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# Visual Observation and Measurements of $\mathbf{3 3}$ so far Unconfirmed Tycho Double Stars in Cygnus with 2 Arcseconds Separation 

Wilfried R.A. Knapp<br>Vienna, Austria<br>wilfried.knapp@gmail.com


#### Abstract

As already reported (Knapp and Gould 2016), most Tycho Double Star objects in the WDS catalog are unconfirmed. From the huge number of in total nearly 1000 TDS/TDT objects in the Cygnus constellation, all unconfirmed pairs (per beginning of 2016) listed with 2 " separation were visually observed and measured based on CCD images.


## Introduction

A short holiday trip into the Austrian mountains offered the possibility of using a hotel-owned observatory at an altitude of about 1900 m equipped with a 17 inch Planewave $\mathrm{f} / 6.8$ CDK telescope for visual observations for two consecutive nights. Despite the full moon at the date in question I used this opportunity for just another check of Tycho Double Stars as resolution of double star observation is not this sensitive to light polluted skies. But to be on the safe side, I decided to restrict the targets to objects near the zenith with a separation of $2^{\prime \prime}$, as resolution with a 17 inch telescope should then be easy even under these imperfect conditions. From a total of about 1000 TDS/TDT objects in Cygnus, there remained 33 pairs with a separation of 2". For counter-checking, I selected 5 already confirmed pairs also in Cygnus with similar 2" separation and magnitude range about 11-12 mag.

## Visual Observations

The first session was to some degree spent with getting familiar with the telescope and the GoTo mount with some difficulties with the latter - the number of observed objects was therefore rather small. Things went much smoother in the second session especially after several resynchronizations of the GoTo system. In addition to the GoTo system, very detailed star maps were used to be sure to look at the correct objects. The results are shown in the Table 1.

## Measurements based on CCD imaging

Five images with exposure time of 3 seconds were taken per object with remote telescope iT24 (for specifications see Acknowledgements). The images were stacked with VPhot and plate solved with Astrometrica with URAT1 reference stars with Vmags in the range 10.5 to 14.5 mag . The RA/Dec coordinates resulting from plate solving were used to calculate Sep and PA using the formula provided by R. Buchheim 2008 (see References). Err_PA is the error estimation for PA in degrees calculated as $\arctan ($ Err_Sep/Sep $)$, assuming the worst case that Err_Sep points perpendicular to the separation vector. Mag is the photometry result based on URAT1 reference stars with Vmags between 10.5 and 14.5 mag . Err_Mag is calculated as

$$
E r r_{-} M a g=\sqrt{d V_{\text {mag }}^{2}+\left[2.5 \log _{10}(1+1 / S N R)\right]^{2}}
$$

with dVmag as the average Vmag error over all used reference stars and SNR as the signal to noise ratio for the given star. Date is the Bessel epoch and N is the number of images used for the reported values. The results are shown in Table 2.

## Summary

The comparison of imaging and visual observation results plus the additional check of 2MASS images
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Table 1: Observation results for all TDS objects in Cyg with 2" separation so far not confirmed plus 5 already confirmed non TDS objects for counter-checking with their WDS data per begin of 2016. Method of observation $=V$ (visual estimate) and aperture $=0.425 \mathrm{~m}$

| WDS ID | Name | RA | Dec | Sep | M1 | M2 | PA | Date | Observation notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $21442+3453$ | HO605 | 21:44:07.293 | +34:52:33.8 | 2.0 | 11.34 | 12.11 | 340 | 2016.553 | With a magnification of x230 resolution at $\sim 345^{\circ}$ with estimated separation ~2" |
| $21545+4052$ | TDT3230 | 21:54:30.980 | $+40: 52: 16.2$ | 2.0 | 12.14 | 12.23 | 222 | 2016.553 | No resolution |
| $21466+3815$ | COU1639 | 21:46:34.778 | +38:14:43.4 | 2.0 | 11.27 | 11.56 | 211 | 2016.553 | With a magnification of $x 230$ resolution at $\sim 210^{\circ}$ with estimated separation ~2" |
| $21212+3044$ | TDT2914 | 21:21:14.751 | +30:44:12.3 | 2.0 | 10.86 | 12.66 | 17 | 2016.553 | No resolution |
| $21548+4310$ | TDT3234 | 21:54:50.817 | $+43: 09: 55.0$ | 2.0 | 11.34 | 12.42 | 343 | 2016.553 | No resolution |
| $21279+3439$ | TDT2980 | 21:27:56.960 | +34:39:10.8 | 2.0 | 12.12 | 12.63 | 121 | 2016.553 | No resolution |
| $21300+3549$ | TDT2998 | 21:30:00.117 | +35:49:04.2 | 2.0 | 11.53 | 13.28 | 209 | 2016.553 | No resolution |
| $21158+3159$ | TDT2868 | 21:15:50.729 | +31:59:22.6 | 2.0 | 11.63 | 12.58 | 185 | 2016.553 | No resolution |
| $21245+3632$ | ES2125 | 21:24:29.222 | +36:31:30.9 | 2.0 | 10.41 | 11.82 | 36 | 2016.553 | With a magnification of $x 230$ resolution at $\sim 45^{\circ}$ with estimated separation ~2" |
| $21195+3745$ | TDT2904 | 21:19:32.118 | +37:45:29.7 | 2.0 | 11.55 | 13.12 | 216 | 2016.553 | No resolution |
| $21107+3515$ | TDS1120 | 21:10:43.050 | $+35: 15: 15.5$ | 2.0 | 10.18 | 12.43 | 244 | 2016.553 | No resolution |
| $21095+3506$ | TDT2791 | 21:09:29.133 | +35:05:30.9 | 2.0 | 11.76 | 12.61 | 252 | 2016.553 | No resolution |
| 20592+3231 | TDT2675 | 20:59:14.778 | +32:31:29.4 | 2.0 | 11.62 | 12.56 | 207 | 2016.553 | No resolution |
| $21070+3724$ | TDT2763 | 21:07:00.529 | $+37: 24: 24.0$ | 2.0 | 11.35 | 12.68 | 215 | 2016.553 | No resolution |
| $21282+4607$ | TDT2981 | 21:28:11.977 | +46:06:34.7 | 2.0 | 11.64 | 12.07 | 101 | 2016.553 | No resolution |
| $21068+3834$ | TDT2758 | 21:06:49.969 | $+38: 33: 32.0$ | 2.0 | 11.85 | 12.24 | 236 | 2016.553 | No resolution |
| $21304+4926$ | TDT3002 | 21:30:21.980 | +49:26:14.1 | 2.0 | 11.30 | 11.75 | 295 | 2016.553 | With a magnification of $x 230$ resolution at $\sim 300^{\circ}$ with estimated separation $2^{\prime \prime}$ |
| $21037+4616$ | TDT2719 | 21:03:40.853 | $+46: 16: 09.7$ | 2.0 | 11.87 | 12.14 | 220 | 2016.553 | No resolution |
| 20152+3006 | TDT2121 | 20:15:12.978 | $+30: 06: 07.6$ | 2.0 | 11.92 | 12.18 | 11 | 2016.553 | No resolution |
| $20107+3015$ | TDT2051 | 20:10:43.449 | $+30: 14: 40.6$ | 2.0 | 11.90 | 12.27 | 242 | 2016.553 | No resolution |
| $20449+4332$ | ES1448 | 20:44:52.852 | +43:31:51.6 | 2.0 | 10.39 | 10.80 | 142 | 2016.553 | With magnification of $\times 230$ resolution at about $140^{\circ}$ with estimated separation of $\sim 2 "$ |
| $20160+3751$ | TDT2130 | 20:15:58.427 | +37:50:35.2 | 2.0 | 11.28 | 11.82 | 236 | 2016.553 | No resolution |
| $20275+4307$ | TDT2289 | 20:27:28.320 | $+43: 07: 07.3$ | 2.0 | 11.69 | 12.24 | 346 | 2016.553 | No resolution |
| $20330+5922$ | TDT2359 | 20:33:00.231 | +59:21:55.4 | 2.0 | 10.17 | 12.79 | 113 | 2016.553 | No resolution |
| $20258+5938$ | TDT2261 | 20:25:50.823 | +59:37:50.1 | 2.0 | 10.08 | 11.77 | 134 | 2016.553 | No resolution |
| $19447+3204$ | TDT1697 | 19:44:40.740 | +32:04:17.0 | 2.0 | 12.12 | 12.45 | 297 | 2016.553 | No resolution |
| $19466+3243$ | TDT1729 | 19:46:34.530 | $+32: 43: 16.9$ | 2.0 | 10.22 | 12.44 | 111 | 2016.553 | No resolution |
| 20209+5511 | TDT2197 | 20:20:56.891 | $+55: 10: 55.8$ | 2.0 | 10.81 | 13.32 | 241 | 2016.553 | No resolution |
| $19511+3643$ | ES242 | 19:51:02.020 | +36:42:42.8 | 2.0 | 11.00 | 11.50 | 30 | 2016.551 | With a magnification of $x 230$ resolution at $\sim 30^{\circ}$ with a separation of $2^{\prime \prime}$ |
| 20153+5428 | TDT2123 | 20:15:20.098 | +54:27:40.3 | 2.0 | 11.27 | 11.84 | 328 | 2016.551 | No resolution |
| 20056+5821 | TDT1965 | 20:05:38.448 | +58:21:04.6 | 2.0 | 11.63 | 12.41 | 160 | 2016.551 | No resolution |
| 20071+5431 | TDT1991 | 20:07:05.459 | +54:31:00.4 | 2.0 | 10.64 | 12.23 | 333 | 2016.551 | No resolution |
| 19438+3738 | TDT1680 | 19:43:45.513 | $+37: 38: 17.0$ | 2.0 | 11.86 | 11.95 | 273 | 2016.551 | No resolution |
| $19290+3508$ | POP135 | 19:28:56.513 | +35:06:44.7 | 2.0 | 11.11 | 12.11 | 35 | 2016.551 | With a magnification of $x 230$ hint of companion at $\sim 40^{\circ}$ with a separation of $\sim 2^{\prime \prime}$ |
| $19326+4839$ | TDT1533 | 19:32:38.038 | $+48: 39: 06.2$ | 2.0 | 11.99 | 12.01 | 215 | 2016.551 | No resolution |
| $19209+4710$ | TDT1391 | 19:20:56.328 | $+47: 10: 09.1$ | 2.0 | 11.74 | 12.27 | 2 | 2016.551 | No resolution |
| 19178+4759 | TDT1358 | 19:17:48.420 | $+47: 59: 17.6$ | 2.0 | 11.40 | 12.12 | 234 | 2016.551 | No resolution |
| $19095+4828$ | TDT1259 | 19:09:29.552 | +48:27:57.0 | 2.0 | 11.98 | 12.00 | 216 | 2016.551 | With a magnification of $x 420$ resolution at a position angle of $\sim 215^{\circ}$ and a separation of ~2" |
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Table 2. Measurement results for all TDS objects in Cyg with 2" separation so far not confirmed plus 5 already confirmed non TDS objects for counter-checking based on iT24 images (plus one by chance found additional double star). Method of observations $=C$ (CCD or other two-dimensional electronic imaging) and aperture $=0.61 \mathrm{~m}$


## Visual Observation and Measurements of 33 so far Unconfirmed Tycho Double Stars in Cygnus ..

Table 2 (conclusion). Measurement results for all TDS objects in Cyg with 2" separation so far not confirmed plus 5 already confirmed non TDS objects for counter-checking based on iT24 images (plus one by chance found additional double star). Method of observations =C (CCD or other two-dimensional electronic imaging) and aperture $=0.61 \mathrm{~m}$


## Table 2 notes:

1. Touching/overlapping star disks
2. Appears as a single star
3. Same image as TDT 1965. Double star confirmed by elongation in 2MASS images, yet no 2MASS catalog entry exists for B nor in any other catalog
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(Continued from page 133)
(SDSS images are currently not available for Cyg) should allow for very conclusive assessments per object if bogus or not. The results of this comparison are shown in Table 3.

From 33 so far unconfirmed 2" TDS objects in Cyg only 3 are confirmed as double stars while for the rest we cannot confirm, while from the 5 selected additional $2^{2 \prime}$ double stars in Cygnus all 5 have been fully confirmed.

## Potential further research

As the number of so far unconfirmed Tycho Double Stars is huge the field for further research seems also huge. The effort to eliminate all bogus TDS/TDT objects from the WDS catalog is probably much higher than adding them initially especially if we go down in separation far below 2 arcseconds. Counterchecking with SDSS images with much better resolution than 2MASS offers a possibility with reasonable effort down to separations of somewhat less than 1.5 " but then things get difficult. It seems out of the question to counter-check the huge number of so far not confirmed TDS objects with visual observation (anyway a rather frustrating task - looking at single stars proposed to be doubles) and taking dedicated images for this purpose especially for separations smaller than $1.5^{\prime \prime}$ - the effort would be huge and obviously a waste of time and equipment. The meager ratio of confirmed to bogus from the so far counter-checked TDS objects suggests a crude resolution: All so far not confirmed TDS objects are to be considered suspect with a high probability of at least $85 \%$ of being bogus.
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Table 3 Overall assessment for all TDS objects in Cyg with 2" separation so far not confirmed plus 5 already confirmed non TDS objects for counter-checking based on iT24 images, visual observation and counter-checking 2MASS and POSS images

| Name | Imaging | Visual observation | Aladin images | Overall conclusion |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HO 605 | Touching/overlapping star disks | With a magnification of $x 230$ resolution at $\sim 345^{\circ}$ with estimated separation 2" | 2MASS and POSS images suggest elongation | Object as double star confirmed |
| TDT 3230 | Obviously single star | No resolution | 2MASS images without hint of elongation | Bogus |
| COU 1639 | Touching/overlapping star disks | With a magnification of $x 230$ resolution at $\sim 210^{\circ}$ with estimated separation 2" | 2MASS images suggest elongation | Object as double star confirmed |
| TDT 2914 | Obviously single star | No resolution | 2MASS images no hint of elongation | Bogus |
| TDT 3234 | Obviously single star | No resolution | 2MASS no hint of elongation | Bogus |
| TDT 2980 | Obviously single star | No resolution | 2MASS images no hint of elongation | Bogus |
| TDT 2998 | Obviously single star | No resolution | 2MASS images no hint of elongation | Bogus |
| TDT 2868 | Obviously single star | No resolution | 2MASS images no hint of elongation | Bogus |
| ES 2125 | Touching/overlapping star disks | With a magnification of x230 resolution at $\sim 45^{\circ}$ with estimated separation $2^{\prime \prime}$ | 2MASS and POSS images suggest elongation | Object as double star confirmed |
| TDT 2904 | Obviously single star | No resolution | 2MASS images no hint of elongation | Bogus |
| TDS 1120 | Obviously single star | No resolution | 2MASS images slight hint of elongation | Probably bogus (after rather negative rechecking of 2MASS and POSS images) |
| TDT 2791 | Obviously single star | No resolution | 2MASS images no hint of elongation | Bogus |
| TDT 2675 | Obviously single star | No resolution | 2MASS J no hint of elongation | Bogus |
| TDT 2763 | Obviously single star | No resolution | 2MASS images slight hint of elongation | Bogus |
| TDT 2981 | Obviously single star | No resolution | 2MASS images no hint of elongation | Bogus |
| TDT 2758 | Obviously single star | No resolution | 2MASS images no hint of elongation | Bogus |
| TDT 3002 | Touching/overlapping star disks | With a magnification of x230 resolution at $\sim 300^{\circ}$ with estimated separation 2" | 2MASS no hint of elongation but POSS images show hint of elongation | Object as double star confirmed |
| TDT 2719 | Obviously single star | No resolution | 2MASS images no hint of elongation | Bogus |
| TDT 2121 | Obviously single star | No resolution | 2MASS J no hint of elongation | Bogus |
| TDT 2051 | Obviously single star | No resolution | 2MASS J no hint of elongation | Bogus |

Table 3 concludes on next page.
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Table 3 (conclusion). Overall assessment for all TDS objects in Cyg with 2" separation so far not confirmed plus 5 already confirmed non TDS objects for counter-checking based on iT24 images, visual observation and counter-checking 2MASS and POSS images

| Name | Imaging | Visual observation | Aladin images | Overall conclusion |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ES 1448 | Touching/overlapping star disks | With magnification of $\times 230$ resolution at about $140^{\circ}$ with estimated separation of $2^{\prime \prime}$ | 2MASS and POSS images suggest elongation | Object as double star confirmed |
| TDT 2130 | Obviously single star | No resolution | 2MASS J no hint of elongation | Bogus |
| TDT 2289 | Obviously single star | No resolution | 2MASS J no hint of elongation | Bogus |
| TDT 2359 | Obviously single star | No resolution | 2MASS J no hint of elongation | Bogus |
| TDT 2261 | Obviously single star | No resolution | 2MASS J no hint of elongation | Bogus |
| TDT 1697 | Obviously single star | No resolution | 2MASS J no hint of elongation | Bogus |
| TDT 1729 | Obviously single star | No resolution | 2MASS J no hint of elongation | Bogus |
| TDT 2197 | Obviously single star | No resolution | 2MASS J no hint of elongation | Bogus |
| ES 242 | Touching/overlapping star disks | With a magnification of x230 resolution at $\sim 30^{\circ}$ with a separation of $\sim^{\prime \prime}$ | 2MASS and POSS images suggest elongation | Object as double star confirmed |
| TDT 2123 | Obviously single star | No resolution | 2MASS no hint of elongation | Bogus |
| TDT 1965 | Obviously single star | No resolution | 2MASS no hint of elongation | Bogus |
| TDT 1991 | Obviously single star | No resolution | 2MASS no hint of elongation | Bogus |
| TDT 1680 | Obviously single star | No resolution | 2MASS no hint of elongation | Bogus |
| POP 135 | Touching/overlapping star disks | With a magnification of x230 <br> hint of companion at $\sim 40^{\circ}$ <br> with a separation of $\sim 2 "$ | 2MASS and POSS images suggest elongation | Object as double star confirmed |
| TDT 1533 | Obviously single star | No resolution | 2MASS no hint of elongation | Bogus |
| TDT 1391 | Touching/overlapping star disks | No resolution | 2MASS image suggest hint of elongation | Object as double star confirmed (negative visual observation probably due to observing the wrong object because of initial problems with the GoTo mount) |
| TDT 1358 | Obviously single star | No resolution | 2MASS no hint of elongation | Bogus |
| TDT 1259 | Touching/overlapping star disks | With a magnification of $x 420$ resolution at a position angle of $\sim 215^{\circ}$ and a separation of ~2" | 2MASS images suggest elongation | Object as double star confirmed |
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Wilfried R.A. Knapp<br>Vienna, Austria<br>wilfried.knapp@gmail.com<br>John Nanson<br>Star Splitters Double Star Blog<br>Manzanita, Oregon<br>jnanson@nehalemtel.net


#### Abstract

The LSPM catalog (Lepine and Shara 2005) is a rich source for CPM pairs we thought already exhausted - but as we found during research for our report "A new concept for counter-checking of assumed CPM pairs" (Knapp and Nanson 2016) there are still many potential CPM pairs indicated in LSPM which as of the beginning of 2016 are not listed in the WDS catalog. A first part of about 40 such objects is presented here.


## Introduction

CPM pairs seem to us interesting enough to deserve their own catalog, but so far the WDS catalog is the only regularly maintained data base for these objects, so we checked the LSPM catalog for potential CPM pairs currently not WDS listed. The selection from LSPM was done by sorting all LSPM objects by RA and then checking if the next LSPM object is nearer than 30 arcseconds. We then found that in most cases such pairs were identical with LSPM objects with the same object ID, but with $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{W} / \mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ added for differentiation of close objects with large proper motion. Next came a quick first check if such pairs show similar proper motion properties in terms of direction and speed. Assuming that star characteristics are distributed by random according to the general frequency regardless of distance one would expect that only a small part would show the characteristics of common proper motion. But to our surprise most of the pairs checked suggested CPM, which means that if two close stars have large proper motion then speed and direction is mostly very similar - the reason for this "rule" is rather unclear to us.

We then checked as many sources available to us via Aladin for data for these CPM candidates beginning with visual comparison of POSS I and POSS II images. Then if possible we used the Aladin centroid feature to get precise position coordinates in the POSS images allowing the calculation of separation and PA and the PM data based on the comparison between POSS I and

POSS II. If the Aladin centroid feature did not work (stars too faint or too close) we then resorted to visual estimations of the centroids. Next came the check of other existing catalog data for the given field of view, especially 2MASS, URAT1, SDSS, WISE, UCAC4, GSC, NOMAD1, APASS etc., for data on both components with 2MASS and URAT1 the most important data source for calculating the PM data by comparison of the positions in 2MASS and URAT1 allowing a CPM rating according to Knapp/Nanson 2016. If URAT1 data was available, then we also checked the VizieR I/330 catalog from Nicholson 2015 (meanwhile, no longer available) based on URAT1 preliminary PM data to show the difference of the estimated PM errors compared to the 2MASS position error based on calculated PM error estimation.

As was to be expected we stumbled over several catalog data quality issues providing some good riddles. SDSS for example provides the currently best available image resolution of $\sim 0.4$ arcseconds and delivers with the SDSS DR9 catalog for most objects excellent precise RA/Dec with an unbelievable small position error of 0.001 " but suddenly some objects have curious large position errors of $\sim 0.5 \mathrm{\prime}$. Yet it would be of high interest to have SDSS covering the full sky instead of the currently given about a third. SDSS DR9 includes also for many objects PM data based on comparison of different SDSS observations, with a time distance of about 6 years. Despite this rather short time frame, most provided PM data seems with some exceptions rather pre-
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cise but in nearly all such cases PM data only for the A component was available. Position comparison between 2MASS and SDSS was quite often less satisfying due to the too short time distance but even in cases with 5 or 6 years the calculated PM values were often not very useful.

Another catalog with good RA/Dec precision is WISE even if based on a technical resolution of only $\sim 1.4$ arcseconds per pixel. But also this catalog covers so far only a part of the sky and the observation epoch is a bit unclear due to a mix of observation dates. We had here to resort to the NASA/ IPAC Infrared Science Archive to get a precise average observation date. Similar to SDSS we often used WISE position data if available for both components for comparison with 2MASS but the calculated PM values were often not very useful.

Next very annoying errors are PM errors in URAT1 - sometimes you can only wonder what positions Aladin shows in the images for the URAT1 objects, only to realize that Aladin shows as standard epoch J2000 and calculates the URAT1 positions from 2013 back to J2000 with the given PM data. Moving the epoch slider to epoch 2013 shows then the effectively measured URAT1 positions.

We tried also to get the visual magnitudes for each of the components from the various catalogs we used. In the absence of Vmags, where J- and K-band values were available, we used a spreadsheet to estimate Vmags with formulas based on the works of Caldwell et al 1993 and Warner 2007 (http://brucegary.net/ dummies/method0.html) provided $-0.1<(\mathrm{J}-\mathrm{K})<1.0$.

Spectral class data was scarce in the available catalogs so we had to resort to deriving the spectral class of the objects in question using the B-V color index provided we had these values listed in the same catalog. For this purpose we used a table provided by the Space Telescope Science Institute (http://www.stsci.edu/~inr/ intrins.html).

As far as possible (mostly depending on the altitude and availability of each object at the time of our research) we tried then to provide recent precise measurements for position, separation, position angle and visual magnitudes based on images taken with remote telescopes using our usual procedure: stacking with VPhot, plate solving and measuring positions and Vmags with Astrometrica using URAT1 as reference catalog and calculating Sep and PA with the formulas provided by Buchheim 2008. Due to the faintness of some objects we had to use exposure times up to 60 seconds and even then some components were too faint to be resolved.

In total we got in this way an observation history of each object beginning in most cases in the year ~1950 with POSS I and ending 2016 with own new images.

## Results of Our Research

In Table 1 we present for the selected objects (plus one Tycho object found by chance as potential CPM during comparing POSS images for another object) as much data as we could find in the images and catalogs available to us including our own measurements of objects in reasonable altitude for imaging with remote telescope iT24. Shown below is a description of the table content per column:.

- LSPM gives the LSPM ID of the selected object in the header line
- RA and Dec give the URAT1 coordinates of the A component in the header line in the traditional HH:MM:SS DD:MM:SS format and in the data lines for the sources referred to in the Notes column in decimal degrees format as these values are directly usable for calculating Sep and PA
- Sep " and PA ${ }^{\circ}$ give separation and position angle in the data lines
- M1 and M2 give measured Vmags in the header line for A and B and if available also in the data lines where we had often to resort to estimated values based on calculation from the J- and K-band values if available
- pmRA1 and pmDE1 with e_pm1 give the proper motion data for A and pmRA2, pmDE2 and e $\quad$ pm2 for B in the header line as well as in the data lines calculated by comparison of positions between catalogs or directly from the catalogs (specified in the Notes column)
- $\operatorname{Spc} 1$ and Spc 2 give the spectral class for A and B usually based on the B-V color index if available
- Ap indicates in the data lines the used aperture for the observation listed and Me indicates the WDS code for the used observation method
- Date is the Bessel epoch of the (averaged) observation date given in the data lines
- CPM Rat gives the rating of the CPM assessment based on comparison of positions between 2MASS and URAT1 in the header line and the corresponding data line (usually URAT1)
- Source/Notes finally indicates in the header line the overall assessment for the object in question and in the data lines the used source (images and catalogs) and additional explanations if considered necessary.
(Text continues on page 161)

CPM Pairs from LSPM so far not WDS Listed

| LSPM | RA | Dec | Sep " | PA ${ }^{\circ}$ | M1 | M2 | pmRA1 | pmDE1 | e_pm1 | pmRA2 | pmDE2 | e_pm2 | Spc1 | Spc2 | Ap |  | Date | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPM } \\ & \text { Rat } \end{aligned}$ | Source/Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & J 0448+\mid \\ & 3511 \end{aligned}\right.$ | 044842.584 | +35 1108.21 |  |  |  |  | 221.9 | 9.2 | 15.8 | 212.3 | -3.0 | 20.4 |  |  |  |  |  | BAB | PM values so far not very precise - but comparison of POSS images strongly suggest CPM |
|  | 72.17329167 | 35.1854444 | 4.4 | 335.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.2 | Pp | $\begin{array}{\|r\|} \hline 1955 \\ .857 \end{array}$ |  | POSS I.O estimates |
|  | 72.17591667 | 35.1854722 | 4.5 | 334.4 |  |  | 193 | 3 |  | 190 | 5 |  |  |  | 1.2 | Pp | $\begin{array}{r} 1995 \\ .813 \end{array}$ |  | POSS II.J estimates. PM values estimated by comparison with POSS I. 0 |
|  | 72.17631700 | 35.1855580 | 4.306 | 332.493 | 12.4 | 13.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.3 | E2 | $\begin{array}{\|r\|} \hline 1998 \\ .081 \end{array}$ |  | 2MASS. Vmags estimated from Jand K -mag values |
|  | 72.17714600 | 35.1855890 | 4.117 | 333.106 |  |  | 221.9 | 9.2 | 15.8 | 212.3 | -3.0 | 20.4 |  |  | 0.4 | Hw | $\begin{array}{\|c} 2010 \\ .164 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | BAB | WISE. PM data calculated from position comparison with 2MASS. Large WISE position error results in large PM error |
| $\left\|\begin{array}{l} 50709 \\ +3217 / \\ 3218 \end{array}\right\|$ | 070938.458 | +32 1750.67 |  |  |  |  | 56.56 | -127.02 | 6.26 | 60.53 | -134.20 | 11.47 |  |  |  |  |  | ABB | PM data so far not fully convincing, but a potential CPM candidate |
|  | 107.40958333 | 32.2980833 | 16.7 | 19.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.2 | Pp | $\begin{array}{r} 1987 \\ .890 \end{array}$ |  | POSS II.J estimates |
|  | 107.41008333 | 32.2975000 | 17.1 | 18.2 |  |  | 127 | -176 |  | 117 | -142 |  |  |  | 1.2 | ${ }^{\text {Pp }}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1999 \\ \hline .855 \end{array}$ |  | poss II.N estimates. PM values estimated by comparison with POSS II.J |
|  | 107.41022200 | 32.2974470 | 16.854 | 18.605 | 17.2 | 17.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.3 | E2 | $\begin{array}{r} 1998 \\ .895 \end{array}$ |  | 2MASS. M1 and M2 estimated from J- and K-band |
|  | 107.41049580 | 32.2969272 | 16.760 | 18.949 |  |  | 56.56 | -127.02 | 6.26 | 60.53 | -134.20 | 11.47 |  |  | 0.2 | Eu | $\begin{array}{r} 2013 \\ .684 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | AbB | URAT1. PM data calculated from position comparison with 2MASS |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \mathrm{J} 1225+ \\ 2836 \end{array}$ | 122546.782 | +28 3603.35 |  |  |  |  | -96 | -212 |  | -112 | -205 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $?$ | PM values so far only estimated - but comparison of POSS images strongly suggest CPM |
|  | 186.4507917 | 28.6074722 | 15.8 | 55.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.2 | Pp | $\begin{array}{r} 1955 \\ .290 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | POSS I.E estimates |
|  | 186.4495417 | 28.6050556 | 16.1 | 55.6 |  |  | -96 | -212 |  | -112 | -205 |  |  |  | 1.2 | ${ }^{\text {Pp }}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1996 \\ .308 \end{array}$ |  | POSS II.N estimates. PM values estimated by comparison with POSS I.E |
|  | 186.4451800 | 28.6015590 | 16.042 | 54.889 |  |  |  |  |  | -80 | -260 | 4.2 |  |  | 2.5 | Es | $\begin{array}{r} 2005 \\ .050 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | SDSS DR9. No PM data for A |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { J1233+ } \\ & 3518 \end{aligned}$ | 123325.745 | +35 1825.02 |  |  |  |  | -184 | 48 |  | -197 | 48 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $?$ | PM values so far only estimated - but comparison of POSS images strongly suggest CPM |
|  | 188.3604583 | 35.3064167 | 2.9 | 247.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.2 | Pp | $\begin{array}{r} 1950 \\ .362 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | POSS I.O estimates |
|  | 188.3580833 | 35.3069167 | 3.4 | 250.9 |  |  | -184 | 48 |  | -197 | 48 |  |  |  | 1.2 | Pp | $\begin{array}{r} 1988 \\ .205 \end{array}$ |  | POSS II.J estimates - no resolution, but elongation and similar pm obvious |
|  | 188.3572010 | 35.3069200 | 3.502 | 266.582 |  |  | -155 | 53 | 2.8 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.5 | Es | $\begin{array}{\|c} 2004 \\ .283 \end{array}$ |  | SDSS DR9. No PM data for B |

Table 1 continues on the next page.

CPM Pairs from LSPM so far not WDS Listed

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { y } \\ & \stackrel{4}{0} \\ & \underset{0}{2} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | y $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{*}$ z 0 0 0 0 0 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 范 |  |  |  |  |  | 录 |  | $\underset{4}{4}$ |  |  |  |  | 岳 |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{cc} \Sigma_{A}^{4} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}\right\|$ | $$ |  |  |  | 妥 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \stackrel{\text { ® }}{\text { an }} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \circ \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{-} \stackrel{1}{\top} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ \mathrm{~N} & 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { サin } \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \mathrm{O} \\ & \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { no } \\ & \underset{\sim}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{N} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\sim}{n} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{\sim} \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\left[\left.\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ & 1 \end{array} \right\rvert\,\right.$ |  | $\begin{array}{cc} m & 2 \\ 0 & 0 \\ & \vdots \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{cc} m & 2 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \vdots \\ & \vdots \end{array}\right\|$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 0 \\ \text { in } \\ - \\ -1 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No o } \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \mathrm{m} . \end{aligned}$ | － | （n） |
| ミ |  | $\stackrel{2}{2}$ | ～ | 箇 | 雷 | 留 | 㽞 | ミ |  | $\stackrel{4}{2}$ | $\stackrel{3}{2}$ | 箇 | 留 | 品 | 画 | $\stackrel{0}{2}$ |  | $\stackrel{4}{2}$ | ～ | 㑑 | 留 |
| 号 |  | $\stackrel{\text { N}}{\sim}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{-}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | N | 号 |  | $\stackrel{\text { N }}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\text { N }}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{m}{i}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\bigcirc}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\bigcirc}$ | 号 |  | $\stackrel{\text { N }}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\text { N }}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \text { O } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N} \\ & 0 \\ & \Omega \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & 0 \\ & \Omega \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 운 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ت} \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { J } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & N_{2} \\ & E_{1} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \vdots \\ & 0 \\ & \vdots \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{\sim} \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \vdots \\ & 0 \\ & \vdots \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N}_{2} \\ & \mathbf{o}_{1} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{6}$ | ～ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{m}{\dot{N}} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{m} \end{aligned}$ | ～ | $\stackrel{\sim}{0}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \infty \\ \stackrel{\infty}{-} \\ \underset{m}{2} \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\infty}{\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}} \stackrel{+}{\sim}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \text { N } \\ & \text { 品 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{1} \\ & \stackrel{1}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{1} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{n} \\ & \dot{n} \\ & \stackrel{i}{i} \\ & \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Na } \\ & \text { 关 } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{\stackrel{?}{\dot{1}}}{\stackrel{1}{1}}$ | $\underset{i}{\text { Y }}$ |  | $N$ $\sim$ $\sim$ $\sim$ | $\stackrel{?}{\stackrel{?}{\dot{1}}}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\dot{1}}}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{i}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \text { N } \\ & \text { 俭 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{\aleph} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{i} \\ & \stackrel{1}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{8}{4}$ |  | $\stackrel{0}{0}$ $\stackrel{\sim}{\circ}$ $\stackrel{\sim}{i}$ $i$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ※ै } \\ & \text { d } \\ & \text { E. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \infty \\ & \stackrel{0}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{1}{1} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{M}{\Omega} \\ \underset{\sim}{1} \\ i \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \infty \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \dot{1} \\ & i \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { थै } \\ & \text { 吊 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{\dot{\circ}} \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{i} \\ & i \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \dot{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{1} \\ & \vdots \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \\ & \stackrel{7}{7} \end{aligned}$ |  | $n$ $\sim$ $\infty$ $\stackrel{0}{1}$ $\sim$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \stackrel{0}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{1} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ} \\ & \underset{1}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { N } \\ \text { 总 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{N}} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{N} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{\grave{1}}$ $\stackrel{\sim}{n}$ $\sim$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\infty} \\ & \infty \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{ }{\circ} \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | ${\underset{0}{2}}_{\Sigma_{1}}$ | ～ | ～ |  |  | $\stackrel{\text { in }}{ }$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{0}$ | $\bullet$ | F $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ 0 | $\begin{gathered} \infty \\ \stackrel{\infty}{7} \\ \stackrel{m}{2} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\infty}{\stackrel{1}{m}} \stackrel{+}{m}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 苗 } \\ & \text { 吕. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \infty \\ & \underset{\sim}{1} \\ & \stackrel{1}{1} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{n} \\ & \stackrel{n}{n} \\ & \stackrel{1}{1} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \underset{\sim}{3} \\ \stackrel{1}{1} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \infty \\ & \underset{\sim}{\square} \\ & \stackrel{1}{1} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 異 } \\ & \text { 亳 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { m} \\ \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{1} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{m}{\dot{0}} \\ & \stackrel{1}{1} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{1}$ |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{m}{\dot{1}} \\ & \stackrel{1}{1} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{m}{\grave{m}} \underset{\substack{1 \\ \hline}}{ }$ | 㽞 |  |  | $\xrightarrow[\substack{0 \\ 1}]{\substack{\text { ¢ }}}$ |  |  |
|  | $\underset{\substack{0 \\ \underset{\sim}{\infty} \\ 1}}{ }$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ \dot{\infty} \\ \infty \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{\text { N }}{\substack{1 \\ 1}}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ష్ } \\ & \text { ష్మ } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & - \\ & \dot{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{1} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{1} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{0}{2} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{1} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { N} \\ \stackrel{1}{1} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \underset{\infty}{\infty} \\ \vdots \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{1} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ} \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 르﹎ } \\ & \text { M. } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ |  |  |
| N |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | N |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{N}} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\bullet} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | N |  |  |  |  |  |
| F |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\circ}{\stackrel{\circ}{4}}$ | E |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{+} \\ & \underset{\sim}{4} \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | E |  |  |  | $\stackrel{-}{\tilde{\sim}}$ |  |
| ム |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\vdots} \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{1}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{y}{\sim} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & 0 \\ & \dot{\sim} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\square} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \dot{\sim} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\omega} \end{aligned}$ | 』 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\infty} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\dot{W}} \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \overleftarrow{\circ} \\ & \hline 0 \\ & \dot{\infty} \\ & \dot{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { J. } \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\dot{~}} \\ & \text { U. } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{o}{1} \\ & \infty \\ & \dot{\sim} \\ & \dot{\sigma} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{o}} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\mathrm{~N}} \end{aligned}$ | 』 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{n}{\dot{\sim}} \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \underset{\sim}{i} \\ \underset{\sim}{n} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\aleph} \\ & \stackrel{1}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{n} \end{aligned}$ | ¢ $\sim$ $\sim$ $\sim$ $\sim$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & = \\ & 0_{0}^{2} \\ & 0_{1} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { in } \\ & \text { ó } \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{L}{N} \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \\ & \stackrel{n}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & = \\ & \stackrel{0}{1} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{v} \\ & \stackrel{i}{v} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{n}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\top} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\infty} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{\sim} \\ & \dot{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\infty} \\ & \dot{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & = \\ & \stackrel{8}{8} \\ & \stackrel{y}{n} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ | $\stackrel{H}{\square}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \infty \\ & \dot{\sim} \end{aligned}$ |
| ® |  |  |  |  |  | $\infty$ $\underset{\sim}{\sim}$ $\underset{\sim}{\sim}$ $\underset{\sim}{0}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{m}{\infty} \\ & \underset{\infty}{\infty} \\ & \underset{\sim}{+} \\ & \stackrel{y}{c} \end{aligned}$ | ロ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{n} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{+} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{\sim} \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{+} \\ & + \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ロ́0 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{+} \\ & \underset{\sim}{7} \\ & \text { in } \\ & \stackrel{+}{+} \\ & + \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ल } \\ & \underset{\sim}{\infty} \\ & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{\circ} \\ & \dot{\sigma} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\stackrel{ }{0}} \\ & \stackrel{1}{3} \\ & \text { O} \\ & \dot{j} \end{aligned}$ |
| 出 | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\infty}{\infty} \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{\dot{0}} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{\sim} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\sim} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\sim} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{1} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \dot{\infty} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\infty} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \tilde{N} \\ & \stackrel{0}{7} \\ & \underset{~}{0} \\ & \dot{\infty} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\infty} \end{aligned}$ |  | 出 |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{+} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \dot{\sim} \\ & \vdots \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{8} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{7} \\ & \stackrel{1}{0} \\ & \dot{\sim} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 出 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & + \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 哭 | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} + \\ + \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 3 \\ 3 \end{gathered}\right.$ |  |  |  |  |

Table 1 continues on the next page．

## CPM Pairs from LSPM so far not WDS Listed

| LSPM | RA | Dec | Sep " | PA ${ }^{\circ}$ | M1 | M2 | pmRA1 | pmDE1 | e_pm1 | pmRA2 | pmDE2 | e_pm2 | Spc1 | Spc2 | Ap | Me | Date | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { CPM } \\ \text { Rat } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Source/Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { J1331+ } \\ & 3821 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 133137.727 | +38 2141.35 |  |  |  |  | 132.44 | -98.65 | 5.52 | 127.98 | $\overline{100.31}^{-}$ | 5.48 |  |  |  |  |  | AAA | Solid CPM candidate |
|  | 202.9051250 | 38.3629167 | 10.9 | 185.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.2 | Pp | $\begin{aligned} & 1950 . \\ & 461 \end{aligned}$ |  | POSS I.E estimates |
|  | 202.9066250 | 38.3618333 | 10.5 | 183.8 |  |  | 93 | -85 |  | 100 | -79 |  |  |  | 1.2 | Pp | $\begin{aligned} & 1996 . \\ & 219 \end{aligned}$ |  | POSS II.J estimates. PM values estimated by comparison with POSS I.E |
|  | 202.9071160 | 38.3615340 | 10.415 | 186.239 | 14.3 | 16.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.3 | E2 | $\begin{aligned} & 1998 . \\ & 295 \end{aligned}$ |  | 2MASS. M1 and M2 estimated from J- and K -band |
|  | 202.9073520 | 38.3614110 | 10.450 | 186.514 |  |  | 132.67 | -88.18 | 16.90 | 121.99 | -93.92 | 16.90 |  |  | 2.5 | Es | $\begin{aligned} & 2003 . \\ & 316 \end{aligned}$ |  | SDSS DR9. PM data calculated from position comparison with 2MASS |
|  | 202.9078000 | 38.3611000 | 10.46 | 186.5 |  |  | 132.5 | -98.6 | 5.3 | 128 | -100.3 | 5.3 |  |  | 0.2 | Eu | $\begin{aligned} & 2013 . \\ & 725 \end{aligned}$ |  | I/330 MPN4526 from URAT1 |
|  | 202.9078377 | 38.3611125 | 10.457 | 186.526 |  |  | 132.44 | -98.65 | 5.52 | 127.98 | $-100.31$ | 5.48 |  |  | 0.2 | Eu | $\begin{aligned} & 2013 \\ & 725 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | AAA | URAT1. PM data calculated from position comparison with 2MASS |
| LSPM | RA | Dec | Sep " | PA ${ }^{\circ}$ | M1 | M2 | pmRA1 | pmDE1 | e_pm1 | pmRA2 | pmDE2 | e_pm2 | Spc1 | Spc2 | Ap | Me | Date | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { CPM } \\ \text { Rat } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Source/Notes |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{J} 1347+ \\ & 0746 \end{aligned}$ | 134718.815 | +07 4612.03 |  |  |  |  | -157.1 | 27.2 | 13.9 | -152.7 | 31.3 | 6.4 |  |  |  |  |  | AAB | Solid CPM candidate based on URAT1-2MASS comparison; PM from POSSII.J estimate below not dependable -- see note under POSSI. 0 estimate. |
|  | 206.830417 | 7.769639 | 14.916 | 170.247 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.2 | Pp | $\begin{aligned} & 1950 . \\ & 297 \end{aligned}$ |  | POSS I.O estimate. Primary and secondary too faint to register for Aladin PHOT tool; secondary very hard to distinguish in POSSI image. |
|  | 206.828667 | 7.770194 | 11.563 | 170.381 |  |  | -142 | 45 |  | -155 | 120 |  |  |  | 1.2 | Pp | $1994 .$ |  | POSS II.J estimate. PM data calculated from position comparison with POSSI. See note on POSSI. |
|  | 206.828813 | 7.769966 | 11.240 | 172.300 | 18.820 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.2 | Pp | $\begin{aligned} & 1993 . \\ & 316 \end{aligned}$ |  | GSC2.3. M1 is GSC Vmag. |
|  | 206.828387 | 7.770011 | 11.495 | 171.883 | 16.200 | 16.800 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.3 | E2 | $\begin{aligned} & 2000 \\ & 231 \end{aligned}$ |  | 2MASS. M1 and M2 estimated from J- and K -band |
|  | 206.828280 | 7.770051 | 11.446 | 171.560 |  |  | -160 | 24 | 4.2 | -163 | 13 | 5.7 |  |  | 2.5 | Es | $\begin{aligned} & 2003 . \\ & 319 \end{aligned}$ |  | SDSS-DR9. PM data from SDSS DR9 catalog |
|  | 206.827969 | 7.770100 | 11.245 | 171.463 |  |  | -148.1 | 31.8 | 13.0 | -143.5 | 57.6 | 13.5 |  |  | 0.4 | Hw | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 . \\ & 298 \end{aligned}$ |  | WISE. PM data calculated from position comparison with 2MASS. Large WISE position error results in large PM error |
|  | 206.827800 | 7.770100 | 11.440 | 171.600 |  |  | -157.1 | 27.2 | 6.2 | -152.7 | 31.3 | 6.4 |  |  | 0.2 | Eu | $\begin{aligned} & 2013 . \\ & 515 \end{aligned}$ |  | I/330 MPN 4663 from URAT1. |
|  | 206.827804 | 7.770111 | 11.442 | 171.644 |  |  | -157.1 | 27.2 | 13.9 | -152.7 | 31.3 | 6.4 |  |  | 0.2 | Eu | $\begin{aligned} & 2013 . \\ & 525 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | AAB | URAT1. PM data calculated from position comparison with 2MASS |
| LSPM | RA | Dec | Sep " | PA ${ }^{\circ}$ | M1 | M2 | pmRA1 | pmDE1 | e_pm1 | pmRA2 | pmDE2 | e_pm2 | Spc1 | Spc2 | Ap | Me | Date | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { CPM } \\ \text { Rat } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Source/Notes |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { J1351+ } \\ & 4337 \end{aligned}$ | 135124.343 | +43 3710.24 |  |  |  |  | -173.00 | 23.56 | 13.29 | -168.11 | 24.20 | 14.24 |  |  |  |  |  | AAB | Despite the rather large 2MASS position error a solid CPM candidate |
|  | 207.8548750 | 43.6188611 | 6.8 | 43.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.2 | Pp | $\begin{aligned} & 1955 . \\ & 279 \end{aligned}$ |  | POSS I.E estimates |
|  | 207.8512500 | 43.6195833 | 6.6 | 48.0 |  |  | -224 | 62 |  | -219 | 48 |  |  |  | 1.2 | Pp | $\begin{aligned} & 1997 . \\ & 368 \end{aligned}$ |  | POSS II.N estimates. PM values estimated by comparison with POSS I.E |
|  | 207.8514100 | 43.6195140 | 6.337 | 45.219 | 15.11 | 16.95 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.3 | E2 | $\begin{aligned} & 2000 \\ & 313 \end{aligned}$ |  | 2MASS. M1 and M2 estimated from J- and K -band |
|  | 207.8512680 | 43.6195730 | 6.405 | 45.407 |  |  | -184 | 10 | 4.2 | -105.36 | 83.87 | 65.81 |  |  | 2.5 | Es | $\begin{aligned} & 2003 . \\ & 232 \end{aligned}$ |  | SDSS 9. PM data for A from SDSS DR9 catalog and for B calculated from position comparison with 2MASS |
|  | 207.8508500 | 43.6196440 | 6.728 | 48.516 |  |  | -143.7 | 46.1 | 33.8 | -90.4 | 45.4 | 10.9 |  |  | 0.4 | Hw | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 . \\ & 466 \end{aligned}$ |  | WISE. PM data calculated from position comparison with 2MASS. Large WISE RA position error makes pm values suspect |
|  | 207.8505206 | 43.6196017 | 6.380 | 45.467 | 15.987 |  | -173.00 | 23.56 | 13.29 | -168.11 | 24.20 | 14.24 | M0 |  | 0.2 | Eu | $\begin{aligned} & 2013 . \\ & 757 \end{aligned}$ | AAB | URAT1. PM data calculated from position comparison with 2MASS. Spectral class A based on $B-V$ color index |
|  | 207.8505000 | 43.6196000 | 6.38 | 45.5 |  |  | -173.0 | 23.6 | 6.0 | -168.1 | 24.2 | 6.2 |  |  | 0.2 | Eu | $\begin{aligned} & 2013 . \\ & 757 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | I/330 MPN4696 from URAT1 |
|  | 207.8503458 | 43.6196167 |  |  | 15.939 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.61 | C | $\begin{aligned} & 2016 . \\ & 519 \end{aligned}$ |  | iT24 1x60s. No resolution of $B$ |

