78 | 74.048 |  |
| 18 February 2023 | 10 | 254.80 | 74.016 |  |
| Mean |  | $\mathbf{2 5 4 . 8 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 4 . 0 2 0}$ |  |
| Standard deviation |  |  |  |  |
| 95\% CI +/- |  | 0.047 | 0.068 |  |
| P(t) movement |  | 0.044 | 0.063 |  |
| COMMENTS <br> Possible slight decrease in PA. |  |  |  |  |


| LDS201 C-D <br> Puppis | RA. 08 03.5 | DEC. -31 33 | Last Measure 2015 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date | MAG. 10.93 \& 11.54 | PA. 57 | SEP. 4.0" |  |
| No. images | PA $^{\circ}$ | Sep" |  |  |
| 21 December 2022 | 10 | 57.59 | 4.063 |  |
| 22 December 2022 | 10 | 58.09 | 3.846 |  |
| 26 December 2022 | 10 | 58.48 | 3.914 |  |
| 17 January 2023 | 10 | 58.25 | 3.809 |  |
| 18 January 2023 | 10 | 58.65 | 3.97 |  |
| 22 January 2023 | 10 | 57.36 | 3.905 |  |
| 18 February 2023 | 10 | 57.87 | 4.091 |  |
| Mean |  | 58.041 | 3.943 |  |
| Standard deviation |  |  |  |  |
| 95\% CI +/- |  | 0.467 | 0.105 |  |
| P(t) movement |  | 0.431 | 0.097 |  |
| COMMENTS <br> Possible slight increase in PA. |  |  |  |  |


| MLO63 | RA. 1507.4 | DEC. -70 36 | Last Measure 1895 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apus | MAG. 9.8 \& 11.3 | PA. $291^{\circ}$ | SEP. 3.2" |
| Date | No. images | PA ${ }^{\circ}$ | Sep" |
| 12 Apr 2022 | 10 | 141.44 | 3.602 |
| 14 Apr 2022 | 10 | 145.93 | 3.434 |
| 15 Apr 2022 | 10 | 140.08 | 3.419 |
| 18 Apr 2022 | 10 | 138.88 | 3.303 |
| 04 May 2022 | 10 | 139.42 | 3.600 |
| 06 Jun 2022 | 10 | 140.72 | 3.627 |
| 08 Jun 2022 | 10 | 136.99 | 3.717 |
| Mean |  | 140.494 | 3.529 |
| Standard deviation |  | 2.790 | 0.146 |
| 95\% CI +/- |  | 2.580 | 0.135 |
| $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{t})$ movement |  | 0.000 | 0.001 |
| COMMENTS <br> Large difference in PA - possible incorrect north-south alignment of original 1895 measurement? |  |  |  |



| RSS205 | RA. 0929.8 | DEC. -35 21 | Last Measure 2015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Antlia | MAG. 8.56 \& 14.5 | PA. $312^{\circ}$ | SEP. 10.4 " |
| Date | No. images | PA ${ }^{\circ}$ | Sep" |
| 21 February 2023 | 10 | 313.05 | 10.204 |
| 24 February 2023 | 10 | 313.59 | 10.091 |
| 25 February 2023 | 10 | 313.31 | 10.172 |
| 27 February 2023 | 10 | 312.90 | 10.203 |
| 16 March 2023 | 10 | 312.68 | 10.223 |
| 18 March 2023 | 10 | 312.40 | 10.237 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Mean |  | 312.988 | 10.188 |
| Standard deviation |  | 0.429 | 0.052 |
| 95\% CI +/- |  | 0.450 | 0.055 |
| $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{t})$ movement |  | 0.002 | 0.000 |

## COMMENTS

Six nights imaging only due to inclement weather.
Possible slight increase in PA over 8 years.


| SKF415 | RA. 0650.07 | DEC. -44 31 | Last Measure 2015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Puppis | MAG. 9.7 \& 12.6 | PA. $255^{\circ}$ | SEP. 33.7" |
| Date | No. images | PA ${ }^{\circ}$ | Sep" |
| 20 December 2022 | 10 | 255.35 | 33.463 |
| 21 December 2022 | 10 | 255.42 | 33.443 |
| 22 December 2022 | 10 | 255.43 | 33.370 |
| 26 December 2022 | 10 | 255.34 | 33.408 |
| 17 January 2023 | 10 | 255.32 | 33.452 |
| 22 January 2023 | 10 | 255.32 | 33.566 |
| 18 February 2023 | 10 | 255.38 | 33.566 |
| Mean |  | 255.366 | 33.467 |
| Standard deviation |  | 0.045 | 0.075 |
| 95\% CI +/- |  | 0.042 | 0.069 |
| $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{t})$ movement |  | 0.000 | 0.000 |
|  |  |  |  |
| COMMENTS |  |  |  |
| Possible very small decrease in separation over eight years. |  |  |  |



| TDS7065 | RA. 1009.1 | DEC. -56 51 | Last Measure 1991 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vela | MAG. 12.17 \& 13.28 | PA. $175{ }^{\circ}$ | SEP. 2.6" |
| Date | No. images | $\mathrm{PA}^{\circ}$ | Sep" |
| 11 April 2023 | 10 | 177.98 | 11.18 |
| 12 April 2023 | 10 | 177.96 | 11.184 |
| 13 April 2023 | 10 | 178.05 | 11.196 |
| 14 April 2023 | 10 | 178.24 | 11.132 |
| 17 April 2023 | 10 | 177.88 | 11.179 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Mean |  | 178.022 | 11.174 |
| Standard deviation |  | 0.136 | 0.025 |
| 95\% CI +/- |  | 0.169 | 0.030 |
| $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{t})$ movement |  | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| COMMENTS <br> Five night's observations only due to inclement weather. Considerable increase in separation over thirty two years. |  |  |  |



## POSSIBLE NEW PAIRS

| Possible new pair | RA. 0248.5 | DEC. -32 28 | Last Measure n/a |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| near <br> DAM1389 <br> (Beta Fornax) | MAG. 12.09 \& 14.77 | PA. n/a | SEP. n/a |
| Date | No. images | PA ${ }^{\circ}$ | Sep" |
| 17 November 2022 | 10 | 215.06 | 6.689 |
| 18 November 2022 | 10 | 215.27 | 6.701 |
| 19 November 2022 | 10 | 215.73 | 6.726 |
| 25 November 2022 | 10 | 214.97 | 6.533 |
| 26 November 2022 | 10 | 215.34 | 6.681 |
| 14 December 2022 | 10 | 215.3 | 6.691 |
| 16 December 2022 | 10 | 215.72 | 6.692 |
| Mean |  | 215.341 | 6.673 |
| Standard deviation |  | 0.294 | 0.064 |
| 95\% CI +/- |  | 0.272 | 0.059 |
| $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{t})$ movement |  | n/a | n/a |
| COMMENTS <br> Possible new pair nearby (S.W.) to Beta Fornax. <br> Gaia \#DR2 5064312147648202496 - brighter component. <br> Gaia \#DR2 5064306272132941696 - fainter component. |  |  |  |



| Possible new pair | RA. 093025 | DEC. -39 2820 | Last Measure n/a |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\stackrel{\text { near }}{\text { DAM1636 Antlia }}$ | MAG. 14.68 \& 16.38 | PA. n/a | SEP. n/a |
| Date | No. images | PA ${ }^{\circ}$ | Sep" |
| 12 April 2023 | 10 | 352.03 | 5.591 |
| 13 April 2023 | 10 | 351.24 | 5.597 |
| 17 April 2023 | 10 | 351.00 | 5.601 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Mean |  | 351.423 | 5.596 |
| Standard deviation |  | 0.539 | 0.005 |
| 95\% CI +/- |  | 1.339 | 0.013 |
| $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{t})$ movement |  | n/a | n/a |
| COMMENTS |  |  |  |
| Three nights imaging on Possible new pair SW Gaia \#DR2 5429699618 Gaia \#DR2 5429699618 | due to inclement we AM1636. <br> 0021376 - brighter <br> 0021248 - fainter com | ent. |  |



| Possible new pair | RA. 100816 | DEC. -565548 | Last Measure n/a |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \frac{\text { near }}{\text { TDS7065 Vela }} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | MAG. 14.55 \& 14.56 | PA. n/a | SEP. n/a |
| Date | No. images | PA ${ }^{\circ}$ | Sep" |
| 11 April 2023 | 10 | 156.11 | 4.928 |
| 12 April 2023 | 10 | 155.72 | 5.002 |
|  |  |  |  |
| 13 April 2023 | 10 | 156.16 | 4.984 |
| 14 April 2023 | 10 | 155.73 | 4.982 |
| 17 April 2023 | 10 | 156.1 | 4.973 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Mean |  | 155.964 | 4.974 |
| Standard deviation |  | 0.219 | 0.028 |
| 95\% CI +/- |  | 0.272 | 0.034 |
| $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{t})$ movement |  |  |  |
| COMMENTS |  |  |  |
| Five nights imaging on Possible new pair nea Gaia \#DR2 525893034 Gaia \#DR2 525893034 | due to inclement weat (S.W) of TDS7065. 38350976 - brighter c 38350848 - fainter co | nent. nent. |  |
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#### Abstract

We performed our study on WDS 14415-1712 (ARA 77) using the Las Cumbres Observatory telescope network (LCO) to obtain our images. The intention for the candidate was to determine its probability of being a binary pair. Our measured mean Theta and Rho of $110.49^{\circ}$ and $10.05^{\prime \prime}$ were complementary to prior measurements. Analysis of the system's figures from historical data and test images indicate the candidate cannot be binary.


## 1. Introduction

WDS 14415-1712 (ARA 77), Figure 1, has 6 recorded measurements in the Washington Double Stars Catalog (WDS) (Mason, 2012). The first of which was made in 1905 upon the system's discovery by S. Aravamudan. Both the Primary and Secondary stars share a low magnitude of 14.4, according to the WDS, thus challenging the ability of our equipment. The candidate was selected to not only evaluate the probability of being a binary pair but also to test the capability of our systems when analyzing dim double stars. Other characteristics of the pair proved interesting, specifically the variation in proper motions of the two stars. Unlike the WDS and GAIA catalogs, SIMBAD provided no information regarding ARA 77.


Figure 1: image of Candidate pair taken on Aladdin 10.


Figure 2: The A and B stars have noticeably different proper motions represented by the yellow arrows in the Aladdin 10 image.

## 2. Methods and Materials

The images were taken using the Las Cumbres Observatory (LCO) system. The telescope used for observations was located at the Teide observatory in Tenerife, Spain. The telescope used was the 0.4 -meter reflector with a SBIG 6303 CCD camera with 8 filter options, and a FOV of $29.2 \times 19.5$ arcminutes. The LCO 0.4-meter reflector telescopes have been deployed all around the world with ten in total.


Figure 3: AIJ measurements of 14415-1712

## 3. Observations

A total of 12 images were taken, of which one proved too poor of quality to measure and was therefore excluded from the calculations and measurements. Due to the low magnitude of each star, our exposure times were in the range of 300 to 400 seconds. The Images were calibrated, and plate-solved by the OSS Pipeline (Fitzgerald, 2018). They were measured using AIJ software, Figure 3, and placed in an Excel spreadsheet for statistical calculations.

| WDS $14415-1712$ ARA 77 Astrometry |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Telescope: <br> (number of <br> images used in <br> each filter) | Epoch 2021.347 | Position Angle | Separation | Delta Magnitude |
| R Sloan (11 <br> used), 12 images <br> total | Mean | $110.5^{\circ}$ | $10.05^{\prime \prime}$ | 0.05 |
|  | standard deviation | $0.081^{\circ}$ | $0.016^{\prime \prime}$ | 0.006 |
|  | standard error of <br> mean | $0.02^{\circ}$ | $0.005^{\prime \prime}$ | 0.002 |
| Measurement <br> 2015 (Last <br> measurement <br> before this <br> observation) |  |  |  |  |

Table 1: Recorded measurements and accuracy of theta, rho, and delta magnitude for ARA 77.

## 4. Results

The calculated mean, standard deviation, and standard error of mean for position angle, separation, and delta magnitude of ARA 77 AB are in Table 1. These measurements are nearly identical to that of GAIA's DR2 (Salgado, 2017) and the WDS. With respect to magnitude measurements, GAIA reported a primary magnitude of 12.2 and a secondary magnitude of 12.3 , in Sloan g , whereas the WDS reported 14.4 magnitude for both the A and B star.

| WDS 14415-1712 ARA 77 Historical Data |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Epoch | 1905.35 | 1916.26 | 1999.45 | 2000.48 | 2010.5 | 2015 |  |
| Position <br> Angle | $108.4^{\circ}$ | $103^{\circ}$ | $108.9^{\circ}$ | $109.5^{\circ}$ | $110.2^{\circ}$ | $109.59^{\circ}$ |  |
| Separation | $10.24^{\prime \prime}$ | $9.79^{\prime \prime}$ | $10.06^{\prime \prime}$ | $10.11^{\prime \prime}$ | $10.07^{\prime \prime}$ | $10.04^{\prime \prime}$ |  |

Table 2: The measured theta and rho recorded from all prior observations of ARA 77.

## 5. Discussion

There was minimal change in separation and position angle in the observations, closely following those of previously recorded measurements as seen in Table 2. The Harshaw statistic calculator (Harshaw, 2014), evaluates a pair's likelihood of being binary or optical based on the proper motions, Figure 2. As such, the most recent proper motion and separation measurements for ARA 77, from GAIA, indicates the pair has a vector difference of 0.354 . This figure is moderately low suggesting a plausible yet still-uncertain chance of the pair being binary.

The small angle approximation was applied to the parallax measurements of the A and B stars to calculate a minimum possible separation of 4904.02 AU or 0.077 Light years. This falls well within the generally accepted 1 light year maximum separation for a pair to be gravitationally related. However, this measurement only evaluates the pair on a two-dimensional plane. When taking into account the 3rd dimension, that being the pair's radial separation, a vastly different separation is found.

Evaluating each of the star's distances from Earth proves that the small angle approximation is nothing more than a mere illusion and provides a full understanding of the space between Star A and Star B. Star A has a measured parallax of 2.0245 with an error of 0.015 and Star B has a measured parallax of 2.4358 with an error of 0.0152 . When applying those values to a parallax calculator to find the radial separation it was discovered that the difference in distance is at least 1330 light years, which is far too great for the stars to be physically bound. The probability of Star A and Star B being within 1 light year was calculated to be $0 \%$. With the distance between Star A and Star B exceeding one light year it makes the chance of the pair having a gravitational relation extremely improbable based on the aforementioned rule of maximum separation. However, keep in mind that parallaxes below 5 mas are an area of great uncertainty in measurements.

## 6. Conclusion

With all the measurements and calculations conducted on ARA 77, the data provided suggests that the pair is a U code system, as defined by the WDS, meaning, the discrepancy of the two star's proper motions suggest that the pair is non-physical. Additionally, certain characteristics of the pair, namely the variation in radial separation of the stars, seen on GAIA and in historical data received, make the probability of ARA 77 being gravitationally bound highly improbable. These findings among others indicate that the pair can be classified as a $U$ code system and that ARA 77 is non-physical.
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#### Abstract

The physical system of WDS $15493+6032$ HU 912 was observed on June 14, 2022 using the 60 -inch telescope at Mount Wilson Observatory. Speckle interferometry was performed on the target system, yielding a mean position angle and standard deviation of $159.4^{\circ} \pm 0.372^{\circ}$ with a mean separation and standard deviation of $0.333^{\prime \prime} \pm 0.008^{\prime \prime}$. Astrometric measurements of this system were calculated using the SpeckleToolBox software [2]. Our measurements of this system return a statistically significant ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ) deviation but also fall along the predicted orbital solution, indicating that the orbital solution for HU 912 does not currently require refinement.


## 1. Introduction

Our observation of WDS 15493+6032 HU 912 was made on June 14, 2022 at the site of the historic Mount Wilson Observatory. The United States Naval Observatory maintains the Sixth Orbital Catalog for binary stars. In this Sixth Catalog of Orbits of Visual Binary Stars [4], a standardized integer-based grading scale from 1 to 5 is employed for the orbits of known gravitationally bound stars. Grades 3 to 5 are considered in need of some to significant improvement regarding the determination of their orbital solutions. Our target system of WDS 15493+6032 HU 912 has an orbital solution considered Grade 3 [6], suggesting a need for continued observation. HU 912 also fits within an ideal magnitude range between 6 and 9 , with a magnitude of 8.60 for the primary stars and a magnitude of 9.01 for the secondary star [5]. Since HU 912 fits the ideal parameters defined above, it was selected to be the target system for observation. As these stars are closely spaced, we needed to employ the measurement method of Speckle Interferometry. Therefore, in addition to observing HU 912, an additional well-understood reference star is necessary to calibrate observations. The nearby target star, WDS 15542+1659 A 2080, was selected as our reference star due to its close proximity, comparable magnitude, and similar air mass. First cataloged in 1905, the target star had been observed a total of 59 times prior to our observation, most recently in 2010. The target star's spectral class is F5.

## 2. Equipment and Methods

WDS 15493+6032 HU 912 was observed for a duration of one night on the 60 " Hale telescope at the Mount Wilson Observatory, Figure 1. The date of the observation was June 14, 2022, or 2022.45. The telescope has a focal ratio of f/16-a focal length of 24 m . The camera used was a ZWO ASI 6200MM (a monochrome CMOS camera) setup with an Astronomik Proplanet-642 BP IR pass filter, with a pixel scale of $3.8 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and a plate scale of 0.03045 arcseconds per pixel. The field of view for this setup is $5.08^{\prime} \times 3.38^{\prime}$.

During this observation run for HU 912, a series of a thousand images were taken. An equivalent amount of images were taken for the reference star, necessary to complete the Fourier transform in speckle interferometry [1]. While the reference star is a double star, all other single star systems were either too distant or there was a significant difference is magnitude such that they would not serve as appropriate reference stars, leaving A 2080 as the reference star of choice. As a consequence of the target pair's apparent separation being below 4", speckle interferometry is convenient because it allows for the correction of atmospheric distortion present when observing such a closely-bound double star. Speckle interferometry requires a large amount of images in order to minimize this atmospheric distortion by "freezing" the images over a very short time scale, hence the thousand images taken. The data reduction and bi-spectrum analysis were performed within Speckle Toolbox 1.16 (STB) [2], outputting the thencurrent astrometric values of the position angle $(\theta)$ and separation $(\rho)$.


Figure 1: The Mount Wilson Observatory 60" telescope used to image WDS 15493+6032

## 3. Data

Examples of the specifications for bi-spectrum analysis of HU 912 and astrometry of the autocorrelogram within STB are shown in Figures 2 and 3. The imaged FITS cubes were generated from the same dataset and analyzed independently by three team members, each outputting astrometric measurements, Table 1. These separate calculations allow an average and standard deviation (S.D.) to be calculated. The averages of $\rho$ and $\theta$ and the S.D. are shown in Table 2. The ephemeris for the date of observation and the measurements from the last recorded observation are also shown in Table 2.

Table 1. FITS Cube Measurements.

| FITS Cubes No. | $\theta$ | $\rho$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. 1 | 159.34 | 0.328 |
| No. 2 | 159.42 | 0.329 |
| No. 3 | 159.15 | 0.342 |

Table 2. Measurements of HU 912.

| Date | $\theta$ | $\theta$ S.D. | $\rho$ | $\rho$ S.D. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2010.31 | $132.1^{\circ}$ | - | $0.325^{\prime \prime}$ | - |
| 2022.45 | $159.4^{\circ}$ | $0.372^{\circ}$ | $0.333^{\prime \prime}$ | $0.008^{\prime \prime}$ |
| Ephemeris - <br> 2022.45 | $148.0^{\circ}$ | - | $0.340^{\prime \prime}$ | - |



Figure 2: Steps of STB bi-spectrum reconstruction showing panels with specifications for the reconstruction and astrometry.


Figure 3: Steps of STB bi-spectrum reconstruction showing panels with specifications for the reconstruction and astrometry.

## 4. Discussion

We compared the measurements collected in Table 1 to predicted values from an ephemeris for $\theta$ and $\rho$. A linear interpolation was used to find the ephemeris for the given date of the observation [3]:

$$
\text { (1) } y=y_{1}+a\left(y_{2}-y_{1}\right)
$$

where $y$ is the ephemeris for $\rho$ or $\theta$ on the given date, $y_{1}$ the ephemeride for a given year and $y_{2}$ the year after it, and $a$ the decimal value of the date falling between the given years. As an example, the date of this observation was 2022.45. It follows that $a$ is 0.45 , and $y_{1}$ and $y_{2}$ the ephemerides for 2022 and 2023. Upon identifying the values for the ephemerides from the Sixth Catalog of Orbits of Visual Binary Stars, it is found that the ephemeris for this system is $148.0^{\circ}$ and $0.34^{\prime \prime}$ for $\theta$ and $\rho$, respectively. The orbital solution from the Sixth Catalog of Orbits of Visual Binary Stars is shown in Fig. 4, where the observed measurement is represented as the red star and the ephemeris the blue star.