Table 1 continues on the next page.
Table 1 （continued）．Research results for potential common proper motion pairs found in the LSPM catalog．Headline object position based on URAT1 J2000 coordinates for A（with exception of
J1906＋1652－in lack of URAT1 data we had to use the average value of our own measurements）

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { n } \\ & \stackrel{4}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | n <br> $\stackrel{y}{0}$ <br>  <br>  <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{\|ll\|} \hline \begin{array}{c} 3 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 㯖 } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 畄 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $$ | $\ldots$. |  |  |  | $\left.\begin{array}{\|cc\|} \hline n_{n} & 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | 稛 |  |  |  |  | 妥 |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \stackrel{y}{0} \\ & \text { م} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MN N N } \\ & \underset{\sim}{N} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ㄷ. 응 } \\ & \text { on un } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{O}} \stackrel{2}{7} . \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { mog } \\ & \stackrel{y}{2} \text { io } \\ & \text { N } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} n & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ & 3 \end{array}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\wedge}{\circ} \stackrel{\infty}{\infty} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{O}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0_{0} \\ & \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\text { N }}{\text { an }} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | （ray | － |
| $\stackrel{\square}{2}$ |  | $\stackrel{\square}{2}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{M}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{2}$ | N | 3 | 畄 | $\stackrel{y}{2}$ |  | $\stackrel{\square}{2}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{2}$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{N}{2}$ |  | $\stackrel{4}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{2}$ | N | 留 | 3 | 㽞 | $\bigcirc$ |
| 年 |  | $\stackrel{\text { N }}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\text { N }}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\text { N}}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\mathrm{m}}{\stackrel{i}{-}}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | 号 |  | $\stackrel{\text { N }}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\text { N }}{\substack{\text { i }}}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ | 号 |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\text { N }}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{?}{\square}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{0}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\bigcirc}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { N}, ~ \\ & \text { O. } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \text { O. } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { - } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | § |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ت} \\ & 0 \\ & \Omega \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ت} \\ & \text { in } \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| ${\underset{\sim}{N}}_{\substack{N}}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{6}$ | ${\underset{N}{N}}_{\tilde{o}_{1}}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \dot{0} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\circ}{2}$ $\stackrel{\square}{1}$ | $\stackrel{H}{n}$ |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { N } \\ \text { N } \\ \text { E. } \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{2} \\ \underset{1}{2} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\ddots}{\tilde{n}} \\ & \underset{\sim}{i} \\ & \stackrel{i}{1} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{\sigma} \\ & \dot{n} \\ & \stackrel{i}{i} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { N } \\ \text { N } \\ \text { E. } \end{gathered}$ | $\xrightarrow{\text { H }}$ |  | $\underset{\sim}{\text { r }}$ |  | 箇 |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \underset{\pi}{\lambda} \\ \underset{i}{2} \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\sim$ <br> $\sim$ <br> $\sim$ <br> $\sim$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { N} \\ & \text { 岂 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { m} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{i} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ |  |  | m． $\cdots$ $\cdots$ $\cdots$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { m} \\ & \dot{0} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N్ } \\ & \text { 宸 } \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\underset{~}{7}}{\underset{1}{2}}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \underset{~}{\underset{1}{2}} \end{gathered}$ |  | N | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{\infty} \\ & \infty \\ & \stackrel{-}{\square} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\underset{\sim}{\text { ¢ }}$ |  |  | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\infty}$ |  |
| $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{5}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{n}$ |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{n}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} { }_{2}^{5} \\ { }_{0} \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{0}{9}}{\underset{-}{7}}$ |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\circ}{\stackrel{1}{2}}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{n}$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 思 } \\ & \text { 虽 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} n \\ \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{1} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\imath}{1} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{1} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { m} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \stackrel{1}{1} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 思 } \\ & \text { 虽 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{7} \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\sim}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 異 } \\ & \text { 兴 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{9} \\ & \dot{0} \\ & \stackrel{1}{1} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & \stackrel{0}{1} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 8 8 0 1 1 | $\infty$ $\stackrel{0}{0}$ $\stackrel{n}{\square}$ 1 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 気 } \\ & \text { 品 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{\sigma} \\ & \dot{\sim} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{\sigma} \\ & \dot{\sigma} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{\sigma} \\ & \dot{子} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 式 } \\ & \text { d } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\rightrightarrows}{\underset{~}{7}}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \rightrightarrows \\ \underset{1}{7} \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{N} \\ & \underset{\sim}{I} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ |  |  | N ̇̈ H | N <br> $\underset{\sim}{\sim}$ <br>  |  |
| N |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{N}} \\ & \stackrel{y}{\mathrm{H}} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | N | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{r}{n} \\ & \stackrel{n}{r} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{n} \\ & \stackrel{n}{1} \end{aligned}$ | N | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{O}{0} \\ & \stackrel{1}{4} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ |  |  |  | n $\stackrel{1}{0}$ $\stackrel{\sim}{1}$ 0 |
| $\Sigma$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{C}} \\ & \stackrel{-}{-} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\bar{\Sigma}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{7} \\ & \underset{\sim}{7} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | N $\cdots$ $\sim$ － | $\underset{\Sigma}{ }$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{r}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{-} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\stackrel{\square}{\circ}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{m} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\infty$ $\stackrel{\infty}{0}$ $\stackrel{-}{+}$ $\sim$ |
| \& |  | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{2} \\ & \stackrel{0}{\circ} \\ & i \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{\circ}{N} \\ \stackrel{1}{\sim} \\ \stackrel{1}{n} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{0} \\ & \dot{0} \\ & \dot{\sigma} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\bullet}{N} \\ & \stackrel{1}{\mathrm{i}} \\ & \stackrel{n}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\infty} \\ & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{i} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \stackrel{0}{n} \\ & \stackrel{1}{i} \\ & i \end{aligned}$ | 出 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \ddot{~} \\ & \dot{\sim} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\dot{~}} \\ & \stackrel{H}{j} \end{aligned}$ |  | 出 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{\dot{7}} \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\sim}{\dot{\sim}} \\ & \underset{\sim}{m} \end{aligned}$ |  | ¢ n $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ $\sim$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{9}{2} \\ & \stackrel{1}{n} \\ & \underset{\sim}{m} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{n}{\substack{\text { n } \\ \sim \\ \sim}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{N}{\sim} \\ & \stackrel{1}{\tilde{N}} \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{~}} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \dot{\sigma} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{\overleftarrow{~}} \\ & \stackrel{\sigma}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{8}{0} \\ & \stackrel{-}{0} \\ & \stackrel{-}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{n} \\ & \underset{\sigma}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\square} \\ & \stackrel{i}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & i \\ & \stackrel{3}{3} \\ & \dot{a} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{\infty}{\square}$ | $\stackrel{7}{\square}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{7} \\ & \underset{\sim}{m} \end{aligned}$ | $$ |  | $\stackrel{?}{?}$ | $\stackrel{7}{6}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\bullet$ $\stackrel{6}{1}$ $\stackrel{1}{0}$ $\stackrel{0}{0}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{\substack{\text { ® }}}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{\substack{\text { ® }}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{\infty}{0} \\ \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{n} \\ \dot{n} \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ロ̈ } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { in } \\ & \stackrel{3}{0} \\ & \stackrel{7}{m} \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \end{aligned}$ |  | $n$ $\stackrel{n}{0}$ $\vdots$ $m$ $\underset{n}{n}$ |  | $\stackrel{0}{N}$ $\underset{\sim}{0}$ $\sim$ $\underset{\sim}{0}$ $\dot{\sim}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ロ0 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\sim} \\ & \underset{子}{+} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\sim} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{+} \\ & + \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{\infty} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{n} \\ & \stackrel{n}{2} \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{n} \end{aligned}$ |  | ロロ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\sim}{\Omega} \\ & \dot{\circ} \\ & \sim \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\sim} \\ & + \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \vec{~} \\ & \overrightarrow{6} \\ & \stackrel{1}{6} \\ & \tilde{m} \\ & \dot{m} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0}$ $\underset{\sim}{7}$ $\underset{\sim}{w}$ $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{*}$ $\underset{m}{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\sim}{N} \\ & \underset{\sim}{v} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\mathbf{N}} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{7}$ $\underset{\sim}{1}$ $\stackrel{1}{v}$ $\stackrel{1}{2}$ $\underset{m}{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{i}{n} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{n} \\ & \stackrel{N}{\sim} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{m} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \\ & \dot{m} \\ & \dot{m} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 血 |  | m $\underset{\sim}{n}$ $\tilde{n}$ $\underset{\sim}{n}$ $\underset{\sim}{n}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{N}} \\ & \stackrel{N}{n} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{n} \\ & \stackrel{n}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{n}{0}$ $\stackrel{n}{n}$ $\stackrel{n}{n}$ $\stackrel{n}{n}$ $\stackrel{n}{n}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{n} \\ & \stackrel{n}{n} \\ & \dot{N} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{n}{n} \\ & \underset{n}{n} \\ & \stackrel{n}{m} \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{n}} \\ & \underset{N}{n} \\ & \dot{N} \\ & \underset{N}{n} \end{aligned}$ | 出 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{J} \\ & \underset{\sim}{N} \\ & \underset{\sim}{N} \\ & \underset{\sim}{J} \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 岸 |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{0} \\ & \stackrel{N}{\mathrm{~N}} \\ & \underset{\sim}{0} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\mathrm{~J}} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $$ |  |  |  |  | $$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 1 continues on the next page．

CPM Pairs from LSPM so far not WDS Listed

| Table 1 (continued). Research results for potential common proper motion pairs found in the LSPM catalog. Headline object position based on URAT1 J2000 coordinates for A (with exception J1906+1652 - in lack of URAT1 data we had to use the average value of our own measurements) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LSPM | RA | Dec | Sep " | PA ${ }^{\circ}$ | M1 | M2 | pmRA1 | pmDE1 | e_pm1 | pmRA2 | pmDE2 | e_pm2 | Spc1 | Spc2 | Ap | Me | Date | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPM } \\ & \text { Rat } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Source/Notes |
| J1418+3634 | 141855.328 | +36 3429.50 |  |  |  |  | -202.19 | 45.13 | 9.76 | -198.50 | 45.76 | 9.85 |  |  |  |  |  | AAA | Solid CPM candidate |
|  | 214.7349583 | 36.5738333 | 12.0 | 189.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.2 | Pp | $\begin{array}{r} 1950 \\ .362 \end{array}$ |  | POSS I.E estimates |
|  | 214.7318750 | 36.5744722 | 12.0 | 189.8 |  |  | -212 | 55 |  | -212 | 55 |  |  |  | 1.2 | ${ }^{\text {Pp }}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1992 \\ .380 \end{array}$ |  | POSS II.N estimates. PM values estimated by comparison with POSS I.E |
|  | 214.7316100 | 36.5746690 | 11.928 | 189.797 | 15.8 | 17.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.3 | E2 | $\begin{array}{r} 1998 \\ .301 \end{array}$ |  | 2MASS. M1 and M2 estimated from - and K-band |
|  | 214.7312520 | 36.5746900 | 11.912 | 189.542 |  |  | -206.35 | 15.07 | 29.91 | -195.40 | 16.51 | 29.91 |  |  | 2.5 | Es | $\begin{array}{r} 2003 \\ .316 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | SDSS 9. PM data calculated from position comparison with 2MASS |
|  | 214.7305350 | 36.5748617 | 11.911 | 189.391 |  |  | -202.19 | 45.13 | 9.76 | -198.50 | 45.76 | 9.85 |  |  | 0.2 | Eu | $\begin{array}{r} 2013 \\ .598 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | AAA | URAT1. PM data calculated from position comparison with 2MASS |
|  | 214.7303167 | 36.5749083 |  |  | 18.063 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.61 | C | $\begin{aligned} & 2016 \\ & .519 \end{aligned}$ |  | iT24 $1 \times 60$ s. SNR $A<20$. No resolu- tion of $B$ |
|  | 214.7302917 | 36.5748611 |  |  | 18.371 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.61 | C | $\begin{array}{r} 2016 \\ .521 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | iT24 1x60s. SNR A $<20$. No resolu- tion of $B$ |
| LSPM | RA | Dec | Sep " | PA ${ }^{\circ}$ | M1 | M2 | pmRA1 | pmDE1 | e_pm1 | pmRA2 | pmDE2 | e_pm2 | Spc1 | Spc2 | Ap | Me | Date | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPM } \\ & \text { Rat } \end{aligned}$ | Source/Notes |
| J1422+5111 | 142218.413 | +51 $11 \begin{array}{ll}56.53\end{array}$ |  |  | 16.58 | 17.19 | -164.1 | 69.8 | 5.9 | -161.5 | 65.8 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  | AAA | Solid CPM candidate |
|  | 215.580333 | 51.198306 | 4.856 | 194.573 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.2 | Pp | $\begin{array}{r} 1953 \\ .278 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | POSS I.O estimate. Both stars tagged manually; Aladin centroid located midway between the pair. |
|  | 215.576750 | 51.198972 | 5.071 | 189.603 |  |  | -183 | 54 |  | -175 | 48 |  |  |  | 1.2 | ${ }^{\text {Pp }}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1996 \\ .536 \end{array}$ |  | POSS II.N estimate. PM data calculated from position comparison with POSSI. Both stars tagged manually; Aladin centroid located midway between the pair. |
|  | 215.577164 | 51.198918 | 4.907 | 190.100 | 15.390 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.2 | Pp | $\begin{array}{r} 1994 \\ .442 \end{array}$ |  | GSC2.3. M1 is Vmag. |
|  | 215.576766 | 51.199024 | 5.145 | 190.740 | 14.600 | 15.200 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.3 | E2 | $\begin{array}{r} 1999 \\ \cdot 390 \end{array}$ |  | 2MASS. M1 and M2 estimated from <br> - and K-band |
|  | 215.576536 | 51.199086 | 5.186 | 190.350 |  |  | -175.3 | 75.4 | 28.7 | -166.1 | 59.6 | 28.7 |  |  | 2.5 | Es | $\begin{array}{r} 2002 \\ .350 \end{array}$ |  | SDSS-DR9. PM data calculated from position comparison with 2MASS. Time frame too short to allow for reliable PM results |
|  | 215.575940 | 51.199279 | 5.125 | 189.757 |  |  | -168.2 | 82.9 | 13.3 | -160.1 | 83.2 | 17.7 |  |  | 0.4 | Hw | $\begin{array}{r} 2010 \\ .465 \end{array}$ |  | WISE. PM data calculated from position comparison with 2MASS |
|  | 215.575722 | 51.199302 | 5.198 | 190.029 |  |  | -164.1 | 69.8 | 5.9 | -161.5 | 65.8 | 6 |  |  | 0.2 | Eu | $\begin{array}{r} 2013 \\ .691 \end{array}$ | AAA | URAT1. PM data calculated from position comparison with 2MASS |
|  | 215.575700 | 51.199300 | 5.200 | 190.000 |  |  | -164.1 | 69.8 | 5.6 | -161.6 | 65.8 | 5.7 |  |  | 0.2 | Eu | $\begin{array}{r} 2013 \\ .691 \end{array}$ |  | I/330 MPN 4993 from URAT1. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -161.2 | 68.3 | 2.6 | -160.3 | 67.4 | 2.8 |  |  | 0.2 | Eu | $\begin{array}{r} 2013 \\ .691 \end{array}$ |  | PM data calculated from position comparison SDSS DR9 to URAT1 |
|  | 215.575533 | 51.199350 | 5.331 | 191.699 | 16.580 | 17.190 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.61 | C | $\begin{array}{r} 2016 \\ .519 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { iT24 } 1 \times 60 \mathrm{~s} . \text { Err Sep }=0.014, \text { Err } \\ & \text { PA }=0.152, \text { Err M1 }=0.039, \text { Err } \\ & \text { M2 }=0.050 . \end{aligned}$ |

Table 1 continues on the next page.

CPM Pairs from LSPM so far not WDS Listed

| LSPM | RA | Dec | Sep " | PA ${ }^{\circ}$ | M1 | M2 | pmRA1 | pmDE1 | e_pm1 | pmRA2 | pmDE2 | e_pm2 | Spc1 | Spc2 | Ap | Me | Date | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPM } \\ & \text { Rat } \end{aligned}$ | Source/Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{J} 1511+ \\ & 0912 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 151133.050 | +09 1223.70 |  |  |  |  | -107 | 27 |  | -111 | 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  | ? | Comparison of POSS images suggests CPM. PM data estimated |
|  | 227.889083 | 9.206194 | 3.023 | 136.703 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.2 | Pp | $\begin{aligned} & 1951 \\ & .518 \end{aligned}$ |  | POSS I.E estimate. Both stars tagged manually |
|  | 227.887750 | 9.206528 | 3.077 | 141.269 |  |  | -107 | 27 |  | -111 | 23 |  |  |  | 1.2 | Pp | $\begin{aligned} & 1995 \\ & .624 \end{aligned}$ |  | POSS II.N estimate. Both stars tagged manually |
|  | 227.887696 | 9. 206590 |  |  | 15.680 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.3 | E2 | $\begin{array}{r} 2000 \\ .272 \end{array}$ |  | 2MASS. M1 and M2 estimated from J - and K-band, secondary not identified in 2MASS. |
|  | 227.887582 | 9.206693 | 3.427 | 140.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.5 | Es | $\begin{array}{r} 2003 \\ .245 \end{array}$ |  | SDSS-DR9. No secondary in 2MASS for PM comparison |
|  | 227.887153 | 9.206882 |  |  |  |  | -144.2 | 78.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.2 | Eu | $\begin{array}{r} 2013 \\ .655 \end{array}$ |  | URAT1. Secondary not identified in URAT1. |
| LSPM | RA | Dec | Sep " | PA ${ }^{\circ}$ | M1 | M2 | pmRA1 | pmDE1 | e_pm1 | pmRA2 | pmDE2 | e_pm2 | Spc1 | Spc2 | Ap | Me | Date | $\begin{array}{l\|} \hline \text { CPM } \\ \text { Rat } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Source/Notes |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{J} 1524+ \\ & 3146 \end{aligned}$ | $15 \quad 2434.482$ | +31 4648.79 |  |  | 14.35 | 19.02 | -206.4 | 43.2 | 6.0 | -214.2 | 39.1 | 5.9 |  |  |  |  |  | AAA | Solid CPM candidate |
|  | 231.148167 | 31.780306 | 4.823 | 258.033 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.2 | Pp | $\begin{array}{r} 1954 \\ .482 \end{array}$ |  | POSS I.O estimate. Both centroids tagged manually. |
|  | 231.145458 | 31.780750 | 5.808 | 255.032 |  |  | -198 | 38 |  | -219 | 26 |  |  |  | 1.2 | Pp | $\begin{array}{\|r\|} \hline 1996 \\ .309 \end{array}$ |  | POSS II.N estimate. Both centroids tagged manually. |
|  | 231.145380 | 31.780588 | 5.695 | 253.890 | 14.300 | 17.200 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.3 | E2 | $\begin{aligned} & 1998 \\ & .246 \end{aligned}$ |  | 2MASS. M1 and M2 estimated from J - and K-band |
|  | 231.145027 | 31.780644 | 5.880 | 253.679 |  |  | -193 | 43 | 4.2 | -247.0 | 25.6 | 18.2 |  |  | 2.5 | Es | $\begin{array}{r} 2003 \\ .314 \end{array}$ |  | SDSS-DR9. PM data for A from SDSS DR9 catalog and for B calculated from position comparison with 2MASS. |
|  | 231.144336 | 31.780774 | 5.851 | 253.720 |  |  | -206.4 | 43.2 | 6.0 | -214.2 | 39.1 | 5.9 |  |  | 0.2 | Eu | $\begin{array}{r} 2013 \\ .779 \end{array}$ | AAA | URAT1. PM data calculated from position comparison with 2MASS. Attention: Aladin shows URAT1 J2000 positions in image wrong due to wrong URAT1 PM data |
|  | 231.144192 | 31.780825 |  |  | 14.323 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.61 | C | $\begin{array}{\|l} 2016 \\ .505 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | iT24 stack 5x10s. No resolution of B. Err M1 $=0.100$. |
|  | 231.144204 | 31.780817 | 4.861 | 250.784 | 14.351 | 19.016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.61 | C | $\begin{array}{r} 2016 \\ .516 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { iT24 1x60s. SNR B <10. Err Sep }= \\ & 0.089, \text { Err PA }=1.054, \text { Err M1 }= \\ & 0.061, \text { Err M2 }=0.236 . \end{aligned}$ |
| LSPM | RA | Dec | Sep " | PA ${ }^{\circ}$ | M1 | M2 | pmRA1 | pmDE1 | e_pm1 | pmRA2 | pmDE2 | e_pm2 | Spc1 | Spc2 | Ap | Me | Date | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPM } \\ & \text { Rat } \end{aligned}$ | Source/Notes |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{J} 1524+ \\ & 3942 \end{aligned}$ | $1 \begin{array}{lll}15 & 24 & 17.987\end{array}$ | +39 4222.16 |  |  | 15.72 | 18.12 | -41.18 | 178.93 | 5.92 | -44.28 | 176.05 | 5.95 |  |  |  |  |  | AAA | Solid CPM candidate |
|  | 231.0755417 | 39.7042222 | 6.7 | 140.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.2 | Pp | $\begin{array}{r} 1955 \\ .244 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | POSS I.O estimates |
|  | 231.0748333 | 39.7061389 | 6.8 | 138.6 |  |  | -47 | 164 |  | -41 | 164 |  |  |  | 1.2 | Pp | $\begin{array}{r} 1997 \\ .412 \end{array}$ |  | POSS II.N estimates. PM values estimated by comparison with POSS I. 0 |
|  | 231.0749560 | 39.7061270 | 6.630 | 137.832 | 13.913 | 15.735 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.3 | E2 | $\begin{array}{r} 1999 \\ .393 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | 2MASS. M1 and M2 estimated from J - and K-band |
|  | 231.074778 | 39.7066740 | 6.363 | 136.965 |  |  | -44.7 | 178.5 | 21.3 | -54.5 | 202.3 | 9.5 |  |  | 0.4 | Hw | $\begin{array}{r} 2010 \\ .423 \end{array}$ |  | WISE. PM data calculated from position comparison with 2MASS. Large WISE position error results in large PM error |
|  | 231.0747000 | 39.7068000 | 6.64 | 138.4 |  |  | -41.1 | 179.0 | 5.7 | -44.2 | 176.1 | 5.7 |  |  | 0.2 | Eu | $\begin{array}{r} 2013 \\ .693 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | I/330 MPN5488 from URAT1 |
|  | 231.0747428 | 39.7068397 | 6.644 | 138.413 |  |  | -41.18 | 178.93 | 5.92 | -44.28 | 176.05 | 5.95 |  |  | 0.2 | Eu | $\begin{array}{r} 2013 \\ .693 \end{array}$ | AAA | URAT1. PM data calculated from position comparison with 2MASS |
|  | 231.0747042 | 39.7069750 | 6.485 | 138.141 | 15.715 | 18.116 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.61 | C | $\begin{array}{r} 2016 \\ .519 \end{array}$ |  | iT24 $1 \times 60 s$. SNR B $<20$. <br> Err_Sep $=0.014$ ", Err_PA=0.125 ${ }^{\circ}$, <br> Err_M1 $=0.043$, Err_M2 $=0.088$ |

Table 1 continues on the next page.

CPM Pairs from LSPM so far not WDS Listed


Table 1 continues on the next page.
Table 1 （continued）．Research results for potential common proper motion pairs found in the LSPM catalog．Headline object position based on URAT1 J2000 coordinates for A（with exception of
J1906＋1652－in lack of URAT1 data we had to use the average value of our own measurements）

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { n } \\ & \stackrel{y}{0} \\ & \text { a } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \underset{\sim}{H} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & H \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & i \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { a } \\ & \stackrel{4}{0} \\ & \stackrel{2}{0} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & . \\ & \vdots \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & i \\ & H \\ & i \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & u \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { त्x } \\ & \text { a } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \text { in } \\ & \hline 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 妥 |  |  |  |  |  | 委 |  | 范 | 采 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 委 |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N̄ने } \\ & \text { oे } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { man } \\ & \text { ä } \\ & \underset{\sim}{c} \text { n? } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \stackrel{\sim}{\infty} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{\infty} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\infty} . \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{cc} 8 & 0 \\ \text { gin } \\ -1 & \vdots \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{gathered} m \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \underset{\sim}{2} \\ \end{gathered}$ | $\left\|\right\|$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll} \infty & 0 \\ \text { ö } \\ -\underset{\sim}{7} \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & -1 \\ & \underset{\sim}{8} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\circ} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \stackrel{0}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{~N}} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |
| $\stackrel{y}{2}$ |  | \％ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | 箇 | 留 | 品 | 㽞 | $\cup$ | $\stackrel{1}{2}$ |  | ～ | 品 | $\stackrel{4}{2}$ | $\stackrel{4}{4}$ | ～ | 箇 | 留 | 裼 | $\cup$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| 星 |  |  | $\stackrel{\text { N }}{\sim}$ |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{6}$ | 号 |  |  | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{\text { N}}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\text { N }}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\top}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{6}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{6}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N} \\ & \text { O} \\ & \text { on } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ت } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 앛 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ت} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \Omega \end{aligned}$ |  |  | \％ |  |  |  |  |  | 앛 |  |  |
| ${\underset{\sim}{N}}_{\underset{\sim}{N}}^{1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \tilde{N} \\ & \tilde{m} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{U} \\ & \dot{H} \\ & \dot{H} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{n}$ | $\begin{gathered} \tilde{\sim} \\ i \\ i \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \dot{0} \\ & i \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \stackrel{0}{\infty} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{1}{1} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{\Gamma}{n}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \bullet \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \cdots \\ & \cdots \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \stackrel{0}{\infty} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{1}{1} \end{aligned}$ |  | 筫 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { m } \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{\dot{\sim}} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\sim} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \sim \\ \infty \\ i \\ i \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\underset{\substack{\text { N }\\}}{ }$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ๕ै } \\ & \text { M్ } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\dot{1}} \\ & \dot{\sim} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{\infty}{\square}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\overleftarrow{7}} \\ & \stackrel{7}{7} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \dot{\sim} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\dot{~}} \\ & \dot{\sim} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{\sim} \\ & \dot{\mathrm{j}} \\ & \dot{\sim} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{c}} \\ & \end{aligned}$ |  |  | \％ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\Im}{\dot{m}} \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{m} \\ & i \\ & i \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{0} \\ & \dot{\sim} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\cdots$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{m}} \\ & \stackrel{1}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {F }}^{\text {F }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & i \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { o} \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 震 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\sim} \\ \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{U} \\ \stackrel{U}{1} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{0}{n} \\ \stackrel{1}{1} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ | $\begin{array}{r} m \\ \underset{\sim}{\tilde{1}} \\ \stackrel{1}{1} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\sim} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{U} \\ & \stackrel{1}{1} \end{aligned}$ |  | 崮 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n} \\ & \stackrel{1}{n} \\ & \underset{1}{1} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\tilde{N}} \\ & \underset{\sim}{N} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\underset{\substack{m \\ \vdots \\ 1}}{ }$ |  |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ت⿹\zh4灬 } \\ & \text { M } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { N } \\ \vdots \\ \dot{子} \end{gathered}$ |  | \％ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \dot{m} \\ & \dot{m} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\dot{o}} \\ & \dot{子} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { N. } \\ \dot{b} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 르﹎ } \\ & \text { M } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{n}{\stackrel{1}{4}} \\ & \underset{\sim}{r} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{9} \\ & \dot{m} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | N |  |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{\stackrel{n}{+}} \stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{\text { ¢ }}$ |  |  |
| N | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\infty}{\tilde{0}} \\ & \dot{\sim} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\sim}{\bullet} \\ & \stackrel{\bullet}{-} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | N | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{m}{\sim} \\ & \stackrel{0}{\sim} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \underset{\sim}{-} \\ \underset{\sim}{2} \end{array}$ |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ |
| F | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{O}{\overleftarrow{1}} \\ & \stackrel{-}{4} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{n} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{r} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{n} \\ & \stackrel{1}{6} \\ & \stackrel{-}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\overleftarrow{O}} \\ & \stackrel{\square}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\Sigma$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{n} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\mathrm{I}} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \tilde{\sim} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\sim} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\mathrm{I}} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\sim} \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{\sim} \\ & \underset{\sim}{4} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ň } \\ & \text { N゙ } \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \end{aligned}$ |
| 』 |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \bullet \\ \underset{-}{-} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \infty \\ & \dot{\sim} \\ & \underset{\sim}{7} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\dot{I}} \\ & \underset{\sim}{7} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \overrightarrow{ } \\ & \underset{\sim}{7} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{\sim} \\ & \stackrel{n}{n} \\ & \dot{\sim} \\ & \end{aligned}$ | 氏 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{y}{n} \\ & \dot{\gamma} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{i}{\sim} \\ & \stackrel{1}{0} \\ & \stackrel{m}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{0} \\ & \dot{0} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \dot{\sigma} . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\bullet}{\perp} \\ & \stackrel{\Gamma}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\infty}{\sim} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{\infty} \\ & \underset{\sim}{0} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \\ & \stackrel{0}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{0} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N} \\ & \text { e. } \\ & \infty \\ & \underset{\sim}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{1}{+} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{ल} \\ & \stackrel{1}{j} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{6}{\square}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{N}{N} \\ & \stackrel{i n}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \underset{N}{N} \\ \underset{\sim}{n} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \tilde{N} \\ & \dot{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{N}} \\ & \stackrel{n}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{7} \\ & \stackrel{1}{n} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\stackrel{-}{+}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \widetilde{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{\bigcirc}{\stackrel{-}{r}}$ | $\stackrel{r}{r}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\sim}{\sim} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\sim} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{\leftrightarrows} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | ¢ $\sim$ 0 6 | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{3}$ |
| $\stackrel{\text { ® }}{\circ}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\sim} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\prime} \\ & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{n} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{+} \\ & \underset{+}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \underset{N}{N} \\ & \underset{N}{N} \\ & \underset{\sim}{0} \\ & \dot{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ob } \\ & \text { 0 } \\ & \stackrel{0}{\circ} \\ & \text { o } \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{1}{6} \\ & \dot{~} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \stackrel{0}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\dot{~}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{0} \\ & 0 \\ & \stackrel{0}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\dot{~}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { oे } \\ & \text { é } \\ & \overleftarrow{\Pi} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \dot{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{N}{N} \\ & \tilde{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{6} \end{aligned}$ | ه́ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \underset{\sim}{\dot{1}} \\ & \text { ( } \\ & \text { in } \\ & \underset{+}{-} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{N} \\ & \underset{\sim}{N} \\ & \underset{\infty}{\infty} \\ & \infty \\ & \underset{\sim}{j} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{0} \\ & \underset{\sim}{0} \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{N}{N} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\infty} \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \underset{\sim}{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \hline 0 \\ & \text { in } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \infty \\ & 0 \\ & - \\ & -子 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{0} \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \stackrel{-}{\square} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{1}{\infty}$ <br> $\infty$ <br> $\infty$ <br> $\infty$ <br> $\infty$ <br> $\infty$ <br> $\underset{\gamma}{\infty}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \dot{\sigma} \end{aligned}$ |
| 允 |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\infty} \\ & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{\infty} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{v} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \underset{\sim}{1} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ} \\ & \infty \\ & 0 \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \dot{\sim} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\circ$ $\stackrel{n}{N}$ 0 0 0 0 O． $\underset{\sim}{~}$ | 压 | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{\sim} \\ & \dot{\infty} \\ & \stackrel{1}{\sim} \\ & \underset{\sim}{1} \\ & \underset{\sim}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{0} \\ & \underset{\sim}{0} \\ & \stackrel{N}{1} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\sim} \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $$ | $\begin{aligned} & + \\ & \begin{array}{l} + \\ n_{2} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 1 continues on the next page．
Table 1 （continued）．Research results for potential common proper motion pairs found in the LSPM catalog．Headline object position based on URAT1 J2000 coordinates for A（with exception of
J1906＋1652－in lack of URAT1 data we had to use the average value of our own measurements）

| n $\stackrel{y}{0}$ 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & .0 \\ & .0 \\ & 0 \\ & \tilde{0} \\ & 0 \\ & \Sigma \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & .7 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n } \\ & 山 \\ & 0 \\ & \tilde{z} \\ & \tilde{0} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & . \\ & \vdots \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & H \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $$ | 息 |  |  |  |  |  | 妥 |  |  |  | $$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { 采 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 昏 |  |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{ll} \substack{0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \\ \\ \hline \\ \hline} \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & \text { 2i } \\ & 0 \\ & -1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & O_{i}^{-} \\ & \underset{N}{N} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{lll} 0 & 0 \\ a_{0} & 0 \\ \mathrm{~N}_{1} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0_{N}^{N} \\ & . \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\underset{\sim}{-1} \stackrel{\sim}{\mathrm{O}}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & m \\ & \stackrel{m}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{\omega} \\ & \sim \end{aligned}$ |  |
| $\stackrel{y}{2}$ |  | $\stackrel{4}{2}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | Q | N | 留 | 㽞 | 裼 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{1}{2}$ |  | Q | $\stackrel{4}{2}$ | N | 留 | 䍃 | 畐 | 品 | $\cup$ |
| 号 |  | $\stackrel{\text { N }}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\text { N }}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\bigcirc}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{0}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\square}$ | 号 |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\text { N }}{\sim}$ |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\bigcirc}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\square}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{0}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { N} \\ & \text { O, } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \text { \& } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { - } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ت} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\underset{\sim}{N_{1}}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\infty}{\underset{\sim}{\sim}}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{+}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\bullet}$ |  |  | $\underset{\sim}{N_{1}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{\vdots} \\ & \vdots \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\square}{i}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{n}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\stackrel{\circ}{6}}$ |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \underset{\sim}{\sim} \\ \end{gathered}$ |  | $\stackrel{\text { r゙ }}{\sim}$ |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\square}{\dot{\sim}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \underset{\sim}{i} \\ \underset{\sim}{1} \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \text { N } \\ & \text { en } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\underset{~}{~}} \\ & \dot{\sim} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\square}$ |  |  |  | N $\stackrel{1}{\text { ¢ }}$ $\underset{-}{1}$ |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nै } \\ & \text { W. } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\stackrel{\infty}{\stackrel{\infty}{1}}$ |  |  | $\underset{\underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{n}}}{\substack{2}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \infty \\ \stackrel{\infty}{\sim} \\ \stackrel{i}{1} \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\stackrel{\infty}{\underset{\sim}{n}}}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nै } \\ & \text { W్ } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \dot{~} \\ \dot{~} \\ \dot{H} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ |  |  | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\circ}{\text { i }}}{\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{ }}$ | $\xrightarrow{-}$ | ¢ <br>  <br> - <br> - |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathfrak{\imath} \\ & \dot{6} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{?}{6}$ | $\cdots$ |  |  | $\underset{0}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{E}}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \stackrel{n}{\square} \\ \stackrel{0}{6} \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\cdots$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 思 } \\ & \text { 品 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \bullet \\ & \dot{\bullet} \\ & \underset{1}{1} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{i}$ |  |  | $\stackrel{\text { ̀ }}{\text { ヘ1 }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \dot{~} \\ & \underset{1}{1} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & n \\ & \stackrel{n}{0} \\ & \sim \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 思 } \\ \text { 兑 } \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\square}$ |  |  | $\infty$ $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ $\sim$ | $\underset{\underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{\sim}} \underset{\sim}{\sim}}{ }$ | $\stackrel{\underset{\sim}{-}}{\underset{\sim}{\underset{~}{-}}}$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ت⿹\zh4山 } \\ & \text { M } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \because \\ & \stackrel{H}{\sim} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{1} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \stackrel{n}{1} \\ & i \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \sim \\ \sim \\ \sim \\ \sim \\ \sim \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Z } \\ & \text { d } \\ & \text { B. } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ |  |  | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\Im}{\sim}}{\underset{\sim}{2}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \underset{\sim}{\sim} \\ \underset{\sim}{n} \end{gathered}$ | F $\cdots$ $\cdots$ $\square$ |  |
| N |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{2} \\ & \stackrel{1}{7} \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | N | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \\ \stackrel{m}{0} \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{\dot{\sim}} \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\xrightarrow[\sim]{\stackrel{\circ}{\sim}}$ |
| $\underset{\Sigma}{\text { F }}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \dot{\sim} \\ & \underset{\sim}{1} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{8}{n} \\ & \underset{\sim}{4} \\ & \underset{\sim}{1} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{m}{n} \\ & \stackrel{1}{2} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\top} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\sim}{0} \\ & \stackrel{1}{\sim} \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{H}{\Sigma}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\sim} \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{1}{0}$ $\vdots$ $\vdots$ $\cdots$ |
| 氏 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\infty} \\ & \underset{\sim}{1} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{m} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{1} \\ & \stackrel{y}{n} \\ & \dot{\sim} \\ & \dot{m} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{n} \\ & \stackrel{n}{m} \\ & \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { en } \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{\sim} \\ & \underset{m}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{+} \\ & \infty \\ & \dot{0} \\ & \stackrel{n}{m} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \dot{\sim} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \dot{\sim} \\ & \sim \\ & \sim \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\stackrel{\circ}{\AA}$ |  | $\underset{\underset{\sim}{\sim}}{\stackrel{y}{\sim}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\dot{\sim}} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{n} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{2} \\ & \dot{\infty} \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N} \\ & \stackrel{1}{0} \\ & \dot{0} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{v} \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{\sim}} \underset{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \overrightarrow{0} \\ & \stackrel{1}{r} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{\sim} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & = \\ & 0_{0}^{\prime} \\ & 0_{1} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\underset{N}{N}} \\ & \underset{\sim}{1} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{U}{0} \\ & \underset{~}{\text { In }} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \infty \\ & \underset{\sim}{\sim} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\sim} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\sim} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{n} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{\underset{~}{7}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\sim}{n} \\ & \stackrel{n}{\sim} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{\infty} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\sim} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & = \\ & \stackrel{a}{0} \\ & \dot{n} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\infty}{\stackrel{m}{n}} \\ & \stackrel{i}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{n} \\ & \stackrel{n}{n} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{m}{\stackrel{m}{n}}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{N}}{\stackrel{1}{n}}$ | ¢ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ö } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{m} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{I} \\ & \stackrel{N}{0} \\ & \stackrel{n}{-} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & m \\ & \stackrel{m}{0} \\ & \underset{N}{N} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{n} \end{aligned}$ | N § $\stackrel{0}{0}$ $\stackrel{0}{0}$ $\stackrel{1}{-}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 . \\ & i n \\ & \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \underset{\sim}{\circ} \\ & \text { N} \\ & 0 \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { io } \\ & \infty \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \text { o. } \\ & \dot{n} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { ロ̈ } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{6}{m} \\ & \dot{m} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{6} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{m} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\infty} \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{\infty} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \hline . \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \\ & \infty \\ & \circ \\ & \hline \\ & \dot{m} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { o} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \dot{\sim} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 出 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 虫 |  |  |  | $\circ$ $\stackrel{\circ}{0}$ 0 0 $\stackrel{0}{4}$ $\stackrel{1}{n}$ $\stackrel{N}{N}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $$ | $\begin{aligned} & + \\ & \pm \\ & 8 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 泡 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 1 continues on the next page．

CPM Pairs from LSPM so far not WDS Listed

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Source/Notes |  |  | $\qquad$ |  |  | $n$ 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 in |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { U } \\ \text { 㽞 } \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \text { O } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | c． |  |  |  |  |  | $\ldots$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { B̀ } \\ & \text { Bi } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ㅇN N } \\ & \underset{\sim}{\sim} \mathrm{N} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ} \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\infty} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{v} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{cc} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ & 0 \\ \vdots \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { mid } \\ & \stackrel{y}{d} \underset{\sim}{n} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \text { ñ } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 4 \\ 0 \\ \\ \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ} \underset{\sim}{\infty} \\ & \stackrel{y}{v} \end{aligned}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{cc} 8 & -7 \\ 0 \\ 0 & 7 \\ \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{ll} 0 & n \\ 0 & n \\ 0 & 0 \\ n & n \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{y}{0} \\ & \underset{0}{0} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\left.\begin{array}{cc} m & \underset{0}{0} \\ 0 & \underset{1}{n} \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{aligned} & O_{i}^{-} \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{7} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{cc} 0 & \ddots \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ |  |  |
| － | $\stackrel{1}{2}$ |  | $\stackrel{4}{4}$ | $\stackrel{4}{4}$ | $\stackrel{8}{\sim}$ | 箇 | 画 | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{1}{2}$ |  | $\stackrel{1}{4}$ | $\stackrel{4}{4}$ | 箇 | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{1}{2}$ |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{4}$ | $\stackrel{3}{\sim}$ | N | 裼 | $\checkmark$ | $\cup$ |  |
| \％ | 号 |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\text { N }}{\sim}$ |  | $\stackrel{m}{i}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\bigcirc}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ | 号 |  | $\stackrel{\text { N}}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\text { N }}{\substack{\text {－}}}$ | $\stackrel{m}{i}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ | 淈 |  | $\stackrel{\text { N}}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\text { N }}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{m}{i}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ |  |
| \％ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \text { \& } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | N |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :్હ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 「 } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ت} \\ & \circ \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | 알 |  |  |  |
| J̃ | $$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \stackrel{0}{7} \\ & \stackrel{1}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{n} \\ & \stackrel{n}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  | ${\underset{\sim}{N}}_{\substack{N}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ぎ } \\ & \text { İ } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\circ$ $\stackrel{+}{4}$ $\underset{i}{+}$ $\underset{i}{1}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{1} \\ \underset{1}{2} \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N M } \\ & \text { 曾 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N} \\ & \stackrel{1}{1} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n } \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{1} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 翼 | $\stackrel{\underset{\sim}{\sim}}{\substack{2}}$ |  | $\stackrel{\text { ৷ }}{\underset{\sim}{\sim}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 00 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nै } \\ & \text { Mै } \\ & \text { an } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{i}{q} \\ \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{i} \\ \stackrel{1}{1} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\stackrel{\text { u }}{\substack{\text { u }}}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Nै } \\ \stackrel{\text { M }}{2} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \underset{1}{\prime} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ï } \\ & \stackrel{1}{1} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | N | $\stackrel{\text { i }}{1}$ |  | $\stackrel{0}{i}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { s } \\ & \text { in } \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \vec{E}_{1} \\ & \alpha_{1} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{N}} \\ & \stackrel{n}{n} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{n}} \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { む } \\ & \text { I } \\ & \text { I } \\ & \text { U } \\ & \text { B } \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 思 } \\ & \text { 鄙 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{1} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\underset{\underset{i}{7}}{\underset{\sim}{7}}$ |  |  | $\circ$ 0 $\sim$ $\sim$ $\sim$ 1 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 思 } \\ & \text { 曾 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N} \\ & \stackrel{1}{1} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n} \\ & \stackrel{1}{1} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 苗 } \\ & \text { 品 } \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\sim}{N}$ |  | $\underset{\sim}{N}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{+}{\underset{N}{1}} \\ & \underset{1}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { E } \\ & 0.0 \\ & \Xi \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ت̆ } \\ & \text { 岂 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \stackrel{0}{\circ} \\ & \infty \\ & \stackrel{1}{1} \end{aligned}$ |  | ¢ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\square} \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{\infty} \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\stackrel{n}{7}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & n \\ & \stackrel{n}{7} \\ & \stackrel{1}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \overrightarrow{4} \\ \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{2} \\ \stackrel{1}{2} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \bullet \\ \infty \\ i \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { E } \\ & \text { § } \\ & \text { §o } \end{aligned}$ | § | $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{m} \\ \dot{\sim} \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\sim} \\ & \infty \\ & \sim \end{aligned}$ |  | $\infty$ $\stackrel{\circ}{0}$ $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ $\sim$ | N |  |  |  |  |  | N |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & \text { a } \\ & 50 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{y}{\Sigma}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\infty} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\infty} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{-} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\underset{\Sigma}{ }$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{-} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \overrightarrow{-1} \\ & \underset{\sim}{0} \\ & \dot{H} \end{aligned}$ | $\Sigma$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{H}{H} \\ & \stackrel{1}{7} \\ & \stackrel{y}{-} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{I} \\ & \tilde{n} \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{N} \\ & \text { M. } \\ & \text { mi } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { İ } \\ & \stackrel{1}{2} \\ & \dot{M} \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | 』 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{n}{\sim} \\ & \stackrel{1}{n} \\ & \stackrel{i}{7} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{-}{\circ}$ $\stackrel{1}{*}$ $\sim$ $\sim$ $\sim$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{7} \\ & \underset{\sim}{4} \\ & \stackrel{1}{4} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & n \\ & \stackrel{n}{4} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{4} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{2} \\ & \underset{\sim}{6} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{1} \end{aligned}$ | 』 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \underset{\sim}{\dot{~}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \dot{m} \\ & \underset{m}{\infty} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{j}} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | む |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { } \\ & \stackrel{\sigma}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{0}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{1}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0.0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | ¢ ¢ in |  | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \underset{\sim}{\sim} \\ & \dot{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{n}{7} \\ & \underset{\sim}{4} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{v} \\ & \underset{\sim}{r} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\nwarrow}{\stackrel{0}{0}} \\ & \dot{\gamma} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{n} \end{aligned}$ |  | i. | $\dot{\square}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \sim \\ & \sim \\ & \dot{0} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & = \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\sim}{\infty} \\ & \infty \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{m}{0} \\ & \stackrel{m}{m} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { J } \\ & 0 \\ & \text { I } \\ & \text { S } \\ & \text { S } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ®̈ } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\infty} \\ & \stackrel{N}{N} \\ & \stackrel{1}{N} \\ & \stackrel{i}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\sim}{\sim} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{\sim} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{\sim} \\ & \stackrel{~}{n} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & n \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \\ & 0 \\ & \\ & \underset{n}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{n}$ <br> $\stackrel{\sim}{n}$ <br> $\stackrel{n}{n}$ <br> $\stackrel{n}{n}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\infty}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{1}{N} \\ & \stackrel{~}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { ロ̈ } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{-}{\infty} \\ & \stackrel{+}{\sim} \\ & \stackrel{1}{\sim} \\ & \stackrel{N}{N} \\ & \stackrel{+}{+} \\ & + \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{N} \\ & \tilde{O} \\ & \stackrel{1}{\sim} \\ & \underset{\sim}{4} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{N} \\ & \stackrel{4}{4} \end{aligned}$ | $\circ$ $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ $\stackrel{3}{4}$ $\underset{\sim}{4}$ $\underset{\sim}{4}$ |  | ロ́ | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{\sim} \\ & \infty \\ & \underset{\sim}{j} \\ & \stackrel{1}{m} \\ & \stackrel{1}{2} \\ & \stackrel{+}{+} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & N \\ & \underset{\sim}{3} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\sim} \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{e} \\ & \stackrel{1}{n} \\ & \sim \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ザ } \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \\ & \dot{\sigma} \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | 退 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\sim}{m} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\infty} \\ & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{\infty} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\sim}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{\infty} \\ & \infty \\ & 0 \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{\infty} \\ & \underset{\sim}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \overrightarrow{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & 0 \\ & \dot{0} \\ & \dot{\sim} \\ & \underset{\sim}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 峎 | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{N}{N} \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \\ & \underset{\sim}{N} \\ & \underset{\sim}{i} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ڤo } \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 䚁 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{i}{N} \\ & \underset{\sim}{N} \\ & \underset{N}{N} \\ & \dot{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{N} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\sim} \\ & \underset{\sim}{m} \\ & \underset{\sim}{N} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & 0 \\ & \underset{\sim}{0} \\ & \underset{\sim}{0} \\ & \underset{\sim}{0} \end{aligned}$ | 0 $\stackrel{0}{0}$ $\underset{\sim}{1}$ $\underset{\sim}{1}$ 0 0 $\sim$ |  |
|  | $$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { + } \\ & \begin{array}{c} + \\ \\ \vdots \\ 5 \\ \hline \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & + \\ & \stackrel{+}{N} \\ & \underset{\sim}{4} \\ & \stackrel{y}{5} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | 号 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 1 continues on the next page．