The difference between the observed and predicted values for the $\theta$ and $\rho$ is $11.40^{\circ}$ and $0.007^{\prime \prime}$, respectively, and the S.D. for each is $0.372^{\circ}$ and $0.008^{\prime \prime}$, respectively. It follows that there is an approximate $30 \sigma$ difference for the $\theta$ and a $1 \sigma$ difference for the $\rho$ present, indicative of a highly statistically significant measurement. By convention, a measurement must fall, assuming a confidence of $95 \%$ ( $p<0.05$ ), at least $2 \sigma$ away from the null (i.e., the mean) to be statistically significant. However, while there may be a discrepancy between the calculated ephemeris for the date of the observation, as can be seen in Figure 4, the observed data fall along the graph of the orbital solution, indicating that the orbital solution and the set of all ephemerides do predict the measurement recorded.


Figure 4: Orbital plot of HU 912 with the measurement denoted by the red star and the ephemeris by the blue star.

## 5. Conclusion

We report updated measurements of WDS $15493+6032 \mathrm{HU} 912$ with a position angle of $159.4^{\circ} \pm$ $0.372^{\circ}$ and a separation of $0.333^{\prime \prime} \pm 0.008^{\prime \prime}$. While there is a significant difference between the predicted ephemeris and the measurement observed, it does not imply that the orbital solution itself requires refinement as the measurement still falls along the orbital solution. Therefore, we conclude that the orbital solution of HU 912 does not require refinement at the present moment.
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#### Abstract

On 8 April 2023, an occultation of the star UCAC4 402-083153 (Gaia id: 4155332686530844800 ) by the asteroid (676) Melitta revealed that this star is a previously unknown double star with a separation of 18.0 mas.


## 1. Observation

The occultation path from Occult4 [1] (Figure 1) ran over the Greek observatory of Nikiforos Drama (obs1). All the parameters of this occultation event can also be taken from Figure 1. For the observation, a SchmidtCassegrain telescope with an aperture of 35.5 cm was used. There were no filters in the optical path. The camera was a QHY174GPS, which operated with an exposure time of 500 ms . The recording from this station led to the discovery of the double star.


Figure 1: Occult4 prediction of the occultation of UCAC4 402-083153 by Melitta (676)
On the shadow path, there was also a Spanish station (obs2) near Las Negras where a 20 cm Newtonian telescope and a WATEC 910HX-RC camera (exposure time 320 ms , no filters) were used. This station did not register an occultation of the target star; however, with its "near miss" result the ambiguity of the double star solution gotten from the first station's recording could be limited. Both stations used the GPS 1-ppspulse for precise timing. No other observations of this occultation event are known. The observations of
this stellar occultation event took place within the framework of the International Occultation Timing Association/European Section (IOTA/ES) [2] and the results were recorded with its SODIS portal [3].

## 2. Data reduction

Figure 2 shows the recording from the Spanish station (obs2), prepared with PyMovie/PyOTE [4].


Figure 2: Station obs2 recording - no occultation was detected
For the photometry of the recording of station obs1 (Figure 3), we used the programme Tangra [5].


Figure 3: Tangra light curve of the obsl station recording, showing a clear step on the reappearance from the occultation

In contrast to the station in Spain, this recording shows an occultation with a step-like transition at its end - an indication of the presence of a double star. The star UCAC4 402-083153 is not yet known to be a double star according to the catalogues (WDS, Interferometric Catalog, Gaia). The Occult 4 database shows no previous occultations of this star. We used AOTA, part of the Occult 4 software package, to extract the obs1 occultation times (Figure 4).


Figure 4: Station obsl event times extraction with AOTA, for results see Table 1
The derived times are shown in Table 1 as well as the average light levels from the Tangra light curve (Figure 3).

Table 1. Station obs1 event times (AOTA) and average light levels (Tangra).

|  | D1 | R1 | R2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time (UTC) | $01: 40: 31.9 \pm 0.3 \mathrm{~s}$ | $01: 40: 36.3 \pm 0.3 \mathrm{~s}$ | $01: 40: 38.2 \pm 0.3 \mathrm{~s}$ |
| Average light level <br> (kADU) | $404>90$ | $90>163$ | $163>404$ |

## 3. Analysis

For the analysis, we used the appropriate tools of Occult4 in the standard method described by Herald [6]. Figure 5 and Table 2 show the results.


Figure 5: Occult4 double star analysis

The measured chord length of the main event was larger than the predicted diameter of the asteroid ( $83 \pm 5$ km ). For this reason, we fitted the asteroid with a circle extending over the entire main chord ( 90 km ), see Figure 5. In this way, we could exclude two of the 4 ambiguous double star solutions. Taking the obs 2 station non detection recording into account, we can also exclude one of the two remaining solutions (Figure $6)$.


Figure 6: Exclusion of solution sol 2 using Occult4: Station obs2 (chord 1 (M) F. Casarramona) did not record a detection

Assuming a linear camera response of station obs1 recording, we estimated the magnitudes of the double star components using the average light levels given in Table 1. Due to the limited number of data points during the events, the magnitudes given are only rough estimates. Using the Occult4 magnitude calculator, we derived a magnitude of 13.0 for the main component and a magnitude of 13.8 for the fainter component.

## 4. Results

The derived double star characteristics are shown in Table 2. Further observations are necessary to improve the data.

Table 2. Double star characteristics.

| Star | UCAC4 | UCAC4 402-083153 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Gaia DR3 ID | 4155332686530844800 |
|  | GCRS position at epoch 2023.2668 | RA 18h 42m 17.933750s, <br> Dec $-9^{\circ} 36^{\prime} 42.59475$ |
|  | G-mag | 12.61 |
|  | Estimated diameter (Gaia) | 0.04 mas |
| Double star solution | Separation | $18.0 \pm 0.1 \mathrm{mas}$ |
|  | Position angle | $316.0^{\circ} \pm 0.4^{\circ}$ |
|  | Estimated component magnitudes A, B | $13.0,13.8$ |
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#### Abstract

Using data collected from the historic 60" telescope at the Mount Wilson Observatory on 2023.4873 (June 27, 2023), the position angle and separation of the multiple system WDS 18181-0120 were calculated using Speckle Tool Box and compared with previous measurements. The A and B members' mean position angle was found to be $196.46^{\circ}$ and the mean separation was 0.1357 ". The position angle was within $5 \%$ of the extrapolated 6th Orbit Catalog estimate, and the separation was within $15 \%$. Previous analysis indicates that the pair are gravitationally bound.


## 1. Introduction

Antoine Labeyrie first employed speckle methods to reduce the effect of atmospheric distortion and more accurately measure binary stars with separations below what is possible with visual observation (Labeyrie 1970). New techniques and software make it a valuable and accessible field for first-time researchers. The technique uses hundreds of "stacked" images from large aperture telescopes and specialized software to "freeze" atmospheric distortion, making it possible with image processing tools like Speckle ToolBox (Harshaw et al, 2017)) to measure the Position Angle ( $\theta$ ) and Separation ( $\rho$ ) of close binary stars. This method is an accessible and powerful technique, even when using telescopes of modest aperture.

In June 2023, a team of researchers spent three days observing with the historic 60 " telescope on Mount Wilson, using speckle techniques on some 53 targets chosen from a spreadsheet combining the WDS catalog with the 6th Orbit Catalog and Ephemerides (McCudden, et al; 2022). Targets were chosen with separations down to $0.1^{\prime \prime}$ separation.

From the resulting target list, WDS 18181-0120 (HDS 2587AB, HD 168073, TYC 5098-553-1, SAO 142206, HIP 89680) was chosen for analysis. It is located within the constellation Serpens Cauda (Ser) and was first resolved by Hipparcos in 1991. It has an estimated period of less than 150 years, a distance of 227.79 parsecs ( 743.05 light years), an apparent magnitude of 7.78 , and a spectral class of A0. It is a triple system, but for the purposes of this paper only the AB components were addressed as the C member is outside the parameters for our object selection. A sample speckle image from Mount Wilson Observatory (MWO) is featured in Figure 1. It is a Grade 3 system.

WDS 18181-0120 was chosen for observation due to its close $\rho(<1.0$ ") separation and was therefore a good test of the techniques and the telescope's limits. The first measurements of the companion's $\rho$ and $\theta$ were taken in 1991 by Hipparcos, with six subsequent observations being made, the last in 2019 by Tokovinin (see Table 1).

Our objective was to analyze the object using speckle interferometry and provide another observation, further establishing the orbital path of the companion and informing future estimates of its period.


Figure 1. MWO Speckle Image of WDS 18181-0120 (0.02 second exposure).
Table 1. USNO Double Star data for WDS 18181-0120

| Date | $\rho\left({ }^{\prime \prime}\right)$ | $\theta\left(^{\circ}\right)$ | Observer |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1991.25 | 0.133 | 350.0 | Hipparcos (1997) |
| 2013.643 | 0.086 | 318.7 | Gili, R. (2022) |
| 2014.3021 | 0.0677 | 329.1 | Tokovinin, A. (2015) |
| 2015.7357 | 0.0786 | 160.7 | Tokovinin, A. (2016) |
| 2017.5332 | 0.0920 | 172.7 | Tokovinin, A. (2018) |
| 2018.2347 | 0.1031 | 185.3 | Tokovinin, A. (2019) |
| 2019.5361 |  |  | Tokovinin, A. (2020) |

## 2. Equipment and Methods

Data for WDS 18181-0120 was collected by the 60 -inch telescope at the Mount Wilson Observatory on 2023.4873 (June 27, 2023). The telescope was used in its bent Cassegrain mode. 1000 images of 181810120 were taken with 20 millisecond exposure with a ZWO ASI 6200MM Pro camera fitted with a Astronomik ProPlanet 642 BP 2850002585 (IR pass) filter with a midpoint transmission at 750 nm . Five hundred images were also taken of HD 166991, using 20 millisecond exposures to be used as a reference star. The PlateSolve software was used to determine the pixel scale of $.0306 \mathrm{arcseconds} / \mathrm{pixel}$ and rotation
angle of $178.997^{\circ}$ (Harshaw et al. 2017). Using these values, each of the three authors did five reductions each at both 128 and 256 pixel sized images using Speckle ToolBox (STB), then the mean $\rho$, mean $\theta$, and standard deviation were calculated and are shown in Table 2.


Figure 2: Screenshot of a 256 pixel STB data reduction on 18181-0120


Figure 3: Screenshot of a 128 pixel STB data reduction on WDS 18181-0120

## 3. Data

The average values of $\rho$ and $\theta$ calculated from the reductions (repeated 15 times) are shown in Table 2. The values for $\rho$ and $\theta$ shown in columns 6 and 7 are extrapolated from the 6th orbit projections for 2023.4873. The standard error was calculated by dividing the standard deviation by the square root of the number of measurements.

Table 2. Average calculated values of $\rho$ and $\theta$ for WDS 18181-0120 (HDS 2587) on 2023.4873

| Pixels | $\rho\left({ }^{\prime \prime}\right)$ | Standard Error (") | $\theta\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | Standard Error ( $\left.{ }^{\circ}\right)$ | Extrapolated $\rho(")$ | Extrapolated $\theta\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 128 | 0.1357 | $9.28 \times 10^{-4}$ | 195.87 | .876 |  |  |
| 256 | 0.1357 | $1.57 \times 10^{-3}$ | 197.04 | .806 |  |  |
| Average | 0.1357 | $8.96 \times 10^{-4}$ | 196.46 | .595 | 118 |  |

The values found were plotted onto the orbital plot of WDS 18181-0120 from the Sixth Catalog of Visual Binary Stars displayed in Figure 4.

## 4. Discussion

The binary exhibits one discrepancy with respect to the 6th Orbit Plot in historical $\theta$ and $\rho$ measurements, with the specific outlier being the Gili 2013 measurements (see Table 1 and Figure 4) (Gili et al. 2022). Subsequent observations by Tokovinin $(2016,2018,2019,2020)$ offer a more consistent orbital path. Our Mount Wilson Observatory observation is plotted as "MWO" in red.


Figure 4: Plotting of Gili 2013, Tok 2018, Tok 2019, and the Mt. Wilson Observatory data onto the Sixth Catalog of Visual Binary Stars orbit for 18181-0120

Our observation fell outside the path displayed in the orbital plot of WDS18181-0120 from the Sixth Catalog of Visual Binary Stars (see Figure 4). The authors' measured $\theta$ of $196.46^{\circ}$ differs by $4 \%$ from the interpolated value of $195.61^{\circ}$, and the observed $\rho$ exceeds the interpolated value of 0.113 " by $15 \%$. If our observation is correct, it would mean the orbit is wider and the period somewhat longer than originally calculated.

## 5. Conclusions

Speckle techniques can accurately measure sub-100 mas separations and position angles, and represent a relatively accessible means to measure multiple systems. Remote access to large aperture, near professional-grade telescopes, coupled with free tools for Speckle Interferometry, have revolutionized binary star research. This combination of tools have made it possible for first-time researchers to contribute scientifically valuable binary star observations. Given the relatively short period of the WDS 18181-0120 system, and the small number of observations currently available, near-future observations could further establish the orbital path using the same methods. A larger number of observations could force the 6th Catalog of Binary Stars to revise the orbit.
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#### Abstract

The separation and position angle of the known binary system WDS 04215-2544 was measured using the Speckle Interferometry method with the fully robotic 1.0-meter Planewave Instruments telescope, located at the El Sauce Observatory in the Atacama Desert of Chile. The November 2022 results are Separation $\rho=0.5 \pm 0.1$ and Position Angle Theta $\theta=246.3^{\circ} \pm 0.3$. These are in line with 6th Orbit Catalog (Mason et al 2023) ephemeris projections for 2022 and 2023.


## Introduction

## Binary Stars

Binary star systems provide a unique opportunity to study stellar evolution. By observing the interaction between the two stars in a binary system, astronomers can better understand how stars evolve, exchange mass, and transfer energy (Tauris \& Heuvel 2023). This knowledge contributes greatly to modern astrophysics.

Astronomers identify and catalog binary star systems through various surveys and observations. These systems are discovered through direct imaging, spectroscopic measurements, photometric variations, or astrometric techniques. Each binary is classified by its properties, such as spectral type, component star separation, period, eccentricity, and component masses. Photometric observations can track brightness, spectroscopic observations can measure radial velocities and determine orbital parameters, and highresolution imaging can resolve the individual stars within the system.

## Known Binary Stars Project

The Known Binary Stars project (Genet et al 2023) obtained observations of WDS 04215-2544 using a robotic 1.0-metre Planewave Instruments telescope, one of the largest aperture research telescopes currently in production. Located at the telerobotic El Sauce Observatory in Chile's Atacama Desert, this telescope is one of a growing array of remote access telescopes in one of the best astronomic viewing locations on Earth.


Figure 1: Left: Fully automatic El Sauce Observatory powered with solar panels. Right: The 1.0meter Planewave Instruments robotic telescope used for these studies is located in one of the enclosures.

The purpose of the overall project was to determine the accuracy, precision, and limitations of robotic astrometry for 1-meter telescopes. Binary star data from the Sixth Catalog of Orbits of Visual Binary Stars (Matson et al 2023) was used as the starting point. A spreadsheet list (McCudden et al 2022) was filtered to obtain observable candidates, and a number of these were observed in the fall of 2022. WDS 04215-2544 was selected from the observed binaries based on a review of the orbital plots of past observations.

## Speckle Interferometry

Speckle interferometry (Labeyrie 1970) is a technique used to study binary or multiple stars using high magnification. It involves obtaining many short-exposure images and analyzing the changes or "speckles" caused by atmospheric turbulence, as shown in Figure 2.


Figure 2. Example of a short exposure speckle image of WDS 04215-2544.

The speckle analysis process includes collating thousands of such speckle images and using multiple Fourier transformation steps and correlation to re-construct images. This process effectively removes much of the atmospheric turbulence of an Earth-based telescope system. The re-construction of the optical image comes close to reaching the optical diffraction limit of the telescope, given the telescope's aperture and camera system configuration, with most of the atmospheric turbulence effect removed by the special mathematical processing. The Speckle Toolbox software developed by Dave Rowe (Harshaw et al- 2017) was used to process the speckle images. Astrometric measurements of key binary star parameters were produced including component separation (Rho) and position angle (Theta).


Figure 3: Bi-spectrum Phase Reconstruction of WDS 04215-2544 using the Speckle Toolbox enables special processing of high-resolution imaging at high magnification.

The main steps and associated equipment involved in the speckle interferometry process are as follows: FITS Cube: A high-speed camera is used to capture short exposure images of the binary star system. These images document the continuous changes caused by air turbulence. The software pulls these images into an overall matrix of all the FITS speckle images.
Bispectrum Processing: Double Fourier transform and correlation processing is performed on the acquired speckle images to eliminate the atmospheric turbulence effects, including deconvolution using a single reference star automatically selected during the speckle observing session (Genet et al 2023). Bispectrum Phase Reconstruction: Reconstruction step uses an interactive procedure to reproduce the image.


Figure 4. Final Phase Reconstruction of WDS 04215-2544 showing the Primary A star closest to the middle of the image and the Secondary B star to the top left. A small faint artifact of the Speckle Interferometry process is shown towards the bottom right.

## WDS 04215-2544

The spectral class of a star is determined by its surface temperature and spectroscopy which categorizes stars into different types represented by letters (Cannon. 1895). The spectral class of the components of a binary star system can vary significantly. Each component star can have its own spectral class.

WDS 04215-2544 was discovered in 1879 by Sherburne Wesley Burnham with a 0.2 -meter telescope equipped with a micrometer. Both component stars (Table 1) are F-type stars, and the binary currently has a projected orbital period of 81 years. The key system and component parameters are as follows (Matson et al 2023):

Table 1: Key parameters of WDS 04215-2544

| WDS 04215-2544 | Value | Spectral Class | Magnitude | Rho $\rho{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | Theta $\theta^{0}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ Orbit 2022.0 Projection |  |  |  | 0.487 | 243.6 |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ Orbit 2023.0 Projection |  |  |  | 0.5 | 246.4 |
| Primary Star |  | F2V | 7.26 |  |  |
| Secondary Star |  | F2V | 6.56 |  |  |
| Constellation | Eridanus |  |  |  |  |
| Discoverer | BU 744AB |  | Alternative Names | HIP 20347 |  |
| RA (Decimal) | 65.38038 |  | Tycho 2 6460-03129-1 | HD 27710 |  |
| Dec (Decimal) | -25.72844 |  | CP-26 520 | HR 1374 |  |
| Distance | 191.64 ly |  | CD-26 1642 | ADS 3159 |  |

## Instrumentation, Automation, and Methods

Speckle interferometry observations of WDS 04215-2544 were obtained with a PlaneWave Instruments PW1000 telescope with a 1-meter aperture, using the Known Binary Project configuration set up by Hardy et al (2023). The filters (Sloan g', r', $\mathrm{z}^{\prime}$, and $\mathrm{i}^{\prime}$ filters) and the QHY600MM-Pro CMOS camera are installed on their own speckle interference port. The focal length of $12,038 \mathrm{~mm}$ provides an image scale of 0.065 arcseconds/pixel. The AltAz telescope's image is stabilized with a field counter rotator that maintains a nearly constant direction with respect to celestial north. Small night-to-night deviations require a plate solution each night to determine the exact camera angle for that night. Software includes Night Imaging 'N' Astronomy (NINA), PWI-4 telescope control software, and a new NINA Speckle Interferometry Plug-in developed by Hardy and Bewersdorff (Hardy et al 2023). A full-frame image is created so that a region of interest (ROI) can be clearly defined around the target. A plate solution from the full frame is used to obtain the plate scale and camera angle for subsequent analysis. The NINA speckle plugin automatically calculates the optimum exposure time for images based on stellar magnitude. After taking 500 speckle images of the target star, the telescope moves to a nearby automatically selected reference star and repeats the whole process; taking 300 images with the same ROI size, before heading to the next target.

## Data

## Past Observations

An amateur astronomer, Robert Burnham was a court recorder in Chicago and searched for new double stars in his spare time. Almost 70 observations of 04215-2544 were made exclusively by refracting telescopes with micrometers between Burnham's discovery in 1879 and 1975. The majority of these observations were made by W. S. Finsen and W. H. van den Bos on the 0.7-meter Union Observatory refractor in Johannesburg, South Africa, and J. G. Voute on the $0.6-\mathrm{m}$ refractor at Bosscha Observatory in Lembang, West Bangdung Regency, West Java. These observations are shown with + in Figure 1.


Figure 5: Orbital plot from the Sixth Catalog of Orbits of Visual Binary Stars (Matson et al 2023). Marco Scardia (1991), a French astronomer, calculated the orbit. We have added annotations and the two dot observations in the top left of Figure 5, using data from the Washington Double Star Catalog (2023) supplied to us by Rachel Matson.

The first speckle interferometry measurement of this binary was made in 1978 by B. L. Morgan et al (1982) from the Imperial College of Science and Technology in London. Their observations were made on the 3.9-meter Anglo Australian telescope at the Siding Spring Observatory in Australia. When it was commissioned in 1974, it was the largest telescope in the southern hemisphere. Observations were made with a high-speed film camera, while reduction was via a laser that illuminated each of the 500 or so frames.