CPM Pairs from LSPM so far not WDS Listed
Table 1 （continued）．Research results for potential common proper motion pairs found in the LSPM catalog．Headline object position based on URAT1 J2000 coordinates for A（with exception of
J1906＋1652－in lack of URAT1 data we had to use the average value of our own measurements）

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 号 } \\ & \stackrel{y}{0} \\ & \stackrel{2}{2} \\ & \underset{0}{0} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \vdots \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & . \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \underset{H}{H} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & H \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 岳 |  |  |  |  |  | 妥 | $\begin{array}{\|cc\|} \hline y_{0}^{\circ} & \stackrel{y}{c} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 委 |  |  |  |  | 氐 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\text { ® }}{\text { an }} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No } \\ & \text { Ñ } \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mog } \\ & \text { ö } \\ & \hline 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 8 & 0 \\ 0 . & \underset{\sim}{2} \\ \vdots \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \stackrel{0}{2} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{~N}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} m \\ 2 \\ \underset{\sim}{2} & \stackrel{n}{7} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { min } \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \stackrel{\sim}{c} \\ & \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ఖ } \\ & \text { ä } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\left\lvert\,\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 능 } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \hline 1 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\stackrel{0}{2}$ |  | ～ | $\stackrel{4}{2}$ | 箇 | 䍃 | 品 | 呂 | $\stackrel{0}{2}$ |  | $\stackrel{4}{2}$ | $\stackrel{4}{2}$ | N | 缶 | 品 | $\bigcirc$ |
| 足 |  | $\stackrel{\text { N }}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\text { m }}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\bigcirc}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{0}$ | 题 |  | $\stackrel{\text { ¹ }}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ¹ }}{ }$ | $\stackrel{\text { m }}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\bigcirc}$ | 〒 | $\stackrel{\square}{6}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 「 } \\ & 0 \\ & \Omega \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ت} \\ & \text { on } \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\square}{*}$ |  |
| $\underset{\sim}{N_{1}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \dot{e} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\omega$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{0}{6} \end{aligned}$ | ${ }_{\substack{N \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & i \\ & i \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\square}{i}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & i \\ & i \end{aligned}$ |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { N } \\ \text { N } \\ \text { E } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{\sim} \\ & \dot{N} \\ & \dot{\sim} \\ & \dot{I} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \stackrel{\infty}{\infty} \\ \stackrel{1}{1} \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{+} \\ & \underset{N}{N} \\ & \underset{i}{1} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{\sim}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \dot{\sim} \\ \underset{\sim}{\tilde{j}} \\ \underset{\sim}{1} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \text { N } \\ & \text { E } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\stackrel{\circ}{\infty}$ |  | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\vdots}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{7} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\infty} \\ & \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nै } \\ & \text { 炭 } \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\underset{1}{\sim}} \underset{\sim}{N}$ |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{1}$ |  | $\stackrel{i}{i}$ | $\underset{\sim}{N}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{N}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nै } \\ & \text { ⿷⿱ } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{n} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{7} \\ & \underset{1}{1} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{\square}$ |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\sim}$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \vec{F}_{1} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \dot{6} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \underset{\sim}{\top} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\bullet}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\bullet}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{E} \\ & { }_{0} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\Gamma} \\ & \dot{n} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{n}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\stackrel{1}{*}}$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 㑭 } \\ & \text { 曾 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\underset{\substack{\text { ָה } \\ \text { N} \\ \hline}}{ }$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N} \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\infty} \\ & \stackrel{1}{1} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{\llcorner }{\sim} \\ \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\sim} \\ \stackrel{1}{1} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\sim}{\sim} \\ & \dot{\sim} \\ & \stackrel{1}{1} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 畕 } \\ & \text { 曾 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \\ & 0 \\ & - \\ & -1 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\xrightarrow{\infty}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{0} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\infty} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\bullet$ $\cdots$ $\cdots$ $\infty$ $\cdots$ |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { ت⿹\zh4灬 } \\ \text { E. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ri } \\ & \dot{0} \\ & 1 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{\square}{1}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\dot{A}} \\ \underset{i}{2} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{1} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{i} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ت⿹\zh4灬 } \\ & \text { E. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & n \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \vdots \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | i |  | $n$ <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> + | Un $\sim$ 0 $\vdots$ |  |
| N |  |  |  | $\circ$ $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ $\vdots$ $\square$ $\sim$ |  |  |  | N |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{n}{0} \\ & \stackrel{n}{n} \\ & \stackrel{n}{n} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| $\underset{\Sigma}{\text { F }}$ |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\circ}{\text { O}}$ $\stackrel{1}{4}$ $\underset{\sim}{1}$ |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\text { F }}{ }$ | $\begin{aligned} & - \\ & \infty \\ & \underset{\sim}{-} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\square}} \underset{\neg}{-}$ | $\circ$ 0 $\infty$ $\vdots$ $\square$ - |
| む |  | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\underset{1}{2}} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\square} \\ & \underset{\sim}{1} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{2} \\ & \stackrel{1}{\infty} \\ & \underset{\infty}{\infty} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{n} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\Sigma} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { + } \\ & \underset{1}{1} \\ & -\quad . \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \stackrel{0}{\dot{N}} \\ & \text { n } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{L}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{1}{N} \\ & \text { N } \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{A}{4}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{\sim} \\ & \dot{\sim} \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\sim}{\dot{1}} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\infty} \\ & \stackrel{1}{n} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{m} \\ \underset{\sim}{7} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{1} \\ & \stackrel{1}{7} \\ & \underset{\sim}{4} \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{n}{0} \\ & \stackrel{y}{\top} \\ & \dot{\sigma} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\imath}{n} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{n} \\ & \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{N} \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \stackrel{n}{0} \\ & i \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \dot{\gamma} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\sigma} \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & = \\ & \stackrel{y}{4} \\ & \stackrel{y}{n} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{\underset{~}{4}}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \vec{J} \\ & \dot{\sim} \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n } \\ & \underset{\sim}{\dot{H}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{N} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\top} \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{3}} \stackrel{+}{\square}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { ロ̈ } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \stackrel{0}{-} \\ & \underset{-}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \vec{~} \\ & \dot{\sim} \\ & \tilde{\sim} \\ & \tilde{N} \\ & \stackrel{1}{\sim} \\ & + \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{N}{N} \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{m} \\ & - \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{N} \\ & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{\sim} \\ & \stackrel{-}{m} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{+} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{-} \\ & \stackrel{-}{-} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| 崖 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \underset{\sim}{0} \\ & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{\overleftarrow{ }} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{\sim} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\sim}{\infty} \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{\infty} \\ & \stackrel{1}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \infty \\ & \stackrel{0}{1} \\ & \stackrel{1}{2} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{N} \end{aligned}$ |  | 犅 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{\circ} \\ & \infty \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{i}{N} \\ & \stackrel{N}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \infty \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{n} \\ & \stackrel{N}{N} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \dot{n} \\ & \\ & \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \stackrel{N}{N} \\ & \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{N}{\infty} \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{\infty} \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{\infty} \\ & 0 \\ & \stackrel{n}{N} \\ & \stackrel{N}{2} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| $$ | $\begin{aligned} & + \\ & \begin{array}{l} + \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 0 0 0 $H$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 1 continues on the next page．
Table 1 (continued). Research results for potential common proper motion pairs found in the LSPM catalog. Headline object position based on URAT1 J2000 coordinates for A (with exception of J1906+1652 - in lack of URAT1 data we had to use the average value of our own measurements)

| LSPM | RA | Dec | Sep " | PA ${ }^{\circ}$ | M1 | M2 | pmRA1 | pmDE1 | e_pm1 | pmRA2 | pmDE2 | e_pm2 | Spc1 | Spc2 | Ap | Me | Date | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPM } \\ & \mathrm{Rat} \end{aligned}$ | Source/Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { J1 } 827+ \\ & 5016 \end{aligned}$ | $\left[\begin{array}{ll} 18 & 27 \\ 40.643 \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{array}{ll} +50 & 16 \\ 13.06 \end{array}$ |  |  | 10.63 | 13.87 | 199.40 | 86.70 | 10.22 | 184.01 | 83.21 | 6.47 |  |  |  |  |  | ABA | Solid CPM candidate despite some PM vector length difference (hint for orbit?) |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 276.9137 \\ & 917 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50.2691 \\ & 667 \end{aligned}$ | 4.6 | 223.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.2 | Pp | $\begin{aligned} & 1950 \\ & .382 \end{aligned}$ |  | POSS I.O estimates |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 276.9178 \\ & 333 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 50.2703 \\ & 056 \end{aligned}\right.$ | 4.8 | 229.6 |  |  | 211 | 93 |  | 200 | 98 |  |  |  | 1.2 | Pp | $\begin{array}{r} 1994 \\ .464 \end{array}$ |  | POSS II.N estimates. PM values estimated by comparison with POSS I. 0 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 276.9180 \\ & 260 \end{aligned}$ | $5^{50.2699} 4$ | 4.651 | 235.863 | 10.3 | 10.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.3 | E2 | $\begin{array}{r} 1998 \\ .487 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | 2MASS. M1 and M2 estimated from J <br> - and K-band |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 276.9193 \\ & 444 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50.2702 \\ & 944 \end{aligned}$ | 4.860 | 236.865 | 10.567 |  | 199.40 | 86.70 | 10.22 | 184.01 | 83.21 | 6.47 | M0 |  | 0.2 | Eu | $\begin{array}{r} 2013 \\ .739 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | ABA | URAT1. PM data calculated from position comparison with 2MASS. Spectral class A based on B-V color index |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 276.9195 \\ & 792 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50.2703 \\ & 639 \end{aligned}$ | 4.750 | 237.102 | 10.631 | 13.867 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 61 | C | $\begin{array}{r} 2016 \\ .510 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { iT24 stack } 5 \times 10 \mathrm{~s} \text {. Err_Sep=0.014", } \\ & \text { Err_PA }=0.171^{\circ}, ~ E r r \_M 1=0.020, \\ & \text { Err_M2 }=0.027 \end{aligned}$ |
| LSPM | RA | Dec | Sep " | PA ${ }^{\circ}$ | M1 | M2 | pmRA1 | pmDE1 | e_pm1 | pmRA2 | pmDE2 | e_pm2 | Spc1 | Spc2 | Ap | Me | Date | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { CPM } \\ & \text { Rat } \end{aligned}$ | Source/Notes |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline J 1852+ \\ 3058 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 18 & 52 \\ 58.852 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} +30 & 58 \\ 10.31 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  | -61.6 | -191.9 | 5.6 | -64.5 | -191.2 | 5.6 |  |  |  |  |  | AAA | Solid CPM candidate |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 283.2458 \\ & 33 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30.9723 \\ & 06 \end{aligned}$ | 10.301 | 359.285 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.2 | Pp | $\begin{array}{r} 1951 \\ .507 \end{array}$ |  | POSS I.O estimate. Secondary tagged manually. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 283.2451 \\ & 67 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30.9698 \\ & 61 \end{aligned}$ | 10.607 | 357.915 |  |  | -47 | -200 |  | -52 | -193 |  |  |  | 1.2 | Pp | $\begin{array}{r} 1995 \\ .550 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | POSS II.N estimate. Secondary tagged manually. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 283.2451 \\ & 53 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30.9693 \\ & 85 \end{aligned}$ | 13.670 | 0.400 |  | 13.960 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.2 | Pp | $\begin{array}{r} 1992 \\ .446 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GSC2.3. M2 is Vmag. Epoch shown } \\ & \text { is mean epoch (Epoch of primary } \\ & \text { is 1995.55; Epoch of secondary } \\ & \text { is 1989.338). } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 283.2452 \\ & 51 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30.9696 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | 10.446 | 356.714 | 11.000 | 13.300 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.3 | E2 | $\begin{array}{r} 1998 \\ \cdot 306 \end{array}$ |  | 2MASS. M1 and M2 estimated from $J$ - and K-band |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 283.2452 \\ & 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30.9695 \\ & 39 \end{aligned} 9$ | 9.911 | 355.900 | 11.351 |  | -63.1 | -195.1 |  | -58 | -198 |  | M0 |  | 0.2 | Eu | $\begin{array}{r} 2000 \\ .167 \end{array}$ |  | UCAC4. M1 is Vmag, Spc1 is from UCAC4 B-V data. Epoch shown is mean epoch of RA of Primary (2000.38), Dec of primary (1999.67), and RA and Dec of secondary (2000.000) |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 283.2450 \\ & 31 \end{aligned}$ | ${ }_{80}^{30.9689}{ }^{1}$ | 10.489 | 357.166 |  |  | -56.7 | -192.5 | 7.8 | -50.0 | -188.6 | 17.8 |  |  | 0.4 | Hw | $\begin{array}{r} 2010 \\ .274 \end{array}$ |  | WISE. PM data calculated from position comparison with 2MASS |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 283.2450 \\ & 00 \end{aligned}$ | $l_{30.9688} 1$ | 10.450 | 356.500 |  |  | -61.6 | -191.9 | 5.5 | -64.4 | -191.2 | 5.4 |  |  | 0.2 | Eu | $\begin{array}{r} 2013 \\ .384 \end{array}$ |  | I/330 MPN 7003 from URAT1. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 283.2449 \\ & 50 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30.9688 \\ & 17 \end{aligned}$ | 10.452 | 356.468 |  |  | -61.6 | -191.9 | 5.6 | -64.5 | -191.2 | 5.6 |  |  | 0.2 | Eu | $\begin{array}{r} 2013 \\ .384 \end{array}$ | AAA | URAT1. PM data calculated from position comparison with 2MASS |

Table 1 continues on the next page.
Table 1 （continued）．Research results for potential common proper motion pairs found in the LSPM catalog．Headline object position based on URAT1 J2000 coordinates for A（with exception of
J1906＋1652－in lack of URAT1 data we had to use the average value of our own measurements）

|  |  | 0 0 0 $\tilde{0}$ $\vdots$ 0 0 0 0 0 $H$ 0 0 0 0 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 $\stackrel{y}{3}$ $\stackrel{0}{2}$ 0 0 0 0 0 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | n $\stackrel{y}{4}$ $\stackrel{3}{2}$ 0 0 0 0 0 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & . \\ & \tilde{0} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \dot{H} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 妥 |  |  |  | 委 |  |  |  | 永淢 | 委 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 委 |  |  | 荷荡 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { 妥 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  | 妥 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ざ } \\ & \text { á } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{ll} \infty & 0 \\ \text { on } \\ \text { ön } \\ -1 & 0 \end{array}$ | $\mathfrak{c}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots \\ & n \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\text { 』 }}{\text { a }} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{cc} \text { on } \\ \substack{0 \\ \\ \hline \\ \hline} \\ \hline \end{array}\right.$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{cc} \infty & 0 \\ 0 \\ \\ & 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { m } \\ & \underset{\sim}{\text { a }} \underset{\sim}{~} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\text { ® }}{\text { n }} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\mathfrak{c}$ |  |  |
| $\stackrel{\text { \％}}{\sim}$ |  | $\stackrel{4}{2}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{2}$ | N | 㽞 | $\cup$ | $\cup$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{0}{\Sigma}$ |  | $\stackrel{4}{2}$ | $\stackrel{3}{2}$ | ～ | 箇 | 3 | 䍃 | 甭 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\Sigma}$ |  | $\stackrel{4}{2}$ | $\stackrel{4}{2}$ | N | 㽞 | 呂 | － |
| 晨 |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | ～ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\square}$ | $\stackrel{y}{0}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ | 暏 |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\text { N }}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\square}$ | ソ | $\stackrel{\square}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\text { N }}{0}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{6}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ | 号 |  | $\stackrel{\text {～}}{\sim}$ | $\xrightarrow{\sim}$ | $\cdots$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{0}$ | ～ | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \text { مin } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \text { O, } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ت} \\ & \text { on } \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { - } \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ت} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \Omega \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \tilde{N}_{1} \\ { }_{0} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n} \\ & i \\ & i \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { in } \\ & i \\ & i n \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\underset{\sim}{N_{0}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & i \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \stackrel{n}{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{8}{0} \\ & i \\ & i \end{aligned}$ |  |  | ${\underset{\sim}{2}}_{\tilde{N}_{1}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{n} \\ & i \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\infty}{\stackrel{\infty}{n}} \\ & \stackrel{i}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { N } \\ \text { 鲁 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\grave{0}} \\ & \stackrel{0}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{1} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{\text { N}}{1} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{\stackrel{1}{2}} \\ & \stackrel{0}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{1}{1} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{3} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{N} \\ & \stackrel{1}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\infty} \\ & \infty \\ & \underset{\sim}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n } \\ & \dot{\circ} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{\bullet} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{v} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \text { N } \\ & \text { E } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \infty \\ \stackrel{\infty}{\infty} \\ \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { N్ } \\ & \text { du } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { ※ै } \\ \text { M } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{\circ}{2} \\ \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\dot{~}} \\ \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{1} \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\dot{~}} \\ \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\dot{~}} \\ \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{1} \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{\circ}{2} \\ \dot{+} \\ \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{i} \\ i \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N్ } \\ & \text { W. } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{o} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\top} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{1} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{n}{n} \\ \stackrel{i}{1} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\underset{\sigma}{E}},$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{n} \\ & \stackrel{0}{n} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{n} \\ & \stackrel{n}{n} \\ & i n \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{E}{ }_{0}^{E}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \text { i } \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{?}{r}$ | － |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \vec{E}_{2} \\ & { }_{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \stackrel{n}{n} \\ \stackrel{n}{n} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 思 } \\ & \text { 铝 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{\circ}{n} \\ \underset{\sim}{-} \\ \underset{1}{2} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\stackrel{n}{\underset{1}{1}}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 思 } \\ & \text { 爰 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\otimes}{\dot{\sim}} \\ & \stackrel{2}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{N} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\stackrel{m}{\sim}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\dot{N}} \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 異 } \\ \text { 兴 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\imath}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{1} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 6 \\ \stackrel{\infty}{1} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ت్ } \\ & \text { M } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \tilde{i} \\ & \underset{\sim}{y} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{\square}{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ت̆ } \\ & \text { M } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & \stackrel{0}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{0}{\sim} \\ & i \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{n} \\ & \underset{i}{1} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { y } \\ \underset{\sim}{i} \\ \text { ì } \end{gathered}$ | $\circ$ $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ}$ $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ}$ $\stackrel{1}{2}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 르﹎ } \\ & \text { k } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 6 \\ \vdots \\ \hline 1 \end{array}$ |  |  | 7 <br> $\cdots$ <br> $\vdots$ <br> $\bullet$ <br> $\cdots$ |  |
| N | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\ddot{q}}{\dot{\sim}} \\ & \stackrel{2}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\circ}{\square} \\ & \stackrel{-}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{1}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{y}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  | N |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{n}{n} \\ & \stackrel{n}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{-}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{-}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{ } \end{aligned}$ | N | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{n}{0} \\ & \stackrel{n}{\square} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\bullet}{\dot{~}} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{7} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | N̈ <br> $\stackrel{1}{0}$ <br> $\stackrel{\sim}{\square}$ |
| F | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{n}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{7} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\sim} \\ & \underset{\sim}{4} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{-}{\infty} \stackrel{\infty}{\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}} \stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { H} \\ & \text { U. } \\ & \stackrel{n}{H} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\imath}{n} \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \end{aligned}$ | 파̇ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \underset{\sim}{\dot{1}} \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & \text { O} \\ & \dot{y} \\ & \text { In } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \stackrel{0}{n} \\ & \dot{\sim} \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\text { g}}{1} \\ & \underset{\text { İ}}{1} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { é } \\ & \stackrel{1}{\circ} \\ & \dot{\sim} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\sim}{n} \\ & \vdots \\ & \dot{\beth} \end{aligned}$ | E | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{n} \\ & \underset{\sim}{H} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\sim} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| む |  | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{0} \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & n \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{U}} \\ & \end{aligned}$ | M Ü N゙ b | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{N}{N} \\ & \stackrel{i}{i} \\ & \dot{e} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{\omega} \\ & \dot{\sim} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | － |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{O}{\vdots} \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \\ & \underset{H}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \stackrel{3}{7} \\ & \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \vec{N} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{n} \\ & \underset{\sim}{-} \end{aligned}$ | $\circ$ $\stackrel{\circ}{\square}$ $\stackrel{n}{\square}$ $\square$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\sim}{\mu} \\ & \stackrel{1}{\sim} \\ & \underset{\sim}{7} \end{aligned}$ | n $\sim$ $\sim$ $\underset{\sim}{7}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{9}{0} \\ & \dot{~} \\ & \underset{\sim}{7} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & n \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \dot{m} \\ & \underset{\sim}{7} \end{aligned}$ | む |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{m} \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{n} \\ & \underset{N}{n} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\sim}{\sim} \\ & \sim \\ & \underset{\sim}{J} \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{H}{n} \\ & \stackrel{1}{\dot{N}} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{\sim} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\infty}{\underset{\sim}{c}} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{y}} \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & = \\ & 0_{0}^{\prime} \\ & 0_{1} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \stackrel{O}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N} \\ & \underset{6}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{1}{n} \\ & i \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \dot{0} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{\circ} \\ & \infty \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \underset{\sim}{0} \\ \underset{\sim}{0} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{\mathrm{~N}} \\ & \underset{\sigma}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { m } \\ & \underset{\sigma}{\prime} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \infty \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{\infty} \\ & \stackrel{0}{\infty} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{n}{N} \\ \infty \\ \infty \\ \infty \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{n} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\sigma} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & = \\ & 0_{0}^{\prime} \\ & 0_{1} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\square}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No } \\ & \text { ٌ } \\ & \text { a } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & n \\ & \stackrel{m}{n} \\ & \dot{n} \end{aligned}$ | ¢ $\sim$ $\sim$ $\sim$ | $n$ 0 0 $\sim$ |
| ロロ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \dot{0} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{m} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{+} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & 0 \\ & \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \\ & \dot{\sim} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \bullet \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{1}{\Omega} \\ & \dot{0} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ®̈ }}{\circ}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{7} \\ & \underset{m}{1} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{+} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \\ & \stackrel{m}{m} \end{aligned}$ | $$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \sim \\ & \\ & -\quad . \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \vec{\rightharpoonup} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \tilde{\sim} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{m} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { en } \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \infty \\ & \sim \\ & \stackrel{m}{m} \\ & \stackrel{-}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \tilde{N} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \sim \\ & \sim \\ & \stackrel{\mu}{n} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\sim} \\ & \infty \\ & \sim \\ & \sim \\ & \sim \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\infty$ $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ $\sim$ $\sim$ $\sim$ $\stackrel{\sim}{m}$ | $\infty$ $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ $\infty$ $\sim$ $\sim$ $\stackrel{\sim}{m}$ | ロロ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 0 \\ \stackrel{0}{N} \\ \tilde{n} \\ \stackrel{n}{m} \\ \tilde{N} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\infty} \\ & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{\omega} \\ & \dot{\sim} \\ & \dot{m} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\sim} \\ & \underset{\sim}{0} \\ & \dot{\sim} \\ & \dot{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\infty} \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \underset{\sim}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \dot{m} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { } \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \dot{m} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{7} \\ & \underset{\sim}{0} \\ & \stackrel{y}{0} \\ & \dot{m} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 发 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { H} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \dot{0} \\ & \dot{\sim} \\ & \sim \\ & \sim \\ & \sim \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \hline \\ & \stackrel{0}{\infty} \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{\infty} \\ & \sim \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \sim \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{\infty} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{0} \\ & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{0} \\ & \underset{\infty}{\infty} \\ & \sim \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \widetilde{\sim} \\ & \underset{\sim}{0} \\ & \infty \\ & \sim \\ & \sim \\ & \infty \\ & \underset{\sim}{\sim} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | 虫 |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\infty} \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{m} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{\infty} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\infty} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{m} \\ & \stackrel{1}{m} \\ & \dot{\omega} \\ & \dot{\sim} \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{1}{m} \\ & \dot{\sim} \\ & \dot{\infty} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{N} \\ & \stackrel{1}{m} \\ & \dot{\infty} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\infty} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \bullet \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{N} \\ & \stackrel{1}{\sim} \\ & \dot{\sim} \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | 㐍 |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\sigma} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{0} \\ & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{\infty} \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \Sigma a_{4}^{2} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 㵄 | $\begin{array}{\|c} + \\ \stackrel{y}{3} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 3 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 1 continues on the next page．

CPM Pairs from LSPM so far not WDS Listed

| LSPM | RA | Dec | Sep " | PA ${ }^{\circ}$ | M1 | M2 | pmRA1 | pmDE1 | e_pm1 | pmRA2 | pmDE2 | e_pm2 | Spc1 | Spc2 | Ap | Me | Date | $\begin{array}{l\|} \text { CPM } \\ \text { Rat } \end{array}$ | Source/Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { J1906 } \\ & +1652 \end{aligned}$ | 190652.110 | +16 5207.20 |  |  | 15.40 | 17.10 | 21 | 132 |  | 35 | 169 |  |  |  |  |  |  | ? | No final CPM conclusion due to lack of data but comparison POSS images suggests CPM |
|  | 286.716375 | 16.866279 | 2.438 | 10.173 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.2 | Pp | $\begin{aligned} & 1953 . \\ & 623 \end{aligned}$ |  | POSS I.O estimate. Both stars tagged manually. |
|  | 286.716625 | 16.867778 | 4.027 | 14.448 |  |  | 21 | 132 |  | 35 | 169 |  |  |  | 1.2 | Pp | $\begin{aligned} & 1994 . \\ & 439 \end{aligned}$ |  | POSS II.N estimate. Both stars tagged manually. |
|  | 286.716928 | 16.867865 | 3.750 | 13.700 | 13.771 | 14.799 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.3 | E2 | $\begin{aligned} & 1997 . \\ & 531 . \end{aligned}$ |  | 2MASS. M1 and M2 estimated from J - and K -band |
|  | 286.717088 | 16.868706 |  |  | 15.515 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 61 | C | $\begin{aligned} & 2016 . \\ & 502 \end{aligned}$ |  | iT24 stack 5x10s. SNR A<20. No resolution of $B$ - has to be fainter than 16.5 mag . Err M1 $=$ 0.087 |
|  | 286.717138 | 16.868650 | 3.566 | 15.885 | 15.399 | 17.055 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 61 | C | $\begin{aligned} & 2016 . \\ & 505 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { iT24 stack } 5 \times 10 \text { s. SNR B<20. Err } \\ & \text { Sep }=0.036 \text {, Err PA }=0.579 \text {, Err } \\ & \text { M1 }=0.068 \text {, Err M2 }=0.110 . \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 286.717142 | 16.868653 | 3.645 | 15.064 | 15.343 | 17.037 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 61 | C | $\begin{aligned} & 2016 . \\ & 505 \end{aligned}$ |  | iT24 1×60s. Err Sep $=0.028$, Err PA $=0.445$, Err M1 $=0.071$, Err M2 $=0.082$. |
|  | 286.717129 | 16.868661 | 3.604 | 13.825 | 15.395 | 17.102 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 61 | C | $\begin{aligned} & 2016 . \\ & 508 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { iT24 1x60s. Err Sep }=0.028, \\ & \text { Err PA }=0.450, \text { Err M1 }=0.062, \\ & \text { Err M2 }=0.075 . \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Notes: Neither of the pair is identified in SDSS-DR9; secondary is identified in WISE, but not primary. Neither star identified in GSC 2.3 or UCAC4; only one star identified in URAT1, which appears to be the secondary. |
| LSPM | RA | Dec | Sep " | PA ${ }^{\circ}$ | M1 | M2 | pmRA1 | pmDE1 | e_pm1 | pmRA2 | pmDE2 | e_pm2 | Spc1 | Spc2 | Ap | Me | Date | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPM } \\ & \operatorname{Rat} \end{aligned}$ | Source/Notes |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { J1909 } \\ +5659 \end{array}$ | 190900.342 | +56 5948.77 |  |  | 14.36 | 19.25 | -200 | -21 |  | -202 | -16 |  |  |  |  |  |  | ? | Visually comparison of POSS images suggests CPM but hard facts are missing |
|  | 287.255833 | 56.997472 | 4.576 | 91.252 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.2 | Pp | $\begin{aligned} & 1952 . \\ & 569 \end{aligned}$ |  | POSS I.E estimate. Hint of pointed elongation manually tagged |
|  | 287.251958 | 56.997250 | 4.495 | 88.725 |  |  | -200 | -21 |  | -202 | -16 |  |  |  | 1.2 | Pp | $\begin{aligned} & 1990 . \\ & 505 \end{aligned}$ |  | POSS II.N estimate. Hint of pointed elongation manually tagged. PM data calculated from position comparison with POSSI |
|  | 287.251399 | 56.996876 |  |  | 13.445 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.3 | E2 | $\begin{aligned} & 2000 . \\ & 327 \end{aligned}$ |  | 2MASS. M1 estimated from J- and K-band. Secondary not identified in 2MASS. |
|  | 287.250400 | 56.996650 |  |  | 14.379 |  | -149.4 | -62 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.2 | Eu | $\begin{aligned} & 2013 . \\ & 432 \end{aligned}$ |  | URAT1. M1 is Vmag. B component not identified in URAT1. |
|  | 287.250063 | 56.996564 |  |  | 14.343 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 61 | C | $\begin{aligned} & 2016 . \\ & 502 \end{aligned}$ |  | iT24 stack 5x10s. No resolution of $B$ - has to be fainter than 16.5mag. Err M1 $=0.045$. |
|  | 287.250167 | 59.996600 | 4.019 | 93.424 | 14.360 | 19.248 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 61 | C | $\begin{aligned} & 2016 . \\ & 508 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { iT24 1860s. SNR B }<5 \text {. Err Sep }= \\ & 0.022 \text {, Err PA }=0.319 \text {, Err M1 }= \\ & 0.042 \text {, Err M2 }=0.433 \text {. } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Notes: Secondary not shown in GSC 2.3, USNO B1, and UCAC4; neither of the two stars is identified by SDSS-DR9; secondary not identified in WISE. |

Table 1 continues on the next page.
Table 1 (continued). Research results for potential common proper motion pairs found in the LSPM catalog. Headline object position based on URAT1 J2000 coordinates for A (with exception of
J1906+1652 - in lack of URAT1 data we had to use the average value of our own measurements)

| LSPM | RA | Dec | Sep " | PA ${ }^{\circ}$ | M1 | M2 | pmRA1 | pmDE1 | e_pm1 | pmRA2 | pmDE2 | e_pm2 | Spc1 | Spc2 | Ap | Me | Date | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { CPM } \\ \mathrm{Rat} \end{array}$ | Source/Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { J1910 + } \\ & 0937 \end{aligned}$ | 191017.367 | +09 3718.58 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ?? | No final CPM conclusion due to lack of data. Own imaging suggests that the assumed secondary does not exist |
|  | 287.572348 | 9.621815 | 3.365 | 210.800 | 13.40 | 13.52 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.3 | E2 | $\begin{array}{r} 1999 \\ .590 \end{array}$ |  | 2MASS. M1 and M2 estimated from J - and K-band. VizieR data includes a note that the photometry for both components is unreliable, probably because of overlapping star disks. |
|  | 287.572863 | 9.622258 |  |  |  |  | 128.8 | 112.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.2 | Eu | $\begin{array}{r} 2013 \\ .781 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | URAT1. Secondary not identified in URAT1. |
|  | 287.573000 | 9.622386 |  |  | 14.266 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 61 | C | $\begin{array}{r} 2016 \\ .502 \end{array}$ |  | iT24 stack $5 \times 10 s$. No resolution of B. Err M1 $=0.065$. |
|  | 287.572979 | 9.622336 |  |  | 14.215 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 61 | c | $\begin{array}{r} 2016 \\ .508 \end{array}$ |  | iT24 1x60s. No resolution of $B$. Err M1 $=0.061$. The faintest stars resolved in this image are around 19 mag and it seems rather implausibly that there is not even a hint of an elongation for a secondary of similar brightness with the given separation. Companion has to be extremely faint, might be even bogus |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Notes: Comparison of POSS I.O and POSS II.N images shows proper motion of the primary but no trace of the secondary. Neither of the pair is identified in SDSS -DR9; secondary not identified in WISE, URAT1, GSC2.3 and UCAC4. |
| LSPM | RA | Dec | Sep " | PA ${ }^{\circ}$ | M1 | M2 | pmRA1 | pmDE1 | e_pm1 | pmRA2 | pmDE2 | e_pm2 | Spc1 | Spc2 | Ap | Me | Date | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline \text { CPM } \\ \text { Rat } \end{array}$ | Source/Notes |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { J1916+ } \\ & 3753 \end{aligned}$ | 191620.514 | +37 5324.85 |  |  |  |  | 66.25 | 172.92 | 6.08 | 65.22 | 169.29 | 6.10 |  |  |  |  |  | AAA | Solid CPM candidate |
|  | 289.0839583 | 37.8881111 | 11.4 | 71.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.2 | Pp | $\begin{array}{r} 1955 \\ .378 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | POSS I.O estimates |
|  | 289.0853750 | 37.8901944 | 10.7 | 74.3 |  |  | 108 | 202 |  | 96 | 180 |  |  |  | 1.2 | Pp | $\begin{array}{r} 1992 \\ .552 \end{array}$ |  | POSS II.N estimates. PM values estimated by comparison with POSS I. 0 |
|  | 289.0854400 | 37.8901600 | 10.943 | 74.135 | 13.86 | 14.23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.3 | E2 | $\begin{array}{r} 1998 \\ .399 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | 2MASS. M1 and M2 estimated from J <br> - and K-band |
|  | 289.0856030 | 37.8904930 | 10.932 | 74.451 |  |  | 66.2 | 172.9 | 6.1 | 65.2 | 169.3 | 6.1 |  |  | 2.5 | Es | $\begin{array}{r} 2005 \\ .435 \end{array}$ |  | SDSS 9. PM data calculated from position comparison with 2MASS |
|  | 289.0857400 | 37.8907390 | 10.862 | 74.270 |  |  | 71.6 | 175.1 | 9.9 | 65.6 | 171.2 | 9.1 |  |  | 0.4 | Hw | $\begin{array}{r} 2010 \\ .302 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | WISE. PM data calculated from position comparison with 2MASS |
|  | 289.0858000 | 37.8909000 | 10.91 | 74.4 |  |  | 66.3 | 172.9 | 5.4 | 65.3 | 169.3 | 5.4 |  |  | 0.2 | Eu | $\begin{array}{r} 2013 \\ .529 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | I/330 MPN7467 from URAT1 |
|  | 289.0857933 | 37.8908878 | 10.908 | 74.424 |  |  | 66.25 | 172.92 | 6.08 | 65.22 | 169.29 | 6.10 |  |  | 0.2 | Eu | $\begin{array}{r} 2013 \\ .529 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | AAA | URAT1. PM data calculated from position comparison with 2MASS |

Table 1 continues on the next page.
Table 1 (continued). Research results for potential common proper motion pairs found in the LSPM catalog. Headline object position based on URAT1 J2000 coordinates for A (with exception of
J1906+1652 - in lack of URAT1 data we had to use the average value of our own measurements)

| LSPM | RA | Dec | Sep " | PA ${ }^{\circ}$ | M1 | M2 | pmRA1 | pmDE1 | e_pm1 | pmRA2 | pmDE2 | e_pm2 | Spc1 | Spc2 | Ap | Me | Date | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPM } \\ & \text { Rat } \end{aligned}$ | Source/Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left.\right\|^{\mathrm{J} 1926+} 4421$ | 192603.485 | +44 2136.55 |  |  | 10.30 | 15.33 | 67.97 | 209.89 | 5.59 | 62.59 | 207.41 | 5.71 |  |  |  |  |  | ABA | Solid CPM candidate despite some PM vector length difference which might be a hint for an orbit |
|  | 291.5120417 | 44.3560833 | 6.0 | 28.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.2 | Pp | $\begin{array}{r} 1951 \\ .518 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | POSS I.O estimates |
|  | 291.5132917 | 44.3586111 | 6.1 | 28.2 |  |  | 73 | 206 |  | 73 | 209 |  |  |  | 1.2 | Pp | $\begin{array}{r} 1995 \\ .624 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | POSS II.J estimates - no resolution, but elongation and similar pm obvious |
|  | 291.5134020 | 44.3587720 | 6.032 | 30.885 | 10.4 | 14.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.3 | E2 | $\begin{array}{r} 1998 \\ .435 \end{array}$ |  | 2MASS. Vmags estimated from Jand K -mag values |
|  | 291.5134280 | 44.3588598 | 6.821 | 30.794 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.2 | Eu | $\begin{array}{r} 2000 \\ .580 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | UCAC4. Epoch averaged |
|  | 291.5138031 | 44.3596575 | 5.892 | 30.552 | 10.32 |  | 67.97 | 209.89 | 5.59 | 62.59 | 207.41 | 5.71 | G0 |  | 0.2 | Eu | $\begin{array}{r} 2013 \\ \cdot 466 \end{array}$ | ${ }^{\text {ABA }}$ | URAT1. PM data calculated from position comparison with 2MASS. Spectral class A based on B-V color index |
|  | 291.5138833 | 44.3598528 | 5.849 | 29.312 | 10.301 | 15.331 | 68.57 | 215.36 | 4.70 | 55.68 | 211.10 | 4.70 |  |  | . 61 | c | $\begin{aligned} & 2016 \\ & .502 \end{aligned}$ |  | iT24 stack $4 \times 10$ s. SNR B<10. PM values calculated by comparison with 2MASS positions |
| LSPM | RA | Dec | Sep " | PA ${ }^{\circ}$ | M1 | M2 | pmRA1 | pmDE1 | e_pm1 | pmRA2 | pmDE2 | e_pm2 | Spc1 | Spc2 | Ap | Me | Date | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPM } \\ & \text { Rat } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Source/Notes |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { J1937+ } \\ & 4445 \end{aligned}$ | 193752.430 | +44 4514.53 |  |  | 16.38 | 18.88 | -8.00 | 171.80 | 5.60 | -8.00 | 172.20 | 5.70 |  |  |  |  |  | AAA | Solid CPM candidate. This object is meanwhile included in the WDS catalog as DEA 288 |
|  | 294.468208 | 44.751861 | 11.908 | 203.739 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.2 | Pp | $\begin{aligned} & 1951 \\ & .518 \end{aligned}$ |  | POSS I.O estimate. |
|  | 294.468292 | 44.753944 | 11.633 | 203.330 |  |  | 5.00 | 188.00 |  | 5.00 | 195.00 |  |  |  | 1.2 | Pp | $\begin{aligned} & 1995 \\ & .624 \end{aligned}$ |  | poss II.J estimate. PM data calculated from position comparison with POSSI. |
|  | 294.468533 | 44.753600 | 11.780 | 204.900 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.2 | Pp | $\begin{array}{r} 1991 \\ .453 \end{array}$ |  | GSC2. 3 |
|  | 294.468462 | 44.753963 | 11.725 | 204.653 | 15.300 | 17.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.3 | E2 | $\begin{array}{r} 1998 \\ .448 \end{array}$ |  | 2MASS. M1 and M2 estimated from J - and K-band |
|  | 294.468458 | 44.754204 |  |  |  |  | -9.00 | 172.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.2 | Eu | $\begin{array}{r} 2000 \\ .000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | UCAC4. Secondary not shown in UCAC4. |
|  | 294.468415 | 44.754681 | 11.737 | 204.622 |  |  | -8.00 | 171.80 | 5.60 | -8.00 | 172.20 | 5.70 |  |  | 0.2 | Eu | $\begin{array}{r} 2013 \\ .444 \end{array}$ | AAA | URAT1. PM data calculated from position comparison with 2MASS |
|  | 294.468488 | 44.754850 |  |  | 16.517 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 61 | C | $\begin{array}{r} 2016 \\ .510 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { iT24 stack } 5 \times 10 \text { s. Err M1 }= \\ & 0.061 \text {. } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 294.468417 | 44.754822 | 11.426 | 208.087 | 16.377 | 18.876 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 61 | c | $\begin{array}{r} 2016 \\ .516 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { iT24 1x60s. SNR B }<10 \text {. Err Sep }= \\ & 0.022 \text {, Err PA }=0.112 \text {, Err M1 }= \\ & 0.036 \text {, Err M2 }=0.121 . \end{aligned}$ |
| LSPM | RA | Dec | Sep " | PA ${ }^{\circ}$ | M1 | M2 | pmRA1 | pmDE1 | e_pm1 | pmRA2 | pmDE2 | e_pm2 | Spc1 | Spc2 | Ap | Me | Date | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPM } \\ & \text { Rat } \end{aligned}$ | Source/Notes |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { J1959+ } \\ & 3432 \end{aligned}$ | 195946.938 | +34 $32 \quad 24.20$ |  |  |  |  | -51.4 | -123.3 | 5.6 | -47 | -121.1 | 5.7 |  |  |  |  |  | AAA | Solid CPM candidate |
|  | 299.946208 | 34.542028 | 4.635 | 166.120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.2 | Pp | $\begin{aligned} & 1950 \\ & .603 \end{aligned}$ |  | POSS I.O estimate. Both stars tagged manually. |
|  | 299.945452 | 34.540333 | 4.030 | 172.956 |  |  | -53 | -138 |  | -67 | -127 |  |  |  | 1.2 | Pp | $\begin{array}{r} 1994 \\ .513 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | POSS II.N estimate. Both stars tagged manually. |
|  | 299.945585 | 34.540258 | 4.391 | 167.600 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.2 | Pp | $\begin{array}{r} 1991 \\ .593 \end{array}$ |  | GSC2.3. |
|  | 299.945603 | 34.540112 | 4.624 | 167.104 | 15.500 | 16.600 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.3 | E2 | $\begin{array}{r} 1998 \\ .358 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | 2MASS. M1 and M2 estimated from J <br> - and K-band |
|  | 299.945300 | 34.539600 | 4.580 | 166.000 |  |  | -51.4 | -123.3 | 5.5 | -47 | -121.1 | 5.5 |  |  | 0.2 | Eu | $\begin{array}{r} 2013 \\ .460 \end{array}$ |  | I/330 MPN 7967 from URAT1. |
|  | 299.945339 | 34.539590 | 4.579 | 165.952 |  |  | -51.4 | -123.3 | 5.6 | -47 | -121.1 | 5.7 |  |  | 0.2 | Eu | $\begin{array}{r} 2013 \\ .460 \end{array}$ | AAA | URAT1. PM data calculated from position comparison with 2MASS |

Table 1 continues on the next page.