Figure 6: The 3.9-meter Anglo-Australian telescope at the Siding Spring in Australia. It was one of the last large telescopes with an equatorial mount. Later large telescopes utilized alt-az mounts as they are much more compact.

The next speckle observations were obtained in 1980 by Harold McAlister et al (1983) with observations made on 35 mm Tri-X film with a high-speed camera while riding in the Cassegrain focus of the 4.0 -meter telescope at Kitt Peak National Observatory (KPNO). Similar observations were made in 1989 and 1990 by William Hartkopf et al (1993) with the 4.0-meter Victor Blanco telescope at Cerro Tololo Interamerican Observatory (CTIO) in the Chilean desert. These two 4.0-meter telescopes are twins. Their design and construction were supervised by David Crawford, Assistant Director of KPNO.

The Hipparcos astrometric space telescope was launched by the European Space Agency in 1989 and operated until 1993. It took four years to analyze the data with what was then the world's largest computer complex. The result was the Hipparcos Catalog (1997) which contained precise astrometric data (including distances) of over 100,000 stars. One of these was WDS 04215-2544.


Figure 7: The Hipparcos space telescope, which was launched in 1989, obtained precise astrometric measurements of over 100,000 stars.

Elliot Horch made speckle observations in Argentina in 1998-97 and in 1999 with his advanced speckle camera on the 3.5 -meter WYIN (Wisconsin, Yale, Indiana, National Optical Astronomy Observatories) located on Kitt Peak (Horch et al.). This pioneering telescope, devised by R. Kent Honeycutt at Indiana University, utilized an alt-az mount and had a thin primary mirror that was warped into exact shape by 66 computer-controlled actuators.


Figure 8: The rear of the WYIN telescopes is covered with actuators that shape the mirror. The octagon-shaped dome is small for a telescope this size thanks to the alt-az mount and shortfocus primary mirror.

In 2006, Brian Mason et al (2009) used the 4.0-meter telescope at Kitt Peak National Observatory to observe WDS 04215-2544. In 2008, Andre Tokovinin made his first speckle interferometry observation of this binary with the 4.1-meter Southern Astrophysical Research (SOAR) telescope in Chile, located near CTIO. Tokovinin's initial SOAR speckle observations were made with the same, small, front illuminated Andor Luca emCCD camera used by several astronomers on much smaller telescopes.


Figure 9: The Southern Astrophysical Research (SOAR) telescope in Chile.
Further speckle interferometry measurements were made in 2010 by Hartkopf et al (2012) and in 2011 by Tokovinin et al (2012). The last two speckle measurements were made in 2018 by Tokovinin et al (2019) and in 2021 by Tokovinin et al (2022). These observations are shown in the top left-hand side of the original plot (Figure 45).

## Our New Observation

The equipment and procedures used to obtain our observation have been described in Section 2 and in much detail by Hardy et al. The image in Figure 10 is a full frame, 60 -second exposure with the Sloan Red r' filter. Because of the high magnification the field is small, just $10.37 \times 6.92$ arc-min. The plate
scale and plate rotation angle were obtained through a long exposure image analyzed with the Plate Solve application developed by Dave Rowe (Harshaw et al period 2017). As shown in Figure 10, the stars were matched to catalogues, resulting in an image scale of $0.0650^{\prime \prime} / \mathrm{pixel}$ and a plate rotation angle of $266.656^{\circ}$.


Figure 10: Plate solution for WDS 04215-2544, BU 744AB obtained 6 November 2022. The seeing (RMS FWHM Diameter) at that time was 1.92 arcseconds. The bright sky background was 17.16 mag per square arc second.

The final stage of the Speckle Interferometry process is running the bispectrum phase reconstruction or data reduction part of the process, as shown in Figure 11. Figure 11 also shows the measurement results by applying the Astrometry tool in the Speckle Toolbox, with centroids being applied around the component stars and a reference background area. Figure 12 shows the result tables of the measured parameters of the Astrometry Tool (left-hand side), along with the Reconstruction parameters used on the right-hand side.


Figure 11: The Speckle Toolbox bi-spectral data reduction provides control and feedback to the user, as well as monitoring of integration and effective bi-spectral convergence results during recovery (Hardy et al 2023) The false autocorrelation lobe disappears. Image on right-hand side is after 18 iterations of the process.


Figure 12: The measurement window provides inputs for calibration and measurement apertures, yielding astrometry and photometry results. The primary, secondary and background apertures seen above were manually centered on the image, guided by star centroid indicators when "peak lock" was checked.

Our repeated manual measurements of the final bispectrum image resulted in the following results for Rho and Theta as shown in Table 2.

Table 2: Our New Observation Results for Rho and Theta

|  | Measurements |  |  |  | Range |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Researcher | Ref <br> Pixels | Target <br> Pixels | Rho " | On-Sky <br> Theta | Theta $^{\circ}$ | Stat | Rho " | Theta $^{\circ}$ |


| RSC | 4.9 | 4.9 | 0.489 | 113.72 | 246.28 | N | 4 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RSC | 4.9 | 4.9 | 0.492 | 114.49 | 245.51 | Mean | 0.49 | 246.3 |
| RSC | 4.94 | 4.9 | 0.496 | 113.08 | 246.92 |  |  |  |
| RSC | 4.9 | 4.9 | 0.497 | 113.53 | 246.47 |  |  |  |

## Orbital Period

Using much of the recent Speckle data, including our new observation, we re-calculated the estimated orbital period going as far back as we could in whole orbits from the most recent measurement epochs, measuring the epoch back in time where the position angles are next matched. The mean of these results provided a new estimated period of $80.3 \pm 0.5$ years, slightly shorter than the current $6^{\text {th }}$ Orbit catalog's value of 81.0 years.

## Discussion

Our new Rho and Theta measurements plural are in line with the $6^{\text {th }}$ orbit catalog projections as shown in Table 3. After adding our 2022 speckle interferometry observation, shown as the $X$ in the top left of Figure 13, we calculated a possibly slightly shorter orbital period using the latest speckle interferometry results.

Table 3: New Observation Measurements compared with 6 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Orbit Projections

| Measurement Source | Theta $^{\circ}$ | Rho " |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ Orbit Projected Ephemeris for $6^{\text {th }}$ Nov 2023 | 246.0 | 0.5 |
| Our New Observation Result on $6^{\text {th }}$ Nov 2023 | $246.3+/ 0.3$ | $0.5+/ 0.1$ |



Figure 13: Our observation, shown as $X$ is near-the top left of the image close to the ellipse.

## Conclusion

In conclusion, our new Rho and Theta measurements are very close to the $6^{\text {th }}$ Orbit Catalog projections, even though the orbit might be slightly shorter overall than older historical data has previously shown.

Given that the measurement results are so close to the $6^{\text {th }}$ Orbit Catalog projections, and the high signal to noise ratio in the reconstructed images, there are clear advantages in using speckle interferometry to measure binary stars with smaller telescopes.

Given the relatively short period of this star system it will be well worth repeating images over the coming years to provide further improved projections of the orbital period.
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#### Abstract

: Eight physical double stars with separations between 8 " and 20 " were selected from the Washington Double Star Catalogue. Using the Afterglow tool, the position angles and separations were measured. The results were combined with data from GAIA DR3 to make orbital plots.


## Introduction:

There were several constraints on the stars our team was able to study. During the months when this study was done, stars with a right ascension between 5 and 15 hours, and separation of 8 " and 20 " were observed. The target stars for this study were chosen with similar parallax and proper motion i.e., Physical Double Stars. To avoid pixel saturation, stars with a magnitude between 9 to 18 and relatively similar brightness (delta $<5$ ) were chosen. This allowed us to get appropriate exposure time to capture both the primary and secondary stars. Using these constraints in Stelle Doppie for WDS Catalogue search, eight stars were selected. Those stars were: SKF2037 (WDS 05104+6020), GWP1113 (WDS 08557-1531), CRB 67 (WDS 05017+3324), HJ 3717 (WDS 05020-3935), KPP2106 (WDS 05037-7333), SKF2476 (WDS 05243-2149), UC 102 (WDS 07057+4827) and KPP992 (WDS 05005-6238).

Using ALADIN software, data from the SIMBAD data base, the VIZIER service, the GAIA DR3 data base, and other archives, the B-R and absolute Gmag, the luminosity, mass and temperature of the stars were estimated.

## Instruments Used:

For our observations, six telescopes from Skynet Robotics Telescopes Network (SRTN) were used. Telescopes Prompt2 and Prompt5 in the Cerro Tololo Inter-American Observatory, Morehead telescope in Morehead, PROMPT-MO-1 in the Meckering Observatory, and finally, Prompt-USASK, USASK-14 in the Sleaford Observatory were used. All our observations were taken from Prompt2, Prompt5, PROMPT-MO-1, Prompt-USASK telescopes. The PROMPT2, PROMPT5, and Prompt-USASK telescopes had 0.4 m apertures and $4,600 \mathrm{~mm}$ focal lengths. The Morehead telescope has an aperture of 0.6 m and focal length of 8600 mm .The USASK-14 has an aperture of 0.4 m and focal length of 3900 mm . The images were taken with a 16 -bit CCD with a flux range of $0-65353$. A Hithru filter was used to allow long exposures to capture as many stars as possible. Five images with a dithering of 3 X 3 with 10 seconds spacing were taken for each pair of stars. Dithering helps get rid of photons of light lost on dead pixels on the lens by a controlled movement of the mount between successive exposures that very slightly modifies where photons land on the sensor on a pixel-by-pixel basis. Apart from Sleaford Observatory, all the Observatories are in barren locations and high altitudes. This allows the images to be less damaged by atmospheric seeing and pollution.

## Measurements:

The measurements for this study were conducted using the Afterglow tool provided by SRTN. After ensuring that the images were not saturated, the images were stacked, and the separations and position
angles were determined using the Afterglow tool. From which, we get a mean position angle and separation. The observed data is noted and shown in Table 2.

The resultant Proper Motion (rPM) was calculated following the method shown in Harshaw, 2016. rPM helps us understand if the stars share a common proper motion. rPM less than 0.2 is considered Common Proper Motion (CPM), between 0.2 and 0.6 is Similar Proper Motion (SPM) and above 0.6 is considered Different Proper Motion (DPM).