CPM Pairs from LSPM so far not WDS Listed
Table 1 continues on the next page.
Table 1 (continued). Research results for potential common proper motion pairs found in the LSPM catalog. Headline object position based on URAT1 J2000 coordinates for A (with exception of
J1906+1652 - in lack of URAT1 data we had to use the average value of our own measurements)

| LSPM | RA | Dec | Sep " | PA ${ }^{\circ}$ | M1 | M2 | pmRA1 | pmDE1 | e_pm1 | pmRA2 | pmDE2 | e_pm2 | Spc1 | Spc2 | Ap | Me | Date | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { CPM } \\ & \text { Rat } \end{aligned}$ | Source/Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { J2021+ } \\ & 1622 \end{aligned}$ | 202154.918 | 162229.86 |  |  |  |  | 164.90 | -41.38 | 6.78 | 172.51 | -43.67 | 6.70 |  |  |  |  |  | ABA | Solid CPM candidate despite some PM vector length difference hint for an orbit? |
|  | 305.4762500 | 16.3756111 | 4.5 | 15.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.2 | Pp | $\begin{array}{r} 1951 \\ .513 \end{array}$ |  | POSS I.O estimates |
|  | 305.4782917 | 16.3750278 | 4.4 | 13.2 |  |  | 181 | -54 |  | 177 | -54 |  |  |  | 1.2 | Pp | $\begin{array}{r} 1990 \\ .472 \end{array}$ |  | POSS II.J estimates. PM values estimated by comparison with POSS I. 0 |
|  | 305.4788160 | 16.3749620 | 4.559 | 12.158 | 17.0 | 17.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.3 | E2 | $\begin{aligned} & 1999 \\ & .822 \end{aligned}$ |  | 2MASS. M1 and M2 estimated from - and K-band |
|  | 305.4795000 | 16.3748000 | 4.55 | 13.9 |  |  | 164.9 | -41.4 | 6.20 | 172.5 | -43.7 | 6.10 |  |  | 0.2 | Eu | $\begin{array}{r} 2013 \\ .505 \end{array}$ |  | I/330 MPN8123 from URAT1 |
|  | 305.4794656 | 16.3748056 | 4.551 | 13.857 |  |  | 164.90 | -41.38 | 6.78 | 172.51 | -43.67 | 6.70 |  |  | 0.2 | Eu | $\begin{array}{r} 2013 \\ .505 \end{array}$ | ABA | URAT1. PM data calculated from position comparison with 2MASS |
| LSPM | RA | Dec | Sep " | PA ${ }^{\circ}$ | M1 | M2 | pmRA1 | pmDE1 | e_pm1 | pmRA2 | pmDE2 | e_pm2 | Spc1 | Spc2 | Ap | Me | Date | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CPM } \\ & \text { Rat } \end{aligned}$ | Source/Notes |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { J2035+ } \\ & 0711 \end{aligned}$ | 203550.843 | +07 1124.28 |  |  | 14.39 | 15.37 | 131.00 | -66.20 | 6.60 | 120.20 | -66.00 | 6.80 |  |  |  |  |  | ACA | Visual comparison of POSS images suggests CPM. Significant difference in pm vector length might be a hint for an orbit |
|  | 308.959833 | 7.191000 | 3.737 | 293.664 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.2 | Pp | $\begin{aligned} & 1951 \\ & .602 \end{aligned}$ |  | POSS I.O estimate. |
|  | 308.961667 | 7.190306 | 4.716 | 293.761 |  |  | 148.00 | -57.00 |  | 128.00 | -48.00 |  |  |  | 1.2 | Pp | $\begin{aligned} & 1991 \\ & .695 \end{aligned}$ |  | POSS II.F estimate. PM data calculated from position comparison with POSSI. |
|  | 308.961849 | 7.190067 | 4.134 | 296.100 | 13.990 |  | 138.00 | -65.00 |  | 84.70 | -39.40 |  | M0 |  | 0.2 | Eu | $\begin{array}{r} 2000 \\ .000 \end{array}$ |  | UCAC4. Epoch shown is for primary. Secondary has a mean epoch of 1999.095 (RA $=1998.97$, $\mathrm{Dec}=$ 1999.22). |
|  | 308.961862 | 7.190070 | 4.145 | 297.124 | 13.100 | 13.800 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.3 | E2 | $\begin{aligned} & 2000 \\ & .444 \end{aligned}$ |  | 2MASS. M1 and M2 estimated from - and K-band |
|  | 308.962150 | 7.189922 | 4.349 | 293.568 |  |  | 104.0 | -53.9 | 13.3 | 74.0 | -69.1 | 25.8 |  |  | 0.4 | Hw | $\begin{array}{r} 2010 \\ .337 \end{array}$ |  | WISE. PM data calculated from position comparison with 2MASS. Large WISE position error results in large PM error |
|  | 308.962333 | 7.189834 | 4.330 | 296.338 | 14.040 |  | 131.00 | -66.20 | 6.60 | 120.20 | -66.00 | 6.80 |  |  | 0.2 | Eu | $\begin{array}{r} 2013 \\ .052 \end{array}$ | ACA | URAT1. M1 is URAT1 Vmag. PM data calculated from position comparison with 2MASS. |
|  | 308.962458 | 7.189772 | 4.226 | 296.565 | 14.389 | 15.367 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 61 | C | $\begin{aligned} & 2016 \\ & .511 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { iT24 stack 5x10s. Err Sep }= \\ & 0.028, \text { Err PA }=0.383, \text { Err M1 }= \\ & 0.028, \text { Err M2 }=0.039 . \end{aligned}$ |
| LSPM | RA | Dec | Sep " | PA ${ }^{\circ}$ | M1 | M2 | pmRA1 | pmDE1 | e_pm1 | pmRA2 | pmDE2 | e_pm2 | Spc1 | Spc2 | Ap | Me | Date | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { CPM } \\ \text { Rat } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Source/Notes |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{J} 2044+ \\ & 5042 \end{aligned}$ | 204407.570 | +50 4233.08 |  |  | 17.17 | 18.29 | -102.76 | 121.69 | 6.01 | -95.51 | 121.00 | 5.96 |  |  |  |  |  | AAA | Solid CPM candidate |
|  | 311.0331667 | 50.7078333 | 4.1 | 170.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.2 | Pp | $\begin{array}{r} 1954 \\ .486 \end{array}$ |  | POSS I.O estimates |
|  | 311.0320000 | 50.7093333 | 4.3 | 175.0 |  |  | -66 | 134 |  | -73 | 127 |  |  |  | 1.2 | Pp | $\begin{aligned} & 1994 \\ & .655 \end{aligned}$ |  | POSS II.N estimates. PM values estimated by comparison with POSS I.O |
|  | 311.0315670 | 50.7091710 | 4.606 | 173.063 | 15.3 | 15.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.3 | E2 | $\begin{aligned} & 1999 \\ & .467 \end{aligned}$ |  | 2MASS. M1 and M2 estimated from - and K-band |
|  | 311.0310960 | 50.7096150 | 4.590 | 170.596 |  |  | -98.0 | 145.9 | 19.6 | -80.3 | 149.9 | 22.7 |  |  | 0.4 | Hw | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 \\ & .421 \end{aligned}$ |  | WISE. PM data calculated from position comparison with 2MASS. Large WISE position error results in large PM error |
|  | 311.0309308 | 50.7096481 | 4.614 | 171.928 |  |  | -102.76 | 121.69 | 6.01 | -95.51 | 121.00 | 5.96 |  |  | 0.2 | Eu | $\begin{array}{r} 2013 \\ .638 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | AAA | URAT1. PM data calculated from position comparison with 2MASS |
|  | 311.0308292 | 50.7098083 |  |  | 17.198 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 61 | C | $\begin{array}{r} 2016 \\ .510 \end{array}$ |  | iT24 stack 5x10s. SNR A<20. Err_M1=0.166 |
|  | 311.0308083 | 50.7097278 | 4.441 | 172.255 | 17.170 | 18.289 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 61 | C | $\begin{aligned} & 2016 \\ & .516 \end{aligned}$ |  | iT24 1x60s. SNR B <20 |

Table 1 （continued）．Research results for potential common proper motion pairs found in the LSPM catalog．Headline object position based on URAT1 J2000 coordinates for A（with exception of
J1906＋1652－in lack of URAT1 data we had to use the average value of our own measurements）

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | n $\stackrel{3}{0}$ \＃ 0 0 0 0 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | a． |  |  |  |  |  |  | 荷䓜 | 委 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 采 |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\text { ® }}{\text { ñ }} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { «N } \\ & \text { ö } \\ & \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 0 & 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ N \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \underset{N}{4} \\ & \sim \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \stackrel{\text { ® }}{\text { an }} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{cc} 2 \\ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \\ -1 \\ \hline \end{array} . \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lll} \infty & 0 \\ { }_{2} \\ 0 \\ -1 & \infty \\ -1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \circ \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \stackrel{N}{N} \\ & \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & m \\ & \stackrel{m}{0}{ }_{\sim}^{\infty} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{\sim} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| $\stackrel{0}{2}$ |  | $\stackrel{4}{4}$ | $\stackrel{4}{4}$ | 箇 | 留 | 觻 | $\cup$ | $\stackrel{0}{2}$ |  | $\stackrel{4}{4}$ | $\stackrel{4}{4}$ | 箇 | 畀 | 留 | 急 | 易 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| 暏 |  | $\stackrel{\text { N }}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\text { N }}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\square}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{6}$ | 足 |  | $\stackrel{\text { N }}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{?}{-}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\circ}$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{-}{6}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{6}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | 을 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ro } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ت} \\ & 0 \\ & \Omega \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${\underset{\sim}{2}}_{\tilde{N}_{1}}^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \underset{\sim}{y} \\ \underset{\sim}{n} \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\stackrel{\underset{6}{0}}{ }$ |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\square}{i}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{\tilde{j}} \\ & \end{aligned}$ | چ |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \text { N } \\ & \text { 号 } \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\stackrel{-1}{\sim}}$ |  | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\sim}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { m} \\ & \dot{0} \\ & \text { i} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \text { 思 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{7} \\ & \underset{\sim}{H} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{\circ}{\sim}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\mathrm{I}} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\mathrm{O}} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ै } \\ & \text { ä } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{1}$ |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{1}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \dot{0} \\ & i \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ै } \\ & \text { du } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{+}{\underset{\sim}{\mathrm{N}}}$ |  | ำ |  |  | $\dot{\tilde{N}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \infty \\ \infty \\ \infty \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{\underset{\sim}{\mathrm{N}}}{ }$ |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & { }^{-}{ }_{2} \\ & { }_{0} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\square}{\circ}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \vec{F}_{1} \\ & { }_{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{0}$ |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\ddots}{\dot{\sigma}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\varphi}{m} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{7} \end{aligned}$ | چ |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 思 } \\ & \text { 虽 } \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\sim}{N}$ |  | $\underset{\sim}{N}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { - } \\ \text { 胃 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{n}{\alpha} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{\sim} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\underset{\sim}{\text { ® }}$ |  | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{7}}}{ }$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{m} \\ \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{-} \\ \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{2} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \ddot{\circ} \\ & \dot{0} \\ & \stackrel{O}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\sim}{\dot{0}} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{\sim} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ت⿹\zh4灬 } \\ & \text { M } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\text { i }}{\text { I }}$ |  | $\stackrel{\text { ® }}{\text { I }}$ |  |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{\text { m }}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 島 } \\ & \text { d్ } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \bullet . \\ & \dot{\circ} \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ |  | ¢ |  | $\stackrel{n}{n}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \dot{\sim} \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\infty} \stackrel{\dot{\sim}}{\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \bullet \\ & \dot{\circ} \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| N | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ¡} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\prime} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { m} \\ & \stackrel{n}{n} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \underset{\sim}{n} \\ \underset{\sim}{\prime} \\ \underset{\sim}{\prime} \end{gathered}$ | N | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{-}{e} \\ & \stackrel{\bullet}{\square} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{-}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\sim} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ň } \\ & \text { ǹ } \\ & \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | ゼ $\stackrel{\square}{\bullet}$ $\stackrel{\square}{\bullet}$ |  |
| $\Sigma$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N. } \\ & \dot{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{G}}$ |  |  | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ}$ $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\infty}$ $\stackrel{+}{6}$ $\stackrel{1}{1}$ | $\Sigma$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \stackrel{n}{n} \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \vec{m} \\ & \underset{\sim}{r} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\circ}{\sim}$ $\sim$ $\sim$ $\sim$ | N |
| \& |  | $\begin{gathered} \underset{m}{n} \\ \underset{\sim}{n} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\sim} \\ & \underset{\sim}{1} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\hat{N}} \\ & \stackrel{1}{2} \\ & \underset{i}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{y} \\ & \underset{\sim}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \underset{\sim}{7} \\ \underset{\sim}{7} \end{gathered}$ | む |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\bullet}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{m} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{n} \\ & \stackrel{0}{n} \\ & \dot{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{B}} \\ & \underset{\sim}{4} \\ & \underset{\sim}{4} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \vec{N} \\ & \underset{\sim}{m} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{N}} \\ & \stackrel{1}{n} \\ & \stackrel{m}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{O}} \\ & \dot{\sim} \\ & \dot{m} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{2}} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{m} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \text { M } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & = \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{y}{n} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\bullet}{\infty} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{\sim} \\ & \underset{\sim}{4} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\pi}{5} \\ & \stackrel{3}{\pi} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\sim}{\sim} \\ & \sim \\ & \sim \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{\sigma} \\ & \dot{\sigma} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & = \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{y}{1} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\sim} \\ & \underset{\sigma}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\sim} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\sigma} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \underset{\sim}{4} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{m} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{\sigma} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\top} \\ & \underset{\sigma}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{\infty}{\sim} \\ \stackrel{\sim}{j} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $⿳ 亠 丷 厂 彡$ m m |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\sim}{0} \\ & \dot{\infty} \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{\infty} \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{0} \\ & \stackrel{+}{+} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \substack{0 \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \hline} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\circ$ <br> $\stackrel{0}{\circ}$ <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> $\vdots$ <br>  <br> 0 <br> $\vdots$ <br> $\vdots$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\vdots}{0} \\ & \dot{0} \\ & \vdots \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { ロ̈ } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{7} \\ & \dot{\sim} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\sim} \\ & m \\ & \underset{+}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & N \\ & \tilde{\jmath} \\ & \stackrel{1}{2} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\mu} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\infty$ <br> $\stackrel{\infty}{+}$ <br> $\infty$ <br> $\underset{\sim}{\aleph}$ <br> $\underset{\sim}{m}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{+} \\ & \underset{\infty}{\infty} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{m} \\ & \underset{\sim}{m} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 虫 |  | $\circ$ <br> $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ <br> $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ <br> $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ}$ <br> $\stackrel{0}{\circ}$ <br> $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{7}$ <br> ले |  |  |  |  |  | \＆ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { in } \\ \text { N } \\ \text { N } \\ \underset{\sim}{2} \\ \underset{\sim}{\sim} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\sim}{\mathrm{I}} \\ & \underset{\sim}{N} \\ & 0 \\ & \underset{\sim}{\mathrm{~N}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{N} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{N} \\ & 0 \\ & \underset{\sim}{N} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \infty \\ & \underset{\sim}{N} \\ & \text { on } \\ & \dot{\sim} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \sim \\ & \infty \\ & \underset{\sim}{N} \\ & 0 \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{N} \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\infty} \\ & \infty \\ & \underset{N}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{~N}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\infty}{0} \\ & \underset{\sim}{v} \\ & \stackrel{1}{2} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\mathrm{~N}} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \Sigma \\ \vdots \\ \hline ⿹ 勹 口 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \Sigma \\ \vdots \\ \hline ⿹ 勹 口 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & + \\ & \underset{\sim}{+} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\sim} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\sim} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 1 concludes on the next page．

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { y } \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{y}{0} \\ & \ddot{U} \\ & \ddot{0} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \dot{0} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\qquad$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 售䓜 | 缶 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 旡 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \stackrel{0}{\text { \# }} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|cc} 2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Bion |  |  |
| $\stackrel{9}{2}$ |  | $\stackrel{4}{4}$ | $\stackrel{4}{4}$ | $\stackrel{4}{2}$ | 留 | 早 | 窰 | 啇 |
| ¢ |  | $\stackrel{\text { N }}{ }$ | $\stackrel{\text { N }}{+}$ | $\stackrel{\text { }}{\substack{\text {－}}}$ | $\stackrel{n}{i}$ | ก | $\stackrel{\square}{\circ}$ | ก |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { N} \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ \Omega \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  | 8 |
| $\tilde{N}_{0}^{N}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & i \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\odot}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{8}{\circ}$ |
| 思 | $\stackrel{\text { O}}{\underset{\sim}{4}}$ |  | $\sim$ |  |  | $\stackrel{?}{1}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\infty}$ | ¢ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { N} \\ & \text { N } \\ & \text { R } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { پ̈ } \\ & \stackrel{1}{2} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | ～̃ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\sim}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\text { ¢ }}$ |
| $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{F}_{2}}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\infty}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\circ}{\dot{\sim}}$ |  |
| $\frac{7}{\mathrm{t}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\dot{1}} \\ \stackrel{1}{1} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\sim$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{m}{\dot{1}} \\ \stackrel{1}{1} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\xrightarrow[~-~]{\text { İ1}}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ت゙ } \\ & \text { 葆 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\tilde{\sim}} \\ & \end{aligned}$ |  | ®ั |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\dot{\sim}} \\ & \dot{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\dot{j}}{\underset{\sim}{2}}$ | $\xrightarrow[\sim]{\sim}$ |
| श |  |  |  |  | $\dot{\underset{\sim}{\dot{m}}}$ |  |  |  |
| ： |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\square}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{\square}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{4} \end{aligned}$ |  | ！ |
| $\stackrel{4}{4}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \curvearrowleft \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \because \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 厄} \\ & \infty \\ & \stackrel{0}{\omega} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \vec{J} \\ & \stackrel{0}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{\oplus} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{\sim} \\ & \stackrel{1}{\sim} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\mathrm{~N}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \overrightarrow{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{6} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{\oplus} \end{aligned}$ |
| 旮 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\underset{~}{~}} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\grave{y}}{\underset{\sim}{r}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { চ } \\ & \underset{\sim}{\mathrm{N}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{n} \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{0} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { in } \\ & \text { in } \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ |
| 造 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{N} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \stackrel{0}{6} \\ & \dot{b} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{0} \\ & \stackrel{4}{0} \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \\ & \dot{6} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { in } \\ & \stackrel{1}{1} \\ & \stackrel{0}{6} \\ & \stackrel{6}{6} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\pi}{1} \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \vdots \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ì } \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { J } \\ & \text { N } \\ & \underset{0}{6} \\ & \stackrel{6}{6} \end{aligned}$ |
| 发 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & 0 \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{N} \\ & \underset{\sim}{1} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\infty} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 囩 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## (Continued from page 141)

## Summary

Of the 47 objects checked for CPM

- 22 got a triple A rating based on position comparison between 2MASS and URAT1 (according to the method presented in Knapp/Nanson 2016), which means solid CPM
- 15 got a rating between AAB to BAC , which means probably CPM with caveats but all of them with CPM confirmation by comparison of POSS images
- 9 remained without rating due to missing URAT1 positions for the secondary
- 1 remained as suspect due to missing evidence for the secondary.

One object ( $\mathrm{J} 1937+4445$ ) was during the research for this report added to the WDS catalog as CPM pair DEA 288 but we kept this object in the report to provide the additional observations we found in the diverse catalogs or made ourselves.
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#### Abstract

A selected team of 8th graders measured the separation and the position angle of double star HR 2282 also known as Furud. They used a 22- inch Newtonian Alt/Az telescope to determine the scale constant, separation, and the position angle. The separation angle was 169.6 arc seconds and the position angle was 339.7 degrees. The results were compared to the 1999 Washington Double Star Catalog and were found to be extremely close.


## Introduction

A three-day Double Star Workshop was hosted from March 11 through March 13, 2016 at Vanguard Preparatory School. Astronomers chose thirty-three eighth grade students attending Vanguard to participate in the workshop. The students were then split up into three teams and matched with an instructor. The astronomers who led the students were Chris and Reed Estrada (Green Team, see Figure 1), Mark Brewer (Red Team), and Sean Gillette (Purple Team).

## Equipment and Procedures

The Green Team used a 22 -inch Newtonian Alt/Az telescope with a Celestron Micro Guide Eyepiece attached to a Bell and Howell High Definition Video Camera. The usage of the video camera counterbalanced the necessity for the drive motors and negated the field rotation in Alt/Az telescope.

The calibration star, Bellatrix (Gamma Orionis), was used to determine the scale constant on the date of B2016.186. The eyepiece was rotated so that the sky would drift parallel to the linear scale. Bellatrix was then positioned on the eastern edge of the linear scale; the star moved across the linear scale. The team of students determined the amount of time it took for the star to drift to the western edge of the linear scale using a stopwatch that read to the nearest 0.01 seconds. Ten drift times were used to determine the scale constant


Figure 1: Team that participated in the study of HR2282 (Green Team). Top Row (left to right): Astronomers Reed and Chris Estrada, Nick Varela, Charlie Colbert, Colin Mayo, Edward Dondelinger, and Jeremy Goodrow. Bottom Row (left to right): Tara Izadi, Peyton Anker, Destiny Barrientos, Lindsey Gillette, Sarah Stuart, and Jordan Milton.
using the equation

$$
Z=\frac{15.0411 t \cos (d e c)}{D}
$$

where $Z$ was the scale constant in arc seconds per division; 15.0411 is the Earth's rotational rate in arcseconds per second; $t$ was the average drift time in seconds (50.09); $D$ was the number of division marks on the

## Student Observation of HR 2282 (Furud)



Figure 2: Nick Varela looking through telescope at Double Star HR 2282 (Furud).
linear scale (60). These determined that the scale constant for the 22 -inch telescope was 7.02 arc seconds per division.

The telescope was pointed at HR 2282 on the date of B2016.186. A Bell and Howell DNV16HDZ Video Camera was used to capture images through the Celestron astrometric eyepiece to determine the position angle and separation of the double star. The videos were downloaded to a computer. Selected still images were taken of the separation and position angle of the star (see Figures 3 and 4.). The student team evaluated the gathered digital data. The average position value was multiplied by the scale constant to find the final separation. Ten measurements were then made to find the average position angle. Each student looked at a different picture of the double star along the astrometric eyepiece scale to allow for a statistical average of the separation measurement. The stars dithered or moved along the scale in real time as observed through the eyepiece of the telescope. To simulate this movement, and to get an average position, ten samples and ten pictures were taken. Each was observed by an individual student.

Results of the measurements are given in Table 1.

## Conclusion

The students in the Vanguard Preparatory Double Star Workshop 2016 successfully measured the separation and position angle of the double star HR 2282. Their measurements compared well with the WDS catalogue with a difference of 1.7 arcseconds on the separation and a 2.6 difference of the position angle.
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Figure 3: Primary and secondary star being measured.


Figure 4: Position angle being measured
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Student Observation of HR 2282 (Furud)

Table 1: Measurements of HR 2282 (Furud). Measurements were made on B2016.186.

| Parameters | \# of Obv. | Average <br> Values | Mean | SD | Standard <br> Error of <br> Mean | wDS Value <br> (1999) | Difference | \% Difference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Separation <br> (a.s.) | 10 | 169.6 | 29.3 | 1.5 | 0.4 | 173 | 3.4 |  |
| Position <br> Angle(deg) | 10 | 339.7 | 249.7 | 2.2 | 6.3 | 338 | 1.7 | $1 \%$ |
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#### Abstract

Data gathered from a 22 -Inch Newtonian Alt/Az telescope and a Celestron Micro Guide eyepiece were used to measure the double star STF 747AB. Students from Apple Valley High School determined the separation to be 39.97 arc sec and the position angle to be 227.91 degrees. The students also used data from the digitized sky survey and determined a separation of 39.99 arcsec and a position angle of 225 degrees. The research was semi-independent from the Vanguard Double Star Workshop 2016 in Apple Valley, California.


## Introduction

Vanguard Preparatory School hosted a three day double star workshop from March 11 through March 13. A number of high school students participated in measuring scale constant, plate scale, separation, and position angle of the double star system STF 747AB. These students had participated in previous double star workshops hosted by Vanguard Preparatory and worked semi-independently using images that were gathered by Chris and Reed Estrada on March 10 with a 22 -inch Newtonian Alt/Az telescope and a Celestron Micro Guide eyepiece fitted with a Bell and Howell High Definition Video Camera as well as images gathered from the Digitized Sky Survey.

The double star system STF 747AB is located in the Orion constellation. STF 747 AB has a blue primary star with a magnitude of 4.7 and a blue secondary star with a magnitude of 5.5 . The right ascension and declination are listed in the Washington Double Star Cata$\log$ as $053502.68-060007.2$. The double star was first measured in 1825 and a total of 49 measurements have been documented in the WDS. The latest measurement of STF 747AB was in 2014 with a separation of 35.9 arc sec and a position angle of 224 degrees. This star was selected for its magnitude, position angle, and separation.

## Equipment and Procedures

The team, pictured in Figure 1, in this study used a 22-inch Newtonian Alt/Az telescope (Figure 2) with a


Figure 1: The authors from left to right in the back row: Scott Sharpe, Travis Gillette, Benjamin Funk, and Ruth Schlosser. The authors from left to right in the front row: Grace Bateman, Breauna Rhoades, and Leone Thompson. Picture was taken by Mark Rhoades.

Celestron Micro Guide eyepiece fitted with a Bell and Howell high definition video camera. The use of the video camera offsets the need for a drive motor and negates the field rotation common with telescopes that use Alt/Az.

The star Bellatrix was used to calibrate the telescope to the Celestron Micro Guide eyepiece for separation measurements. To calibrate the telescope, the

## Student Measurements of Double Star STF 747AB



Figure 2: This is a picture of the telescope used to collect digital data of the double star. Picture taken by Chris Estrada.
eyepiece was rotated so that the star would drift parallel to the linear scale. The star was placed on the easternmost part of the linear scale and allowed to drift along the linear scale. A video was taken of the drift. The process was repeated seven times. The videos were then viewed 15 times to determine the average scale constant using the equation

$$
Z=\frac{15.0411 t \cos (d e c)}{D}
$$

where $Z$ is the scale constant in arc sec per division; 15.0411 is the rotation of the earth in arc sec per second; $t$ is the average drift time in seconds; dec is the declination of the calibration star (20.982); and $D$ is the number of tick marks on the linear scale. The result for the 22-inch Newtonian Alt/Az with a Celestron Micro Guide eyepiece was 7.95 arc sec per tick mark.

The authors also used data from the digitized sky survey in order to measure separation and position angle of STF 747AB. The students aligned an image of the double star system on a coordinate plane and used the formula:

$$
\frac{206264.806 \mathrm{arc} \mathrm{sec}(\text { pixel size })}{(\text { focal length })}
$$

in order to determine the plate scale. The pixel size was 15 microns and the focal length was 3073.4 millime-
ters. The plate scale was determined to be 1.01 arc sec per pixel. In order to determine the separation, the authors then used the formula

$$
d=\sqrt{\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(y_{2}-y_{1}\right)^{2}}
$$

where $d$ is the distance between the primary and secondary star, $\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)$ are the coordinates of the primary star, and $\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)$ are the coordinates of the secondary star. The distance between the primary and secondary star was determined to be 39.59 pixels. This measurement was multiplied by the plate scale constant of 1.01 arc sec/pixel to be converted into arc seconds. The measured separation was 39.99 arc seconds. The authors then used the formula

$$
\theta=\arctan \frac{\Delta y}{\Delta x}
$$

where $\theta$ is the position angle, $\Delta y$ is the difference between the $y$ coordinates of the primary and secondary stars, and $\Delta x$ is the difference between the $x$ coordinates of the primary and secondary stars. From this formula the authors found a position angle of 45 degrees, and when adjusted by 180 degrees, the measured position angle is 225 degrees.

## Observation and Analysis

Our video data was recorded on B2016.191904 (March 10, 2016) in Antelope Valley, California and our measurement results are presented in Table 1. The Digitized Sky Survey Data was imaged on B1990.983571 at Palomar Observatory and reduced on B2016.194642. Results of the reduction are given in Table 2.

## Conclusion

The students' results measured from video data were slightly different from values published in the Washington Double Star Catalog in 2014 with a separation and position angle difference of 4.17 arcsec and 3.91 degrees. The authors have concluded that the large separation difference may have been due to random and systematic errors such as the Micro Guide eyepiece $\pm 0.5 \mathrm{arc} \mathrm{sec}$, the stopwatch $\pm 0.5 \mathrm{sec}$, the author' difference in vision, and reaction time. The authors also found the difference from the digitized sky survey to be 4.1 arc sec and 1.0 degrees. The authors have concluded that the large separation difference may have been due to random and systematic errors. The pixel size $\pm$ 0.5 microns and the focal length $\pm 2.0 \mathrm{~mm}$, and star's centroid values $\pm 0.5$ were determined from DS9.

## Student Measurements of Double Star STF 747AB

Table 1: Measurements of Double Star System STF 747AB From our Video Data. Taken on B2016.191904

| Parameters | \# Obs | Mean | SD | Standard <br> Error of <br> Mean | WDS Value | Difference | $\%$ <br> Difference |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scale Constant <br> arc sec / division | 15 | 7.94 | 0.88 | 0.226 | NA | NA | NA |
| Separation (arc <br> sec) | 12 | 39.97 | 0.68 | 0.197 | 35.9 | 4.17 | $11 \%$ |
| Position Angle <br> (degrees) | 12 | 227.91 | 1.24 | 0.357 | 224 | 3.91 | $1.7 \%$ |

Table 2: Double Star System STF 747AB with Digitized Sky Survey Data. Data gathered on B1990.983571.

|  | Student Measured Value | WDS Published <br> Value | Difference | Percent Dif- <br> ference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plate Scale <br> (arc sec/pixel) | 1.01 arc sec/pixels | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Separation <br> (arc sec) | 39.99 arc sec | 35.9 arc sec | 4.09 arc sec | $10.78 \%$ |
| Position Angle <br> (degrees) | 225 degrees | 224 degrees | 1 degree | $0.45 \%$ |
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#### Abstract

Eighth grade students at Vanguard Preparatory School measured the double star STFA 10 AB using a 22 -inch Newtonian Alt/Az telescope and a Celestron Micro Guide eyepiece. Bellatrix was used as the calibration star. The calculated means of multiple observations of STFA 10AB resulted in a separation of 45.18 ," a scale constant of 7.88 arcseconds per division, and position angle of $257.9^{\circ}$. These measurements were compared to the most recent values in the Washington Double Star Catalog.


## Introduction

On March 11-13, 2016, eleven eighth grade students (Figure 1) observed the double star, q Tauri, at Vanguard Preparatory Double Star Workshop. The calibration star (Bellatrix) had a right ascension of 0.5 hours 25 minutes 7.86 seconds, and a declination of 6.3497. The Observations were made at $34^{\circ} 29^{\prime} 19.84$ " North latitude and $117^{\circ} 09^{\prime} 47.48^{\prime \prime}$ West longitude. Students were planning to measure the double star Theta Taurus on March 4-6, but the sky was cloudy and the weather was windy. The students used video recordings of Theta Taurus that had been recorded on Thursday, March 10 (B2016.191904), to determine the scale constant, separation, and position angle.

## Equipment

The team used a 22 -inch Newtonian Alt/Az telescope with a Celestron Micro Guide eyepiece attached to a Bell and Howell High Definition Video Camera shown in Figure 2.

## Procedures

The star Bellatrix in the constellation Orion was used to calibrate the linear scale of the eyepiece. The students positioned Bellatrix on the edge of the linear


Figure 1: The authors from left to right in the back row: Sarah Lindorfer, Jenna Shattles, Gabriel Reder, Kaylie Michels, Jalynn Givens, and Sean Gillette. The authors from left to right in the front row: Aiden Wilkin, Maisy Woodbury, Makenzie Mobley, Kayla Renteria, Sophia Aguilera, and Valerie Chavez.
scale, then the sidereal motor was disengaged to allow the star to drift parallel to the linear scale. Using a stop-

## Student Measurements of STFA 10AB (Theta Taurus)



Figure 2: Telescope used to record measurements used on March 10th, 2016.
watch that reads to the nearest hundredth of a second, the students determined the amount of time it took the star to drift. A total of fifteen drifts was recorded to determine the scale constant using the equation

$$
Z=\frac{15.0411 t \cos (\mathrm{dec})}{D}
$$

$Z$ is the scale constant in arcseconds per division; 15.0411 is the Earth's rotational rate in arcseconds per second; $t$ is the average drift time in seconds (63.24); $\cos (d e c)$ is the declination of the calibration star in degrees; and $D$ is the number of division marks on the linear scale (60).

## Observation and Analysis

The results the students got from the 22 -inch Newtonian telescope and the eyepiece was a scale constant of 7.88 arcseconds per division. Theta Tauri (STFA 10 AB ) has an apparent magnitude of +3.14 , a right ascension of 04 h 28 m 34.49603 sec , and a declination of $+15^{\circ} 57^{\prime} 43.8494^{\prime \prime}$. The stars are separated by 337 arcseconds and their position angle was $346^{\circ}$ as listed in the WDS. Figures 3 and 4 show the appearance of $q$ Tauri in our eyerpiece. The stars are spectroscopic binaries and have closer companions.

15 observations were gathered to determine an average time of drift of 31.61, standard deviation of 0.44 , and standard error of mean 0.11 . Five separate measurements were gathered to determine an average of 45.18 arcseconds, a standard deviation of .46 , standard


Figure 3: Separation of Theta Taurus in view of the 22-inch Newtonian Alt/Az telescope using a Celestron Micro Guide eyepiece. Arrows indicate the stars.


Figure 4: Position angle of Theta Taurus in view of the 22-inch Newtonian Alt/Az telescope using a Celestron Micro Guide eyepiece. Arrow indicates $B$ component.
error of mean 0.2 , with a published value of 337 difference of 18.82 and difference of $5.43 \%$. Ten position angle measurements were gathered to determine an average of 257.9 standard deviation of 4.84 , standard error of mean 1.53 with a published value of 346 and difference of 1.9 and $0.55 \%$. The measurements are summarized in Table 1.

## Conclusion

The students produced a small difference from the observations recorded in 2011 of one standard deviation. The students compared it to a published value of 337 difference of 18.82 and percentage of difference of $5.43 \%$. The measured separation differs from the WDS

Student Measurements of STFA 10AB (Theta Taurus)
value
Table 1: Measurements of STFA 10AB. Performed on 2016.175

| Parameters | \# Obs | Mean | SD | Standard <br> Error of <br> Mean | WDS Value | Difference | \% Difference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scale Constant <br> a.s./division | 15 | 7.88 | 44 | 0.11 | NA | NA | NA |
| Separation <br> (a.s.) | 5 | 45.18 | .46 | .21 | 337 | 18.82 | $5.43 \%$ |
| Position Angle <br> (degrees) | 10 | 257.90 | 4.84 | 1.53 | 346 | 1.9 | $0.55 \%$ |

by 4.2 standard deviations. An error may have occurred due to the students' level of experience.
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#### Abstract

Eighth grade students at Vanguard Preparatory School measured the double stars STFA 10 AB and STFA 1744AB. A 22 -inch Newtonian Alt/Az telescope and a 14 -inch Celestron Schmidt Cassegrain telescope were used. The star Bellatrix was used as the calibration star to determine the scale constant of the 22 -inch telescope to be 7.8 "/tick marks. The double star STFA 1744 AB was used as the calibration star to determine the scale constant of the 14 -inch telescope to be 5.1 "/tick marks. The separation and position angle of STFA 10 AB was determined by the 22 -inch telescope to be 347.9 " and $339.3^{\circ}$. The separation and position angle of STFA 1744 AB was determined by the 14 -inch telescope to be 3.6 " and $158.1^{\circ}$. The measurements that were calculated were compared to the most recent measurements listed in the Washington Double Star Catalog.


## Introduction

Vanguard Preparatory School held a double star workshop from March 11 through March 13, 2016. Thirty-three students were separated into three different groups to collect three sets of data from double stars. This team pictured in Figure 1 measured the scale constant, the position angle, and separation of STFA 10 AB and STFA 1744 AB. The measurements and calculations were done at Vanguard Preparatory School. The double star STFA 10AB is located in the constellation Taurus. The Washington Double Star Catalog lists the right ascension and declination as 04 h 28 m 39.74 s and +15 d 52 m 15.2 s . The WDS lists the magnitudes of primary and secondary stars as 3.41 and 3.94 . The double star STFA 1744 AB is located in the constellation Ursa Major. The Washington Double Star Catalog lists the right ascension and declination of STFA 1744 AB as 13 h 24 m 18.4 s and +54 d 52 m 33 s . The magnitudes of the primary and secondary stars are listed as 2.23 and 3.88 .


Figure 1: Team members for the present study. Top Row (left to right): Dayton Teeter, Andres Sanchez, Sam Bowden, Corielyn Hall, Cassandra Salazar, Danielle Rena, and Mark Brewer. Bottom Row (left to right): Fatima Rodriguez, Marisa Arcilla, Anthony Hall, Jacqueline DeBlase, and Alyssa Hernandez.

## Data and Analysis of the Double Stars STFA 10AB and STFA 1744AB



Figure 2: An image of the 22-inch Newtonian Alt/ Az telescope with a Celestron 12.5 mm Micro Guide eyepiece.

A 22-inch Newtonian Alt/Az telescope, shown in Figure 2, was used to measure the scale constant, separation, and position angle of STFA 10AB. A 14 -inch Celestron Schmidt Cassegrain telescope, shown in Figure 3 , was used to measure the scale constant, separation, and position angle of STFA 1744AB.

## Equipment and Procedures

Data was gathered from a 22 -inch Newtonian Alt/ Az telescope equipped with a Celestron 12.5 mm Micro Guide Eyepiece fitted with a Bell and Howell High Definition Video Camera. The use of the video camera eliminates the need for the drive motor and negates the field rotation common in Alt/Az telescopes. The 14inch Celestron Schmidt-Cassegrain telescope equipped with a Celestron 12.5 mm Micro Guide astrometric eyepiece was used.

The drift time was determined by timing the star's movement along the linear scale. The star was positioned on the outer edge of the eyepiece. The drive motor was turned off so the observers could watch the star drift. The star drift was timed with a stopwatch that reads to the nearest hundredth of a second. The stopwatch was started as soon as the star's centroid crossed the first tick mark on the linear scale, and the stopwatch was stopped as soon as the star's centroid crossed the last tick mark on the linear scale. A total of 15 drift times were recorded to determine an average, a standard deviation, and standard mean of the error.

The scale constant was determined by the follow-


Figure 3: An image of the Celestron 14-inch Schmidt Cassegrain telescope.
ing equation

$$
Z=\frac{15.0411 t \cos (d e c)}{D}
$$

where $Z$ equals the scale constant in units of "/tick marks, 15.0411 equals the earth's sidereal rotation rate in units of "/seconds, $t$ equals the average drift time in units of seconds, $\cos (d e c)$ equals the cosine of the star's declination in degrees, and $D$ equals the total displacement of the linear scale (60) in units of tick marks.

The separation was determined by aligning both stars on the linear scale. The eyepiece was adjusted so both stars aligned precisely. The tick marks between the star's centroids were recorded. A total of 10 measurements were recorded to determine an average, a standard deviation, and standard mean of the error for the separation. The scale constant was multiplied to the average separation for units to be determine in arc seconds.

The position angle was determined by aligning both stars on the linear scale. The eyepiece was adjusted so the stars were aligned precisely. The primary star was positioned on the 30 tick mark. The drive motor was turned off to allow the stars to drift to the outer protractor scale. The drive motor was turned on once the primary star reached the protractor, and the position angle was recorded. A total of 10 measurements were recorded to determine an average, a standard deviation, and a standard mean of the error for the position angle.

## Data and Analysis of the Double Stars STFA 10AB and STFA 1744AB

Table 1: STFA 10AB. Measurements made on B2016.191904

| Parameters | \# Obs | Mean | SD | Standard <br> Error of <br> Mean | WDS Value | Difference | \% Difference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scale Constant <br> a.s. / division | 15 | 7.8 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Separation <br> (a.s.) | 15 | 347.9 | 0.62 | 0.18 | 341.2 | 6.7 | 1.93 |
| Position Angle <br> (degrees) | 10 | 339.3 | 4.22 | 1.33 | 347 | -7.7 | 2.27 |

Table 2: STFA 1744AB. Measurements made on B2016.194642

| Parameters | \# Obs | Mean | SD | Standard <br> Error of <br> Mean | WDS Value | Difference | \% Difference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scale Constant <br> a.s. / div | 5 | 5.14 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Separation <br> (a.s.) | 15 | 16.9 | 0.42 | 0.19 | 14.4 | 2.5 | 16.0 |
| Position Angle <br> (degrees) | 10 | 158.0 | 0.64 | 0.19 | 153 | 5.0 | 3.21 |

## Observation and Analysis (STFA 10 AB)

On March 11, 2016 (B2016.191904) observations were gathered of the double star STFA 10 AB with a 22 -inch Newtonian Alt/Az telescope. The scale constant was determined to be 7.8 "/tick marks. The average separation was 32.75 divisions. The average position angle was $249.3^{\circ}$. A separation and position angle difference of 6.7 and $7.7^{\circ}$ was determined compared to the Washington Double Star Catalog. The results are summarized in Table 1.

## Observation and Analysis (STFA 1744AB)

On March 12, 2016 (B2016.194642) the double star STFA 1744AB was measured with a 14 inch Celestron Cassegrain telescope and a Celestron astrometric eyepiece. The scale constant was determined to be 5.4 arc seconds/divisions. The average separation was determined to be 3.3 ". The average position angle was determined to be to $158.1^{\circ}$. The standard error of means for position is 0.19 and separation is 0.19 . A difference of 2.5 arc seconds and -5.1 degrees was determined for the data gathered compared to the Washington Double Star Catalog.

## Conclusion

The separation and position angle differences of STFA 10AB were compared to the Washington Double Star Catalog and determined to be $6.7^{\prime \prime}$ and $-7.7^{\circ}$. The large differences were determined to be related to harsh weather conditions. The disturbances were greater as a storm front was moving in. The separation and position angle differences of STFA 1744AB were compared to the WDS and determined to be 2.5 " and $5.0^{\circ}$. The large
differences were determined to be related to the experience of the authors.
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#### Abstract

The results of visual double star observing sessions suggested a pattern for STT doubles with large delta_M of being harder to resolve than would be expected based on the WDS catalog data. It was felt this might be a problem with expectations on one hand, and on the other might be an indication of a need for new precise measurements, so we decided to take a closer look at a selected sample of STT doubles and do some research. Again like for the other STT objects covered so far several of the components show parameters quite different from the current WDS data.


## 1. Introduction

As follow up to our reports so far we finish this STT series with objects in the constellations Tau, Per,

Ori, Cam, Mon, Cnc and Peg (see Table1). All values based on WDS data as of beginning of 2016.

Table 1. WDS catalog data per begin of 2016 for the selected STT objects

| WDS ID | Name |  | RA | Dec | Sep | M1 | M2 | PA | $\Delta$ M | Con |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 05417+1614 | STT114 | AB | 05:41:40.770 | +16:14:02.4 | 3.0 | 8.40 | 10.60 | 278 | 2.20 | Tau |
| $03334+2322$ | STT57 | CD | 03:33:26.530 | +23:23:03.5 | 9.9 | 7.67 | 12.00 | 320 | 4.33 | Tau |
| 05272+1758 | STT107 | AB | 05:27:10.090 | +17:57:44.0 | 10.0 | 5.39 | 10.10 | 306 | 4.71 | Tau |
| 05272+1758 | STT107 | AC | 05:27:10.090 | +17:57:44.0 | 10.0 | 5.39 | 11.80 | 347 | 6.41 | Tau |
| 05459+2555 | STT116 | AC | 05:45:55.390 | +25:54:49.3 | 17.9 | 7.27 | 12.90 | 65 | 5.63 | Tau |
| $04162+3452$ | STT76 | AB | 04:16:10.609 | +34:52:07.7 | 3.8 | 7.7 | 12.40 | 210 | 4.70 | Per |
| 02533+4834 | STT48 | AB | 02:53:21.070 | +48:34:11.9 | 6.6 | 6.5 | 10.60 | 318 | 4.10 | Per |
| 03483+5044 | STT63 | AB | 03:48:18.080 | +50:44:12.4 | 6.8 | 6.2 | 11.20 | 270 | 5.00 | Per |
| 05379+0715 | STT518 | AB | 05:37:55.590 | +07:14:55.5 | 2.1 | 8.8 | 12.80 | 240 | 4.00 | Ori |
| 05135+0158 | STT517 | AB, C | 05:13:31.550 | +01:58:03.7 | 6.5 | 6.13 | 13.00 | 138 | 6.87 | Ori |
| $06282+7032$ | STT136 | AB | 06:28:14.490 | +70:32:07.0 | 55.0 | 6.04 | 11.00 | 82 | 5.00 | Cam |
| 07012+1146 | STT163 | AB, C | 07:01:09.851 | +11:46:28.7 | 14.5 | 6.41 | 12.00 | 165 | 5.59 | Mon |
| $09162+2324$ | STT198 | AB | 09:16:11.281 | +23:24:10.4 | 14.6 | 7.74 | 12.00 | 121 | 4.50 | Cnc |
| $23074+2035$ | STT488 | AB | 23:07:25.502 | +20:34:53.802 | 14.6 | 6.7 | 10.40 | 335 | 3.70 | Peg |
| $22148+2231$ | STT467 | AB | 22:14:48.567 | +22:31:24.299 | 23.9 | 6.7 | 10.70 | 274 | 4.00 | Peg |
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## 2. Further Research

Following the procedure for the earlier parts of our report we concluded again that the best approach would be to check historical data on all objects, observe them visually with the target of comparing with the existing data and obtain as many images as possible suitable for photometry.

### 2.1 Historical Research and Catalog Comparisons

Quite a few of the stars in this survey have notable historical aspects which merit some comment. Three main research sources were used for this section of this paper, the first of which was W.J. Hussey's Micrometrical Observations of the Double Stars Discovered at Pulkowa, published in 1901, which provided preliminary historical information on each of the stars. Hussey's book includes his observations and measures of all the stars originally listed in Otto Wilhelm Struve's 1845 Pulkovo Catalog, as well as data beginning with the date of first measure and continuing through the following years up to 1900. That data, plus inclusion of the background for the Pulkovo Catalog, makes Hussey's book a valuable source of reference. Another source consulted were the two volumes (Part I and Part II) which make up S.W. Burnham's A General Catalogue of Double Stars Within $121^{\circ}$ of the North Pole. The third source consulted was Otto Struve's 1845 Catalogue de 514 Étoiles Doubles et Multiples. In addition, Bill Hartkopf of the USNO graciously provided the text files for STT 57, STT 116, STT 467, and STT 518.

Several of the stars mentioned below were dropped from the second edition of Otto Struve's Pulkovo Catalogue (published in 1850) because the separations exceeded $16^{\prime \prime}$, which was the maximum catalog separation established for stars with companions fainter than ninth magnitude (Hussey, 1901, p. 16). Fortunately, Hussey included all of the rejected stars in his 1901 book.

STT 198 (Cnc): Otto Struve's 1845 catalog shows an estimated separation of ten seconds for this pair, but no exact measure. Apparently he decided the separation of this pair exceeded 16 " since it was dropped from his 1850 catalog. Dembowski reported he was unable to see the secondary in 1865 and 1866. Interestingly, according to S.W. Burnham, the only measures of STT 198 as of the time of Hussey's writing are those of Hussey and Burnham.

STT 163 (Mon): The C component was added by S.W. Burnham in 1879, with measures of 14.18 " and 155.5 degrees, later supplemented with another measure by him in 1905 of 14.33 " and 160.4 degrees.

STT 517 (Ori): The C component was added in 1878 by Asaph Hall, using the 26 inch USNO Clark
refractor, with measures of 6.74 " and 134.7 degrees, later supplemented with an 1888 measure of $6.90^{\prime \prime}$ and 138.3 degrees. Burnham refers to it as Hl 2 on p. 51 of his 1906 Catalog, Part I.

STT 518 (Ori): Hussey states that because of the faintness of the B component (WDS magnitude is 12.8), neither Otto Struve nor Dembowski attempted measurements of it. The first known measure of the AB pair was made by Hussey in 1898 while using the Lick 36 inch refractor, with a separation of 1.49 " and a position angle of 281.7 degrees. The C component was first measured by Burnham in 1905 at $40.21^{\prime \prime}$ and 238.4 degrees, but the WDS text file for STT 518 also shows an 1898 measure of $40.405^{\prime \prime}$ and 238.6 degrees which was made from a photographic plate, and is the one listed as Obs 1 in the WSD catalog.

STT 467 (Peg): This is another pair which was rejected by Otto Struve in his 1850 catalog because the distance exceeded the 16 " limit. His 1845 catalog shows an estimated separation of $16^{\prime \prime}$, and a look at the WDS text file for STT 467 shows Mädler provided measures in 1843 of 22.95 " and 272.5 degrees. The first recorded observation of this pair was made in 1827 by Nanson Herschel, who estimated a separation of 20 " and a position angle of 270 degrees, which also comes from the STT 467 WDS text file.

STT 488 (Peg): According to Hussey, Otto Struve rejected this pair because the companion was considered too faint to measure. Struve's 1845 catalog shows an estimated distance of 12 seconds, with magnitudes of 7 and 11. Hussey shows Mädler also looked at this pair in 1845 and estimated a distance of 14 ". The first actual measure of STT 488 appears to have been made in 1865 by Dembowski, who recorded a separation of 13.46 " and a position angle of 335.0 degrees. Both Burnham and Hussey refer to this pair as HO 486, attributing it to G.W. Hough, who measured the two stars in 1892 at 14.0 " and 334.0 degrees.

STT 57 (Tau): What is now the CD pair was originally the $A B$ pair, first measured by Otto Struve in 1854 at 10.0 " and 319 degrees. See Figure 1. What is now the AC pair was first measured F.G.W. Struve in 1823 at $71.64^{\prime \prime}$ and 34.6 degrees. That pair is identified by both Hussey and Burnham as $\sigma 95$, and was also assigned by Otto Struve to the appendix of his 1845 catalog as number 35. The WDS text file for STT 57 shows what is now the AB pair was added to this system in 1907 by S.W. Burnham with measures of 34.95 " and 169.6 degrees

STT 107 (Tau): See Figure 2. Hussey notes the C component was first seen in 1850 by Otto Struve, but
(Text continues on page 177)
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Figure 1. Aladin image with component labels of STT 57 added.