Table 1: Parallax and proper motion data for each system, including the proper motion ratio (rPM) calculated as the ratio of the PM difference vector magnitude to the magnitude of the longer of the component PM vectors.

| System | Parallax of Primary (mas) | Parallax of Secondary (mas) | Proper Motion of Primary (mas/yr) | Proper Motion of Secondary (mas/yr) | rPM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SKF2037 } \\ & \text { (WDS } \\ & 05104+6020) \end{aligned}$ | $11.47 \pm 0.02$ | $10.49 \pm 0.01$ | $\begin{gathered} (54.70 \pm 0.01,- \\ 82.44 \pm 0.01) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} (54.58 \pm 0.01,- \\ 80.78 \pm 0.01) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.017 \\ (\mathrm{CPM}) \end{gathered}$ |
| GWP1113 <br> (WDS 085571531) | $4.57 \pm 0.09$ | $4.49 \pm 0.17$ | $\begin{gathered} (-74.71 \pm 0.09,- \\ 4.46 \pm 0.09) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} (-75.35 \pm 0.15,- \\ 7.98 \pm 0.16) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.047 \\ (\mathrm{CPM}) \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { CRB } 67 \\ \text { (WDS } \\ 05017+3324) \end{gathered}$ | $13.28 \pm 0.02$ | $13.34 \pm 0.05$ | $\begin{gathered} (24.72 \pm 0.03,- \\ 53.03 \pm 0.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} (26.60 \pm 0.06,- \\ 53.56 \pm 0.04) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.033 \\ (\mathrm{CPM}) \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { HJ } 3717 \\ \text { (WDS 05020- } \\ 3935 \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $2.63 \pm 0.01$ | $2.63 \pm 0.01$ | $\begin{gathered} (25.10 \pm 0.02, \\ 18.62 \pm 0.02) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} (25.05 \pm 0.01, \\ 18.64 \pm 0.01) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.033 \\ (\mathrm{CPM}) \end{gathered}$ |
| KPP2106 <br> (WDS 05037- <br> 7333) | $3.35 \pm 0.01$ | $3.38 \pm 0.04$ | $\begin{aligned} (5.22 & \pm 0.01,36.25 \\ & \pm 0.02) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} (5.61 \pm 0.05 \\ 35.50 \pm 0.06) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.023 \\ (\mathrm{CPM}) \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { SKF2476 } \\ \text { (WDS 05243- } \\ 2149) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $2.83 \pm 0.03$ | $2.21 \pm 0.14$ | $\begin{gathered} (-14.02 \pm 0.02, \\ 32.52 \pm 0.03) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} (-14.00 \pm 0.10 \\ 32.56 \pm 0.13) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.002 \\ (\mathrm{CPM}) \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { UC } 102 \\ \text { (WDS } \\ 07057+4827 \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $11.65 \pm 0.04$ | $11.70 \pm 0.03$ | $\begin{gathered} (22.49 \pm 0.03,- \\ 81.08 \pm 0.03) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} (22.97 \pm 0.03,- \\ 79.17 \pm 0.03) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.023 \\ (\mathrm{CPM}) \end{gathered}$ |
| KPP992 <br> (WDS 050056238) | $7.06 \pm 0.02$ | $6.99 \pm 0.05$ | $\begin{gathered} (15.01 \pm 0.02, \\ 44.78 \pm 0.02) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} (14.62 \pm 0.06 \\ 43.69 \pm 0.07) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.025 \\ (\mathrm{DPM}) \end{gathered}$ |

Table 2. Measurements of eight double stars made between January-February 2023.

| System | Date | Number of <br> Images | Position <br> Angle ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | Standard <br> error on <br> Position <br> Angle | Separation <br> $(")$ | Standard <br> Error on <br> Separation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SKF2037 <br> (WDS <br> 05104+6020) | 2023.08 | 5 | 218.3 | 0.02 | 15.30 | 0.015 |
| GWP1113 <br> (WDS 08557- <br> 1531) | 2023.09 | 5 | 67.5 | 0.06 | 18.97 | 0.007 |
| CRB 67 <br> (WDS <br> 05017+3324) | 2023.11 | 5 | 177.9 | 0.13 | 19.26 | 0.186 |
| HJ 3717 <br> (WDS 05020- <br> 3935) | 2023.07 | 5 | 196.4 | 0.10 | 12.88 | 0.013 |
| KPP2106 <br> (WDS 05037- <br> 7333) | 2023.07 | 5 | 8.9 | 0.02 | 17.00 | 0.016 |
| SKF2476 <br> (WDS 05243- <br> $2149)$ | 2023.07 | 5 | 326.7 | 0.08 | 19.38 | 0.028 |
| UC 102 <br> (WDs <br> $07057+4827)$ | 2023.11 | 5 | 59.2 | 0.10 | 19.834 | 0.013 |
| KPP992 <br> (WDS 05005- <br> 6238) | 2023.04 | 5 | 48.6 | 0.22 | 8.01 | 0.035 |

Plots:
Previous measurements for each system were requested from the US Naval Observatory. Using the historical data along with our current data, we plot a graph to witness any formation of orbit. If any forward curve is formed, that would suggest stars are orbiting and with future observations, a predicted orbit may be formed. But more importantly, it would suggest that the star system is a binary star system.

Each plot is labelled by the discover code of the system. Note that the historical data are plotted in Figure 1 chronologically using colour. The darker the colour, the more recent the data. The measurements are plotted as a circle and the measurements taken by us are the green square with an $x$. The other red square is the measurement we obtained from Gaia. Observing any trends from the plotted graph we can estimate if the stars are likely to be gravitationally bound.

Figure 1: Measurement of each system (labelled by their discover code) in accordance with the historical data. The darker circles are more recent measurements than the lighter circles. Our measurements are green squares with an x in the middle, while the Gaia collected data is the red square.






## Analysis of the Double Stars:

If two or more stars have similar parallax and proper motion, they show signs that they might be related to one another in some way. However, just parallax and proper motion are not enough data to determine these conclusions. For our star system, they do not exhibit any sign of binary system behaviour. Amongst our eight double stars, all of them seem to have Common Proper Motion i.e., the double stars are moving through space relatively together.

However, the plot reveals no notable curvature. Thus, the historical data does not show any signs of stars orbiting each other. One thing to note in Figure 1 is that we have very few historical data of our stars so far. Notably the earlier measurements show high deviations from the newer data.

When historical data is insufficient to characterise a system as binary, one theory we can use is the system escape velocity. The two stars in each system need to be moving with a velocity less than the system escape velocity. The system escape velocity and the relative space velocity of the secondary star can be calculated using the equations presented in Bonifacio et al, 2020 and Caputo et al, 2020. The calculated data can be found in Table 3.

Table 3: System Escape Velocity and Relative Space Velocity of the secondary stars in each double star system.

| System | System Escape Velocity <br> $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s})$ | Relative Space Velocity <br> $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SKF2037 | 265.05 | 754.14 |
| GWP1113 | 74.79 | 3716.99 |
| CRB 67 | 73.82 | 696.46 |
| HJ 3717 | 177.73 | 1153.95 |
| KPP2106 | 82.56 | 1475.56 |
| SKF2476 | 10.03 | 1945.01 |
| UC 102 | 207.42 | 886.54 |
| KPP992 | 93.41 | 1094.43 |

From Table 3, we find that the secondary star in every system is moving faster than the system escape velocity of the primary star. However, we must assume that calculation could have errors because they are estimated from so many imprecisely known values. Nonetheless, this gives some rough data to be used in future for further measurements.

## Conclusion:

The systems that we examined and analysed do not exhibit much data implying a physical relationship. However, further observations need to be taken to find definitive conclusions on the nature of these double stars.
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#### Abstract

New astrometric measurements for the binary systems WDS 21143+2522AB (POU5283) and WDS 21139+2512 (POU5276) were observed. Images were requested and received from the Las Cumbres Observatory Global Telescope (Image 3) of these systems on July $7^{\text {th }}, 2022$ from the observation node in Tenerife, Spain and individual images provided by team members. The program AstroImageJ was used to measure the PA and Sep of the secondary star to the primary within these systems. New astrometric measurements for WDS 21143+2522 AB are: PA $83.99^{\circ}$ and a Sep of $15.89^{\prime \prime}$. For WDS $21139+2512$, the PA was $108.17^{\circ}$ and a Sep of 5.54 ". According to Gaia parallax data for these stars, it was concluded that these two systems are not gravitationally bound and are visual double star systems.


## Introduction

The goal of this paper was to record the current position angle and separation of WDS 21143+2522 AB. According to Stelle Doppie, this system is located within the Vulpecula constellation in the northern hemisphere, both with uncertain classifications. Current images of this system were taken on July $7^{\text {th }}$, 2022, and recorded. While reviewing the images, it was apparent that a second double star system, WDS $21139+2512$, was within the telescope's field of view. Therefore, measurements of the second system's current position angle and separation were also recorded.

WDS $21143+2522 \mathrm{AB}$, which has an RA of $21^{\mathrm{h}} 14^{\mathrm{m}} 18.37^{\mathrm{s}}$ and a DEC of $+25^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 15.3^{\prime \prime}$, was discovered by French astronomer Abel Pourteau in 1893 (Smith, 2012). The magnitude of the primary star (A) in this system is 10.10 , and the secondary (B) has a magnitude of 11.90 , with a $\Delta$ mag of 1.8 . The first measurements of this system are recorded as having a separation $(\rho)$ of 16.8 ", with a position angle $(\theta)$ of $92^{\circ}$. The most recent astrometric measurements of this system were in 2015 , which recorded a separation $(\rho)$ of $15.9^{\prime \prime}$ and a position angle $(\theta)$ of $84^{\circ}$. In total, there have been 13 observations of the primary and secondary (AB) stars in this system. Note: The WDS 21143+2522 has a tertiary (C) component that could not be resolved in our images.


Figure 1: Photograph by Sanat Vidwans. WDS 21143+2522AB (green) and WDS $21139+2512$ (blue), taken on July 29th at 22:01 PDT, 2022 near Big Finn Hill park, Kirkland, WA. The equipment used was a 130mm Newtonian reflector telescope with a 650mm focal length and a ZWO ASI224MC camera.

WDS 21139+2512 was discovered later in 1898, also by Pourteau (Smith, 2012), and is located at RA $21^{\mathrm{h}} 13^{\mathrm{m}} 51.48^{\mathrm{s}}$ and DEC $+25^{\circ} 11^{\prime} 44.4^{\prime \prime}$. Like the other system, it was last observed in 2015. The magnitude of the primary star $(\mathrm{A})$ is 11.28 and the secondary is 13.90 , with a $\Delta \mathrm{mag}$ of 2.62 . The initial measurements of this system are recorded as a separation ( $\rho$ ) of $7.7^{\prime \prime}$ with a position angle ( $\theta$ ) of $104^{\circ}$. The most recent astrometric measurements of this system were recorded with a separation ( $\rho$ ) of 5.8" and a position angle $(\theta)$ of $108^{\circ}$. In total, there have been 11 observations of the primary and secondary (AB) stars in this system.

## Equipment and Procedure

WDS $21143+2522$ AB was selected using the Stelle Doppie Database Selection Tool using the below parameters.