Figure 2. Aladin image with component lables of STT 107 added.
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Figure 3. Aladin image with components of STT 116 labeled.
(Continued from page 175)
not measured, although Struve noted it was closer to B than to A. He left a sketch showing a position angle of about 335 degrees. Hussey provided the first measures for the AC pair, measuring it once at the end of 1898 and twice at the beginning of 1899 , resulting in an averaged separation of $10.0^{\prime \prime}$ and averaged position angle of 341.1 degrees.

STT 116 (Tau): See Figure 3. The AB pair, STF 785, was first measured by F.G.W. Struve in 1830 at 13.81 " and 348.6 degrees. The AC, AD, AR, and DE components are all labeled as STT 116 in the WDS, but the AC pair is the only one that was discovered by Otto Struve, who measured it at $18.26^{\prime \prime}$ and 66 degrees in 1846. AD was first measured by S. W. Burnham in 1911 at 201.40 " and 9.8 degrees. The WDS text file shows the 1898 Obs1 measure for AD was made on a photographic plate of that date. Two other measures are also listed in the text file which were made from 1908 and 1909 plates. The AR pair received its first measure of 31.93 " and 72.1 degrees in 1908, by Erich Przybyllok, who was associated with the Heidelberg Observatory, while using a 12.5 inch refractor. The WDS Obs1 measure for the AR pair, which is also from 1908, was made from a photographic plate. And the
last pair, DE, was first measured in 1890 by Kenneth J. Tarrant at 6.89" and 250.4 degrees according to the WDS text file.

### 2.2 Visual Observations

Both John Nanson and Wilfried Knapp made visual observations of the stars included in this report. Nanson used a $127 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{f} / 9.3$ refractor, a $152 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{f} / 10$ refractor, and a 235 mm SCT, while Knapp utilized 140 mm and 185 mm refractors as well as a masking device to evaluate what could be seen at lesser apertures.

STT 136 (Cam): Nanson made one observation of this pair with the 152 mm refractor and may have had a glimpse of B, but it was far from definitive. The best result was at 152 x , which seemed to show a faint speck of a light on the edge of the primary at the correct PA. An attempt was made to resolve B at 607 x , but it would not come to focus because of poor seeing. Thus, no conclusion was reached on the magnitude of B. Knapp made one observation with the 185 mm refractor and was able to resolve B with the aperture reduced to 140 mm , suggesting a magnitude much fainter than the WDS value of 11.0.

STT 198 (Cnc): Knapp was unable to resolve this pair with both the 140 mm and 185 mm refractors, suggesting the magnitude of B is much fainter than the
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WDS value of 12.0, a conclusion reinforced by being able to easily see a 12.36 magnitude star in the 140 mm refractor. Nanson found B very tough to see in the 152 mm refractor, able to detect it only with averted vision, which also indicates a magnitude fainter than the WDS value, especially when the 14.6 " separation of the pair is taken into consideration.

STT 163 (Mon): Using the 152 mm refractor, Nanson observed C with averted vision, which was made more difficult than normal because the first quarter moon was slightly less than 10 degrees to the north with haze in the sky. UCAC4 509-033885 was of similar difficulty (Vmag 11.890), suggesting the WDS magnitude of 12.0 for C is close. Knapp used the 185 mm refractor to observe C and found it was still visible with the aperture reduced to 140 mm , which also indicates the WDS value for C is close.

STT 517 (Ori): Knapp detected C as a spot of light at 360 x in the 185 mm refractor in difficult seeing, indicating C might be a bit brighter than the WDS value of 13.0. Nanson caught the C component at 152x, 203x, and 253 x with the 152 mm refractor. Averted vision was needed at 152 x , but C was seen with direct vision several times at 203x and 253x. The first quarter moon was about 35 degrees to the northeast with some haze in the air, which would make it very unlikely the star is as faint as 13.0. No obvious comparison stars seen.

STT 518 (Ori): Nanson needed magnifications of 487x and 607x to detect the B component of STT 518 in the 152 mm refractor. Based on that, the WDS listed separation of 2.1 " is probably about right, but given the interference from the moon, it's possible B is about half a magnitude brighter than the WDS value of 12.8. C was rather difficult to see at 203 x and 253 x , and not visible at 152 x . It seemed to be just slightly brighter (slightly easier to see) than STT 517 C above, suggesting the magnitudes for one of the two stars is wrong (the WDS value for STT 518 C is 12.25 ). Knapp was unable to resolve B definitively in the 185 mm refractor under difficult seeing conditions, but was able to detect the much wider separated C ( $39.5 "$ ) with the aperture reduced to 100 mm .

STT 467 (Peg): Using the 235 mm SCT, Nanson Found B to be about half a magnitude brighter than a 12.8 magnitude comparison star, indicating $B$ may be in the 12.2 to 12.3 range. At a minimum, B certainly seemed fainter than the WDS 10.7 magnitude.

STT 488 (Peg): Nanson found B appeared slightly brighter in the 235 mm SCT than a 12.6 magnitude comparison star, and noted it appeared distinctly fainter than the WDS value of 10.4.

STT 48 (Per): Magnifications of 152x, 253x, and

380x may have resulted in a glimpse of the secondary, but it was far from conclusive. This observation, as well as the next one, were made by Nanson with the 152 mm refractor under difficult seeing conditions.

STT 63 (Per): A clear elongation of the secondary was seen by at 253x, followed by a brief glimpse of the secondary, which wasn't seen again with the further observation.

STT 76 (Per): No observations made for this pair be either observer.

STT 57 (Tau): Knapp was unable to definitively resolve the B component with the 185 mm refractor, suggesting it's fainter than the 12.8 magnitude listed for it in the WDS. D could be seen with the aperture reduced to 140 mm , which seems to confirm the WDS value of 12.0 for it. Nanson was able to detect B with averted vision while using the 152 mm refractor at 253 x , which suggested the 12.8 magnitude is probably close. The much tighter D was seen at 152 x with averted vision, again suggesting the WDS listed magnitude of 12.0 is about right.

STT 107 (Tau): Nanson detected the B component with averted vision in the 152 mm refractor at 152 x , 190 x , and 253 x , but found it was very tough and somewhat indistinct, which would seem to indicate a fainter magnitude for it than the 10.10 magnitude listed in the WDS. On the same night, C (WDS magnitude of 11.8) was impossible to detect in the glare caused by the primary. However, in a prior observation of STT 107 on 1 -15-2015 during better seeing conditions, Nanson detected both the B and C components with a 127 mm refractor at 295x, which would indicate the WDS values for both stars are about right. Using the 185 mm refractor, Knapp observed B with the aperture reduced to 150 mm , but was unable to detect C , leading to the conclusion both stars are fainter than the WDS listed values.

STT 114 (Tau): Knapp was able to resolve B with the aperture of the 185 mm refractor reduced to 110 mm , leading to the conclusion the WDS magnitude of 10.6 is about right. Nanson had a glimpse of the secondary in the 152 mm refractor at 152 x and consistently detected an elongation of the primary, which seems consistent with the 3.0 " separation and the 2.6 magnitudes of difference between the primary and the secondary.

STT 116 (Tau): Nanson found C was easily seen in the 152 mm refractor at 152 x and appeared similar in magnitude to a comparison star of 12.3 magnitude, suggesting it may be about half a magnitude brighter than the WDS value of 12.90 . The DE pair appeared distinctly elongated at 152 x and was easily split at 253 x , though in the poor seeing it was blurred more often than not. Knapp resolved C and E with the aperture of
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the 185 mm refractor reduced to 110 mm , and also resolved $R$ at 250 x with the aperture reduced to 150 mm , all of which led to the conclusion that each of the three stars seems to be a bit brighter than the WDS values.

### 2.3 Photometry and Astrometry Results

Several hundred images taken with iTelescope remote telescopes were, in a first step, plate solved and stacked with AAVSO VPhot. The stacked images were then plate solved with Astrometrica with URAT1 reference stars with Vmags in the range 10.5 to 14.5 mag . The RA/Dec coordinates resulting from plate solving with URAT1 reference stars in the 10.5 to 14.5 mag range were used to calculate Sep and PA using the formula provided by R. Buchheim (2008). Err_PA is the error estimation for PA in degrees calculated as assuming the worst case that Err_Sep points perpendic-

$$
E r r_{-} P A=\arctan \left(\frac{E r r_{-} \text {Sep }}{S e p}\right)
$$

ular to the separation vector. Mag is the photometry result based on UCAC4 reference stars with Vmags between 10.5 and 14.5 mag . Err_Mag is calculated as with dVmag as the average Vmag error over all used

$$
E r r_{-} M a g=\sqrt{d V_{\text {mag }}{ }^{2}+\left[2.5 \log _{10}\left(1+\frac{1}{S N R}\right)\right]^{2}}
$$

reference stars and $S N R$ is the signal to noise ratio for the given star. The results are shown in Table 2.

## 3. Summary

Tables 3 and 4 below compare the final results of our research with the WDS data that was current at the time we began working on our current group of stars.

In Table 3 the results of our photometry have been averaged for each star. Because we're aware that both the NOMAD-1 and the UCAC4 catalogs are frequently consulted when making WDS evaluations of magnitudes changes, the data from those catalogs has also been included for each of the stars.

Red type has been used in Tables 3 and 4 to call attention to significant differences from the WDS data. With regard to Table 3 those magnitudes that differ by two tenths of a magnitude or more from the WDS values have been highlighted. In Table 4 differences in separation in excess of two-tenths of an arc second are highlighted as are all position angles which differ by more than a degree.

Subsequent to our measures, as a quality check for our astrometry results we turned to the URAT1 catalog for the most recent precise professional measurements
available. We used its coordinates to calculate the Sep and PA for all objects in this report for which URAT1 data was available and compared these values with our results, which are shown in Table 5.

## Global Summary

As this report is our last in this sequence of wide STT doubles we take this opportunity for a summary over all eight reports:

1) In total we checked about 100 objects and suggested WDS catalog visual magnitude changes for most of them based on own measurements from images specifically taken for this project.
2) We soon found that for many objects also the astrometry data given in the WDS catalog needed an update so we extended our reports to include RA/ Dec coordinates, separation and position angle with the corresponding error estimations. Some objects also show significant different proper motion for the components demonstrating the need of frequent measurements to keep the catalog values up to date.
3) We also made visual observations for all objects to counter-check visual impression with measurement results and got a mixed bag of often different impressions by different observers and in several cases the visual impressions regarding magnitudes did not match at all the measurement results.

## Follow Up

The images we took for this series of reports include besides so far not measured components of the covered STT objects other double stars as well - in these cases we do not suspect any issues with the current WDS catalog data but any double star visited is worth just another recent measurement. We intend to use the available material for another report covering the mentioned additional objects.
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Table 2. Photometry and astrometry results for the selected STT objects. Date is the Bessel epoch and $N$ is the number of images used for the reported values. iT in the Notes column indicates the telescope used with number of images and exposure time given (Specifications of the used telescopes: See Acknowledgements). The average results over all used images are given in the line below the individual stacks in bold. The error estimation over all used images is calculated as root mean square over the individual Err values. The N column in the summary line gives the total number of images used and Date the average Bessel epoch.

| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { STT } \\ & 114 \end{aligned}$ | RA | Dec | dRA | dDec | Sep | Err Sep | PA | Err PA | Mag | Err Mag | SNR | dVmag | Date | N | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | $\begin{array}{lc} \hline 05 \quad 41 \\ 40.778 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16.14 \\ & 02.37 \end{aligned}$ | 0.07 | 0.05 | 2.997 | 0.086 | 281.355 | 1.644 | 7.877 | 0.090 | 136.87 | 0.09 | 2016.093 | 4 | iT18 stack 4x3s. Overlap- <br> ping star disks |
| B | $\begin{aligned} & 05 \quad 41 \\ & 40.574 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16.14 \\ & 02.96 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9.338 | 0.092 | 56.54 |  |  |  |  |
| A | $\begin{aligned} & 05 \quad 41 \\ & 40.777 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16.14 \\ & 02.47 \end{aligned}$ | 0.08 | 0.08 | 2.807 | 0.113 | 277.987 | 2.308 | 7.862 | 0.081 | 106.77 | 0.08 | 2016.085 | 5 | iT18 stack 5x3s. Overlap- <br> ping star disks. SNR $B<20$ |
| B | $\begin{aligned} & 05 \quad 41 \\ & 40.584 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1614 \\ & 02.86 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9.679 | 0.102 | 16.52 |  |  |  |  |
| A | $\begin{aligned} & 05 \quad 41 \\ & 40.777 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16.14 \\ & 02.42 \end{aligned}$ | 0.075 | 0.067 | 2.900 | 0.100 | 279.726 | 1.984 | 7.870 | 0.086 |  |  | 2016.089 | 9 | Overlapping star disks. Both stars too bright for reliable photometry |
| B | $\begin{aligned} & 0541 \\ & 40.579 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16 \quad 14 \\ & 02.91 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9.509 | 0.097 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { STT } \\ 57 \end{gathered}$ | RA | Dec | dRA | dDec | Sep | Err Sep | PA | Err PA | Mag | Err Mag | SNR | dVmag | Date | N | Notes |
| C | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 03 \quad 33 \\ & 26.531 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23.23 \\ & 03.09 \end{aligned}$ | 0.07 | 0.07 | 9.932 | 0.099 | 319.657 | 0.571 | 7.510 | 0.080 | 230.47 | 0.08 | 2016.090 | 3 | iT18 stack $3 \times 3 \mathrm{~s} . \mathrm{C}$ too bright for reliable photometry |
| D | $\begin{aligned} & 03.33 \\ & 26.064 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23.23 \\ & 10.66 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11.532 | 0.085 | 36.08 |  |  |  |  |
| C | $\begin{aligned} & 03.33 \\ & 26.533 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23.23 \\ & 03.12 \end{aligned}$ | 0.10 | 0.05 | 10.003 | 0.112 | 319.796 | 0.640 | 7.511 | 0.080 | 221.06 | 0.08 | 2016.085 | 4 | iT18 stack $4 \times 3 \mathrm{~s} . \mathrm{C}$ too bright for reliable photometry |
| D | $\begin{aligned} & 03 \quad 33 \\ & 26.064 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23.23 \\ & 10.76 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11.545 | 0.086 | 33.48 |  |  |  |  |
| C | $\begin{aligned} & 03.33 \\ & 26.522 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23.23 \\ & 03.23 \end{aligned}$ | 0.04 | 0.09 | 9.983 | 0.098 | 320.112 | 0.565 | 7.513 | 0.070 | 175.07 | 0.07 | 2016.093 | 5 | iT18 stack $5 \times 3 \mathrm{~s}$. C too bright for reliable photometry |
| D | $\begin{aligned} & 03.33 \\ & 26.057 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23.23 \\ & 10.89 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11.516 | 0.081 | 26.70 |  |  |  |  |
| C | $\begin{aligned} & 03 \quad 33 \\ & 26.475 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23 \quad 23 \\ & 03.32 \end{aligned}$ | 0.15 | 0.10 | 9.727 | 0.180 | 321.479 | 1.062 | 7.414 | 0.071 | 75.45 | 0.07 | 2016.023 | 5 | iT27 stack $5 \times 3$ s. C too bright for reliable photometry. Component B resolved with 14.566 Vmag (compared with 12.8 mag in WDS) |
| D | $\begin{array}{ll} 03 & 33 \\ 26.035 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23 \quad 23 \\ & 10.93 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11.482 | 0.077 | 34.65 |  |  |  |  |
| C | $\begin{array}{ll} 03 & 33 \\ 26.529 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23.23 \\ & 03.03 \end{aligned}$ | 0.16 | 0.07 | 10.033 | 0.175 | 319.422 | 0.997 | 7.488 | 0.060 | 165.74 | 0.06 | 2016.026 | 5 | iT27 stack $5 \times 3 \mathrm{~s}$. C too bright for reliable photometry. Component B resolved with 14.659 Vmag (compared with 12.8 mag in WDS) |
| D | $\begin{aligned} & 03.33 \\ & 26.055 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23 \quad 23 \\ & 10.65 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11.552 | 0.061 | 85.67 |  |  |  |  |
| C | $\begin{aligned} & 03.33 \\ & 26.518 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23.23 \\ & 03.16 \end{aligned}$ | 0.114 | 0.078 | 9.935 | 0.138 | 320.086 | 0.795 | 7.487 | 0.073 |  |  | 2016.064 | 22 | C too bright for reliable photometry |
| D | $\begin{aligned} & 03.33 \\ & 26.055 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23.23 \\ & 10.78 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11.525 | 0.078 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 2 continues on the next page.
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Table 2 (continued). Photometry and astrometry results for the selected STT objects. Date is the Bessel epoch and $N$ is the number of images used for the reported values. iT in the Notes column indicates the telescope used with number of images and exposure time given (Specifications of the used telescopes: See Acknowledgements). The average results over all used images are given in the line below the individual stacks in bold. The error estimation over all used images is calculated as root mean square over the individual Err values. The N column in the summary line gives the total number of images used and Date the average Bessel epoch.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { STT } \\ & 107 \end{aligned}$ | RA | Dec | dRA | dDec | Sep | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Err } \\ & \text { Sep } \end{aligned}$ | PA | Err PA | Mag | Err Mag | SNR | dVmag | Date | N | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | $\begin{aligned} & 05 \quad 27 \\ & 10.104 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17 \quad 57 \\ & 43.70 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 0.07 | 0.08 | 10.176 | 0.106 | 305.854 | 0.599 | 5.336 | 0.060 | 511.81 | 0.06 | 2016.090 | 4 | iT18 stack $4 \times 3 \mathrm{~s}$. A too bright for reliable photometry |
| B | $\begin{aligned} & 05 \quad 27 \\ & 09.526 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17 \quad 57 \\ & 49.66 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11.066 | 0.066 | 40.18 |  |  |  |  |
| A | $\begin{aligned} & 05 \quad 27 \\ & 10.105 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17 \quad 57 \\ & 43.72 \end{aligned}$ | 0.07 | 0.09 | 10.152 | 0.114 | 305.809 | 0.643 | 5.362 | 0.090 | 435.83 | 0.09 | 2016.093 | 5 | iT18 stack $5 \times 3 \mathrm{~s}$. A too bright for reliable photometry |
| B | $\begin{aligned} & 05 \quad 27 \\ & 09.528 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17 \quad 57 \\ & 49.66 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11.164 | 0.099 | 26.60 |  |  |  |  |
| A | $\begin{aligned} & 05 \quad 27 \\ & 10.109 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17 \quad 57 \\ & 43.70 \end{aligned}$ | 0.06 | 0.07 | 10.193 | 0.092 | 305.852 | 0.518 | 5.340 | 0.080 | 502.15 | 0.08 | 2016.085 | 5 | iT18 stack $5 \times 3 \mathrm{~s}$. A too bright for reliable photometry |
| B | $\begin{aligned} & 05 \quad 27 \\ & 09.530 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17 \quad 57 \\ & 49.67 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11.098 | 0.086 | 34.59 |  |  |  |  |
| A | $\begin{aligned} & 05 \quad 27 \\ & 10.089 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17 \quad 57 \\ & 44.26 \end{aligned}$ | 0.11 | 0.12 | 9.837 | 0.163 | 303.784 | 0.948 | 6.059 | 0.092 | 53.70 | 0.09 | 2016.023 | 4 | iT27 stack $4 x 3 s . A$ too bright for reliable photometry |
| B | $\begin{aligned} & 05.27 \\ & 09.516 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17 \quad 57 \\ & 49.73 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11.099 | 0.094 | 39.73 |  |  |  |  |
| A | $\begin{aligned} & 05 \quad 27 \\ & 10.088 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17 \quad 57 \\ & 44.05 \end{aligned}$ | 0.11 | 0.12 | 10.053 | 0.163 | 305.444 | 0.928 | 6.380 | 0.061 | 111.35 | 0.06 | 2016.026 | 4 | iT27 stack $4 \times 3$ s. A too bright for reliable photometry |
| B | $\begin{aligned} & 05 \quad 27 \\ & 09.514 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17 \quad 57 \\ & 49.88 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11.100 | 0.062 | 65.33 |  |  |  |  |
| A | $\begin{aligned} & 05 \quad 27 \\ & 10.099 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17 \quad 57 \\ & 43.89 \end{aligned}$ | $0.08$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.09 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | 10.081 | 0.131 | 305.359 | 0.744 | 5.695 | 0.078 |  |  | 2016.064 | 22 | A too bright for reliable photometry |
| B | $\begin{aligned} & 05 \quad 27 \\ & 09.523 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17 \quad 57 \\ & 49.72 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11.105 | 0.083 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { STT } \\ & 107 \end{aligned}$ | RA | Dec | dRA | dDec | Sep | Err <br> Sep | PA | Err PA | Mag | Err Mag | SNR | dVmag | Date | N | Notes |
| A | $\begin{aligned} & 05 \quad 27 \\ & 10.104 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17 \quad 57 \\ & 43.70 \end{aligned}$ | 0.07 | 0.08 | 9.939 | 0.106 | 346.889 | 0.613 | 5.336 | 0.060 | 511.81 | 0.06 | 2016.090 | 4 | iT18 stack $4 \times 3 \mathrm{~s}$. A too bright for reliable photometry. SNR C<20 |
| C | $\begin{aligned} & 05 \quad 27 \\ & 09.946 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17 \quad 57 \\ & 53.38 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12.604 | 0.102 | 12.63 |  |  |  |  |
| A | $\begin{aligned} & 05 \quad 27 \\ & 10.105 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17 \quad 57 \\ & 43.72 \end{aligned}$ | 0.07 | 0.09 | 10.092 | 0.114 | 346.675 | 0.647 | 5.362 | 0.090 | 435.83 | 0.09 | 2016.093 | 5 | iT18 stack $5 \times 3 \mathrm{~s}$. A too bright for reliable photometry. SNR C<10 |
| C | $\begin{aligned} & 05 \quad 27 \\ & 09.942 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17 \quad 57 \\ & 53.54 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12.845 | 0.138 | 9.95 |  |  |  |  |
| A | $\begin{aligned} & 05 \quad 27 \\ & 10.109 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17 \quad 57 \\ & 43.70 \end{aligned}$ | 0.06 | 0.07 | 10.030 | 0.092 | 346.927 | 0.527 | 5.340 | 0.080 | 502.15 | 0.08 | 2016.085 | 5 | iT18 stack $5 \times 3 \mathrm{~s}$. A too bright for reliable photometry. SNR C<10 |
| C | $\begin{aligned} & 05 \quad 27 \\ & 09.950 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17.57 \\ & 53.47 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12.765 | 0.143 | 8.68 |  |  |  |  |
| A | $\begin{aligned} & 05 \quad 27 \\ & 10.089 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17 \quad 57 \\ & 44.26 \end{aligned}$ | 0.11 | 0.12 | 9.442 | 0.163 | 346.721 | 0.988 | 6.059 | 0.092 | 53.70 | 0.09 | 2016.023 | 4 | iT27 stack $4 \times 3$ s. A too bright for reliable photometry. SNR C<20 |
| C | $\begin{array}{ll} 05 \quad 27 \\ 09.937 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17 \quad 57 \\ & 53.45 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12.738 | 0.128 | 11.39 |  |  |  |  |
| A | $\begin{array}{ll} 05 \quad 27 \\ 10.088 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17 \quad 57 \\ & 44.05 \end{aligned}$ | 0.11 | 0.12 | 9.570 | 0.163 | 346.110 | 0.975 | 6.380 | 0.061 | 111.35 | 0.06 | 2016.026 | 4 | iT27 stack $4 \times 3$ s. A too bright for reliable photometry. SNR C<20 |
| C | $\begin{aligned} & 05 \quad 27 \\ & 09.927 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17 \quad 57 \\ & 53.34 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13.077 | 0.118 | 10.20 |  |  |  |  |
| A | $\begin{aligned} & 05 \quad 27 \\ & 10.099 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17.57 \\ & 43.89 \end{aligned}$ | 0.087 | 0.098 | 9.814 | 0.131 | 346.669 | 0.765 | 5.695 | 0.078 |  |  | 2016.064 | 22 | A too bright for reliable photometry. SNR C<20 |
| C | $\begin{aligned} & 0527 \\ & 09.940 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1757 \\ & 53.44 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12.806 | 0.127 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 2 continues on the next page.
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Table 2 (continued). Photometry and astrometry results for the selected STT objects. Date is the Bessel epoch and $N$ is the number of images used for the reported values. iT in the Notes column indicates the telescope used with number of images and exposure time given (Specifications of the used telescopes: See Acknowledgements). The average results over all used images are given in the line below the individual stacks in bold. The error estimation over all used images is calculated as root mean square over the individual Err values. The N column in the summary line gives the total number of images used and Date the average Bessel epoch.

| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { STT } \\ & 116 \end{aligned}$ | RA | Dec | dRA | dDec | Sep | Err Sep | PA | Err PA | Mag | Err Mag | SNR | dVmag | Date | N | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | $\begin{aligned} & 05.45 \\ & 55.397 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25.54 \\ & 49.25 \end{aligned}$ | 0.08 | 0.07 | 17.783 | 0.106 | 64.735 | 0.342 | 7.237 | 0.090 | 269.89 | 0.09 | 2016.090 | 4 | iT18 stack $4 \times 3 \mathrm{~s}: ~ A ~ t o o$ bright for reliable photometry. Component $R$ meas ured with 12.814 Vmag with SNR 20.47 |
| C | $\begin{array}{lc} 05 & 45 \\ 56.589 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25.54 \\ & 56.84 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11.675 | 0.095 | 36.33 |  |  |  |  |
| A | $\begin{aligned} & 05.45 \\ & 55.400 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25.54 \\ & 49.24 \end{aligned}$ | 0.06 | 0.06 | 17.787 | 0.085 | 64.812 | 0.273 | 7.244 | 0.070 | 281.94 | 0.07 | 2016.085 | 5 | iT18 stack $5 \times 3 \mathrm{~s}: \mathrm{A}$ too bright for reliable photometry. Component R measured with 12.948 Vmag with SNR 19.65 |
| C | $\begin{array}{ll} 05 & 45 \\ 56.593 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25.54 \\ & 56.81 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11.679 | 0.076 | 37.07 |  |  |  |  |
| A | $\begin{array}{ll} 05 & 45 \\ 55.399 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25.54 \\ & 49.26 \end{aligned}$ | 0.08 | 0.08 | 17.772 | 0.113 | 64.504 | 0.365 | 7.249 | 0.080 | 172.94 | 0.08 | 2016.093 | 5 | iT18 stack $5 \times 3 \mathrm{~s}: ~ A ~ t o o ~$ bright for reliable photometry. Component $R$ measured with 13.089Vmag with SNR 8.68 |
| C | $\begin{array}{ll} 05 & 45 \\ 56.588 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25.54 \\ & 56.91 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11.661 | 0.095 | 20.52 |  |  |  |  |
| A | $\begin{aligned} & 05.45 \\ & 55.399 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25.54 \\ & 49.25 \end{aligned}$ | 0.074 | 0.070 | 17.781 | 0.102 | 64.684 | 0.329 | $\begin{aligned} & 7.2433 \\ & 333 \end{aligned}$ | 0.081 |  |  | 2016.089 | 14 | A too bright for reliable photometry. Component $R$ measured with averaged 12.950 Vmag |
| C | $\begin{aligned} & 0545 \\ & 56.590 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 25.54 \\ 56.85 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 11.671 \\ & 667 \end{aligned}$ | 0.089 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { STT } \\ 76 \end{gathered}$ | RA | Dec | dRA | dDec | Sep | Err Sep | PA | Err PA | Mag | Err Mag | SNR | dVmag | Date | N | Notes |
| A | $\begin{aligned} & 04 \quad 16 \\ & 10.639 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 34.52 \\ & 07.29 \end{aligned}$ | 0.03 | 0.03 | 3.550 | 0.042 | 211.099 | 0.685 | 7.501 | 0.030 | 354.56 | 0.03 | 2016.236 | 5 | iT24 stack $5 \times 3 \mathrm{~s}$. A too bright for reliable photometry. Overlapping star disks. SNR B<20 |
| B | $\begin{aligned} & 04.16 \\ & 10.490 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3452 \\ 04.25 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12.489 | 0.079 | 14.34 |  |  |  |  |
| A | $\begin{aligned} & 04.16 \\ & 10.639 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 34.52 \\ & 07.29 \end{aligned}$ | 0.030 | 0.030 | 3.550 | 0.042 | 211.099 | 0.685 | 7.501 | 0.030 |  |  | 2016.236 | 5 | A too bright for reliable photometry. Overlapping star disks. SNR B<20 |
| B | $\begin{aligned} & 04 \quad 16 \\ & 10.490 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3452 \\ & 04.25 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12.489 | 0.079 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { STT } \\ 48 \end{gathered}$ | RA | Dec | dRA | dDec | Sep | Err Sep | PA | Err PA | Mag | Err Mag | SNR | dVmag | Date | N | Notes |
| A | $\begin{aligned} & 02 \quad 53 \\ & 21.068 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 48 \quad 34 \\ & 11.82 \end{aligned}$ | 0.16 | 0.12 | 6.526 | 0.200 | 316.327 | 1.755 | 6.167 | 0.100 | 194.65 | 0.10 | 2016.172 | 5 | iT18 stack $5 \times 3 \mathrm{~s}$. A too bright for reliable photometry. Overlapping star disks. SNR B <20 |
| B | $\begin{aligned} & 02.53 \\ & 20.614 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 48 \quad 34 \\ & 16.54 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11.498 | 0.118 | 16.73 |  |  |  |  |
| A | $\begin{aligned} & 02 \quad 53 \\ & 21.070 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 48 \quad 34 \\ & 11.73 \end{aligned}$ | 0.10 | 0.10 | 6.609 | 0.141 | 317.597 | 1.226 | 6.165 | 0.080 | 395.94 | 0.08 | 2016.258 | 5 | iT24 stack $5 \times 3$ s. A too bright for reliable photometry. Overlapping star disks |
| B | $\begin{aligned} & 02.53 \\ & 20.621 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 48 \quad 34 \\ & 16.61 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11.357 | 0.094 | 21.93 |  |  |  |  |
| A | $\begin{aligned} & 02.53 \\ & 21.069 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 48 \quad 34 \\ & 11.78 \end{aligned}$ | 0.133 | 0.110 | 6.567 | 0.173 | 316.966 | 1.511 | 6.166 | 0.091 |  |  | 2016.215 | 10 | A too bright for reliable photometry. Overlapping star disks |
| B | $\begin{aligned} & 0253 \\ & 20.618 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4834 \\ & 16.58 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11.428 | 0.107 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 2 continues on the next page.
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Table 2 (continued). Photometry and astrometry results for the selected STT objects. Date is the Bessel epoch and $N$ is the number of images used for the reported values. iT in the Notes column indicates the telescope used with number of images and exposure time given (Specifications of the used telescopes: See Acknowledgements). The average results over all used images are given in the line below the individual stacks in bold. The error estimation over all used images is calculated as root mean square over the individual Err values. The $N$ column in the summary line gives the total number of images used and Date the average Bessel epoch.

| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { STT } \\ 63 \end{gathered}$ | RA | Dec | dRA | dDec | Sep | Err Sep | PA | Err PA | Mag | Err Mag | SNR | dVmag | Date | N | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | $\begin{array}{ll} 03 & 48 \\ 18.072 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5044 \\ & 12.50 \end{aligned}$ | 0.11 | 0.10 | 6.324 | 0.149 | 271.359 | 1.347 | 6.100 | 0.070 | 349.80 | 0.07 | 2016.172 | 4 | iT18 stack $4 \times 3 \mathrm{~s}$. A too bright for reliable photometry. Overlapping star disks. SNR B <10 |
| B | $\begin{aligned} & 0348 \\ & 17.406 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \quad 44 \\ & 12.65 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11.573 | 0.137 | 8.69 |  |  |  |  |
| A | $\begin{array}{ll} 03 & 48 \\ 18.067 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \quad 44 \\ & 12.35 \end{aligned}$ | 0.12 | 0.11 | 6.894 | 0.163 | 268.753 | 1.353 | 6.225 | 0.100 | 303.25 | 0.10 | 2016.255 | 1 | iT24 1x3s. A too bright for reliable photometry. Overlapping star disks. SNR B <20 |
| B | $\begin{aligned} & 03 \quad 48 \\ & 17.341 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \quad 44 \\ & 12.20 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11.438 | 0.116 | 18.24 |  |  |  |  |
| A | $\begin{array}{ll} 03 & 48 \\ 18.068 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \quad 44 \\ & 12.18 \end{aligned}$ | 0.09 | 0.08 | 6.959 | 0.120 | 270.494 | 0.991 | 6.212 | 0.090 | 348.90 | 0.09 | 2016.258 | 5 | iT24 stack 5x1s. A too bright for reliable photometry. Overlapping star disks |
| B | $\begin{array}{ll} 03 & 48 \\ 17.335 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \quad 44 \\ & 12.24 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11.190 | 0.093 | 42.48 |  |  |  |  |
| A | $\begin{array}{ll} 03 & 48 \\ 18.025 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \quad 44 \\ & 12.61 \end{aligned}$ | 0.04 | 0.04 | 6.644 | 0.057 | 267.153 | 0.488 | 7.021 | 0.050 | 187.41 | 0.05 | 2016.236 | 5 | iT24 stack $5 \times 3$ s. A too bright for reliable photometry. Overlapping star disks |
| B | $\begin{aligned} & 03.48 \\ & 17.326 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5044 \\ & 12.28 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11.314 | 0.053 | 59.40 |  |  |  |  |
| A | $\begin{aligned} & 03.48 \\ & 18.058 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \quad 44 \\ & 12.41 \end{aligned}$ | 0.095 | 0.087 | 6.703 | 0.129 | 269.423 | 1.100 | 6.390 | 0.080 |  |  | 2016.230 | 15 | A too bright for reliable photometry. Overlapping star disks |
| B | $\begin{aligned} & 03.48 \\ & 17.352 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5044 \\ & 12.34 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11.379 | 0.105 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { STT } \\ & 518 \end{aligned}$ | RA | Dec | dRA | dDec | Sep | Err Sep | PA | Err PA | Mag | Err Mag | SNR | dVmag | Date | N | Notes |
| A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | of the images taken |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { STT } \\ & 517 \end{aligned}$ | RA | Dec | dRA | dDec | Sep | Err Sep | PA | Err PA | Mag | Err Mag | SNR | dVmag | Date | N | Notes |
| AB | $\begin{array}{ll} 05 & 13 \\ 31.509 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 01.58 \\ & 03.43 \end{aligned}$ | 0.12 | 0.11 | 7.110 | 0.163 | 132.795 | 1.312 | 6.388 | 0.100 | 153.04 | 0.10 | 2016.026 | 5 | iT27 stack $5 \times 3$ s. A too bright for reliable photometry. Overlapping star disks. SNR B <20 |
| C | $\begin{array}{ll} 05 & 13 \\ 31.857 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 01.57 \\ & 58.60 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11.522 | 0.115 | 18.87 |  |  |  |  |
| AB | $\begin{array}{ll} 05 & 13 \\ 31.527 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0158 \\ & 03.67 \end{aligned}$ | 0.12 | 0.12 | 7.362 | 0.170 | 135.044 | 1.320 | 6.483 | 0.080 | 162.27 | 0.08 | 2016.032 | 5 | iT27 stack $5 \times 3$ s. A too bright for reliable photometry. Overlapping star disks |
| C | $\begin{array}{ll} 05 & 13 \\ 31.874 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 01.57 \\ & 58.46 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11.457 | 0.091 | 24.16 |  |  |  |  |
| AB | $\begin{array}{ll} 05 & 13 \\ 31.545 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 01 & 58 \\ 03.73 \end{array}$ | 0.12 | 0.12 | 7.017 | 0.170 | 137.702 | 1.385 | 6.313 | 0.081 | 111.63 | 0.08 | 2016.035 | 5 | iT27 stack $5 \times 3$ s. A too bright for reliable photometry. Overlapping star disks. SNR B <20 |
| C | $\begin{array}{ll} 05 & 13 \\ 31.860 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0157 \\ & 58.54 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11.448 | 0.105 | 15.55 |  |  |  |  |
| AB | $\begin{array}{ll} 05 & 13 \\ 31.550 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0158 \\ & 03.51 \end{aligned}$ | 0.10 | 0.08 | 6.727 | 0.128 | 135.770 | 1.091 | 6.143 | 0.090 | 161.50 | 0.09 | 2016.031 | 4 | iT24 stack $4 \times 3$ s. A too bright for reliable photometry. Overlapping star disks. SNR B <20 |
| C | $\begin{array}{ll} 05 & 13 \\ 31.863 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 01.57 \\ & 58.69 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11.519 | 0.117 | 13.91 |  |  |  |  |
| AB | $\begin{aligned} & 05.13 \\ & 31.533 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0158 \\ & 03.59 \end{aligned}$ | 0.115 | 0.109 | 7.051 | 0.159 | 135.311 | 1.288 | 6.332 | 0.088 |  |  | 2016.031 | 19 | A too bright for reliable photometry. Overlapping star disks. SNR B <20 |
| C | $\begin{aligned} & 05 \quad 13 \\ & 31.863 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0157 \\ & 58.57 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11.487 | 0.108 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 2 continues on the next page.
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Table 2 (continued). Photometry and astrometry results for the selected STT objects. Date is the Bessel epoch and $N$ is the number of images used for the reported values. iT in the Notes column indicates the telescope used with number of images and exposure time given (Specifications of the used telescopes: See Acknowledgements). The average results over all used images are given in the line below the individual stacks in bold. The error estimation over all used images is calculated as root mean square over the individual Err values. The N column in the summary line gives the total number of images used and Date the average Bessel epoch.

| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { STT } \\ & 136 \end{aligned}$ | RA | Dec | dRA | dDec | Sep | Err Sep | PA | Err PA | Mag | Err Mag | SNR | dVmag | Date | N | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | $\begin{aligned} & 06.28 \\ & 14.458 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 70 \quad 32 \\ & 07.41 \end{aligned}$ | 0.12 | 0.14 | 5.373 | 0.184 | 80.789 | 1.966 | 5.811 | 0.130 | 218.05 | 0.13 | 2016.194 | 2 | iT18 stack $2 \times 3 \mathrm{~s}$. SNR B<20. A too bright for reliable photometry |
| B | $\begin{aligned} & 0628 \\ & 15.519 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 70.32 \\ & 08.27 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10.806 | 0.152 | 13.26 |  |  |  |  |
| A | $\begin{aligned} & 0628 \\ & 14.545 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 70 \quad 32 \\ 07.41 \end{array}$ | 0.08 | 0.08 | 5.334 | 0.113 | 83.865 | 1.215 | 6.016 | 0.080 | 402.55 | 0.08 | 2016.258 | 4 | iT24 stack $4 \times 1 s . \operatorname{SNR} B<20$. A too bright for reliable photometry. Overlapping star disks |
| B | $\begin{aligned} & 06 \quad 28 \\ & 15.606 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 70 \quad 32 \\ & 07.98 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10.616 | 0.097 | 19.30 |  |  |  |  |
| A | $\begin{aligned} & 0628 \\ & 14.490 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 70 \quad 32 \\ & 07.25 \end{aligned}$ | 0.06 | 0.07 | 5.466 | 0.092 | 81.689 | 0.966 | 5.970 | 0.070 | 517.69 | 0.07 | 2016.236 | 5 | iT24 stack $5 \times 3$ s. A too bright for reliable photometry. Overlapping star disks |
| B | $\begin{aligned} & 06 \quad 28 \\ & 15.572 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 70 \quad 32 \\ & 08.04 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10.757 | 0.087 | 20.78 |  |  |  |  |
| A | $\begin{aligned} & 0628 \\ & 14.452 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 70 \quad 32 \\ 07.28 \end{array}$ | 0.10 | 0.08 | 5.593 | 0.128 | 83.120 | 1.312 | 5.828 | 0.080 | 168.06 | 0.08 | 2016.247 | 5 | iT24 stack $5 \times 3 \mathrm{~s}$. A too bright for reliable photometry. Overlapping star disks |
| B | $\begin{aligned} & 0628 \\ & 15.563 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 70 \quad 32 \\ & 07.95 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10.315 | 0.085 | 37.58 |  |  |  |  |
| A | $\begin{aligned} & 0628 \\ & 14.475 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 70 & 32 \\ 07 & 50 \end{array}$ | 0.14 | 0.11 | 5.504 | 0.178 | 85.624 | 1.853 | 5.899 | 0.080 | 183.76 | 0.08 | 2016.247 | 5 | iT24 stack $5 \times 3$ s. A too bright for reliable photometry. Overlapping star disks |
| B | $\begin{aligned} & 0628 \\ & 15.573 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 70 \quad 32 \\ & 07.92 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10.334 | 0.084 | 39.76 |  |  |  |  |
| A | $\begin{aligned} & 0628 \\ & 14.484 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 70 \quad 32 \\ & 07.37 \end{aligned}$ | 0.104 | 0.099 | 5.452 | 0.144 | 83.025 | 1.511 | 5.905 | 0.091 |  |  | 2016.237 |  | A too bright for reliable photometry. Overlapping star disks |
| B | $\begin{aligned} & 0628 \\ & 15.567 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 70 \quad 32 \\ & 08.03 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10.566 | 0.104 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { STT } \\ & 163 \end{aligned}$ | RA | Dec | dRA | dDec | Sep | Err Sep | PA | Err PA | Mag | Err Mag | SNR | dVmag | Date | N | Notes |
| AB | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 07 \quad 01 \\ & 09.858 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11.46 \\ & 28.35 \end{aligned}$ | 0.09 | 0.06 | 14.235 | 0.108 | 165.970 | 0.435 | 6.707 | 0.080 | 334.27 | 0.08 | 2016.194 | 4 | iT18 stack $4 \times 3 \mathrm{~s}$. AB too bright for reliable photometry |
| C | $\begin{aligned} & 07 \quad 01 \\ & 10.093 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1146 \\ & 14.54 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11.767 | 0.086 | 33.50 |  |  |  |  |
| AB | $\begin{aligned} & 07 \quad 01 \\ & 09.824 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1146 \\ & 28.40 \end{aligned}$ | 0.04 | 0.04 | 14.436 | 0.057 | 164.483 | 0.225 | 7.020 | 0.040 | 318.94 | 0.04 | 2016.236 | 5 | iT24 stack $5 \times 3 \mathrm{~s}$. AB too bright for reliable photometry |
| C | $\begin{array}{ll} 07 \quad 01 \\ 10.087 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11.46 \\ & 14.49 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11.778 | 0.041 | 111.68 |  |  |  |  |
| AB | $\begin{aligned} & 07 \quad 01 \\ & 09.855 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 11.46 \\ & 28.11 \end{aligned}$ | 0.11 | 0.11 | 13.885 | 0.156 | 165.296 | 0.642 | 6.718 | 0.061 | 133.17 | 0.06 | 2016.247 | 5 | iT24 stack $5 \times 3 \mathrm{~s}$. AB too bright for reliable photometry |
| C | $\begin{aligned} & 07 \quad 01 \\ & 10.095 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11.46 \\ & 14.68 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11.721 | 0.061 | 91.17 |  |  |  |  |
| AB | $\begin{aligned} & 07 \quad 01 \\ & 09.829 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11.46 \\ & 28.25 \end{aligned}$ | 0.11 | 0.11 | 14.268 | 0.156 | 164.357 | 0.625 | 6.721 | 0.050 | 153.43 | 0.05 | 2016.247 | 5 | iT24 stack $5 x 3 s$. AB too bright for reliable photometry |
| C | $\begin{aligned} & 07 \quad 01 \\ & 10.091 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11.46 \\ & 14.51 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11.733 | 0.052 | 78.84 |  |  |  |  |
| AB | $\begin{aligned} & 07 \quad 01 \\ & 09.848 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11.46 \\ & 28.36 \end{aligned}$ | 0.09 | 0.10 | 14.216 | 0.135 | 165.769 | 0.542 | 6.793 | 0.060 | 307.52 | 0.06 | 2016.258 | 5 | iT24 stack $5 \times 3$ s. AB too bright for reliable photometry |
| C | $\begin{aligned} & 0701 \\ & 10.086 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11.46 \\ & 14.58 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11.788 | 0.061 | 90.92 |  |  |  |  |
| AB | $\begin{aligned} & 0701 \\ & 09.843 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1146 \\ & 28.29 \end{aligned}$ | 0.092 | 0.089 | 14.207 | 0.128 | 165.172 | 0.515 | 6.792 | 0.060 |  |  | 2016.237 |  | AB too bright for reliable photometry |
| C | $\begin{aligned} & 07 \quad 01 \\ & 10.090 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1146 \\ & 14.56 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11.757 | 0.062 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 2 continues on the next page.
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Table 2 (continued). Photometry and astrometry results for the selected STT objects. Date is the Bessel epoch and $N$ is the number of images used for the reported values. iT in the Notes column indicates the telescope used with number of images and exposure time given (Specifications of the used telescopes: See Acknowledgements). The average results over all used images are given in the line below the individual stacks in bold. The error estimation over all used images is calculated as root mean square over the individual Err values. The N column in the summary line gives the total number of images used and Date the average Bessel epoch.