Table 1: Parameters used to select WDS 21143+2522 AB in the StelleDoppe Database Selection Tool.

|  | RA | Dec | Pri. Mag | Sec. Mag | $\Delta$ mag | Sep. | Last Obs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Min | $14^{\mathrm{h}} 00^{\mathrm{m}} 00^{\text {s }}$ | $-90^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ | 10 | 10 | <3 | 5 | 2015 |
| Max | $23^{\mathrm{h}} 00^{\mathrm{m}} 00^{\text {s }}$ | +90 $00^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ | 12 | 14 |  | 10 |  |

Once the original candidate was selected, an observation request was submitted using the Las Cumbres Observatory Global Telescope (LCOGT), a global network of telescopes that supports science and education. The images were taken on July $7^{\text {th }}, 2022$ between 01:54:35 and 02:00:23 UTC (JD 2459768.079572) by an LCOGT observation node located at the Teide observatory in Tenerife, Canary Islands, Spain (a similar observational node is shown in Figure 2). A total of ten images with a $10-\mathrm{sec}$ exposure time were requested, using a Bessel-V (visible) filter, on one of the site's two, $0.4-\mathrm{m}$ telescopes with an SBIG STL-6303 CCD camera. The initial target of the images was WDS 21143+2522.

However, due to the field of view of the telescope, it was found that WDS $21139+2512$ was also within the images available.


Figure 2. A 0.4-m instrument is similar to the one at the Teide observatory used for imaging - this particular instrument is located at a Las Cumbres node at the Cerro Tololo observatory in Chile.

Astrometric measurements of both systems were taken using the measurement tool in AstroImage J (Collins et al., 2017) (Figure 3). Historical data for the position angle and separation was requested from Dr. Rachel Matson at the United States Naval Observatory (USNO) and compared to current astrometric measurements. From this, two historical data plots were made for each system. Finally, on VizieR, data from Gaia DR3 was found for the A and B components for WDS 21143+2522, and the A and B components for WDS 21139+2512.


Figure 3. A screenshot of the AstroimageJ interface with the first of the ten images. Measurements of both star systems have been taken and the data is displayed as shown.

Historical data obtained from the USNO for WDS 21143+2522 AB (left) and WDS 21139+2512 (right), is shown in Table 2.

Table 2: Historical data (left) WDS 21143+2522 AB and (right) WDS 21139+2512. Separation ( $\rho$ ) is measured in arcseconds, position angle is measured in ( $\theta$ ) degrees.

| WDS 21143+2522 AB |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Epoch | Sep " | PA $^{\circ}$ |
| 1893.70 | 16.79 | 92.0 |
| 1894.71 | 16.67 | 92.0 |
| 1897.73 | 15.95 | 91.5 |
| 1898.70 | 17.20 | 93.4 |
| 1982.90 | 15.88 | 87.0 |
| 2000.40 | 15.99 | 85.1 |
| 2001.70 | 16.03 | 85.3 |
| 2005.75 | 15.85 | 85.0 |
| 2009.88 | 15.99 | 85.9 |
| 2012.74 | 15.90 | 84.5 |
| 2013.65 | 15.90 | 84.5 |
| 2014.66 | 15.92 | 84.4 |
| 2014.79 | 15.90 | 84.4 |
| 2015.00 | 15.90 | 84.4 |


| WDS 21139+2512 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Epoch | Sep " | PA $^{\circ}$ |
| 1898.70 | 7.70 | 103.90 |
| 1982.90 | 6.13 | 116.70 |
| 2000.51 | 6.04 | 107.30 |
| 2001.70 | 6.03 | 107.00 |
| 2009.88 | 5.52 | 110.62 |
| 2012.76 | 5.71 | 107.95 |
| 2013.54 | 5.82 | 107.86 |
| 2013.64 | 5.79 | 107.94 |
| 2014.67 | 5.78 | 107.96 |
| 2015.00 | 5.81 | 107.96 |
| 2015.71 | 5.80 | 107.92 |

## Results

Current measurements representing the separation and position angle between the A and B components are displayed in Table 3. The mean and standard deviation for each set of images was also calculated.

Table 3: Data for (left) WDS 21143+2522, (right) WDS 21139+2512. Separation ( $\rho$ ) is measured in arcseconds, with position angle ( $\theta$ ) measured in degrees, The average, standard deviation, and standard error of the mean (SEM) have been calculated.

| WDS 21143+2522 AB |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Image | Sep " | PA $^{\circ}$ |
| 1 | 15.88 | 83.96 |
| 2 | 15.87 | 84.03 |
| 3 | 15.90 | 84.08 |
| 4 | 15.89 | 84.00 |
| 5 | 15.89 | 83.89 |
| 6 | 15.90 | 83.94 |
| 7 | 15.91 | 84.01 |
| 8 | 15.91 | 83.95 |
| 9 | 15.89 | 84.01 |
| 10 | 15.84 | 84.04 |
| Average | $\mathbf{1 5 . 8 9}$ | $\mathbf{8 3 . 9 9}$ |
| SD | $\mathbf{0 . 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 2}$ |
| SEM | $\mathbf{0 . 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 1}$ |


| WDS 21139+2512 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Image | Sep ${ }^{\text {" }}$ | PA $^{\circ}$ |
| 1 | 5.63 | 108.27 |
| 2 | 5.68 | 107.49 |
| 3 | 5.60 | 108.27 |
| 4 | 5.56 | 108.05 |
| 5 | 5.65 | 108.40 |
| 6 | 5.60 | 107.91 |
| 7 | 5.35 | 108.39 |
| 8 | 5.14 | 109.35 |
| 9 | 5.63 | 107.98 |
| 10 | 5.60 | 107.60 |
| Average | $\mathbf{5 . 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 8 . 1 7}$ |
| SD | $\mathbf{0 . 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 5 2}$ |
| SEM | $\mathbf{0 . 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 6}$ |

## Discussion

In the following graphs (Figures $4 \& 5$ ) the red circle at $(0,0)$ represents the A component, the gray triangles represent the historic relative position of the B component, and the green triangles represent the observed position of the B component according to our newest measurement. Older historical data points are a light shade of gray, and newer points are darker.


Figure 4. Historical data for WDS $21143+2522$ AB. (left) Shows the A component relative to the $B$ component. (right) Shows a zoomed-in representation of the graph, note that the RA marking begins with 15. The saturation of the gray triangle on historical points is a sliding scale, light for the oldest measurements, and darker for the most recent, except for the new data point, which is marked with green.


Figure 5. Historical data for WDS 21139+2512. (left) Shows the A component relative to the $B$ component. (right) Shows a zoomed-in representation of the graph, note that the RA marking begins with 5 and DEC markings begin with 1. The saturation of the gray triangle on historical points is a sliding scale, light for the oldest measurements, and darker for the most recent, except for the new data point, which is marked with green.

From VizieR, data from Gaia DR3 was found for the A and B components for WDS $21143+2522$, and the A and B components for WDS 21139+2512. This is displayed in table 4 below.

Table 4. WDS 21143+2522 AB Gaia DR3

| Component | Parallax (mas) | PM RA (mas/yr) | PM Dec (mas/yr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | $3.11 \pm 0.02$ | $-9.53 \pm 0.02$ | $-24.65 \pm 0.01$ |
| B | $2.17 \pm 0.02$ | $-16.36 \pm 0.02$ | $-9.00 \pm 0.01$ |

From the parallax values, WDS $21143+2522$ A is 321.048 parsecs from Earth, and WDS $21143+2522$ B is 459.812 parsecs from Earth. Based on these values WDS $21143+2522$ A and WDS $21143+2522$ B have a spatial separation of $28,622,129.57$ AU, According to Richard Harshaw (2018), very few binaries have separations that are more than $3,000 \mathrm{AU}$, and most are closer than 1,000 AU. He also states that two stars separated by one parsec are probably too far to be gravitationally bound, even if they are massive. Since this system has a separation of tens of millions of AU, it is exceedingly unlikely that these two stars are gravitationally bound.

For WDS $21143+2522 \mathrm{AB}$, the proper motion values are $-9.53 \pm 0.02$ in RA and $-24.65 \pm 0.01$ in DEC for the A component and $-16.36 \pm 0.02$ in RA and $-9.00 \pm 0.01$ in DEC for the B component. These values do not show any similarities, especially for the proper motion values in the DEC axis (Table 4). In the historical data and graph of such data, no defined orbital trajectory is shown. The separation remains variable, but is generally decreasing over time. The position angle also shows a trend down over time, and is less variable then the separation.

Table 5. WDS 21139+2512 Gaia DR3

| Component | Parallax (mas) | PM RA (mas/yr) | PM Dec (mas/yr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | $2.56 \pm 0.13$ | $11.85 \pm 0.1$ | $-12.30 \pm 0.10$ |
| B | $0.84 \pm 0.02$ | $-3.6 \pm 0.02$ | $-8.89 \pm 0.01$ |

Using the parallax values, WDS $21139+2512$ A is 390.244 parsecs from Earth, and WDS $21139+2512$ B is 1197.031 parsecs from Earth. From this, WDS $21139+2512$ A and WDS $21139+2512$ B has a spatial separation of $166,411,764.2 \mathrm{AU}$. This distance is more than five times greater than WDS $21143+2522$, which has been determined to be almost certainly not gravitationally bound.

For WDS 21139 +2512 , the proper motion values are $11.85 \pm 0.1$ in RA and $-12.30 \pm 0.10$ in DEC for the A component and, $-3.6 \pm 0.02$ in RA and $-8.89 \pm 0.01$ in DEC for the B component. They also do not show any similarities. For the proper motion in the RA axis, the two stars are traveling in opposite directions. In the historical data the separation decreases over time, this is shown in the historical data graph as the points get closer to 0,0 . The position angle steadily increases over time except for one outlier observation is 1982. By purely observing the graph, this line may be considered as an orbital trend. However, from the parallax values, this simply means WDS $21139+2512 \mathrm{~B}$ is passing behind WDS $21139+2512 \mathrm{~A}$ as the former is more then four times further from Earth (Table 5).

## Conclusions

Using the Las Cumbres Observatory portal and the Teide observatory in Tenerife, Spain, images were taken and astrometric data were collected on two separate binary star systems, WDS 21143+2522 AB and WDS 21139+2512. Historical data were then requested from the United States Naval Observatory and compared to the new astrometric measurements. For WDS $21143+2522$ AB, the historical chart does not show a clear orbital trajectory. For WDS 21139+2512, the historical chart shows a straight line, which is subject to interpretation. However, the difference in parallax values from Gaia DR3 for both systems, WDS $21139+2512$ and WDS $21143+2522 \mathrm{AB}$, are evidence that it is extremely unlikely that they are gravitationally bound and are simply visual double star systems.
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#### Abstract

We present astrometric measurements of the double star system WDS 13175-4625 DON 1104 using the Las Cumbres Observatory Cerro Tololo and Siding Spring telescopes measured on March 14, 2023 and April 25, 2023, respectively. A position angle of $256.3^{\circ}$ $\pm 0.48^{\circ}$ and angular separation of $7.51^{\prime \prime} \pm 0.069^{\prime \prime}$ were determined. Based on astrometric analysis as well as the parallax and proper motion values from Gaia DR3, we conclude that these stars are not gravitationally bound.


## 1. Introduction

In this paper we provide current astrometric measurements of WDS 13175-4625 DON 1104 with the objective of determining whether the double star system shows evidence of gravitational association. Only four measurements were made of this system in the past 114 years.

The Washington Double Star (WDS) Catalog through Stelle Doppie (Sordiglioni, 2023) was used to search for the candidate star using the following criteria: a component separation between 5 and 10 arcseconds, a primary star magnitude between 8 and 10 , a delta magnitude ( $\Delta \mathrm{mag}$ ) of less than or equal to 3 , an uncertain binary status, and a date of last observation less than or equal to the year 2015. The right ascension was chosen to be between 13 and 23 hours and the declination between $-90^{\circ}$ and $+90^{\circ}$. WDS 13175-4625 DON 1104 met all our criteria except for $\Delta$ mag. We chose a 5 -magnitude separation between the primary and secondary stars for the system.

Since its discovery in 1909, there have been a total of four measurements for WDS 13175-4625 DON 1104. The primary star has a spectral type of M0/M1III indicating that it is a red giant star, and it has a magnitude of 8.48 in the visual. Its right ascension is 13 h 17 m 27.73 s and its declination is $-46^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 11.1^{\prime \prime}$ placing it in the constellation Centaurus. The secondary star has a magnitude of 13.50. The first angular separation measured in 1909 was $11.5^{\prime \prime}$ with a position angle of $259.7^{\circ}$. Its most recent measurement by Gaia in 2015 showed an angular separation of $8.1^{\prime \prime}$ and position angle of $256^{\circ}$.

## 2. Equipment and Procedures

The astrometric images of WDS 13175-4625 DON 1104 were captured by the Las Cumbres Observatory Global Telescope Network (LCOGT) 0.4-m telescope, using the SBIG 6303 charge-coupled device (CCD) camera at the Cerro Tololo Observatory in Chile on March 13, 2023 (2023.203) and Siding Spring Observatory in Australia on April 25, 2023 (2023.317). The images were calibrated, bias subtracted, and flat fielded by the LCOGT using the BANZAI pipeline.

Because of the five-magnitude difference between the component stars, care was taken to determine the exposure time such that the counts of the two stars fit within the dynamic range of the CCD camera. Initial test exposures of six frames at five and ten seconds each in the Bessell-V filter were made to determine the signal-to-noise of the secondary star and take initial astrometric measurements. Of these 12 measurements ten showed low signal-to-noise ( $<100$ ) for the secondary star, particularly the five second exposures. These were followed by test images at five and ten seconds in the infrared using the Pan-STARRS-z filter (central wavelength $8700-\mathrm{nm}$, bandwidth $1040-\mathrm{nm}$ ) to determine if the secondary star's signal-to-noise would be stronger at longer wavelengths. In these images in the infrared the secondary star barely appeared above the background for measurement. Images were also taken at five and ten seconds using the Bessell-B filter to determine if the signal of the secondary star would improve with observations at a shorter wavelength. The signal-to-noise of the secondary star did not improve with the blue filter as the secondary star also barely appeared above background for measurement.

Additional exposure times were taken at 20-, 25-, and 30 -seconds. However, at these exposure times the peak measurements for the primary star were approaching the saturation level of the camera. It was then determined from observing the peak values of the primary star and signal-to-noise of the secondary star from all of the measurements that an exposure time of 10 seconds in the Bessell-V filter would yield a signal-to-noise of at least 100 for the secondary star while keeping the peak measurement of the primary star below the saturation level of $\sim 64000 \mathrm{ADU}$ (for the raw images) or 102400 e - (for the processed images). Thus, an additional 14 images were taken using these criteria at the Siding Spring Observatory. These measurements were added to the initial Cerro Tololo Observatory 10 -sec exposures where the signal-tonoise was at least 100 for a total of 16 images for this study.

Images were analyzed using the AstroImageJ (AIJ) software suite (Collins et al., 2017). Angular separation and position angle of the stars were measured using the Howell centroid method (Howell, 1989). The object aperture was set to 6.0 pixels and the inner and outer radii of the background annulus were set to 30 and 40 pixels, respectively.

The AIJ astrometric measurements of position angle and angular separation were recorded, and the mean, sample standard deviation, and standard error of the mean were calculated. In addition, parallax and proper motion data was searched for in the Gaia Data Release 3 (DR3) catalog (Gaia Collaboration, 2015) and historical data for WDS 13175-4625 DON 1104 was requested from Dr. Rachel Matson at the U. S. Naval Observatory.

## 3. Data

Data was collected from the LCOGT 0.4-m telescopes using the SBIG 6303 CCD camera with the BessellV filter. The FITS files were reduced by LCOGT using the BANZAI pipeline and these processed images were analyzed using AstroImageJ (AIJ). A typical image, with measurements, is shown in Fig. 1.


Figure 1: Example of an image of WDS 13175-4625 DON 1104 with measurements from AstroImageJ

Table 1 shows the mean, standard deviation, and standard error of the mean for the 16 images.
Table 1. Current results for WDS 13175-4625 DON 1104. The position angle is $256.3^{\circ} \pm 0.48^{\circ}$ and the separation is $7.51^{\prime \prime} \pm 0.069^{\prime \prime}$.

|  | Observatory | Dates | Images |  | PA $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | SEP $\left({ }^{\prime \prime}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| WDS13175-4625 <br> DON1104 | Cerro Tololo | 2023.203 | 2 | Mean (of all 16 <br> images) | 256.3 | 7.51 |
|  | Sliding Spring | 2023.317 | 14 | Sample Standard <br> Deviation | 1.9 | 0.30 |
|  |  |  |  | Standard Error of the <br> Mean | 0.48 | 0.069 |

## 4. Discussion

The historical data, shown in Table 2, for WDS 13175-4625 DON 1104 was requested from the U.S. Naval Observatory (Matson, 2023). The historical data consists of 4 data points starting in the year 1909 and ending in the year 2015. Table 2 shows the values for their position angle (PA) in degrees, separation (SEP) in arcseconds, as well as the measurement method used for the star system.

The historical data values for position angle and separation, shown in Fig. 2 along with our current measurement, were resolved to $\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{y}$ coordinates (in arcseconds) using the following equations:

$$
\begin{gather*}
x(\mathrm{RA})=\rho \cos \theta  \tag{1}\\
y(\mathrm{DEC})=\rho \sin \theta \tag{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

where x is right ascension (RA), y is declination (DEC), $\rho$ is the angular separation (SEP) and $\theta$ is the position angle (PA). The date for each measurement is shown. No orbital trend is observed.

Table 2. Historical and current measurements of WDS 13175-4625 DON 1104.

| Date | PA <br> $(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \mathbf{d e g})$ | Sep <br> $(\boldsymbol{\rho}, \mathbf{a r c s e c})$ | $\mathbf{x}$ <br> (RA, arcsec) | $\mathbf{y}$ <br> $(\mathbf{D E C}, \mathbf{a r c s e c})$ | Measurement Method |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 1909.32 | 259.7 | 11.536 | -2.06266 | -11.3501 | Pa: photographic, with astrograph |
| 1929.24 | 258.4 | 10.42 | -2.09523 | -10.2072 | Ma: micrometer with refractor |
| 2000.22 | 261.2 | 7.8 | -1.19329 | -7.70818 | E2: 2MASS (Two Micron All-Sky Survey) |
| 2015 | 255.513 | 8.149 | -2.03856 | -7.8899 | Hg: Gaia |
| 2023.32 | 256.3 | 7.51 | -1.93 | -7.28 | P: photographic technique |



Figure 2: $x$ (right ascension, RA) and $y$ (declination, DEC) of the historical data (blue) and current data (orange)

To further investigate the movement of the secondary star, position angle versus time in Fig. 3 and separation versus time Fig. 4 were plotted. The position angle shows no clear relationship or trend with epoch progression. The separation, however, shows a decreasing linear trend with epoch progression. A
linear fit to the data (Fig. 4) gives an R-squared of 0.96 showing a strong linear correlation indicating that the stars may be approaching each other.

Figure 3: Position angle versus time

Figure 4: Separation versus time

Using the VizieR astronomical catalog (Ochsenbein, 2000), the Gaia DR3 data (Gaia Collaboration, 2022) for the proper motion and parallax values were found and are provided in Table 3. Using the parallax equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\text { parallax (in arcseconds) }}=\text { distance (in parsecs) } \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

the distance to each star was calculated and also included in Table 3. (Please note that accurately converting from parallax to distance is more complex than this equation (Luri, 2018), but it does give us a general estimate to work with.) The primary star is 683.4 parsecs ( 141 million AU or 2229 light-years) away while the secondary star is only 221.8 parsecs ( 45.7 million AU or 723.4 light-years) distant. There is a minimum separation of over 95 million AU, or 1500 light-years. Harshaw (2018) has noted that very few known binaries exceed separations of 3000 AU and stated that most of them are closer than 1000 AU .

Given the lack of orbital motion seen in the movement of the secondary and given the parallax and proper motion values for both stars provided by Gaia in Table 3, we conclude that these stars are not binary, nor do they show any other gravitational association.

Table 3. Parallax and proper motion from Gaia Data Release 3 (Gaia Collaboration, 2022) and the calculated distance.

| Star | Parallax <br> (mas) | Distance (pc) | Proper motion in <br> right ascension <br> (mas/year) | Proper motion in <br> declination <br> (mas/year) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Primary | 1.4633 | $683.4 \mathrm{pc}(2229 \mathrm{ly})$ | -17.383 | -4.428 |
| Secondary | 4.5091 | $221.8 \mathrm{pc}(723 \mathrm{ly})$ | 13.428 | -6.730 |

## 5. Conclusions

In this study, we have added additional measurements of position angle and separation for WDS 131754625 DON 1104. As a result of including this measurement and comparing the relative motion of the secondary star with respect to the primary star over the last 114 years as well as comparing the Gaia DR3 measurements of their parallax and proper motion relative to one another, we conclude that these stars are not gravitationally bound.
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[^0]:    2 Cf. Argyle, R., (2012), "The Resolution of a Telescope," in Observing and Measuring Visual Double Stars, p. 110.

[^1]:    * Epochs of new measures given in Besselian years as the average of the observations making up the measure.