| $\begin{aligned} & \hline S T T \\ & 198 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | RA | Dec | dRA | dDec | Sep | Err Sep | PA | Err PA | Mag | Err Mag | SNR | dVmag | Date | N | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 0916 \\ 11.137 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 23.24 \\ & 08.77 \end{aligned}$ | 0.04 | 0.06 | 14.994 | 0.072 | 115.141 | 0.276 | 7.632 | 0.080 | 241.96 | 0.08 | 2016.194 | 5 | iT18 stack $5 \times 3 \mathrm{~s}$. A too bright for reliable photometry |
| B | $\begin{aligned} & 0916 \\ & 12.123 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23.24 \\ & 02.40 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12.864 | 0.095 | 20.71 |  |  |  |  |
| A | $\begin{aligned} & 0916 \\ & 11.143 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23.24 \\ & 08.97 \end{aligned}$ | 0.08 | 0.09 | 15.019 | 0.120 | 115.348 | 0.459 | 7.623 | 0.041 | 147.39 | 0.04 | 2016.247 | 5 | iT24 stack $5 \times 3$ s. A too bright for reliable photometry |
| B | $\begin{aligned} & 09.16 \\ & 12.129 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23 \quad 24 \\ & 02.54 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12.980 | 0.045 | 51.04 |  |  |  |  |
| A | $\begin{aligned} & 0916 \\ & 11.146 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23.24 \\ & 08.76 \end{aligned}$ | 0.05 | 0.05 | 14.944 | 0.071 | 115.104 | 0.271 | 7.664 | 0.060 | 168.26 | 0.06 | 2016.258 | 4 | iT24 stack $4 \times 3 \mathrm{~s}$. A too bright for reliable photometry |
| B | $\begin{aligned} & 09.16 \\ & 12.129 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23 \quad 24 \\ & 02.42 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13.019 | 0.063 | 52.52 |  |  |  |  |
| A | $\begin{array}{ll} 09 \quad 16 \\ 11.137 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23.24 \\ & 08.83 \end{aligned}$ | 0.03 | 0.03 | 15.077 | 0.042 | 115.202 | 0.161 | 7.666 | 0.040 | 452.98 | 0.04 | 2016.236 | 5 | iT24 stack $5 \times 3 \mathrm{~s}$. A too bright for reliable photometry |
| B | $\begin{aligned} & 09.16 \\ & 12.128 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23.24 \\ & 02.41 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12.977 | 0.043 | 71.78 |  |  |  |  |
| A | $\begin{aligned} & 0916 \\ & 11.141 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23.24 \\ & 08.83 \end{aligned}$ | 0.053 | 0.061 | 15.008 | 0.081 | 115.199 | 0.311 | 7.646 | 0.058 |  |  | 2016.234 | 19 | A too bright for reliable photometry |
| B | $\begin{aligned} & 09.16 \\ & 12.127 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23.24 \\ & 02.44 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12.960 | 0.065 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { STT } \\ & 488 \end{aligned}$ | RA | Dec | dRA | dDec | Sep | Err Sep | PA | Err PA | Mag | Err Mag | SNR | dVmag | Date | N | Notes |
| A | $\begin{aligned} & 23.07 \\ & 25.489 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 20 \quad 34 \\ 53.50 \end{array}$ | 0.13 | 0.13 | 14.888 | 0.184 | 334.704 | 0.708 | 6.706 | 0.110 | 498.01 | 0.11 | 2015.637 | 4 | iT11 stack $4 \times 3 \mathrm{~s}$. A too bright for reliable photometry |
| B | $\begin{aligned} & 23.07 \\ & 25.036 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20.35 \\ & 06.96 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11.976 | 0.112 | 48.39 |  |  |  |  |
| A | $\begin{aligned} & 23.07 \\ & 25.482 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \quad 34 \\ & 53.35 \end{aligned}$ | 0.04 | 0.06 | 14.897 | 0.072 | 334.900 | 0.277 | 6.649 | 0.060 | 363.13 | 0.06 | 2015.639 | 5 | iT18 stack $5 \times 3 \mathrm{~s}$. A too bright for reliable photometry |
| B | $\begin{aligned} & 23.07 \\ & 25.032 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2035 \\ & 06.84 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11.958 | 0.068 | 34.23 |  |  |  |  |
| A | $\begin{array}{ll} 23 & 07 \\ 25.482 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 20 \quad 34 \\ 53.15 \end{array}$ | 0.07 | 0.08 | 14.954 | 0.106 | 334.884 | 0.407 | 6.406 | 0.110 | 506.90 | 0.11 | 2015.700 | 5 | iT21 stack $5 \times 3 \mathrm{~s}$. A too bright for reliable photometry |
| B | $\begin{array}{ll} 23 & 07 \\ 25.030 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \quad 35 \\ & 06.69 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11.898 | 0.112 | 47.27 |  |  |  |  |
| A | $\begin{aligned} & 23.07 \\ & 25.482 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \quad 34 \\ & 53.32 \end{aligned}$ | 0.02 | 0.04 | 14.909 | 0.045 | 334.802 | 0.172 | 6.695 | 0.050 | 412.76 | 0.05 | 2015.615 | 5 | iT24 stack $5 \times 3 \mathrm{~s}$. A too bright for reliable photometry |
| B | $\begin{aligned} & 23 \quad 07 \\ & 25.030 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \quad 35 \\ & 06.81 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11.964 | 0.052 | 78.81 |  |  |  |  |
| A | $\begin{aligned} & 23.07 \\ & 25.484 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \quad 34 \\ & 53.42 \end{aligned}$ | 0.03 | 0.05 | 14.782 | 0.058 | 334.571 | 0.226 | 6.684 | 0.060 | 388.37 | 0.06 | 2015.620 | 5 | iT24 stack $5 \times 3 \mathrm{~s}$. A too bright for reliable photometry |
| B | $\begin{array}{ll} 23 & 07 \\ 25.032 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \quad 35 \\ & 06.77 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11.950 | 0.062 | 76.69 |  |  |  |  |
| A | $\begin{aligned} & 23.07 \\ & 25.480 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \quad 34 \\ & 53.33 \end{aligned}$ | 0.06 | 0.04 | 14.858 | 0.072 | 334.949 | 0.278 | 6.627 | 0.090 | 401.71 | 0.09 | 2015.632 | 5 | iT24 stack $5 \times 3 \mathrm{~s}$. A too bright for reliable photometry |
| B | $\begin{array}{ll} 23 & 07 \\ 25.032 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \quad 35 \\ & 06.79 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11.903 | 0.091 | 74.40 |  |  |  |  |
| A | $\begin{array}{ll} 23 & 07 \\ 25.483 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 2034 \\ 53.345 \end{array}$ | 0.069 | 0.074 | 14.881 | 0.101 | 334.802 | 0.388 | 6.628 | 0.084 |  |  | 2015.640 | 29 | A too bright for reliable photometry |
| B | $\begin{array}{ll} 23 & 07 \\ 25.032 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20.35 \\ & 06.810 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11.942 | 0.086 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 2 concludes on the next page.
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Table 2. (conclusion). Photometry and astrometry results for the selected STT objects. Date is the Bessel epoch and $N$ is the number of images used for the reported values. iT in the Notes column indicates the telescope used with number of images and exposure time given (Specifications of the used telescopes: See Acknowledgements). The average results over all used images are given in the line below the individual stacks in bold. The error estimation over all used images is calculated as root mean square over the individual Err values. The N column in the summary line gives the total number of images used and Date the average Bessel epoch.

| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { STT } \\ & 467 \end{aligned}$ | RA | Dec | dRA | dDec | Sep | Err Sep | PA | Err PA | Mag | Err Mag | SNR | dVmag | Date | N | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 22 \quad 14 \\ 48.588 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2231 \\ & 24.42 \end{aligned}$ | 0.13 | 0.16 | 23.011 | 0.206 | 273.289 | 0.513 | 6.654 | 0.090 | 492.60 | 0.09 | 2015.637 | 5 | iT11 stack $5 \times 3 \mathrm{~s}$. A too bright for reliable photometry |
| B | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2214 \\ & 46.930 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2231 \\ & 25.74 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11.083 | 0.091 | 79.18 |  |  |  |  |
| A | $\begin{aligned} & 2214 \\ & 48.580 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2231 \\ & 24.19 \end{aligned}$ | 0.19 | 0.07 | 23.051 | 0.202 | 273.233 | 0.503 | 6.626 | 0.070 | 328.88 | 0.07 | 2015.639 | 5 | iT18 stack $5 \times 3 \mathrm{~s}$. A too bright for reliable photometry |
| B | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 22 \quad 14 \\ 46.919 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2231 \\ & 25.49 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11.012 | 0.073 | 55.25 |  |  |  |  |
| A | $\begin{aligned} & 2214 \\ & 48.576 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2231 \\ & 24.01 \end{aligned}$ | 0.08 | 0.12 | 22.986 | 0.144 | 273.417 | 0.359 | 6.394 | 0.110 | 509.10 | 0.11 | 2015.700 | 5 | iT21 stack $5 \times 3 \mathrm{~s}$. A too bright for reliable photometry |
| B | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 22 & 14 \\ 46.920 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2231 \\ & 25.38 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10.901 | 0.111 | 84.98 |  |  |  |  |
| A | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 22 \quad 14 \\ 48.579 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2231 \\ & 24.14 \end{aligned}$ | 0.03 | 0.03 | 23.025 | 0.042 | 273.311 | 0.106 | 6.708 | 0.040 | 441.06 | 0.04 | 2015.615 | 5 | iT24 stack $5 \times 3 \mathrm{~s}$. A too bright for reliable photometry |
| B | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2214 \\ & 46.920 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2231 \\ & 25.47 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11.038 | 0.041 | 120.59 |  |  |  |  |
| A | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \quad 14 \\ & 48.579 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2231 \\ & 24.43 \end{aligned}$ | 0.02 | 0.02 | 23.039 | 0.028 | 272.662 | 0.070 | 6.852 | 0.030 | 509.59 | 0.03 | 2015.620 | 5 | iT24 stack $5 \times 3 \mathrm{~s}$. A too bright for reliable photometry |
| B | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 22 & 14 \\ 46.918 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2231 \\ & 25.50 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11.036 | 0.031 | 134.65 |  |  |  |  |
| A | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 22 \quad 14 \\ 48.578 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2231 \\ & 24.17 \end{aligned}$ | 0.03 | 0.02 | 23.025 | 0.036 | 273.287 | 0.090 | 6.747 | 0.040 | 444.94 | 0.04 | 2015.632 | 5 | iT24 stack $5 \times 3 \mathrm{~s}$. A too bright for reliable photometry |
| B | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 22 \quad 14 \\ 46.919 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22.31 \\ & 25.49 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11.024 | 0.041 | 123.19 |  |  |  |  |
| A | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2214 \\ & 48.580 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2231 \\ & 24.227 \end{aligned}$ | 0.101 | 0.088 | 23.023 | 0.134 | 273.200 | 0.334 | 6.664 | 0.070 |  |  | 2015.640 | 30 | A too bright for reliable photometry |
| B | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 22 \quad 14 \\ & 46.921 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2231 \\ & 25.512 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11.016 | 0.071 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 3. Photometry and Visual Results Compared to WDS

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WDS } \\ & \text { Mag } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NOMAD-1 } \\ & \text { vMag } \end{aligned}$ | UCAC4 VMag | UCAC4 <br> f. mag | Average of Photometry Measures | Results of Visual Observations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STT 114 B | 10.6 | - | - | - | 9.509 | Two observations suggesting the wDS value of 10.6 for $B$ is about right. |
| STT 57 D | 12.0 | - | - | - | 11.525 | Two observations suggesting the wDS value of 12.0 for $D$ is about right. |
| STT 107 B | 10.1 | - | - | - | 11.105 | Three observations of $B$ suggesting it's fainter than the WDS value of 10.1 . |
| STT 107 C | 11.8 | - | - | - | 12.806 | One observation suggesting $C$ is fainter than the WDS value of 11.8, one suggesting it's close to the WDS value. |
| STT 116 C | 12.9 | - | - | 11.684 | 11.672 | Two observations suggesting $C$ is about half a magnitude brighter than the WDS value of 12.9 . |
| STT 76 B | 12.4 | - | - | - | 12.489 | No observations made of this pair. |
| STT 48 B | 10.6 | - | 10.548 | - | 11.428 | One inconclusive observation. |
| STT 63 B | 11.2 | - | - | - | 11.379 | One inconclusive observation. |
| STT 518 B | 12.8 | - | - | - | Not Resolved | One observation suggesting the magnitude of B lies somewhere between the WDS value of 12.8 and a bit brighter than that value. |
| STT 517 C | 13.0 | - | - | - | 11.487 | Two observations suggesting $C$ is brighter than the wDS value of 13.0 . |
| STT 136 B | 11.0 | - | 10.506 | - | 10.566 | One inconclusive observation and one suggesting $C$ is much fainter than the WDS value of 11.0 . |
| STT 163 C | 12.0 | - | - | 11.366 | 11.757 | Two observations suggesting the WDS value of 12.0 for $C$ is reasonably close. |
| STT 198 B | 12.0 | - | - | 12.872 | 12.960 | Two observations suggesting $B$ is notably fainter than the wDS value of 12.0 . |
| STT 488 B | 10.4 | - | - | 12.022 | 11.942 | One observation suggesting $B$ is distinctly fainter than the wDS value of 10.4 . |
| STT 467 B | 10.7 | 11.5 | - | 10.969 | 11.016 | One observation suggesting a value for $B$ in the 12.2 to 12.3 range. |
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Table 4. Astrometry Results Compared to WDS

|  | WDS Coordinates | WDS Sep | WDS PA | Astrometry Coordinates | Astrometry Sep | Astrometry PA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STT 114 AB | $\begin{aligned} & 05: 41: 40.770 \\ & +16: 14: 02.4 \end{aligned}$ | 3.0 | 278 | $\begin{array}{r} 054140.777 \\ +161402.42 \end{array}$ | 2.9 | 279.726 |
| STT 57 CD | $\begin{aligned} & 03: 33: 26.530 \\ & +23: 23: 03.5 \end{aligned}$ | 9.9 | 320 | $\begin{aligned} & 03 \quad 33 \quad 26.518 \\ & +23 \quad 23 \quad 03.16 \end{aligned}$ | 9.935 | 320.086 |
| STT 107 AB | $\begin{aligned} & 05: 27: 10.090 \\ & +17: 57: 44.0 \end{aligned}$ | 10.0 | 306 | $\begin{array}{r} 052710.099 \\ +175743.89 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 10.081 | 305.359 |
| STT 107 AC | $\begin{aligned} & 05: 27: 10.090 \\ & +17: 57: 44.0 \end{aligned}$ | 10.0 | 347 | $\begin{array}{r} 052710.099 \\ +17 \quad 5743.89 \end{array}$ | 9.814 | 346.669 |
| STT 116 AC | $\begin{aligned} & 05: 45: 55.390 \\ & +25: 54: 49.3 \end{aligned}$ | 17.9 | 65 | $\begin{array}{r} 054555.399 \\ +25 \quad 54 \quad 49.25 \end{array}$ | 17.781 | 64.684 |
| STT 76 AB | $\begin{aligned} & 04: 16: 10.609 \\ & +34: 52: 07.7 \end{aligned}$ | 3.8 | 210 | $\begin{array}{r} 041610.639 \\ +345207.29 \end{array}$ | 3.550 | 211.099 |
| STT 48 AB | $\begin{aligned} & 02: 53: 21.070 \\ & +48: 34: 11.9 \end{aligned}$ | 6.6 | 318 | $\begin{array}{r} 025321.069 \\ +48 \quad 34 \quad 11.78 \end{array}$ | 6.567 | 316.966 |
| STT 63 AB | $\begin{aligned} & 03: 48: 18.080 \\ & +50: 44: 12.4 \end{aligned}$ | 6.8 | 270 | $\begin{array}{r} 0348 \\ +5044 \\ +50.058 \end{array}$ | 6.703 | 269.423 |
| STT 517 AB, C | $\begin{aligned} & 05: 13: 31.550 \\ & +01: 58: 03.7 \end{aligned}$ | 6.5 | 138 | $\begin{array}{r} 0513 \\ +01 \quad 58 \quad 03.593 \end{array}$ | 7.051 | 135.311 |
| STT 136 AB | $\begin{aligned} & 06: 28: 14.490 \\ & +70: 32: 07.0 \end{aligned}$ | 5.0 | 82 | $\begin{array}{r} 06 \quad 28 \quad 14.484 \\ +70 \quad 32 \quad 07.37 \end{array}$ | 5.452 | 83.025 |
| STT 163 AB, C | $\begin{aligned} & 07: 01: 09.851 \\ & +11: 46: 28.7 \end{aligned}$ | 14.5 | 165 | $\begin{array}{r} 070109.843 \\ +1146 \end{array}$ | 14.207 | 165.172 |
| STT 198 AB | $\begin{aligned} & 09: 16: 11.281 \\ & +23: 24: 10.4 \end{aligned}$ | 14.6 | 121 | $\begin{array}{r} 091611.141 \\ +23 \quad 24 \quad 08.83 \end{array}$ | 15.008 | 115.199 |
| STT 488 AB | $\begin{aligned} & 23: 07: 25.502 \\ & +20: 34: 53.802 \end{aligned}$ | 14.6 | 335 | $\begin{aligned} & 23 \quad 07 \quad 25.483 \\ & +20 \quad 34 \quad 53.345 \end{aligned}$ | 14.881 | 334.802 |
| STT 467 AB | $\begin{aligned} & 22: 14: 48.567 \\ & +22: 31: 24.299 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 23.9 | 274 | $\begin{aligned} & 221448.580 \\ & +2231 \quad 24.227 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 23.023 | 273.200 |

Table 5 Astrometry Results Compared with URAT1 Coordinates

| Object | URAT1 <br> Sep | iTelescope Sep | Err Sep | Within <br> Error <br> Range? | URAT1 PA | iTelescope PA | Err PA | Within Error Range? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STT 57CD | 9.929 | 9.935 | 0.138 | Yes | 319.955 | 320.086 | 0.795 | Yes |
| STT 107AB | 10.211 | 10.081 | 0.131 | Yes | 305.795 | 305.359 | 0.744 | Yes |
| STT 107AC | 10.114 | 9.814 | 0.131 | No (1) | 346.461 | 346.669 | 0.765 | Yes |
| STT 116AC | 17.770 | 17.781 | 0.102 | Yes | 64.783 | 64.684 | 0.329 | Yes |
| STT 63AB | 7.090 | 6.703 | 0.129 | No (2) | 270.137 | 269.423 | 1.100 | Yes |
| STT 163AB, C | 14.221 | 14.207 | 0.128 | Yes | 165.748 | 165.172 | 0.515 | No (3) |
| STT 198AB | 14.909 | 15.008 | 0.081 | No (3) | 115.630 | 115.199 | 0.311 | No (3) |
| STT 488AB | 14.944 | 14.881 | 0.101 | Yes | 334.847 | 334.802 | 0.388 | Yes |
| STT 467AB | 22.992 | 23.023 | 0.134 | Yes | 273.384 | 273.200 | 0.334 | Yes |

Notes: All astrometry results in this report are to some degree influenced by the difficulty of centroid detection due to the brightness of the primaries, so the calculated error range is probably a bit on the optimistic side.
(1) Two measurements based on iT27 images regarding separation are obviously outliers, without them the averaged separation would be $10.020^{\prime \prime}$ and thus within the error range
(2) One iT18 image delivered an outlier result here, but even without this outlier the comparison with URAT1 stays outside the error range. Given the brightness of the primary the reason for this might be a less than perfect URAT1 centroid detection as our result here corresponds very well with the current WDS catalog value
(3) Result only slightly outside the given error range.
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(Continued from page 179)
Elevation 2225 m

* iT18: 318 mm CDK with 2541 mm focal length. CCD: SBIG-STXL-6303E. Resolution $0.73 \mathrm{arcsec} /$ pixel. V-filter. Located in Nerpio. Spain. Elevation 1650m
* iT21: 431 mm CDK with 1940 mm focal length. CCD: FLI-PL6303E. Resolution 0.96 arcsec/pixel. V-filter. Located in Mayhill. New Mexico. Elevation 2225m
- AAVSO VPhot for initial plate solving
- AAVSO APASS providing Vmags for faint reference stars (indirect via UCAC4)
- UCAC4 catalog (online via the University of Heidelberg website and Vizier and locally from USNO DVD) for counterchecks
- URAT1 catalog for high precision plate solving
- Aladin Sky Atlas v8.0 for counterchecks
- SIMBAD. VizieR for counterchecks
- 2MASS All Sky Catalog for counterchecks
- URAT1 Survey (preliminary) for counterchecks
- AstroPlanner v2.2 for object selection. session planning and for catalog based counterchecks
- MaxIm DL6 v6.08 for plate solving on base of the UCAC4 catalog
- Astrometrica v4.9.1.420 for astrometry and photometry measurements
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# Determining Binary Star Orbits Using Kepler's Equation 
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#### Abstract

Students calculated ephemerides and generated orbits of four well-known binary systems. Using an iterative technique in Microsoft ${ }^{\circledR}$ Excel $^{\circledR}$ to solve Kepler's equation, separation and position angle values were generated as well as plots of the apparent orbits. Current position angle and separation values were measured in the field and compared well to the calculated values for the stars: STF1196AB,C, STF296AB, STF296AB and STF60AB.


## Introduction

During the spring of 2015 students from Keene State College calculated tables of ephemerides and measured the separation and position angles of four binary stars. The ephemerides included separation $\rho$, position angle $\theta$, and year at which they occur. Values generated in the table were plotted in Microsoft Excel for an entire orbit and the orbital positions generated were compared to the measured results for the dates the images were taken. The stars studied included: $\zeta$ Cancri (Tegmine - WDS 08122+1739 STF1196AB,C), $\theta$ Persei (WDS 02442+4914A STF296AB), $\delta$ Geminorum (Wasat - WDS 07201+2159 STF 1066) and $\eta$ Cassiopeiae (Achird - WDS 00491+5749 STF60AB) . These particular stars were chosen because they could be split and measured with our equipment, they were visible at the time of observation and the orbital elements were available from the Washington Double Star (WDS) catalog (Mason \& Hartkopf, 2013).

Image acquisition was made at Otter Brook Dam in Roxbury NH, near the Keene State College campus, preferred for its darker sky. Images of the four binary pairs were captured using the software BackyardEOS on a laptop computer interfaced with a digital single lens reflex Canon 60Da camera mounted on a Celestron 9.25 " Schmidt-Cassegrain telescope on an Orion Atlas mount. The binary systems were located by entering their right ascensions and declinations into the hand
controller of the telescope and manually centered. Short exposures at ISO 800 were taken with the telescope tracking and were saved to the computer. Forty five second exposures were taken with the telescope drive turned off also at ISO 800. The long exposure produced a star trail as the pair drifted across the field, indicating West. The images were later analyzed indoors using Adobe Photoshop to measure separation and position angle. A more detailed description of this process is described elsewhere (Walsh et al., 2015).

## Brief History of Star Systems

Zeta Cancri ( $\zeta$ Can., Figure 1) This system actually contains two binary pairs approximately 83 light years (ly) from Earth that orbit around their common center of mass every 1115 years. Also known as Tegmine, "the shell of the crab", it was first resolved as a double star in 1756 by Johann Tobias Meyer. William Herschel discovered its triple-nature in 1781. John Herschel around 1831 noticed deviations in the orbit of the assumed single, leading Otto Wilhelm von Struve to postulate a fourth component orbiting closely to it (Argyle, 2004). The main components of the system $\zeta^{1}$ and $\zeta^{2}$ are separated by 6.1" (Mason and Hartkopf, 2013). The components of $\zeta^{1}$, denoted $\zeta^{\mathrm{A}}$ and $\zeta^{\mathrm{B}}$, are both yellow white dwarf stars of class F separated by approximately one arc second and orbiting approximately once every 60 years. They have estimated masses slightly greater than one solar mass. The components of $\zeta^{2}$, denoted $\zeta^{\mathrm{C}}$
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Figure 1. The system $\zeta$-Cancri WDS 08122+1739, STF1196AB,C located in the constellation Cancer. Telescope image captured by KSC students in 2015. Image modified from Stellarium software version 0.12.2.
and $\zeta^{D}$, comprise a G-type and red dwarf star pair, though it is thought that $\zeta^{\mathrm{D}}$ may actually be a close pair of two red dwarfs. Components C and D are separated by approximately $0.3^{\prime \prime}$ orbiting once every 17 years (Kaler, 2009).

Theta Persei ( $\theta$ Per., Figure 2) This binary star system in the constellation Perseus is approximately 36 ly away from Earth. The primary is a main sequence yellowish dwarf star of type F7V (Kaler, 2010; Mason \& Hartkopf, 2013) under investigation for the potential of harboring earthlike planets as it is very similar to our sun. The secondary is of spectral type M1V, a red dwarf that orbits the primary every 2720 years (Mason \& Hartkopf, 2013).

Eta Cassiopeiae ( $\eta$ Cas., Figure 3). This binary star system was discovered in 1799 by William Herschel (Carro, 2011). The primary is a G-type star quite similar to our sun that orbits a cooler and dimmer K-type star every 480 years (Carro, 2011; Mason \& Hartkopf, 2013). It is approximately 19.4 ly from Earth (Kaler, 2005). The WDS catalog lists the apparent magnitudes of the primary and secondary components as 3.52 and 7.36 (Mason \& Hartkopf, 2013).

Delta Geminorum ( $\delta$ Gem., Figure 4) This star system is also known as Wasat, meaning "middle" in Arabic, and is actually a triple star system (Kaler, 2004). The main component is a class F sub giant approximately 59 ly from Earth. It forms a tight spectroscopic binary with a period of just over six years. The inner components are orbited by a third class K star every 1200 years (Wenger et. al., 2000).

## Solving Kepler's Equation

Before beginning work on the four target stars, the students researched other binary pairs in the WDS cata$\log$ to become familiar with its data format. Preliminary work consisted of introducing students to Excel


Figure 2. The system $\theta$-Persei WDS $02442+4914 A$ STF296AB as located in the constellation Perseus. Telescope image captured by students in 2015. Image modified from Stellarium software version 0.12.2
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Figure 3. The system $\eta$-Cassiopeiai WDS 00491+5749 STF60AB as located in the constellation Cassiopia. Telescope image captured by KSC students in 2015. Image modified from Stellarium software version 0.12.2.


Figure 4. The system $\delta$-Geminorum WDS 07201+2159 STF1066WDS 02442+4914A STF296AB as located in the constellation Perseus. Telescope image captured by KSC students in 2015. Image modified from Stellarium software version 0.12.2.
through the calculation of Gamma Virginis' orbit as outlined in the text Astronomical Algorithms (Meuss, 2009). We determine the Keplerian orbit of the secondary with respect to the primary through seven orbital elements obtained from the Sixth Catalog of Orbits of Visual Binary Stars (Mason and Hartkopf, 2016).

Two of these elements describe the shape of the orbit; the eccentricity $e$ and the semi major axis $a$ (see Figure 5 where $a=$ CA). Three parameters describe the orientation of the true orbit to its apparent orbit as seen from Earth's line of sight (see Figure 6, the plane of apparent orbit, Alzener, 2004). The orientation of the


Figure 5. Parameters used to calculate ephemerides of binary star orbits. The primary is located at $f_{1-}$ and the secondary is at $S$. An auxiliary circular orbit (blue) with radius equal to the semi major axis of the elliptical orbit is circumscribed. True anomaly $(\boldsymbol{v})$, mean anomaly ( $\boldsymbol{M}$ ), and eccentric anomaly $(\boldsymbol{E})$ are measured relative to periastron passage $A$. Point $Q$ is the projection of point $S$ on the auxiliary circular orbit.
apparent orbit to the true orbit is defined by the inclination angle $i$, the position angle of the ascending node $\Omega$ and the longitude of the periastron $\omega$ (Figure 6). The last two orbital elements are the period $P$ in years and the time of periastron $T$. Table 1 lists the orbital elements used for our four stars (Mason and Hartkopf, 2016).

The calculations begin by first determining the mean anomaly. The mean anomaly $M$ is the angle from periastron $A$ that a fictitious body moving at constant angular speed would make on the auxiliary, circular orbit (blue), with the same period as the secondary. The auxiliary orbit has radius equal to the elliptical orbit's semi major axis, $a=$ CA. (Marion, 1970; Coswell, 1993). The mean anomaly should not be confused with the true anomaly $v$, the true angular position within the

$$
r(v)=\frac{a\left(1-e^{2}\right)}{1+e \cos (v)}
$$
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orbit described in polar coordinates by
The mean anomaly is calculated beginning with first determining the mean annual motion $\mathbf{n}$ of the secondary in degrees per year,

$$
n=\frac{360^{\circ}}{P}
$$

and the mean anomaly $M$ for each time $t$ is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=n(t-T) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The mean anomaly and other parameters used herein are defined in the orbit diagram shown in Figure 5. The primary is located at the focus $f_{1}$ of the elliptical orbit and the secondary located at S .

Kepler's equation comes from his geometrical solution to this problem, which stated algebraically is given by,

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=M+e \sin E \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and must be solved for the eccentric anomaly $E$, as defined in Figure 5. Kepler's equation is transcendental in $E$ and must be solved numerically. We chose an iterative method as outlined by Meuss (Meuss, 2009). This method was used because Excel could be employed, and for eccentricities less than 0.95 it is an easy and quick method for students to master, converging to an appropriate number of significant figures for most examples in a reasonable number of iterations, details of which are show in the Appendices (Sinnott, 1985). To begin the iterative process, the first term simply approximates the eccentric anomaly as the mean anomaly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{0}=M \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Successive iterations yield better approximations of $E$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& E_{1}=M+e_{0} \sin E_{0} \\
& E_{2}=M+e_{0} \sin E_{1}  \tag{4}\\
& E_{3}=M+e_{0} \sin E_{2}
\end{align*}
$$

To report our angle $E$ in degrees, the eccentricity $e$ must be converted into degrees $e_{0}$ by multiplying it by $180^{\circ} / \pi$. The number of iterations at which the eccentric anomaly converged to eight decimal points accuracy in the radian measure of $E$ depended on the binary system investigated. Eccentric anomaly values converged within 6 to 13 iterations with an average of 11 for Zeta Cancri, within 4 to 8 iterations and an average of 10 for Theta Persei, within 3 to 7 iterations with an average of 9 for Delta Gemini and within 7 to 26 iterations and an


Figure 6. Geometric relationship between apparent and true orbit. The three parameters that relate the two orbits are given by; $\boldsymbol{i}$ - the inclination angle, $\omega$ - the longitude of the periastron and $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$ - the position angle of the ascending node. The direction of orbital motion is indicated by arrows in the orbital planes shown. Adapted from Alzener (2004), page 57.
average of 17 for Eta Cassiopiea. The average number of iterations for convergence seemed to be proportional to the eccentricity of the orbits, but further investigation is needed to fully understand this trend (see Appendices 1-4).

Once the eccentric anomaly of the orbit at time $t$ is found, the radial distance $r$ is determined via,

$$
\begin{equation*}
r=a(1-e \cos E) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the polar representation of the elliptical orbit is now written in terms of the eccentric anomaly $E$ rather than the true anomaly $v$. The radius vector is in the same units as the semi major axis, arc seconds. The true anomaly is then

$$
v=2 \tan ^{-1}\left[\sqrt{\frac{1+e}{1-e}} \tan \left(\frac{E}{2}\right)\right]
$$

which should also be reduced to within the interval $0^{\circ}<$ $v<360^{\circ}$ (Marion, 1970). The (apparent) position angle can now be found by computing

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta=\tan ^{-1}\left[\frac{\sin (v+\omega) \cos (i)}{\cos (v+\omega)}\right]+\Omega \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$
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Table 1. Orbital Elements of our four star systems. Elements include: the eccentricity e, semi major axis length a in arc seconds, orbital inclination $i$, position angle of ascending node $\Omega$, longitude of the periastron $\omega$, orbital period $P$ and time of periastron passage T, see Figure 6 for details of elements.

|  | e | a (") | i $\left(^{\circ}\right.$ ) | $\Omega\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $\omega\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | P (yr) | T (yr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\zeta \mathrm{Can}$. | 0.24 | 7.7 | 146 | 74.2 | 345.5 | 1115 | 1970 |
| $\theta$ Per. | 0.13 | 22.289 | 75.44 | 128 | 100.64 | 2720 | 1613 |
| $\delta \mathrm{Gem}$. | 0.11 | 6.9753 | 63.28 | 18.38 | 57.19 | 1200 | 1437 |
| $\eta$ Cas. | 0.497 | 11.9939 | 34.76 | 98.42 | 88.59 | 480 | 1889.6 |

And last, the apparent separation is given by (Cowell, 1993),

$$
\rho=r \sqrt{\sin ^{2}(v+\omega) \cos ^{2}(i)+\cos ^{2}(v+\omega)}
$$

To plot the apparent orbit, the x and y coordinates in arc seconds were simply found through

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x=\rho \cos \theta \\
& y=\rho \sin \theta
\end{aligned}
$$

In Table 2 we present calculated and measured separation and position angles for our four star pairs as well as the most recent measurements from the WDS catalog. The calculated apparent orbits of our chosen binary stars are shown in Figures 7 and 8. The Excelgenerated ephemerides are plotted from the position angle and separations for different times (representative years shown on plots) within a full orbit, with ( $\rho, \theta$ )
values converted to $(x, y)$ coordinates. Values measured from telescope images captured by the students are shown in red text. Included in appendices 1 through 4 are examples of the generated data for each star pair.

## Conclusion

All four stars' measured separations compared well to the calculated values. The largest difference in separation was $0.6^{\prime \prime}$ for the star Eta Casssiopeiae and the smallest difference was for Zeta Cancri at just 0.1". The largest difference for position angle $\theta$ was $4.4^{\circ}$ for the star $\delta$ Geminorum, the smallest difference $0.3^{\circ}$ for both $\eta$ Casseipeia and $\theta$ Persei.

The project was successful from an educational standpoint. The goal of introducing students to Excel for solving computational problems was met. Students were introduced to basic numerical methods for solving Kepler's equation and the results were then successfully used to calculate the apparent orbits of the four binary star systems. Students also learned, or gained further experience in, working with telescopes to capture and analyze double star data as well as basic techniques of

Table 2. Calculated, measured and WDS-provided separation $\rho$ and position angle $\theta$ values for our four binary star pairs. Last dates measured for the WDS are in parenthesis and our measurement dates are shown on our generated orbits (Figures 7 and 8).

|  | Calculated |  | Measured |  | WDS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\rho(")$ | $\theta\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $\rho(")$ | $\theta\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $\rho(")$ | $\theta\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ |
| $\zeta \mathrm{Can}$. | 5.9 | 66.2 | 6 | 64 | 6.1 | 68 |
| $\theta$ Per. | 20.3 | 304.7 | 19.9 | 305 | 20.7 | 305 |
| $\delta \mathrm{Gem}$. | 5.5 | 228.2 | 5.3 | 232.6 | 5.6 | 230 |
| $\eta$ Cas. | 13.4 | 323.7 | 12.8 | 324 | 12.8 | 324 |

processing of raw image files. Students learned about the information available in the WDS and how to use and reference the database. In addition, each student was tasked with reading and familiarizing themselves with a paper from the Journal of Double Star Observations (JDSO) and presented a short talk to the research group summarizing the paper.

Difficulties encountered during the project included the time of setup, polar alignment and breakdown of the telescope. The complexity of polar alignment with a German equatorial mount also presented problems for the students. Guidance from the instructors was required during most stages of the setup. As expected, the complexity of setting up the spreadsheet for the computations and troubleshooting erroneous results proved challenging and time consuming. This was dealt with by breaking the process down into manageable steps presented in concise lectures followed by examples that students could emulate in their own spreadsheets. Even when students obtained unreasonable and incorrect values in their computations, the experience gained in pinpointing and correcting the problem turned out to be valuable lessons learned. After approximately six, hour long working sessions all of the students had their spreadsheets working and orbits plotted. Even with the many difficulties encountered during the project, student response was positive.

Future work at Keene State College will include continued measuring of separation and position angle of double star pairs as well as refining our techniques of measuring even closer separations, higher magnitude systems, measuring neglected doubles and photometry


Figure 7. Microsoft ${ }^{\circledR}$ Excel $^{\circledR}$ generated orbits of Zeta Cancri and Theta Persei as viewed from Earth. The primary is located at the origin. Representative location dates are shown as well as measured data points with dates shown in red text.


Figure 8. Microsoft Excel generated apparent orbits of Delta Geminorum and Eta Cassiopeiae as viewed from Earth. The primary is located at the origin. Representative location dates are shown as well as measured data points with dates shown in red text.
of double star components. Comparing observer measurements obtained from the WDS over longer time intervals would also prove useful in validating our computational methods as well as observer measurements.
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## Determining Binary Star Orbits Using Kepler's Equation

## Appendix 1 - Ephemermides of Zeta Cancri

The mean anomaly $M$ is first calculated from equation 1 for a given date $t$. Kepler's equation (equation 2 ) is then used to determine the eccentric anomaly E iteratively following equations 3 and 4 in radian measure. The apparent separation $\rho$ and position angle $\theta$ are found using equations 5 and 6 , respectively and the $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$ coordinates used to plot the orbits are determined from equations 7. The number of iterations $n$ necessary for the eccentric anomaly values to converge to the eighth decimal with value $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}}$ are shown in the last columns of the second table.

| $\mathbf{t}(\mathbf{y e a r})$ | $\left.\mathbf{M} \mathbf{(}^{\circ}\right)$ | $\left.\boldsymbol{\rho} \mathbf{( " )}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ | $\left.\boldsymbol{\theta} \mathbf{(}^{\circ}\right)$ | $\mathbf{X ( " )}$ | $\mathbf{Y}\left({ }^{\prime \prime}\right)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1975 | 1.61434978 | 5.81495607 | 354.01507603 | 5.78326087 | -0.60630671 |
| 2015 | 14.52914798 | 5.92660286 | 336.18379309 | 5.42192585 | -2.39318647 |
| 2025 | 17.75784753 | 5.93912859 | 331.80753485 | 5.23454357 | -2.80585140 |
| 2075 | 33.90134529 | 5.94359997 | 310.02406812 | 3.82238468 | -4.55145647 |
| 2125 | 50.04484305 | 5.96940709 | 288.24848308 | 1.86925216 | -5.66919019 |
| 2175 | 66.18834081 | 6.15888466 | 267.17606954 | -0.30342935 | -6.15140560 |
| 2225 | 82.33183857 | 6.55589362 | 248.00015523 | -2.45586451 | -6.07852537 |
| 2275 | 98.47533632 | 7.10836264 | 231.42487695 | -4.43234996 | -5.55725591 |
| 2325 | 114.61883408 | 7.72574379 | 217.39181655 | -6.13811397 | -4.69155347 |
| 2375 | 130.76233184 | 8.32333369 | 205.42629428 | -7.51712186 | -3.57362040 |
| 2425 | 146.90582960 | 8.83687504 | 194.97543363 | -8.53674569 | -2.28349152 |
| 2475 | 163.04932735 | 9.22154285 | 185.54789631 | -9.17834664 | -0.89151865 |
| 2525 | 179.19282511 | 9.44722651 | 176.73246065 | -9.43186786 | 0.53847691 |
| 2575 | 195.33632287 | 9.49462306 | 168.17241241 | -9.29304093 | 1.94608770 |
| 2625 | 211.47982063 | 9.35318507 | 519.52740922 | -8.76243429 | 3.27136304 |
| 2675 | 227.62331839 | 9.02114338 | 510.42894026 | -7.84608812 | 4.45195789 |
| 2725 | 243.76681614 | 8.50804645 | 500.42514346 | -6.55794174 | 5.42035557 |
| 2775 | 259.91031390 | 7.84091186 | 488.90913882 | -4.92477623 | 6.10135050 |
| 2825 | 276.05381166 | 7.07572062 | 475.04211022 | -2.99504109 | 6.41058119 |
| 2875 | 292.19730942 | 6.31433062 | 457.76890916 | -0.85355818 | 6.25637352 |
| 2925 | 308.34080717 | 5.71363680 | 436.26692101 | 1.35641177 | 5.55029663 |
| 2975 | 324.48430493 | 5.43722229 | 411.18762386 | 3.40789944 | 4.23669774 |
| 3025 | 340.62780269 | 5.51172323 | 385.25477646 | 4.98491042 | 2.35154441 |


| t(year) | E0 | E1 | E2 | E3 | E4 | E6 | E7 | - | En | n |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1975 | 0.02817572 | 0.03493700 | 0.03655889 | 0.03694790 | 0.03704120 | 0.03706357 | 0.03706894 |  | 0.03707063 | 12 |
| 2015 | 0.25358147 | 0.31379087 | 0.32766146 | 0.33082062 | 0.33153809 | 0.33170093 | 0.33173788 |  | 0.33174872 | 12 |
| 2025 | 0.30993291 | 0.38313165 | 0.39965136 | 0.40331624 | 0.40412586 | 0.40430455 | 0.40434398 |  | 0.40435514 | 12 |
| 2075 | 0.59169010 | 0.72555360 | 0.75094203 | 0.75544875 | 0.75623780 | 0.75637560 | 0.75639966 |  | 0.75640474 | 11 |
| 2125 | 0.87344728 | 1.05741863 | 1.08250903 | 1.08540031 | 1.08572495 | 1.08576129 | 1.08576535 |  | 1.08576586 | 9 |
| 2175 | 1.15520447 | 1.37477508 | 1.39060830 | 1.39131888 | 1.39134938 | 1.39135069 | 1.39135074 |  | 1.39135075 | 8 |
| 2225 | 1.43696166 | 1.67481546 | 1.67566443 | 1.67564319 | 1.67564373 | 1.67564371 |  |  |  | 6 |
| 2275 | 1.71871885 | 1.95609791 | 1.94112328 | 1.94244903 | 1.94233367 | 1.94234373 | 1.94234285 |  | 1.94234292 | 8 |
| 2325 | 2.00047604 | 2.21865985 | 2.19184603 | 2.19566038 | 2.19512628 | 2.19520124 | 2.19519072 |  | 2.19519201 | 11 |
| 2375 | 2.28223323 | 2.46401510 | 2.43269104 | 2.43847332 | 2.43741731 | 2.43761056 | 2.43757521 |  | 2.43758067 | 13 |
| 2425 | 2.56399042 | 2.69503443 | 2.66763774 | 2.67352853 | 2.67226869 | 2.67253844 | 2.67248070 |  | 2.67249088 | 13 |
| 2475 | 2.84574761 | 2.91571920 | 2.89949746 | 2.90328454 | 2.90240174 | 2.90260760 | 2.90255960 |  | 2.90256868 | 12 |
| 2525 | 3.12750479 | 3.13088577 | 3.13007440 | 3.13026911 | 3.13022239 | 3.13023360 | 3.13023091 |  | 3.13023143 | 11 |
| 2575 | 3.40926198 | 3.34578571 | 3.36059549 | 3.35712045 | 3.35793485 | 3.35774394 | 3.35778869 |  | 3.35778019 | 13 |
| 2625 | 3.69101917 | 3.56569159 | 3.59225924 | 3.58648341 | 3.58773295 | 3.58746233 | 3.58752092 |  | 3.58751049 | 14 |
| 2675 | 3.97277636 | 3.79548123 | 3.82678977 | 3.82089820 | 3.82199567 | 3.82179084 | 3.82182905 |  | 3.82182304 | 12 |
| 2725 | 4.25453355 | 4.03925295 | 4.06688466 | 4.06282240 | 4.06341050 | 4.06332517 | 4.06333754 |  | 4.06333598 | 11 |
| 2775 | 4.53629074 | 4.30000240 | 4.31641068 | 4.31486202 | 4.31500564 | 4.31499230 | 4.31499354 |  | 4.31499344 | 9 |
| 2825 | 4.81804793 | 4.57938634 | 4.58016756 | 4.58014277 | 4.58014356 | 4.58014353 |  |  |  | 6 |
| 2875 | 5.09980511 | 4.87759192 | 4.86307271 | 4.86252463 | 4.86250492 | 4.86250422 | 4.86250419 |  |  | 7 |
| 2925 | 5.38156230 | 5.19332196 | 5.16878701 | 5.16612732 | 5.16584676 | 5.16581725 | 5.16581415 |  | 5.16581379 | 9 |
| 2975 | 5.66331949 | 5.52389727 | 5.49810224 | 5.49366735 | 5.49291615 | 5.49278925 | 5.49276782 |  | 5.49276346 | 12 |
| 3025 | 5.94507668 | 5.86546787 | 5.84771463 | 5.84383575 | 5.84299247 | 5.84280934 | 5.84276958 |  | 5.84275855 | 13 |

## Determining Binary Star Orbits Using Kepler's Equation

## Appendix 2. Calculated ephemerides of Theta Persei. <br> See appendix 1 caption for details.

| t (year) | M ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | $\rho\left({ }^{\prime}\right)$ | $\theta\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | X(") | Y(") |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1900 | 37.98529412 | 17.49932933 | 569.43439189 | -15.24049819 | -8.59963613 |
| 2000 | 51.22058824 | 20.04235230 | 574.05873572 | -16.60436516 | -11.22456873 |
| 2015 | 53.20588235 | 20.31989665 | 574.66225318 | -16.71349919 | -11.55669263 |
| 2100 | 64.45588235 | 21.36944320 | 577.84790247 | -16.87421653 | -13.11159484 |
| 2200 | 77.69117647 | 21.49401836 | 221.38413750 | -16.12683574 | -14.20978516 |
| 2300 | 90.92647059 | 20.51971434 | 225.07012617 | -14.49185948 | -14.52737709 |
| 2400 | 104.16176471 | 18.60776636 | 229.33192518 | -12.12623234 | -14.11394552 |
| 2500 | 117.39705882 | 15.96091103 | 234.81758588 | -9.19638137 | -13.04520030 |
| 2600 | 130.63235294 | 12.83200767 | 242.78968550 | -5.86753860 | -11.41194162 |
| 2700 | 143.86764706 | 9.59232928 | 256.13704263 | -2.29832602 | -9.31291998 |
| 2800 | 157.10294118 | 6.98465832 | 281.23872731 | 1.36129142 | -6.85071803 |
| 2900 | 170.33823529 | 6.46196771 | 320.27647586 | 4.97013994 | -4.12973797 |
| 3000 | 183.57352941 | 8.48842578 | 351.49397324 | 8.39505573 | -1.25555227 |
| 3100 | 196.80882353 | 11.62964713 | 368.23090498 | 11.50985192 | 1.66493275 |
| 3200 | 210.04411765 | 14.89767702 | 377.67387200 | 14.19450707 | 4.52291384 |
| 3300 | 223.27941176 | 17.85423257 | 383.80732505 | 16.33498144 | 7.20708000 |
| 3400 | 236.51470588 | 20.24654166 | 388.31504091 | 17.82410103 | 9.60332607 |
| 3500 | 249.75000000 | 21.88777719 | 391.98924578 | 18.56406449 | 11.59527058 |
| 3600 | 262.98529412 | 22.62555397 | 395.27489517 | 18.47129201 | 13.06625671 |
| 3700 | 276.22058824 | 22.33880048 | 398.49199152 | 17.48447103 | 13.90378652 |
| 3800 | 289.45588235 | 20.94856052 | 401.96417679 | 15.57657540 | 14.00758676 |
| 3900 | 302.69117647 | 18.44053685 | 406.16778174 | 12.77097389 | 13.30246688 |
| 4000 | 315.92647059 | 14.90351765 | 412.07589439 | 9.15995726 | 11.75627583 |
| 4100 | 329.16176471 | 10.61086379 | 422.37100433 | 4.92072926 | 9.40089644 |
| 4200 | 342.39705882 | 6.35823434 | 447.11559888 | 0.31995293 | 6.35017906 |
| 4300 | 355.63235294 | 5.13678419 | 506.90471205 | -4.30341095 | 2.80485401 |
| 4400 | 368.86764706 | 8.63532063 | 546.41461795 | -8.58125876 | -0.96475935 |
| 4500 | 382.10294118 | 13.03676407 | 560.93661641 | -12.17602868 | -4.65849150 |


| $\begin{gathered} t \\ \text { (year) } \end{gathered}$ | E0 | E1 | E2 | E3 | E4 | E6 | E7 | En | n |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1900 | 0.66296845 | 0.742978146 | 0.750911394 | 0.751668143 | 0.751740038 | 0.751746866 | 0.751747514 | 0.75174758 | 8 |
| 2000 | 0.89396791 | 0.995311109 | 1.003028592 | 1.003571362 | 1.003609289 | 1.003611938 | 1.003612123 | 1.00361214 | 8 |
| 2015 | 0.928617828 | 1.032720901 | 1.040248385 | 1.04074672 | 1.040779487 | 1.040781641 | 1.040781782 | 1.04078179 | 8 |
| 2100 | 1.124967369 | 1.242260327 | 1.248014414 | 1.248253734 | 1.248263599 | 1.248264006 | 1.248264022 |  | 7 |
| 2200 | 1.355966829 | 1.482978487 | 1.485465873 | 1.485493833 | 1.485494142 | 1.485494146 |  |  | 6 |
| 2300 | 1.586966289 | 1.716949294 | 1.715580314 | 1.715606111 | 1.715605627 | 1.715605637 |  |  | 6 |
| 2400 | 1.817965749 | 1.944014897 | 1.939016371 | 1.939251787 | 1.939240768 | 1.939241284 | 1.93924126 |  | 7 |
| 2500 | 2.048965209 | 2.16438428 | 2.156727297 | 2.157280902 | 2.157241089 | 2.157243953 | 2.157243747 | 2.15724376 | 8 |
| 2600 | 2.279964668 | 2.378622152 | 2.369803967 | 2.37062904 | 2.37055214 | 2.37055931 | 2.370558641 | 2.37055870 | 8 |
| 2700 | 2.510964128 | 2.587618954 | 2.579353328 | 2.580264807 | 2.580164526 | 2.580175562 | 2.580174348 | 2.58017447 | 9 |
| 2800 | 2.741963588 | 2.792543554 | 2.78642416 | 2.787170873 | 2.787079846 | 2.787090944 | 2.787089591 | 2.78708974 | 9 |
| 2900 | 2.972963048 | 2.99478115 | 2.991980057 | 2.992340207 | 2.992293909 | 2.992299861 | 2.992299096 | 2.99229918 | 9 |
| 3000 | 3.203962508 | 3.195859682 | 3.196911256 | 3.196774757 | 3.196792475 | 3.196790175 | 3.196790473 | 3.19679044 | 9 |
| 3100 | 3.434961968 | 3.397368669 | 3.402072455 | 3.401481222 | 3.401555495 | 3.401546164 | 3.401547336 | 3.40154721 | 9 |
| 3200 | 3.665961427 | 3.600874758 | 3.608331814 | 3.607464467 | 3.607565183 | 3.607553486 | 3.607554844 | 3.60755470 | 10 |
| 3300 | 3.896960887 | 3.807838504 | 3.816615813 | 3.815721886 | 3.815812643 | 3.815803426 | 3.815804362 | 3.81580428 | 9 |
| 3400 | 4.127960347 | 4.019536777 | 4.027934792 | 4.027240994 | 4.027298043 | 4.027293351 | 4.027293737 | 4.02729371 | 9 |
| 3500 | 4.358959807 | 4.236994933 | 4.24337519 | 4.242997922 | 4.243020099 | 4.243018795 |  | 4.24301887 | 7 |
| 3600 | 4.589959267 | 4.460932338 | 4.464047635 | 4.463947479 | 4.46395068 | 4.463950577 |  |  | 6 |
| 3700 | 4.820958727 | 4.691724155 | 4.690986483 | 4.6909885 | 4.690988494 |  |  |  | 4 |
| 3800 | 5.051958186 | 4.929381415 | 4.925006768 | 4.924885544 | 4.92488222 | 4.924882129 |  |  | 6 |
| 3900 | 5.282957646 | 5.17355043 | 5.166537931 | 5.166135134 | 5.166112172 | 5.166110863 | 5.166110789 | 5.16611078 | 8 |
| 4000 | 5.513957106 | 5.423531583 | 5.415466949 | 5.414785867 | 5.414728642 | 5.414723836 | 5.414723433 | 5.41472340 | 8 |
| 4100 | 5.744956566 | 5.678316491 | 5.671031523 | 5.670254474 | 5.670171824 | 5.670163035 | 5.670162101 | 5.67016199 | 9 |
| 4200 | 5.975956026 | 5.936641579 | 5.931801651 | 5.931210383 | 5.931138223 | 5.931129417 | 5.931128343 | 5.93112819 | 10 |
| 4300 | 6.206955485 | 6.197055204 | 6.195772411 | 6.195606275 | 6.19558476 | 6.195581974 | 6.195581613 | 6.19558156 | 9 |
| 4400 | 6.437954945 | 6.45799477 | 6.460564611 | 6.460893524 | 6.460935611 | 6.460940996 | 6.460941685 | 6.46094179 | 100 |
| 4500 | 6.668954405 | 6.717869742 | 6.723700552 | 6.72438713 | 6.724467851 | 6.72447734 | 6.724478455 | 6.72447860 | 9 |

# Determining Binary Star Orbits Using Kepler's Equation 

## Appendix 3 - Ephemermides of Delta Geminorum See Appendix 1 caption for details.

| t (year) | M ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | $\rho\left({ }^{\prime}\right)$ | $\theta\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | X(") | Y(") |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1950.0 | 153.90000000 | 6.534057908 | 126.4820132 | -3.884957443 | 5.253667139 |
| 2000.0 | 168.90000000 | 5.765875819 | 135.0986561 | -4.084104075 | 4.07006362 |
| 2015.3 | 173.49000000 | 5.50164909 | 138.2440158 | -4.10416323 | 3.663875939 |
| 2050.0 | 183.90000000 | 4.881105045 | 146.6695106 | -4.078236902 | 2.682008618 |
| 2100.0 | 198.90000000 | 4.038600047 | 163.3094004 | -3.868452327 | 1.159899536 |
| 2150.0 | 213.90000000 | 3.488314255 | 186.9262739 | -3.46285705 | -0.42066304 |
| 2200.0 | 228.90000000 | 3.491802777 | 214.5203462 | -2.876983607 | -1.978800635 |
| 2250.0 | 243.90000000 | 4.038715957 | 238.0915046 | -2.134720701 | -3.428439019 |
| 2300.0 | 258.90000000 | 4.848351334 | 254.8187933 | -1.269650532 | -4.679155712 |
| 2350.0 | 273.90000000 | 5.648093446 | 266.6857402 | -0.326530365 | -5.638646779 |
| 2400.0 | 288.90000000 | 6.25055473 | 275.8551423 | 0.637642451 | -6.217945523 |
| 2450.0 | 303.90000000 | 6.528257367 | 283.7710349 | 1.554002599 | -6.340600931 |
| 2500.0 | 318.90000000 | 6.401409806 | 291.4904236 | 2.345129029 | -5.956376192 |
| 2550.0 | 333.90000000 | 5.84674056 | 300.1070115 | 2.932822154 | -5.057957037 |
| 2600.0 | 348.90000000 | 4.921759477 | 311.3347443 | 3.250611066 | -3.69557087 |
| 2650.0 | 363.90000000 | 3.813426459 | 328.697279 | 3.258321823 | -1.981302668 |
| 2700.0 | 378.90000000 | 2.953928475 | 358.5175292 | 2.952939756 | -0.076421408 |
| 2750.0 | 393.90000000 | 2.998510963 | 397.7754342 | 2.370076217 | 1.836792509 |
| 2800.0 | 408.90000000 | 3.918295635 | 426.2987654 | 1.575027528 | 3.587802805 |
| 2850.0 | 423.90000000 | 5.083793843 | 442.6760305 | 0.648079763 | 5.04231618 |
| 2900.0 | 438.90000000 | 6.121345566 | 453.0858037 | -0.329520614 | 6.112469853 |
| 2950.0 | 453.90000000 | 6.876011876 | 460.7709413 | -1.285010444 | 6.754871389 |
| 3000.0 | 468.90000000 | 7.289216272 | 467.2247798 | -2.158491255 | 6.962297707 |
| 3050.0 | 483.90000000 | 7.351799955 | 113.2637003 | -2.903692953 | 6.754075052 |
| 3100.0 | 498.90000000 | 7.085238396 | 119.4806056 | -3.486850724 | 6.167858231 |


| $\begin{gathered} t \\ (\text { year }) \end{gathered}$ | E0 | E1 | E2 | E3 | E4 | E6 | E7 | En | n |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1950.0 | 2.68606172 | 2.73445503 | 2.72961980 | 2.73010769 | 2.73005850 | 2.73006346 | 2.73006296 | 2.73006301 | 8 |
| 2000.0 | 2.94786111 | 2.96903852 | 2.96674801 | 2.96699617 | 2.96696929 | 2.96697220 | 2.96697189 | 2.96697192 | 8 |
| 2015.3 | 3.02797172 | 3.04044315 | 3.03907920 | 3.03922846 | 3.03921213 | 3.03921391 | 3.03921372 | 3.03921374 | 8 |
| 2050.0 | 3.20966049 | 3.20217881 | 3.20300009 | 3.20290992 | 3.20291982 | 3.20291873 | 3.20291885 | 3.20291884 | 8 |
| 2100.0 | 3.47145988 | 3.43582897 | 3.43955888 | 3.43916645 | 3.43920772 | 3.43920338 | 3.43920383 | 3.43920379 | 8 |
| 2150.0 | 3.73325927 | 3.67190731 | 3.67762074 | 3.67707950 | 3.67713069 | 3.67712585 | 3.67712631 | 3.67712627 | 9 |
| 2200.0 | 3.99505866 | 3.91216668 | 3.91843846 | 3.91794497 | 3.91798369 | 3.91798065 | 3.91798089 | 3.91798087 | 8 |
| 2250.0 | 4.25685805 | 4.15807501 | 4.16332925 | 4.16302633 | 4.16304372 | 4.16304272 | 4.16304278 |  | 7 |
| 2300.0 | 4.51865743 | 4.41071524 | 4.41362498 | 4.41353032 | 4.41353339 | 4.41353329 |  |  | 6 |
| 2350.0 | 4.78045682 | 4.67071155 | 4.67055234 | 4.67055307 |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 2400.0 | 5.04225621 | 4.93818682 | 4.93504847 | 4.93497171 | 4.93496985 | 4.93496980 |  |  | 6 |
| 2450.0 | 5.30405560 | 5.21275425 | 5.20754078 | 5.20726697 | 5.20725266 | 5.20725192 | 5.20725188 |  | 7 |
| 2500.0 | 5.56585498 | 5.49354371 | 5.48774388 | 5.48729599 | 5.48726151 | 5.48725886 | 5.48725866 | 5.48725864 | 8 |
| 2550.0 | 5.82765437 | 5.77926106 | 5.77453915 | 5.77408490 | 5.77404126 | 5.77403707 | 5.77403667 | 5.77403662 | 9 |
| 2600.0 | 6.08945376 | 6.06827634 | 6.06599533 | 6.06575025 | 6.06572392 | 6.06572110 | 6.06572079 | 6.06572076 | 8 |
| 2650.0 | 6.35125315 | 6.35873483 | 6.35955569 | 6.35964573 | 6.35965560 | 6.35965668 | 6.35965680 | 6.35965682 | 8 |
| 2700.0 | 6.61305254 | 6.64868345 | 6.65236814 | 6.65274641 | 6.65278522 | 6.65278920 | 6.65278961 | 6.65278965 | 8 |
| 2750.0 | 6.87485192 | 6.93620389 | 6.94168646 | 6.94216445 | 6.94220603 | 6.94220965 | 6.94220996 | 6.94220999 | 8 |
| 2800.0 | 7.13665131 | 7.21954328 | 7.22524583 | 7.22561619 | 7.22564015 | 7.22564170 | 7.22564180 |  | 8 |
| 2850.0 | 7.39845070 | 7.49723373 | 7.50152482 | 7.50168872 | 7.50169494 | 7.50169518 | 7.50169519 |  | 7 |
| 2900.0 | 7.66025009 | 7.76819228 | 7.76984555 | 7.76986098 | 7.76986112 |  |  |  | 4 |
| 2950.0 | 7.92204947 | 8.03179475 | 8.03031509 | 8.03034376 | 8.03034321 | 8.03034322 |  |  | 6 |
| 3000.0 | 8.18384886 | 8.28791825 | 8.28365380 | 8.28385012 | 8.28384112 | 8.28384154 | 8.28384152 |  | 7 |
| 3050.0 | 8.44564825 | 8.53694960 | 8.53097559 | 8.53138878 | 8.53136030 | 8.53136227 | 8.53136213 | 8.53136214 | 8 |
| 3100.0 | 8.70744764 | 8.77975892 | 8.77358114 | 8.77412290 | 8.77407549 | 8.77407964 | 8.77407928 | 8.77407931 | 8 |

## Determining Binary Star Orbits Using Kepler's Equation

## Appendix 4 - Ephemermides of Eta Casseiopiea <br> See Appendix 1 caption for details.

| t(year) | M ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | $\rho\left({ }^{\prime}\right)$ | $\theta\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | X (") | Y(") |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1950 | -314.70000000 | 10.12608090 | 288.22790152 | -9.61795254 | -3.16741272 |
| 1975 | -295.95000000 | 11.72848105 | 304.27683511 | -9.69154956 | -6.60538680 |
| 2000 | -277.20000000 | 12.84586963 | 316.97065450 | -8.76567269 | -9.39038597 |
| 2015 | -265.95000000 | 13.34669772 | 323.69063860 | -7.90317831 | -10.75519003 |
| 2025 | -258.45000000 | 13.62430057 | 327.91836593 | -7.23623403 | -11.54376381 |
| 2050 | -239.70000000 | 14.15666586 | 337.86273268 | -5.33461155 | -13.11308918 |
| 2075 | -220.95000000 | 14.50107906 | 347.21149132 | -3.20985613 | -14.14136194 |
| 2100 | -202.20000000 | 14.69357101 | 356.22180312 | -0.96822105 | -14.66163623 |
| 2125 | -183.45000000 | 14.75508650 | 365.07860235 | 1.30615434 | -14.69716089 |
| 2150 | -164.70000000 | 14.69499338 | 373.93496055 | 3.53885281 | -14.26251560 |
| 2175 | -145.95000000 | 14.51234633 | 382.93872459 | 5.65613567 | -13.36474187 |
| 2200 | -127.20000000 | 14.19534132 | 392.25724339 | 7.57635786 | -12.00443738 |
| 2225 | -108.45000000 | 13.71875419 | 402.11042183 | 9.19926934 | -10.17731104 |
| 2250 | -89.70000000 | 13.03840804 | 412.82938497 | 10.38952364 | -7.87768258 |
| 2275 | -70.95000000 | 12.08061833 | 424.98444505 | 10.94737200 | -5.10846216 |
| 2300 | -52.20000000 | 10.72399286 | 439.72332633 | 10.55195589 | -1.91317791 |
| 2325 | -33.45000000 | 8.78572401 | 99.89572701 | 8.65501129 | 1.50987616 |
| 2350 | -14.70000000 | 6.23023716 | 134.77009850 | 4.42308444 | 4.38773052 |
| 2375 | 4.05000000 | 5.05953409 | 203.39208558 | -2.00874187 | 4.64368833 |
| 2400 | 22.80000000 | 7.33064774 | 257.71823462 | -7.16287357 | 1.55937118 |


| $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{t} \\ \text { (year) } \end{gathered}$ | E0 | E1 | E2 | E3 | E4 | E6 | E7 | En | n |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1950 | -5.49255116 | -5.13928382 | -5.04015401 | -5.02200935 | -5.01918385 | -5.01875786 | -5.01869396 | -5.01868274 | 10 |
| 1975 | -5.16530192 | -4.71841132 | -4.66831093 | -4.66878465 | -4.66877433 | -4.66877455 | -4.66877455 |  | 7 |
| 2000 | -4.83805269 | -4.34497168 | -4.37422337 | -4.36920037 | -4.37003449 | -4.36989516 | -4.36991841 | , | 10 |
| 2015 | -4.64170315 | -4.14594426 | -4.22232749 | -4.20319810 | -4.20775296 | -4.20665394 | -4.20691829 | -4.20686696 | 12 |
| 2025 | -4.51080345 | -4.02386753 | -4.12702685 | -4.09654796 | -4.10510835 | -4.10266565 | -4.10335963 | -4.10320590 | 4 |
| 2050 | -4.18355422 | -3.75444663 | -3.89767764 | -3.84257324 | -3.86300543 | -3.85531248 | -3.85819305 | -3.85740694 | 17 |
| 2075 | -3.85630498 | -3.53057110 | -3.66782103 | -3.60667403 | -3.63340270 | -3.62161056 | -3.62679284 | -3.62520828 | 20 |
| 210 | -3.52905575 | -3.34126888 | -3.43047480 | -3.38746995 | -3.40808230 | -3.39817247 | 6 | 3 | 21 |
| 2125 | -3.20180651 | -3.17189831 | -3.18674691 | -3.17937247 | -3.18303441 | -3.18121586 | -3.18211893 | -3.18181929 | 21 |
| 2150 | -2.87455728 | -3.00570218 | -2.94188717 | -2.97315247 | -2.95787675 | -2.96535132 | -2.96169647 | -2.96289708 | 26 |
| 2175 | -2.54730804 | -2.82558638 | -2.70176226 | -2.75892369 | -2.73288671 | -2.74482687 | -2.73936743 | -2.74108248 | 24 |
| 2200 | -2.22005881 | -2.61593418 | -2.46944481 | -2.52952485 | -2.50561568 | -2.51525880 | -2.51138950 | -2.51249947 | 22 |
| 2225 | -1.89280957 | -2.36426387 | -2.24139439 | -2.28218429 | -2.26926542 | -2.27342586 | -2.27209291 | -2.27241680 | 19 |
| 2250 | -1.56556034 | -2.06255353 | -2.00366808 | -2.01671947 | -2.01396015 | -2.01454991 | -2.01442415 | -2.01444626 |  |
| 2275 | -1.23831110 | -1.70809245 | -1.73063418 | -1.72897590 | -1.72910639 | -1.72909617 | -1.72909697 | -1.72909692 | 9 |
| 2300 | -0.91106187 | -1.30376891 | -1.39044795 | -1.40000116 | -1.40083048 | -1.40090037 | -1.40090624 | -1.40090678 | 9 |
| 2325 | -0.58381263 | -0.85776354 | -0.95973425 | -0.99087508 | -0.99955602 | -1.00190445 | -1.00253436 | -1.00276446 | 19 |
| 2350 | -0.25656340 | -0.38268110 | -0.44214766 | -0.46922055 | -0.48130243 | -0.48664161 | -0.48899043 | -0.49082707 | 22 |
| 2375 | 0.07068583 | 0.10578745 | 0.12316419 | 0.13174379 | 0.13597326 | 0.13805650 | 0.13908216 | 0.14007632 | 24 |
| 2400 | 0.39793507 | 0.59053032 | 0.67466547 | 0.70837922 | 0.72128515 | 0.72612917 | 0.72793323 | 0.72899803 | 20 |

# Binaries not in the WDS 

T. V. Bryant III<br>Little Tycho Observatory<br>703 McNeill Road, Silver Spring, Md 20910<br>rkk_529@hotmail.com


#### Abstract

Using the UCAC4 astrometric catalog, a search for binaries not yet listed in the WDS was done. Seven of the unlisted binaries found were observed from the Little Tycho observatory and then manually checked using Aladin's DSS images.


A program was written to extract entries from the UCAC4 astrometric catalog ${ }^{1}$. The criteria for a star to be extracted were:

- The star needed to be brighter than 13.0 mv . This is the visual magnitude limit of my telescope.
- The star needed to be fainter than 8.0 mv . Anything brighter has probably already found its way into the WDS.
- The star needed to have a proper motion greater than 2 mas/year. To be considered as a binary, a pair needs to show a good degree of common proper motion (CPM).
- The star needed to be north of the celestial equator. The Little Tycho observatory has a poor southern horizon, further compounded by severe light pollution in the south. Northern declinations are preferred.

A list of possible pairs was generated. These pairs were then manually checked using the images from Aladin/DSS ${ }^{2}$ and proper motions from the UCAC4. Those pairs that had similar proper motions and were within 1.5 mv of each other were then checked for prior WDS $^{3}$ membership. If they were absent from the WDS, they were chosen for observation.

The sky has been pretty well mined for CPM pairs, so those found are rather faint, in the $11-13 \mathrm{mv}$ range.

In the Figures 1 through 7 below, the UCAC4 data from the primary star are listed in the tables to the left of the image. The images are from Aladin/DSS; all other data are from the UCAC4.

The magnitude sources are from APASS data or the UCAC4 fit model magnitude ( F ) data.
$\rho$ refers to the separation of the pair in arc seconds. $\theta$ refers to the position angle in degrees. Both measures for each star were determined from the Aladin/DSS images using their "dist" tool.
"PM" refers to proper motion in milliarcseconds (mas) per year.
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Binaries not in the WDS

| Primary RA, Dec: J2000 | $18: 44: 37.25$ 27:26:55.33 |
| :--- | :--- |
| mv primary | 11.7 APASS |
| mv secondary | 12.9 APASS |
| $\rho$ | $10.7^{\prime \prime}$ |
| $\theta$ | $334^{\circ}$ |
| Primary PM in RA | 1.4 |
| Primary PM in Dec | -12.2 |
| Secondary PM in RA | 2.8 |
| Secondary PM in Dec | -5.2 |
| Primary UCAC4 Number | $588-088331$ |
| Secondary UCAC4 Number | $588-068327$ |



Figure 1. Primary UCAC4 number 588-088331.


Figure 2. Primary UCAC4 number 543-103360.


Figure 3. Primary UCAC4 number 565-103726.
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| Primary RA, Dec: J2000 | $20: 26: 24.22$ 25:37:23.81 |
| :--- | :--- |
| mv primary | 11.9 APASS |
| mv secondary | 12.5 F |
| $\rho$ | $16.1^{\prime \prime}$ |
| $\theta$ | $357^{\circ}$ |
| Primary PM in RA | 19.7 |
| Primary PM in Dec | 5.8 |
| Secondary PM in RA | 22.2 |
| Secondary PM in Dec | 3.2 |
| Primary UCAC4 Number | $579-100187$ |
| Secondary UCAC4 Number | $579-100186$ |



Figure 4. Primary UCAC4 number 579-100187.

| Primary RA, Dec: J2000 | $21: 24: 41.42$ 27:07:36.97 |
| :--- | :--- |
| mv primary | 11.1 APASS |
| mv secondary | 12.7 APASS |
| $\rho$ | $21.6^{\prime \prime}$ |
| $\theta$ | $268^{\circ}$ |
| Primary PM in RA | 15.1 |
| Primary PM in Dec | 8.0 |
| Secondary PM in RA | 16.1 |
| Secondary PM in Dec | 9.7 |
| Primary UCAC4 Number | $586-119848$ |
| Secondary UCAC4 Number | $586-119837$ |



Figure 5. Primary UCAC4 number 586-119848. The 10.3 mv (APASS) star at $\rho=17.5^{\prime \prime}, \theta=135^{\circ}$ from the primary is not a part of this system.

| Primary RA, Dec: J2000 | $21: 42: 46.68$ 57:1:59.16 |
| :--- | :--- |
| mv primary | 11.4 APASS |
| mv secondary | 12.0 F |
| $\rho$ | $11.8^{\prime \prime}$ |
| $\theta$ | $358^{\circ}$ |
| Primary PM in RA | 8.3 |
| Primary PM in Dec | 4.2 |
| Secondary PM in RA | 5.2 |
| Secondary PM in Dec | 5.8 |
| Primary UCAC4 Number | $736-079756$ |
| Secondary UCAC4 Number | $736-079755$ |



Figure 6. Primary UCAC4 number 736-079755.
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| Primary RA, Dec: J2000 | $21: 56: 24.1$ 48:28:44.31 |
| :--- | :--- |
| mv primary | 12.4 APASS |
| mv secondary | 12.8 F |
| $\rho$ | $13.3^{\prime \prime}$ |
| $\theta$ | $128^{\circ}$ |
| Primary PM in RA | 9.9 |
| Primary PM in Dec | -5.7 |
| Secondary PM in RA | 10.2 |
| Secondary PM in Dec | -3.4 |
| Primary UCAC4 Number | $693-105883$ |
| Secondary UCAC4 Number | $693-105890$ |



Figure 7. Primary UCAC4 number 693-105883.

# Speckle Interferometry Observation of Binary Star WDS 05491+6248 
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#### Abstract

We report a new observation of binary WDS 05491+6248 from the night of October 20, 2013, with the 2.1-meter telescope at Kitt Peak National Observatory. A new position angle of $\theta=336.39^{\circ}$ and separation of $\rho=0.802^{\prime \prime}$ was determined.


## Introduction

Using Kitt Peak National Observatory's 2.1-meter telescope, the binary star WDS $05491+6248$ was observed on October 20, 2013. Observations of this binary were made with a portable speckle interferometry camera by students from various schools in a research seminar (Genet et al. 2013). The observations were analyzed by a Cuesta College student team in an astronomy research seminar (Figure 1).

WDS $05491+6248$ was chosen for our project due to its unique orbit derived from speckle interferometry data falling closely along the curve. Would the new observation conform to the current orbit, or would it deviate?

## Methods

The Kitt Peak National Observatory is home to one of the largest arrays of astronomical telescopes in the world, including the 2.1 -meter telescope used to observe our binary star. Originally built in the 1960's, this telescope has been used in many pioneering astronomical observations. It was initially equipped with a film imaging camera and spectrographs, but was later upgraded to include other instruments, including a CCD (Charge Coupled Device) imager.

Speckle interferometry is a technique devised by Antoine Labeyrie in 1970. It was known that, when viewing an astronomical object, there was a loss of res-


Figure 1. Participants in Cuesta College's Astronomy Research Seminar, left to right: Eddy Bañuelos, Will Crooks, Jesse Wilson, Kelly Richardson, Frances Eunice Alviola Lim, Kyle Andrei De Matias, and Spencer Raines.
olution due to the poor seeing induced by the atmosphere. A Fourier transform of many short exposure images overcomes the seeing limitations (Labeyrie, 1970). Originally, the speckle interferometry techniques required high speed Tri-X film to make the observations, but with the introduction of CCD cameras, the process has been digitized and can efficiently gather larger amounts of data (Harshaw, 2015).

Before our data was reduced, the speckle interferometry camera was calibrated. A series of observations
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of binaries with known orbits was used to establish the camera angle with respect to celestial north and the camera's pixel scale. These values were $-11.0492^{\circ}$ and 0.01166 /px as reported by Wallace (2015).

To reduce our data, we used the speckle interferometry reduction program PlateSolve 3 (PS3) developed by David Rowe. The squares of each Fourier transform were computed and then averaged. The data was then processed, resulting in an autocorellelogram. This autocorellelogram shows the primary star in the center, and the secondary star on both sides (Rowe \& Genet, 2015).

## Results

The resulting binary position angle, $\theta$, was $336.39^{\circ}$, while the separation, $\rho$, was $0.802^{\prime \prime}$. An image of the autocorellelogram of WDS $05491+6248$ can be seen in Figure 2.

## Discussion

Brian Mason from the U.S Naval Observatory provided data from previous observations. The first observation, made in 1831, was a visual observation made by Friedrich Georg von Struve with a filar micrometer.

Observations using speckle interferometry tend to be more accurate than visual observations. Our observation, a speckle interferometry observation, remains very close to the predicted orbit of the binary system.

Using CAD software, we plotted the position of our calculated observation. A cross was drawn with each


Figure 2. Orbital plot of all observations (left) and a close up view of our point (right).
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onto the current image of the binary's previous observations and estimated orbit. Scaling the template was accomplished by using the width of the plus sign to match the scale provided at the bottom of the orbit image. Once the scale had been accurately calibrated, the template was then moved so the cross in the template covered the cross in the orbit image. The resulting location of the tip of the rotated leg was the position of the calculated observation.

The location of our calculated observation placed it right on the predicted orbit. The new location was on track with the previous observations (Figure 2).

## Conclusion

Our team successfully calculated a new position angle and separation for the binary star WDS $05491+6248$. Our data point was graphed along with past observations. The new $\theta$ and $\rho$ values corresponded with the trend present in past observations, adding more validity to the calculated orbit of the binary, as well as further supporting that it is indeed a gravitationally bound system.
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#### Abstract

The article presents a set of methods and tools used to identify and measure double stars on already existing images produced by the ESO VLT Survey Telescope in Paranal Chile. A precision analysis and a first set of measurements are included.


## Introduction

Recently the idea of using existing telescope images to extract other data than what the telescope was targeting is having more and more success. This approach can be quite laborious sometimes, but also is encouraged by the fact that telescope time on big instruments has a big cost. I was involved in the last several years in different data-mining projects connected with asteroids, being part of the EURONEAR team [1] which has a lot of projects based on data mining. Starting from their ideas and having some previous experience in double star astronomy, I decided to apply this approach to the double star field as well. Using some already build scripts which I've adapted, I managed to start my first data mining project for neglected double stars. I think the idea can be a very succesful one on double star field maybe even much more than in asteroid field for many reasons. One, for measuring a double star even single isolated pictures are useful, but for asteroids you need in general three successive pictures from same sky region and same period of time. The second reason is that double stars are quite fixed, while for asteroids you need to have both the correct region of sky and the right timing to catch an object in the field by chance, as data mining projects tries to do. In addition, for double stars, the same field imaged multiple times at considerable time difference can generate multiple measurements, and also the historical data measurements can be extremely useful in some cases.

## The Telescope and the Camera

I decided to use for this first project images taken with OmegaCAM from ESO VLT Survey Telescope [5]. I had already worked in the past with images from this telescope to recover some asteroids, so I had everything I needed. The instrument is really outstanding: a modified Ritchey-Chretien with a 2.61 meter primary mirror with active optics located at Cerro Paranal site at 2635 m altitude, and a 256 Megapixel camera containing a 32 CCD mosaic covering one square degree of sky. The camera has also 4 supplemental CCDs used exclusively for autoguiding and field rotation corrections. The image is optically corrected and does not require any additional software corrections in order to provide very good astrometry, even near the edge of the field. With a resolution of $0.21 \mathrm{arcsec} / \mathrm{pixel}$, the images are taken mostly in excellent sky conditions. Moreover, the telescope also provides data from the southern sky, which contains more unanalysed targets in double stars field than the northern hemisphere.

## The Method

The idea of this project is to identify images, already produced in the past by a telescope, which might contain double stars and measure these found objects. Of course I addressed only images that are publicly available for research projects, but a lot of telescopes offer their images archives for free for such purposes. In most cases, there are some limitations only for new images, in general newer than six months to one year, depending by each observatory policy. At this time
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there are available probably millions of such images from hundreds of telescopes around the world.

It is clear than a lot of unmeasured double stars can be found on this huge amount of data, so I decided to try to focus on objects that lack measurements; and the primary search was targeted mainly on neglected double stars. On the other hand, even if I targeted first the images containing neglected stars, I decided to measure all doubles down to an established separation available on a selected image, because measuring more stars on an already downloaded image add only a little amount of supplemental effort and provides some extra measurements, even if not only on neglected objects. I might have occasionally skipped some doubles that already have a huge amount of measurements or some very close doubles. Even so, the amount of data is huge, so I decided to begin by analyzing images from a single instrument. I chose to start with the VLT Survey Telescope, which I already was familiar with. I also limited the first search to neglected objects with separation equal to or bigger than 3 arcseconds. I set this limit for the first set of analyzed neglected objects , but I'm quite sure that the limit can be easily lowered at least on OmegaCAM images which provide excellent quality.

For this purpose I needed first to search somehow automatically the neglected doubles on each OmegaCAM image archive. To do this I built a small java application which checks a list of WDS objects (in my case only 3 arcsec or higher separated neglected doubles) versus the list of freely available images from the targeted telescope archive. Here I used an already prepared image list from EURONEAR. At EURONEAR MegaArchieve[3] project, we have some crawlers which extract from different telescopes web-pages lists of available images containing basically the center of the field, names of images, and some other useful data. EURONEAR has an impressive collection of such references in the same unified format (text file with some position rules). I took the OmegaCAM reference file from this EURONEAR collection, wrote a small code to load the file data and, using the java app I've mentioned before, I produced a list OmegaCAM images which contains neglected doubles. Then for a part of the found images, I visited the ESO archive[4], downloaded the appropriate image, and started the measurement process. In addition, using another tool developed by me some years ago , named WDSFilter[8], I checked what other double stars different than the targeted one are contained in each downloaded image field, listing all doubles half a degree around the center of each image.

Having the images and the list of expected objects for each, I proceeded in the following way: I reduced
the images with Astrometrica software[6] and measured the precise position of each targeted object component. These coordinates I inserted in a Google spreadsheet I built for a previous double star project described in a past JDSO article[9]. This spreadsheet parses the Astrometrica report output and computes the separation and position angle from the determined precise coordinates. In Astrometrica I've used the UCAC4 catalog[10] for field matching and sometimes the NOMAD catalog[11] when UCAC4 was not detailed enough (mostly on the fields close to south pole)

The OmegaCAM images came as FITS.FZ files containing 32 images each, one for each CCD of the instrument. To be able to work with them in Astrometrica, I used the Aladin software[7] to export FITS containing just one image each from the initial file (Astrometrica software is not able to work with FITS.FZ format).

I measured only a part of the candidates found by my java app stopping when I reached the limit of one hundred objects, but I intend to continue and present the next measurements in future articles. I intend to also reduce images from other instruments after the candidates from this instrument are finished. As I've mentioned before, there are hundreds of image sets available which might produce double stars measurements with this approach.

Even thought, I did not present in detail some of the tools which I built and used for this process, I am open to shareing them freely if anybody is interested in some similar research.

## The Precision

Even though it seems quite obvious that the quality of images and resolution produced by the VLT Survey Telescope should provide excellent astrometry on close doubles, I wanted to also have a quantitative evaluation for the quality of my measurements. So I started the project with a set of test measurements and analyzed the results versus the already existing measurements. My first approach was to look for objects with a computed orbit of separation around 3 arcseconds range $( \pm 1$ arcsecond), but unfortunately I was unable to find any OmegaCAM image containing such an object, at least in the archive analyzed in January 2016. So the next option was to try to measure some objects from images taken in the same year with other already published measurements. In addition, I tried to choose objects with as low movement as possible (deduced from the existing measurements). It is clear that this method is not as good as the first one because it is based on more presumptions like the precision of the comparing measurements, because that the comparing data is not produced by an orbital computation which is most pre-
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Table 1: Comparison Between my Measurements and WDS Values

| Measured PA | Measured Sep | WDS PA | WDS Sep | PA O-C | Sep O-C |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 35.28538348 | 3.038154645 | 35.3 | 2.99 | 0.01 | 0.05 |
| 83.9355074 | 11.35849075 | 84.2 | 11.38 | 0.26 | 0.02 |
| 52.70710493 | 23.1725325 | 52.8 | 23.40 | 0.09 | 0.23 |
| 43.58756383 | 27.54301679 | 43.8 | 27.85 | 0.21 | 0.31 |
| 291.2291559 | 2.844526324 | 291.5 | 2.83 | 0.27 | 0.01 |
| 291.1967401 | 2.959303839 | 292.0 | 2.96 | 0.80 | 0.00 |
| 89.27862978 | 2.382852467 | 90.0 | 2.39 | 0.72 | 0.01 |

cise, and so on. But I considered that analyzing multiple objects in this manner could prove that the measurements produced by this method and from that source of images are in the accepted error range. I present the list of the measurements in Table 1.

For a better overview of the results, I also built two graphs presenting the PA differences (Figure 1) and separation differences (Figure 2 )

As it can be seen in the presented data and graphs, the differences between my measurements and other measurements made in the same year for seven objects stays under one degree on PA and under $2 \%$ from the separation of measured star. I wasn't able to find a fully agreed criteria for maximum acceptable error in the double stars literature I've checked (the values varying from case to case), but in all criteria I saw the limit for PA is not smaller than one degree and the percent of accepted error on separation for close doubles can vary between a few to even ten percent. So I've concluded that the obtained values are good proof that the measurements have a good quality.

## The Measurements

In the next tables I present the obtained measurements. All the magnitudes presented are taken from the WDS and not measured on images.

Even if I was targeting neglected doubles down to 3 arcsec separation, there were a few cases where I also found in the analyzed images not-targeted neglected doubles with a little smaller separation. Due to the good accuracy test results, I decided to also measure these objects down to $1.5-2 \operatorname{arcsec}$ separation, even if not covered by the precision evaluation I presented earlier. I need to mention than the objects under 3 arcseconds separation were very clearly resolved by Astrometrica (Figure 3)

## Neglected Measurements:

In Table 2 you will find a list of neglected double


Figure 1: Position Angle differences for seven selected comparation objects


Figure 2: Separation differences for seven selected comparation objects
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Table 2: Neglected Double Stars Measured on Analysed OmegaCAM Images

| NAME | RA+DEC | MAGS | PA | SEP | DATE | N | NOTES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| JSP 423 | 10430-5951 | 9.9,10.7 | 270.5 | 2.24 | 2015.084 | 1 |  |
| VOU 88 | 10434-6005 | 9.3,12.5 | 84.1 | 4.22 | 2015.084 | 1 |  |
| JSP 430 | 10458-6005 | 9.8,11.6 | 146.9 | 3.40 | 2015.084 | 1 |  |
| JSP 429 | 10453-6001 | 11.2,10.8 | 89.6 | 1.63 | 2015.084 | 1 |  |
| DAW 6AB | 10440-6007 | 9.2,10.8 | 118.5 | 3.10 | 2012.124 | 1 |  |
| JSP 427 | 10442-6009 | 9.8,12.3 | 356.3 | 2.29 | 2012.124 | 1 | 1 |
| DAW 8AC | 10444-6000 | $8.1,13.5$ | 92.5 | 8.40 | 2012.124 | 1 |  |
| HJ 4356BD | 10440-5933 | 9.0,9.1 | 188.8 | 3.97 | 2013.301 | 1 |  |
| RUZ 2 | 12066-3137 | 16.8,19.4 | 6.4 | 5.52 | 2015.068 | 1 | 2 |
| COO 70AB | 08169-3452 | 10.8,11.0 | 137.8 | 2.25 | 2015.048 | 1 |  |
| RST5290 | 08171-3430 | $8.8,13.9$ | 56.4 | 3.94 | 2015.048 | 1 |  |
| TDS6434 | 09161-4555 | 11.7,16.7 | 148.2 | 4.61 | 2015.026 | 1 | 3 |
| RST5533 | 14204-3002 | 10.0,14.4 | 344.5 | 4.38 | 2015.024 | 1 | 4 |
| B 268 | 14118-2954 | 11.6,13.0 | 84.9 | 2.35 | 2015.024 | 1 |  |
| BRT2673 | 07312-1215 | 10.4,12.3 | 111.5 | 55.51 | 2015.001 | 1 | 5 |
| SLW 195 | 07305-1247 | 19.4,19.6 | 269.3 | 178.00 | 2015.001 | 1 |  |
| TDS4861 | 07296-1222 | 11.9,14.3 | 11.7 | 117.17 | 2015.001 | 1 | 6 |
| RST3518 | 07284-1257 | 9.6,15.3 | 90.0 | 2.35 | 2015.001 | 1 |  |
| J 1049 | $06058+1652$ | 12.3,12.4 | 118.3 | 3.02 | 2014.960 | 1 |  |
| TDS7204 | 10208-5408 | 12.5,14.6 | 80.9 | 6.73 | 2014.951 | 1 | 7 |
| HJ1096 | 01550+1537 | 10.9,13.2 | 161.3 | 33.33 | 2014.799 | 1 | 8 |
| TDS5111 | 07430-2337 | 12.2,14.0 | 256.5 | 1.67 | 2014.786 | 1 |  |
| TDS5158 | 07448-2250 | 12.3,16.1 | 190.6 | 5.34 | 2014.786 | 1 | 9 |
| B144 | 07457-2331 | 10.5,14.2 | 179.3 | 3.54 | 2014.786 | 1 |  |
| RST4132 | 23460-1508 | 10.0,13.9 | 93.7 | 3.57 | 2014.752 | 1 |  |

## NOTES

1. Precise coordinates not available in WDS. From this measurement precise coordinates of the primary star are: 104415.863 -60 0904.33
2. There seems to be a small position difference of about 5 arcseconds.
3. Secondary magnitude is much fainter than WDS by about four magnitudes.
4. Very big movement in PA, but precise position fits, separation and magnitudes match very well. On the other hand, there are more than 60 years from last and only measurement. It might be also some quadrant identification mistake in the initial measurement.
5. There is a matching secondary by magnitude, but it is at 55 arcseconds distance. I presume there is a typo at the decimal separator of the separation. The PA is not exact, but is in a plausible range for more than one century of movement.
6. Primary star at precise coordinates, but secondary not found at expected position or neighborhood. Still a pretty good match could be a star which is not at two arcseconds, but at two arcminutes, having an appropriate PA and magnitude. Maybe the existing old meas-
urement was incorrectly entered with arcseconds instead of arcminutes.
7. Quite big difference on PA and separation, but not impossible for 25 years period. Main star fits the catalog precise position. No other component in the close neighborhood, so the identification is probably correct. Maybe the initial measurement has low precision because the values are strangely rounded.
8. The objects do not have precise coordinates, but having a large imaged field around the star. I've studied the neighborhood as well and looked around with Aladin. The only nearly matching candidate is this one. The PA is plausible, but the difference in separation is quite big. The magnitudes are close. So In my opinion this could be the object in discussion, but the separation difference have to be explained or the object should be considered lost
9. Primary star at precise position. Most close match for secondary is the measured one but there are some big differences in PA and separation. Also the magnitude difference is big. Still no other candidate found in the field.
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Table 3: Two Potential Variants for the Secondary Component of SIN 56 AC

\left.| NAME |  | RA+DEC | MAGS | PA | SEP | DATE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |$\right]$ N

## (Continued from page 209)

stars measured with the presented methods.

## Neglected with More Secondary Candidates

The object presented in Table 3 has two candidates for the secondary component and I was not able to determine which is the correct one, so I preferred to mention both objects. Maybe someone will be able to clarify this issue.

In both cases the stars are close enough to the expected position to explain the movement in 29 years. Unfortunately there is only one other observation in the past so the movement speed and direction are unknown.

## Other Double Stars Measurements

In Table 4 you will find a list of double stars measured with the presented methods. This stars were not mainly targeted but they was on the same FITS with one of the targeted objects, so it could be measured with a minimal supplemental effort. A big part of them was also not measured for a considerable timeframe (more than 15 years), so the measurement is pretty useful even they do not exceeded the 20 -years neglected condition.

## Statistics

Coming back to neglected searchs in the OmegaCAM data, I want to also specify some numbers which might be interesting. The January 2016 OmegaCAM available images list from EURONEAR had 119,219 images. After the search, I found that there are 8,113 images that contain at least one neglected double with separation bigger than 3 arcseconds. Of course there are a lot of duplicates in that attempt because there are pictures taken at a different time which cover at least partially areas of sky already imaged in another observing session. After removing duplicates, I found that 423 different neglected doubles can be found in OmegaCAM images. For this article I've measured doubles only from 25 images obtaining measurements or information for 33 neglected ( 7 of them missing ones) and 67 other doubles.

More details: from the 67 other doubles found, I found that 52 had their last measurement within the 15 to 20 year range, so they are potential neglected candidates. I found none in 10 to 15 years range and 15 in the 0 to 10 years range. For the precision analysis, I used 4 other different images from which I've collected the 7 comparison objects. I have to mention that I also


Figure 3: Measuring a close double star (COO 70 AB) on an OmegaCam image using Astrometrica. COO 70 AB is a 2.25 separated double with almost equal 9 magnitude components.
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Table 4: Other Double Stars Found in Analysed OmegaCAM Images

| Name | RA+DEC | Mags | PA | Sep | Date | N | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BRT1993 | 12123-6444 | 11.3,10.1 | 151.9 | 2.61 | 2014.270 | 1 |  |
| HJ 4350 | 10432-5944 | 9.1,10.4 | 149.8 | 10.94 | 2015.084 | 1 |  |
| HJ 4354 | 10438-6005 | 9.6,10.4 | 214.9 | 10.09 | 2015.084 | 1 |  |
| HJ 4355 | 10438-5957 | 10.0,10.1 | 78.6 | 14.99 | 2015.084 | 1 |  |
| HJ 4353 | 10437-5935 | 11.0,10.5 | 181.1 | 4.96 | 2015.084 | 1 | 1 |
| COO 112AB | 10439-5933 | 9.8,10.1 | 240.4 | 6.46 | 2015.084 | 1 |  |
| SEE 123 | 10440-5932 | 10.4,11.1 | 307.0 | 3.90 | 2015.084 | 1 |  |
| HJ 4348AB | 10421-5958 | 9.7,11.1 | 347.9 | 3.48 | 2015.084 | 1 |  |
| SEE 122AC | 10421-5958 | 9.7,10.7 | 255.7 | 12.75 | 2015.084 | 1 |  |
| JSP 422AB | 10428-6012 | 9.9,10.2 | 193.1 | 5.24 | 2015.084 | 1 |  |
| JSP 422AC | 10428-6012 | 9.9,10.5 | 98.9 | 5.61 | 2015.084 | 1 |  |
| JSP 422AD | 10428-6012 | 9.9,10.6 | 128.0 | 9.42 | 2015.084 | 1 |  |
| COO 113 | 10453-5945 | 10.0,9.9 | 195.1 | 14.63 | 2015.084 | 1 |  |
| DAW 7 | 10442-6008 | 10.4,10.6 | 359.4 | 3.83 | 2015.084 | 1 |  |
| HJ 4358AB | 10440-6005 | 8.6,9.6 | 235.7 | 6.36 | 2012.124 | 1 |  |
| SIN 56AD | 10440-6005 | 8.6,9.1 | 227.4 | 27.20 | 2012.124 | 1 |  |
| HJ 4359AC | 10440-6007 | 9.2,9.7 | 195.5 | 7.98 | 2012.124 | 1 |  |
| DAW 8AB | 10444-6000 | 8.1,10.6 | 276.8 | 7.03 | 2012.124 | 1 |  |
| HJ 4356AB | 10440-5933 | 8.3,9.0 | 149.6 | 2.94 | 2013.301 | 1 |  |
| HJ 4356AC | 10440-5933 | 8.3,10.7 | 268.8 | 4.86 | 2013.301 | 1 |  |
| SNA 12AF | 10440-5933 | 8.3,12.5 | 8.1 | 3.67 | 2013.301 | 1 |  |
| SNA 12AG | 10440-5933 | 8.3,12.3 | 337.3 | 5.05 | 2013.301 | 1 |  |
| J 2818 | 07267-1118 | 12.1,14.0 | 226.3 | 7.34 | 2015.078 | 1 |  |
| HJ 759AC | 07273-1130 | 9.3,11.2 | 331.5 | 10.11 | 2015.078 | 1 |  |
| J 2476AB | 07275-1145 | 11.4,11.8 | 304.2 | 5.36 | 2015.078 | 1 |  |
| J 2476AC | 07275-1145 | 11.4,13.0 | 196.2 | 20.70 | 2015.078 | 1 |  |
| STF1097AC | 07279-1133 | 7.6,9.6 | 312.8 | 19.91 | 2015.078 | 1 |  |
| BU 332AD | 07279-1133 | 7.6,11.7 | 157.0 | 23.03 | 2015.078 | 1 |  |
| BU 332AE | 07279-1133 | $7.6,13.2$ | 43.3 | 32.32 | 2015.078 | 1 |  |
| BRT2672 | 07293-1128 | 13.1,12.8 | 39.8 | 4.59 | 2015.078 | 1 |  |
| BRT3198 | 07297-1125 | 11.4,12.0 | 5.9 | 3.55 | 2015.078 | 1 |  |
| LDS 407 | 12226-3103 | 13.0,12.1 | 38.9 | 9.86 | 2015.068 | 1 |  |
| SEE 152 | 12254-3108 | 9.8,12.0 | 84.8 | 2.54 | 2015.068 | 1 |  |
| RSS 281 | 12046-3111 | $8.5,13.5$ | 160.3 | 8.57 | 2015.068 | 1 |  |
| HJ 4472 | 11464-2909 | 10.2,11.0 | 30.6 | 19.33 | 2015.067 | 1 |  |
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Table 4 (conclusion): Other Double Stars Found in Analysed OmegaCAM Images

| Name | RA+DEC | Mags | PA | Sep | Date | N | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HJ 4472 | 11464-2909 | 10.2,11.0 | 30.6 | 19.33 | 2015.067 | 1 |  |
| BRT1620 | 08198-3456 | 11.1,11.3 | 298.4 | 3.62 | 2015.048 | 1 |  |
| WFC 65 | 08171-3447 | 10.6,12.3 | 175.5 | 11.77 | 2015.048 | 1 |  |
| DAM 21AB_C | 08169-3452 | 10.8,14.2 | 76.9 | 16.25 | 2015.048 | 1 |  |
| I 9009AB_D | 08169-3452 | 10.8,13.4 | 343.9 | 24.59 | 2015.048 | 1 |  |
| DAM 21AB_F | 08169-3452 | 10.8,13.3 | 225.1 | 28.32 | 2015.048 | 1 |  |
| DAM 21AB_E | 08169-3452 | 10.8,15.8 | 238.9 | 17.84 | 2015.048 | 1 |  |
| DAM 21FG | 08169-3452 | 13.3,15.6 | 0.0 | 3.45 | 2015.048 | 1 |  |
| HDS1184 | 08200-3425 | 9.2,10.7 | 79.4 | 25.04 | 2015.048 | 1 |  |
| SEE 202AB-C | 14129-3000 | 9.9,13.4 | 136.2 | 30.57 | 2015.024 | 1 |  |
| XMI 63 | 07317-1300 | 10.3,10.5 | 340.6 | 18.39 | 2015.001 | 1 |  |
| J 2479 | 07310-1226 | 12.7,13.4 | 274.2 | 5.93 | 2015.001 | 1 |  |
| J 2480AC | 07311-1227 | 10.9,13.1 | 315.8 | 5.78 | 2015.001 | 1 |  |
| J 2480AB | 07311-1227 | 10.9,12.7 | 238.0 | 7.70 | 2015.001 | 1 |  |
| LDS 185 | 07288-1303 | 13.8,14.3 | 293.0 | 12.06 | 2015.001 | 1 |  |
| LDS 183 | 07285-1235 | 12.3,14.0 | 237.7 | 24.50 | 2015.001 | 1 |  |
| J 1260 | $06082+1653$ | 12.0,14.0 | 286.4 | 4.58 | 2014.966 | 1 |  |
| GWP 756 | $06093+1636$ | 15.0,16.3 | 290.3 | 52.02 | 2014.966 | 1 |  |
| GWP 742 | $06057+1657$ | 11.9,17.6 | 333.8 | 59.22 | 2014.966 | 1 |  |
| FIN 406 | 10198-5430 | 11.4,10.7 | 136.5 | 3.53 | 2014.951 | 1 |  |
| HDS1490 | 10213-5404 | 9.2,10.0 | 96.8 | 20.62 | 2014.951 | 1 |  |
| CVR 403 | 01559+1559 | 17.1,17.3 | 287.6 | 8.38 | 2014.799 | 1 |  |
| CBL 11 | 01579+1614 | 16.1,15.8 | 90.6 | 12.16 | 2014.799 | 1 |  |
| DAM 996 | 01583+1550 | 11.0,15.1 | 44.9 | 7.32 | 2014.799 | 1 |  |
| DAM 998 | 01588+1551 | 12.7,15.2 | 244.3 | 3.44 | 2014.799 | 1 |  |
| B 143 | 07446-2328 | 10.1,13.6 | 139.2 | 5.84 | 2014.786 | 1 |  |
| ARA2070 | 07448-2332 | 12.7,12.5 | 145.6 | 12.94 | 2014.786 | 1 |  |
| DON1067 | 07451-2312 | 9.1,12.0 | 23.4 | 8.93 | 2014.786 | 1 |  |
| B 2151 | 07458-2336 | 10.0,13.4 | 33.1 | 5.85 | 2014.786 | 1 |  |
| ARA2072 | 07460-2338 | 10.4,12.9 | 79.0 | 10.56 | 2014.786 | 1 |  |
| ARA1708 | 07461-2255 | 12.7,13.2 | 25.0 | 8.67 | 2014.786 | 1 |  |
| FOX 277 | 23433-1505 | 11.4,11.5 | 228.9 | 5.28 | 2014.752 | 1 |  |
| LDS6059 | 23456-1412 | 18.0,17.3 | 148.3 | 113.12 | 2014.752 | 1 |  |

Notes:

1. The secondary component is a little elliptic. It looks very alike with a close binary with a PA around 20 and probably a separation under one arcsecond. Unfortunatelly the components can not be distinguished more to perform an appropriate measurement.

## Data Mining for Double Stars on VLT Survey Telescope Image Archive

used a few other images for different initial tests, and also there were images on which the targeted objects were too close to margin or into CCD gaps, but were recovered from other images which contained the searched object. This increased the number of images used from the archives from 25 to 37.

I've only analyzed a small fraction (5.83\%) of the images. Considering that this analyzed part could be statistically relevant, I estimate that from the whole list of 423 selected OmegaCAM images I could extract about 1700 measurements of double stars with separation over the 2-3 arcsecond limit, from which around 567 are neglected doubles Of course the number will probably grow considerably if we lower the separation limit. Also, knowing that there are many image collections such as OmegaCAM only in EURONEAR MegaArchive[3], and probably hundreds of collections in the whole world, the idea of data mining becomes very attractive and offers a huge amount of data which waits to be processed.

## Conclusions

Measuring double stars on archive images from big telescopes can be a very efficient way of getting good precision measurements for a lot of doubles. As shown, the precision seems to be very good on 3 arcseconds separated stars. Besides the quantitative evaluation, the appearance of the $2-3 \operatorname{arcsec}$ doubles in the images (Figure 3), show that in most cases close doubles are clearly separated and the position can be precisely determined with Astrometrica, suggesting that good precision can be obtained on much closer doubles as well. This encourages me to continue this project in the future in more directions. Firstly I intend to measure the already identified neglected from OmegaCAM images. In this paper I've only analyzed the first 100 objects found on 25 images, but a total of 423 images that contains at least one neglected star, and probably other doubles, was identified, and this leaves a lot of work to do. A second approach is to repeat in the future the search under the 3 arcsec limit used here. Of course this needs also a new precision analysis to be done for closer separations. For sure, the next step is to extend the search to other image collections.
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#### Abstract

Ten separations and position angles were obtained of WDS $15482+0134 \mathrm{AB}$ with the CDK-700 telescope in the iTelescope array. The mean values of these measurements were compared to historical observations. Although there was a discrepancy between our separations and the historical data, the position angle matched quite well.


## Introduction

Cuesta College, a community college in San Luis Obispo, California, offers ASTR 299, Astronomy Research Seminar. Once our team was formed we selected a double star system to observe. Our team decided to focus on double stars in the southern hemisphere and to obtain CCD images through the iTelescope array. The primary reason for choosing the southern hemisphere was to analyze systems that receive less telescope time, due to the large majority of earth-dwellers living in the northern hemisphere. The team was specifically interested in ordering observations from telescope T27, which resides in Siding Springs, because this Corrected Dall-Kirkham (CDK) telescope, manufactured by PlaneWave Instruments, was first prototyped by California Polytechnic students (Genet et al., 2010; Rowe, et al., 2010). By basing the selection in this defined location, the team narrowed the double stars based on a right ascension from 10 to 15 hours and a declination from 0 to -60 degrees for best viewing during spring.

Our student team selected WDS 15482+0134 / EIS 1 AB , also known as the double star V382 Serpens, to obtain an updated separation and position angle. Discovered by astronomer T. Eisenbeiss in 1960, this system has only four recorded observations, with the latest observation made in 2006. What follows is the fifth separation/position observation of the 15482+0134/ EIS 1 AB system reported to date.

This research project's goals were to contribute to the observations of this infrequently observed binary


Figure 1: From left to right: Jenae Irving, Charles Ryan, Cassandra Kraver, Charles Van Steenwyk, and Nancy Forrest visiting the SOFIA airborne observatory at Armstrong Flight Research Center.
system. By doing this, the team hoped to learn the scientific process of research and publishing, as well as how to gather and analyze data as astronomers.

## Observations and Reduction

Our observations utilize T27, a PlaneWave Instruments 27 -inch ( 0.7 m ) CDK700 reflector (shown in Figure 2) with a focal length of 4638 mm . This alt-az telescope was designed to be a multi-use telescope with the ability to accommodate for a variety of instruments. This telescope features a Finger Lake Instruments Pro-
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Figure 2. Telescope 27 at the Siding Spring, Australia Observatory

Line PL09000 CCD Camera and acquired the T27 telescope's images, providing a resolution of 0.53 arc seconds per pixel for a field of view of 27.1' by 27.1 ' as suggested by iTelescope.

Observations were obtained on May 3, 2016 with exposure lengths of 60 and 90 seconds for a Luminance filter and 120 and 150 seconds for a Hydrogen-alpha filter. Additional observations were made with a Luminance exposure of 60 seconds and H -alpha exposures of 120, 150, and 180 seconds on May 19, 2016. With two sets of images from different nights, errors caused by fluctuations in weather and atmospheric turbulence
were mitigated, allowing for more precise measurements of separations and position angles. Despite this mitigation, telescope, and location, inclement weather during the observation windows may have caused some loss of precision.

MaxIm DL determined the World Coordinate System (WCS) positions for all of the images. MaxIm DL's PinPoint Astrometry function performed this process by matching the stars in the CCD images to the Fourth U.S. Naval Observatory CCD Astrograph Catalogue (UCAC4). The images taken with the H -alpha filter and 150 second exposures were not able to resolve the approximate location of the image in both observation sets, so they were not used.

Table 1 shows the astrometric calibration data for the ten successful images. The column heading \#UCAC4 Stars explains how many stars matched up with the fourth U.S. Naval Observatory CCD Astrograph catalog out of all the stars in the plate. The camera angle refers to the angle formed from the horizon to the plate center, with the large difference in values indicating the different time of night between each observation set. Focal length shows the magnification and viewing field, which can vary depending on atmospheric effects, while plate scale indicates the "resolving power" of each plate capture.

With ten out of the fourteen images resolved, separation and position angle of the double star system was determined using Mirametrics Mira Pro x64. The Distance and Angle Function determined the separation between and the position angle from the first star's centroid to the second star's centroid. The function's Sample Radius was set to 15 pixels to allow for a large

Table 1: MaxIm DL astrometric calibration data.

| Date | Filter | \#UCAC4 <br> Stars | Image Center's RA/DEC | Camera Angle | Focal Length | Pixel Scale |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5/3/2016 | Luminance | 193 of 1017 | RA 15h $48 \mathrm{~m} 09.3 \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{Dec}+01^{\circ} 34^{\prime} 16.4$ " | +169 ${ }^{\circ} 29.9^{\prime}$ (R) | 4531.0 mm | 0.54628 "/Pixel |
| 5/3/2016 | Luminance | 157 of 931 | RA 15h $48 \mathrm{~m} 09.3 \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{Dec}+01^{\circ} 34 \mathrm{l}$ (4.8" | $+169^{\circ} 30.0^{\prime} \quad(\mathrm{R})$ | 4531.2 mm | 0.54625 //Pixel |
| 5/3/2016 | Luminance | 152 of 1074 | RA 15h 48m 09.4s, Dec +01 $34{ }^{\circ}$ 13.5" | +169 ${ }^{\circ} 29.8^{\prime}$ (R) | 4531.0 mm | $0.54628^{\prime \prime} / \mathrm{Pixel}$ |
| 5/3/2016 | Luminance | 159 of 1088 | RA 15h $48 \mathrm{~m} 09.4 \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{Dec}+01^{\circ} 34 \mathrm{l}$ 11.7" | +169 ${ }^{\circ} 30.1^{\prime} \quad(\mathrm{R})$ | 4530.8 mm | 0.54630"/Pixel |
| 5/3/2016 | H-alpha | 68 of 209 | RA 15h 48m 09.5s, Dec +01 $34{ }^{\prime} 09.1$ " | +169 $30.2^{\prime}$ (R) | 4532.6 mm | $0.54608^{\prime \prime} / \mathrm{Pixel}$ |
| 5/3/2016 | H-alpha | 76 of 225 | RA 15h $48 \mathrm{~m} 09.4 \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{Dec}+01^{\circ} 34 \mathrm{l}$ ( 07.4 " | +169 ${ }^{\circ} 29.2^{\prime}$ (R) | 4530.7 mm | 0.54631 "/Pixel |
| 5/19/2016 | Luminance | 235 of 688 | RA 15h 48m 09.4s, $\quad \mathrm{Dec}+01^{\circ} 34 \mathrm{l}$ 17.2" | $+180^{\circ} 07.4^{\prime} \quad(\mathrm{R})$ | 4531.4 mm | $0.54623^{\prime \prime} / \mathrm{Pixel}$ |
| 5/19/2016 | H-alpha | 48 of 193 | RA 15h 48m 09.4s, $\quad \mathrm{Dec}+01^{\circ} 34^{\prime} 15.4 \prime$ | +180 ${ }^{\circ} 05.2^{\prime}$ (R) | 4530.3 mm | 0.54636 //Pixel |
| 5/19/2016 | H-alpha | 66 of 268 | RA 15h 48m 09.4s, $\quad \mathrm{Dec}+01^{\circ} 34 \mathrm{l}$ 13.3" | $+180^{\circ} 05.2^{\prime} \quad(\mathrm{R})$ | 4530.5 mm | 0.54634 "/Pixel |
| 5/19/2016 | H-alpha | 50 of 284 | RA 15h 48m 09.5s, $\quad \mathrm{Dec}+01^{\circ} 34{ }^{\prime} 13.5^{\prime \prime}$ | +180 ${ }^{\circ} 07.5^{\prime}$ (R) | 4538.4 mm | 0.54539"/Pixel |

## Astrometric Measurements of WDS 15482+0134 EIS 1AB

Table 2: New observations performed by team, with overall means, standard deviations, and standard errors.

| Date of Observation | Position Angle <br> ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | Separation <br> (") |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 2016.336 | 352.17 | 17.493 |
| 2016.336 | 352.41 | 17.580 |
| 2016.336 | 352.29 | 17.447 |
| 2016.336 | 352.31 | 17.477 |
| 2016.336 | 352.43 | 17.672 |
| 2016.336 | 352.46 | 17.638 |
| 2016.380 | 352.27 | 17.250 |
| 2016.380 | 352.38 | 17.572 |
| 2016.380 | 352.32 | 17.361 |
| 2016.380 | 352.36 | 17.50 |
| Mean | 0.11 | 0.16 |
| Standard Deviation | 0.0335 |  |
| Standard Error |  |  |

enough circumference of the tool annulus to properly locate each star's centroid. Excel was then used to compile the data retrieved from Mira Pro x64 and to derive the standard deviations and standard errors of mean of the separation and position angle.

## Results

Table 2 shows the observational results from one set of six images, taken on May 3rd, 2016, and the second set of four images, taken on May 19th. In addition, Table 2 shows the overall means (also shown in Table 3 ), the standard deviations, and standard errors for position angle and separation. Table 3 lists the relevant historical data from the WDS catalog, as well as the latest set of new mean observations taken by the team, showing comparisons between the two sets of data.

## Discussion

The deviation of our measurements could have been produced by the possible overexposure induced by the long exposure time, listed in Table 3. This was mitigated by limiting the centroid sample values in Mira Pro. However, many of the plates were overexposed, which may have led to systemic saturation, perhaps skewing the results.

As can be seen from Table 2, our position angle agrees well with the historical observations at 2000.327, and 2000.430, holding to a difference of $0.08^{\circ}$ to $0.24^{\circ}$ (less than 2 standard deviations), and agrees moderately with 1950.542 at $1.24^{\circ}$ difference, but disagrees with the observation on 2006.301, the most recent, by $4.26^{\circ}$ (close to 40 standard deviations), suggesting that this most recent observation may be an outlier.

The mean separation agrees somewhat less well with historical observations, whose values stay between a minimum of $17.83^{\prime \prime}$ (2000.327) and maximum of 17.90" (2000.430). Our listed separation differs from the historical range by 2.3 standard deviations, with a mean value of 17.50 ", around 2 standard deviations from all historical observations. The possible errors in finding the centroid due to overexposure may be responsible for this difference. Of note, however, is that the longer exposure times (potentially more saturated) tended to agree better with historical observations than did the normal exposures.

## Conclusion

The Cuesta/Cal Poly team met all of our observational goals in this report by ordering observations from iTelescope and running analysis on the results. The team learned how to use Maxim DL and Mira Pro to analyze position angles and separations, how to resolve the plate scale, and how to go about preparing the data acquired for analysis and publication, all essential parts of the observation process. Doing all this allowed the team to add another data point on the observations of WDS $15482+0134$ EIS 1AB, completing our primary goal of contributing to the WDS astronomical catalog.

Table 3: Historical data on double star system, courtesy WDS star catalog

| Date of <br> Observation | Position Angle <br> $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | Difference <br> $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | Separation <br> $(")$ | Difference <br> $(")$ | Observation Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2016.380 | 352.36 | - | 17.50 | - | New |
| 1950.542 | 353.60 | +1.24 | 17.85 | +.35 | Eisenbeiss et al. 2007 |
| 2000.327 | 352.42 | +.06 | 17.83 | +.33 | Eisenbeiss et al. 2007 |
| 2000.430 | 352.60 | +.24 | 17.90 | +.40 | Hartkopf et al. 2013 |
| 2006.301 | 356.62 | +4.26 | 17.85 | +.35 | Eisenbeiss et al. 2007 |

## Astrometric Measurements of WDS 15482+0134 EIS 1AB
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#### Abstract

The second catalog of southern double stars was published by Carl Rümker 1832. We describe this catalog, obtain modern nomenclature and data and estimate the accuracy of his positions for the primary components. We have shown the equinox and epoch to be B1827.0. Of the 28 pairs, 27 could be identified. RMK 23 is RMK 22 and RMK 24 could not be identified. Five pairs observed by Rümker are credited to co-worker Dunlop (DUN) in the WDS. There are two typographical errors. We tentatively identify RMK 28 with COO 261. We have shown the positional data in the 1832 catalog to be accurate and we present a modern/revised version of Rümker's catalog.


## Introduction

The finding, cataloging, and astrometric study of double stars dominated the astronomy of the $19^{\text {th }}$ century. In the southern sky, the pioneering double stars work of Sir John Herschel (JH) in the 1830-40s is recognized for its accuracy and completeness.

However, some two decades prior to the work of JH , a small but well equipped privately owned observatory was established in the fledgling British Colony of New South Wales by Sir Thomas Makdougall Brisbane, the 6th Governor of the Colony. For about a decade, the Parramatta Observatory reigned supreme in the southern hemisphere, systematically exploring for the first time the deep southern skies.

The Parramatta Observatory was constructed by Sir Thomas Brisbane, and staffed by two astronomers, Carl Rümker (Figure 1) and James Dunlop. From Parramatta came dedicated catalogs of stars (Richardson, 1835), double stars (Dunlop, 1829) and non-stellar objects (Dunlop, 1828). This paper follows the work of one of the first of the double star catalogs, that of Rümker (Rümker, 1832).

## Biography

Carl Rümker (Figure 1) was born 1788 May 18 in Burg Stargard, Germany, and graduated as a Master-


Figure 1: Carl Rümker, from Wikipedia (artist and date unknown)

Builder in 1807. In 1808 he was teaching mathematics in Hamburg and from 1809 to 1811 he was a midshipman for the British East India Company, entering the
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Merchant Navy in 1811. After being discharged in 1813 he was walking in London when he was suddenly pressganged into the Royal Navy. This unfortunate event turned out to have profound positive repercussions.

The captain of the vessel to which Rümker was assigned, discovered that Rümker was well-educated and a teacher of mathematics and so put him in charge of teaching navigation with an officer's rank. While serving with the Royal Navy, Rümker became firm friends with Baron Franz Xavier von Zach, a Hungarian astronomer and, at the time, editor of the important journal Correspondance astronomique. Zach recognized Rümker's astronomical talent and encouraged him to pursue the science.

Discharged from the Royal Navy in 1819 at the end of the Napoleonic Wars, Rümker returned to Hamburg where he became a teacher at the School of Navigation. However, in 1821, through a series of contacts, Rümker applied for the position of astronomer to Thomas Brisbane (Figure 2), hoping to make a name for himself by publishing data from the almost totally unknown far southern sky. Thomas Brisbane, a well-respected and keen amateur astronomer, had just been appointed Governor of the penal colony of New South Wales and was looking to personally fund a professional astronomer to take charge of an observatory he intended to build at the Governor's house at Parramatta, then a very small settlement about 20 miles west of Sydney, and now part of greater Sydney. Sir Thomas also employed James Dunlop (Figure 3), a young mechanically-minded Scot, 5 years Rümker's junior, to maintain the observatory's equipment. James Dunlop was later to learn the art of astrometry from Brisbane and in fact published the first catalogue of southern double stars in 1829, beating Rümker's publication by 3 years.

After arriving in Parramatta and overseeing the construction of the observatory which stood behind Government House, Rümker began work on 1822 May 2, not long before his 34th birthday. The Parramatta Observatory (Figure 4) was Brisbane's own personal observatory entirely funded by him. His main goal was to publish a catalogue of stars in the southern hemisphere that were south of declination $-30^{\circ}$; a region beyond the reach of the main European observatories, especially Greenwich.

This work was to follow Lacaille's Coelum australe stelliferum which had been published in 1763 but contained many known errors and was incomplete. A complete reduction of Lacaille's stars was only published in 1847 (Lacaille, Henderson, Baily, \& Herschel, 1847). The so-called "Brisbane Catalogue" was published in 1835 (Richardson, 1835).


Figure 2: Thomas Brisbane, from Wikipedia (artist and date unknown)


Figure 3: James Dunlop, from Wikipedia (by Joseph Blackler, c. 1843). Held by the Mitchell Library, State Library of New South Wales.
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Figure 4: A drawing of the Parramatta Observatory by W. B. Clarke (1825). Published in Richardson (1835).

On the evening of 1822 June 2, Dunlop was the first in the world to sight the return of Encke's comet. Rümker had calculated its return position. Brisbane was justly proud of Rümker and as Governor granted him 1000 acres of land at Picton, south-west of Sydney.

However, for reasons which are not entirely clear, Rümker fell out with Brisbane and left Brisbane's employment a year later on 1823 June 16 and went to his farm. He continued some astronomical work there but was largely caught up in the demands of making a living.

Brisbane was re-called by the British Government and vacated his Governorship on 1825 Dec 1, to be replaced by Ralph Darling. Dunlop returned to England a few months later. The Parramatta Observatory moved into Government hands and Rümker returned to work at the observatory and on 1827 Dec 21 was appointed Government Astronomer.

Rümker was immensely proud and happy in this position and intended to stay. To that end he acquired another 3000 acres, tripling the size of his farm. He certainly had plenty of astronomy to do as well. At the time, there was no other southern observatory. In 1820
the English Board of Longitude had successfully petitioned for a permanent observatory in South Africa, but this did not begin observations until 1829 (Moore \& Collins, 1977).

In 1828 Rümker made a requisition for more instruments and thought it best to go to England to supervise their procurement. While in England, he quarrelled with Sir James South, President of the Royal Astronomical Society, Fellow of the Royal Society and the King's own Astronomer; the upshot of which was his dismissal from the British Service on 1830 June 18.

His reputation was not entirely in tatters. At the beginning of 1831 he was appointed Director of the School of Navigation in Hamburg. On 1833 Oct 31 Rümker was appointed Director of Hamburg Observatory. He was awarded the Gold Medal for Arts and Science by the King of Hanover in 1850 and the Gold Medal of the (British) Royal Astronomical Society in 1854.

Rümker eventually married the Englishwoman Miss Mary Ann Crockford on 1848 Nov 24. He was 60 and she was 39 and the discoverer of Comet VI of 1847. Suffering from asthma and a disabling leg which he injured in a fall, he and his wife retired to Lisbon, Portugal. He died there on 1862 Dec 21 at the age of 74. His body is buried in the churchyard of the Anglican Church at Estrella, near Lisbon.

The Catalogue of Scientific Papers listed 231 papers published by Rümker (White, McLeod, \& Morley, 1872; White \& Morley, 1871). The standard biography on Rümker is still that of Bergman (1960) from which most of the above was adapted.

## An Examination of Rümker's 1832 Catalogue of Double Stars

Accuracy of determination of stellar positions has steadily improved over the last few centuries and, in particular, since the advent of satellite-based astrometry (Høg, 2008, 2009, 2011).

Recent studies have retrospectively looked in detail at the accuracy of old star catalogs using HIPPARCOS astrometry (Ahn, 2012; Lequeux, 2014; Verbunt, 2004; Verbunt \& van Gent, 2010a, 2010b, 2011, 2012). We acknowledge the work of Schlimmer's (2007) Christian Mayer's Double Star Catalog of 1779 in looking at an old double star catalogue. Our intention here is to similarly look at the 1832 southern double star catalog of Carl Rümker.

## Rümker's Southern Double Star Catalogue

The work we refer to here is the Catalogue of double stars found by Rümker at Parramatta as presented in the introduction to the 1832 Preliminary Catalogue of Fixed Stars (Preliminary Catalogue of Fixed Stars:
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Intended for a Prospectus of a Catalogue of the Stars of the Southern Hemisphere Included Within the Tropic of Capricorn: Now Reducing from the Observations Made in the Observatory at Parramatta). This Preliminary Catalogue of Fixed Stars is one of Rümker's major works but curiously, it is not listed in The Catalogue of Scientific Papers.

Nor were double stars high on his list of priorities. The double star catalogue and its introduction covered
just two (15 and 16) out of the 47 pages of the Preliminary Catalogue of Fixed Stars. As he stated: "I join here a list of double Star's (sic) extracted from my observations, which probably contain more of them." He did not attempt a systematic search for southern double stars, but merely noted them with the occasional measure whenever he came across one.

Rümker's double star catalogue is reproduced in Table 1, except for the first column (RMK) which has

Table 1: Rümker's 1832 Double Star Catalogue

| RMK | Stellae Nomen | AR. | DEC | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Diff AR. in } \\ & \text { arc. } \end{aligned}$ | Comes . | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diff. Decli- } \\ \text { nat. } \end{gathered}$ | Comes ad |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  | $11^{\circ} 27^{\prime}$ | $70^{\circ} 27^{\prime}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | $\zeta$ Phoenicis | 1516 | 5610 |  | praecedit |  | Austrum |
| 3 |  | 6356 | 6341 |  |  |  |  |
| 4 |  | 6513 | 5728 | $5.25 \prime \prime$ |  |  |  |
| 5 |  | 10643 | 5519 |  |  |  |  |
| 6 |  | 10903 | 5200 |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | $\varepsilon$ Piscis volant. | 12150 | $68 \quad 07$ |  | sequitur |  | Boream |
| 8 |  | 12309 | 6213 |  | sequitur |  | Boream |
| 9 | 799 C. A. | 13015 | 5806 | 13.5 | sequitur |  |  |
| 10 |  | 13856 | 6904 |  | sequitur |  | Boream |
| 11 | $\alpha$ Argus | 14542 | 6416 | 12.0 | sequitur |  | Austrum |
| 12 |  | 14753 | 6824 |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | $\tau$ Argus | 15337 | 5510 |  | sequitur |  | Austrum |
| 14 | D Centauri | 18115 | 4446 |  | praeccedit |  | Austrum |
| 15 | 人 Crucis | 18416 | 6208 | 12.3 | sequitur | 4.45 " | Austrum |
| 16 | $\Theta$ Muscae | 19417 | 6424 |  |  |  | Austrum |
| 17 |  | 20008 | 6210 |  |  |  |  |
| 18 |  | 20515 | 5157 | 25.5 | praecedit | 5.0 | Boream |
| 19 | Y Centauri | 21235 | 5740 | 8.8 | sequitur | 10.0 | Austrum |
| 20 |  | 23305 | 6454 |  | sequitur |  | Austrum |
| 21 | $\eta$ Lupi | 23710 | 3753 | 7.87 | sequitur |  | Austrum |
| 22 |  | 26541 | 5520 |  |  |  |  |
| 23 |  | 26544 | 6020 |  | sequitur |  |  |
| 24 |  | 28516 | 5729 | 0.0 | praecedit |  |  |
| 25 |  | 30015 | 5730 | 10.5 | praecedit | 5.0 | Boream |
| 26 |  | 30917 | 6304 | 15.0 | sequitur |  |  |
| 27 | $\Theta$ Phoenicis | 35234 | 4736 |  |  |  |  |
| 28 |  | 35432 | 6130 |  |  |  |  |

## Explanation of Table 1: Rümker's 1832 Double Star Catalogue

Column 2: Stellae Nomen, name of star.
Column 3: AR., right ascension in degrees ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) and min arc (').
Column 4: DEC, declination in degrees and min arc from the equator.
Column 5: Diff AR., difference in right ascension between the primary and secondary, in sec arc (").
Column 6: Comes., whether the secondary precedes (praecedit, praeccedit [sic]) or follows (sequitur) the primary.
Column 7: Diff. Declinat., difference in declination between the primary and secondary, in sec arc.
Column 8: Comes ad, whether the secondary is north (Boream) or south (Austrum) of the primary.
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been added to aid discussion and comparison.
In a modern context, this catalogue is deficient and/ or different in many ways. These include the fact the RA positions are given in degrees (rather than hours, minutes and seconds of time) and both the RA and the Declination positions are rounded off to a precision of min arc only. In addition, there is no stated Equinox or Epoch of the observations, and no computed separation $(\rho)$ and Position angle (PA). There are no estimates of magnitude (on any color scale) given for any star.

## Modern Assessment of the Rümker Catalogue

Of the 28 pairs listed in Rümker's double star catalogue, numbers $2-14,16,18,20,22,25$, and 26 have RMK as the discoverer code in the WDS.

The method of identification of the stars in modern catalogs is as follows.

1. Rümker's Equinox was first assumed to be B1825.0. This is assumed because Rümker worked at the Parramatta Observatory between 1822 and 1829, and because the Brisbane Catalogue is clearly identified as Equinox B1825.0.
2. The B1825.0 coordinates were precessed to J2000.0 using an EXCEL custom function written for the purpose adopting the IAU 1976 equations (Lieske, Lederle, Fricke, \& Morando, 1977), but without taking into account the, as yet, unknown proper motions. The IAU 1976 equations give smaller than 1 " uncertainty over the time period.
3. Using ALADIN (Bonnarel et al., 2000), each J2000.0 position was examined using the DSS (Digitized Sky Survey from CDS) image.
4. The DSS image was overlaid with WDS, ASCC 2.5, UCAC4 and Gaia data. We preferred the use of the homogenized All-sky Compiled Catalogue of 2.5 million stars (Kharchenko, 2001). Four of Rümker's secondaries do not have UCAC4 numbers and there are still numerous lacunae in the current GaiaSource data and uncertain identifications (Collaboration, 2016). Cross-referencing of the ASCC 2.5, UCAC4 and Gaia data is given in Table 2.
5. The nearest double star was taken to be the A component intended by Rümker (except for RMK 23 and 24, see below).

The modern identification of Rümker's doubles are presented in Table 2.

In the WDS the discovery of RMK $1,15,17,19$, and 27 are attributed to co-worker James Dunlop, however as Dunlop also did not record the epoch of observation (nor Equinox) it is uncertain as to who made the initial discovery.

RMK 23 could not be identified by the above meth-
od. However, the Southern Double Star Catalogue (Innes, Dawson, \& van den Bos, 1927) for RMK 22 ( $17^{\mathrm{h}} 48.9^{\mathrm{m}}$ at B1900.0) has the remark that RMK 23 is the same as RMK 22 "with error $5^{\circ}$ " in declination. That is, Rümker's original declination should have been $55^{\circ} 20^{\prime \prime}$ and not $60^{\circ} 20^{\prime \prime}$. The small difference in RA of 3 min arc in the Rümker list can be accounted for by the real possibility that Rümker recorded the double stars on different days. Other typographical errors in Rümker's original catalogue were found during preparation of this paper (see below).

RMK 24 could not be identified at all. The J2000 position (precessed from B1825) is $19^{\mathrm{h}} 15^{\mathrm{m}} 50.6^{\mathrm{s}}-57^{\circ}$ 11 ' $47.4^{\prime \prime}$. The nearest WDS entry is 19116-5642 (HRG 130) at a distance of 46 min arc which we considered too far to be equated.

Rümker's original coordinates for RMK 28 precessed from, for example, B1827 forward to J2000 (without proper motion) yields a position of $23^{\mathrm{h}} 47^{\mathrm{m}}$ $30.96^{\mathrm{s}}-60^{\circ} 32^{\prime} 21.3^{\prime \prime}$. At 75 sec arc the nearest WDS double is COO 361. If we precess COO 361 from J2000 back to B1827 using proper motion the coordinates are $23^{\circ} 38^{\mathrm{m}} 10.18^{\mathrm{s}}-61^{\circ} 28^{\prime} 48.3^{\prime \prime}$ (from Table 3) or a separation of 1.2 min arc. From Figure 7 (the Histogram) we note that this is at the high end of separations, but less than some others (RMK 16 and 17) whose identity are accepted (see Table 3).

## Estimation of the Equinox of Observations

Rümker did not record the Equinox for the Catalogue nor the epoch of each observation. However, we recovered the most likely Equinox via the following method.

With J2000.0 coordinates, and modern proper motion data, of the primaries as listed in ASCC 2.5 positions were found for a range of Equinoxes from B1821.0 to B1830.0.

The separation (in sec arc) at each Equinox between the Rümker coordinate and the precessed coordinate was calculated.

By taking the average of each set of separations per Equinox, Figure 5 was obtained.

It is clear from Figure 5 that the Equinox with the lowest total separation is B1827.0. We therefore accept this to be the Equinox of the Rümker catalogue. This determination is supported - if not confirmed - by the fact that his Star Catalogue, also published in the Preliminary Catalogue, has a stated Equinox of "pro initio Anni 1827".

While undertaking the calculations for estimating the Equinox, we detected what we have taken to be two typographical errors in declination. The original
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Table 2: Modern Identifications of Rümker's Southern Doubles

| RMK | WDS | Disc. Code | ASCC 2.5 | UCAC4 | Gaia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 00524-6930 | DUN 2 | 2373287 | 103-000763 | 4691995687749952384 |
|  |  |  | 2373289 | 103-000765 | 4691995996987597568 |
| 2 | 01084-5515 | RMK 2 AB, C | 2198437 | 174-001101 |  |
|  |  |  | 2198436 | 174-001098 | 4913847584861259392 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 04177-6315 | RMK 3 | 2296521 | 134-003905 | 4676067715633387776 |
|  |  |  | 2296522 | 134-003906 | 4676067715634544512 |
| 4 | 04242-5704 | RMK 4 | 2202770 | 165-004328 | 4775347911905128064 |
|  |  |  | 2202769 | 165-004326 | 4775347911905128192 |
| 5 | 07104-5536 | RMK 5 | 2208862 | 173-010758 | 5490328643768957952 |
|  |  |  | 2208857 | 173-010752 | 5490328643768958080 |
| 6 | 07204-5219 | RMK 6 | 2114933 | 189-011558 | 5492026736399938560 |
|  |  |  | 2114936 | 189-011559 | 5492026736399861888 |
| 7 | 08079-6837 | RMK 7 | 2383172 | 107-017738 |  |
|  |  |  | 2383174 | 107-017740 | 5270986003994879744 |
| 8 | 08153-6255 | RMK 8 | 2304832 | 136-013964 | 5277370356913491840 |
|  |  |  | 2304833 | 136-013965 | 5277370352621451648 |
| 9 | 08451-5843 | RMK 9 AB | 2214637 | 157-017868 |  |
|  |  |  | 2214633 | 157-017864 |  |
| 10 | 09179-6948 | RMK 10 | 2386328 | 101-025487 | 5222647212228907136 |
|  |  |  | 2386329 | 101-025489 | 5222650167166406656 |
| 11 | 09471-6504 | RMK 11 | 2387890 | 125-024040 | 5249119019819706624 |
|  |  |  | 2387893 | 125-024041 | 5249119019819706752 |
| 12 | 09551-6911 | RMK 12 | 2388374 | 105-028729 | 5243135168304173440 |
|  |  |  | 2388372 | 105-028727 | 5243135168305893504 |
| 13 | 10209-5603 | RMK 13 AB | 2227934 | 170-044959 |  |
|  |  |  | 2227939 | 170-044967 | 5354994808388487680 |
| 14 | 12140-4543 | RMK 14 | 2048721 | 222-062225 | 6143569839228193536 |
|  |  |  | 2048720 |  |  |
| 15 | 12266-6306 | DUN 252 AB | 2333718 | 135-077813 |  |
|  |  |  | 2333721 | 135-077814 |  |
| 16 | 13081-6518 | RMK 16 AB | 2401908 | 124-083590 |  |
|  |  |  | 2401910 | 124-083587 | 5858915762084797312 |
| 17 | 13321-6303 | DUN 137 | 2340319 | 135-096113 | 5865249808055799936 |
|  |  |  | 2340318 | 135-096111 | 5865249808055799168 |
| 18 | 13521-5249 | RMK 18 | 2155481 | 186-097617 |  |
|  |  |  | 2155477 | 186-097609 | 6065984175603789440 |
| 19 | 14226-5828 | DUN 159 AB | 2260099 | 158-132657 |  |
|  |  |  | 2260102 | 158-132658 | 5891112108248454784 |
| 20 | 15479-6527 | RMK 20 AB | 2412907 | 123-149637 | 5825553383847202176 |
|  |  |  | 2412908 |  | 5825553388138641024 |
| 21 | 16001-3824 | RMK 21 AB | 1873533 | 259-087966 |  |
|  |  |  | 1873535 | 259-087970 | 5998066826966118656 |
| 22 \& 23 | 17572-5523 | RMK 22 | 2279415 | 174-191989 |  |
|  |  |  | 2279416 |  |  |
| 25 | 20149-5659 | RMK 25 | 2285617 | 166-210020 | 6468703708258513152 |
|  |  |  | 2285618 | 166-210021 | 6468703708258513024 |
| 26 | 20516-6226 | RMK 26 | 2368303 | 138-190599 |  |
|  |  |  | 2368304 |  |  |
| 27 | 23395-4638 | DUN 251 | 2100751 | 217-192156 | 6525488226793694720 |
|  |  |  | 2100750 | 217-192155 | 6525488226794240256 |
| 28 | 23476-6031 | COO 261 | 2372217 | 148-236277 | 6488336862761979392 |
|  |  |  | 2372219 | 148-236276 | 6488336862762255232 |
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Figure 5: Finding Rümker's Equinox.

Table 3: Accuracy of Primary Star Location. All coordinates are Equinox and Epoch B1827.0.

| RMK | RMK RA $(h: m: s)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { RMK } \\ \text { DE } \\ (\mathrm{d}: \mathrm{m}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { ASCC } 2.5 \\ \text { RA } \\ (\mathrm{h}: \mathrm{m}: \mathrm{s}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { ASCC } 2.5 \\ \text { DE } \\ \text { (d:m:s) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \Delta \mathrm{RA} \\ \text { RMK-ASCC } \\ \text { (min arc) } \end{gathered}$ | $\Delta D E$ RMK-ASCC (min arc) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Offset } \\ & \text { (min arc) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 004548 | -70 27 | 004547.56 | -70 2630.7 | 0.0 | -0.5 | 0.5 |
| 2 | 010104 | -56 10 | 010105.45 | -56 10 20.8 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| 3 | 041544 | -63 41 | 041545.33 | -63 4036.0 | 0.1 | -0.4 | 0.4 |
| 4 | 042052 | -57 28 | 042050.42 | -57 2755.2 | -0.2 | -0.1 | 0.2 |
| 5 | 070652 | -55 19 | 070653.63 | -55 1810.6 | 0.2 | -0.8 | 0.9 |
| 6 | 071612 | -52 00 | 071611.01 | $\begin{array}{llll}-51 & 59 & 43.3\end{array}$ | -0.2 | -0.3 | 0.3 |
| 7 | 080720 | -68 07 | 080719.90 | -68 0633.0 | 0.0 | -0.4 | 0.5 |
| 8 | 081236 | -62 23 | 081237.75 | $\begin{array}{ll}-62 & 23 \\ 00.5\end{array}$ | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| 9 | 084100 | -58 06 | 084058.78 | $\begin{array}{llll}-58 & 05 & 43.0\end{array}$ | -0.2 | -0.3 | 0.3 |
| 10 | 091544 | -69 04 | 091546.35 | -69 0431.6 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.6 |
| 11 | 094248 | -64 16 | 094246.28 | $\begin{array}{llll}-64 & 16 & 16.5\end{array}$ | -0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| 12 | 095132 | -68 23 | 095128.82 | $\begin{array}{llll}-68 & 22 & 13.5\end{array}$ | -0.3 | -0.8 | 0.8 |
| 13 | 101428 | -55 10 | $\begin{array}{lll}10 & 14 & 29.32\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}-55 & 10 & 27.1\end{array}$ | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| 14 | 120500 | -44 46 | 120501.86 | -44 4541.5 | 0.3 | -0.3 | 0.5 |
| 15 | $\begin{array}{ll}12 & 1704\end{array}$ | -62 08 | $\begin{array}{llll}12 & 17 & 02.65\end{array}$ | -62 0821.6 | -0.2 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| 16 | 125708 | -64 24 | 125702.80 | $\begin{array}{llll}-64 & 22 & 42.1\end{array}$ | -0.6 | -1.3 | 1.4 |
| 17 | $13 \quad 20 \quad 32$ | -62 10 | $13 \quad 2028.37$ | $\begin{array}{llll}-62 & 08 & 44.8\end{array}$ | -0.4 | -1.3 | 1.3 |
| 18 | 134100 | -51 57 | 134059.40 | -51 5656.2 | -0.1 | -0.1 | 0.1 |
| 19 | 141020 | -57 40 | $\begin{array}{llll}14 & 10 & 18.34\end{array}$ | -57 39 46.5 | -0.2 | -0.2 | 0.3 |
| 20 | 153220 | -64 54 | $\begin{array}{llll}15 & 32 & 12.75\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}-64 & 53 & 17.8\end{array}$ | -0.8 | -0.7 | 1.0 |
| 21 | 154840 | -37 53 | $\begin{array}{llll}15 & 48 & 40.87\end{array}$ | -37 5336.5 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| 22 \& 23 | 174244 | -55 20 | 174247.31 | -55 2016.5 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.5 |
| 25 | 200060 | -57 30 | 200059.03 | -57 28 57.2 | -0.1 | -1.0 | 1.1 |
| 26 | 203708 | -63 04 | $20 \quad 37 \quad 06.45$ | $\begin{array}{llll}-63 & 03 & 36.9\end{array}$ | -0.2 | -0.4 | 0.4 |
| 27 | 233016 | -47 36 | $\begin{array}{llll}23 & 30 & 08.50\end{array}$ | -47 3550.5 | -1.3 | -0.2 | 1.3 |
| 28 | $23 \quad 38 \quad 08$ | -61 30 | $\begin{array}{llll}23 & 38 & 10.18\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}-61 & 28 & 48.3\end{array}$ | 0.3 | -1.2 | 1.2 |

1. https://ma.as/258792.
2. https://ma.as/258735.
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Figure 7: Target Diagram (Columns 6 \& 7 of Table 3). ASCC 2.5 positions are at (0,0), and the relative respective Rümker positions are indicated by a ' + '.

Figure 6: Histogram of Offset (column 6 of Table 3)

Rümker declinations for RMK 8 and 12 were $62^{\circ} 13$ ' and $68^{\circ} 24^{\prime}$ respectively. These yielded a $\Delta \mathrm{DE}$ of +10.0 and -1.8 min arc respectively. Since these represent a significant departure from other $\triangle \mathrm{DE}$, we suggest that RMK 8 was 10 ' too far north and RMK 12 was 1 ' too far south in the original publication.

## The Revised Rümker Double Star Catalogue

Armed with the assumption that Rümker's epochs were also B1827.0, we present here a revised Rümker Double Star Catalogue, based on modern astrometric data, with offset estimations for each double (Table 3).

## Accuracy of Rümker's Original observations

We now look with retrospective vision at the accuracy of the Rümker catalogue of double stars using the results in Table 3; our intention being to ascertain the observational precision of this work.

Unfortunately, Rümker did not record the instrument he used. However, the instruments listed as being available at Parramatta were a 3.75 -inch transit refractor made by Edward Troughton of London ${ }^{1}$, and a 46inch focal length, 3.25 -inch aperture equatorial mounted refractor telescope made by Banks of London, fitted with a wire micrometer ${ }^{2}$.

Within reason, it is possible to assume that the discovery of the pairs was by Rümker whilst he was using the transit instrument for the compilation of the star catalogue (the Preliminary Catalogue of Fixed Stars) where he noted the pairs for later observation, perhaps with the equatorial.

We note that both telescopes are very small with
respect even to modern day amateur telescopes, and the quality of the results must be considered in that context.

Figures 6 and 7 are the distribution of the measured positions (by Rümker) relative to modern positions as precessed to B1827 and given in Table 3. There is a clear concentration of data points within $\sim 0.5 \mathrm{~min}$ arc, with 3 outliers in the south preceding quadrant. The rounded-off precision of Rümker's positions to the nearest min arc accounts for most, if not all, of the spread in the positional accuracy.

We apply two measures to this data. In RA, we compute the standard deviation of the spread of these positional differences as 0.37 min arc, and the bias in the two data sets as $-0.09 \pm 0.07 \mathrm{~min}$ arc (being the SEM of the data set) and the sense being Rümker minus precessed modern data. Similarly, in declination, we have found that the standard deviation of the differences is 0.56 min arc, and the bias to be $-0.28 \pm 0.11$ $\min$ arc. Rümker being to the north. These measures are well within the accuracy inferred in the Dunlop catalogue, as what we would expect from an observation set of this period using the instruments they had.

## A Modern and Revised Rümker Catalog

Table 4 presents Rümker's southern double stars with associated modern data, which has been adopted from the Simbad data set utilizing the Aladin web interface. All positions are from the ASCC 2.5 catalog. Columns 6 and 7 were calculated from ASCC 2.5 data. The format is that of the WDS. Notes on individual pairs are
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| Rмк | $\begin{gathered} { }_{\mathrm{h}: \mathrm{m}: \mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{RA}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{DE} \\ \mathrm{~d}: \mathrm{m}: \mathrm{s} \end{gathered}$ | wDS |  | Disc | $\begin{gathered} \text { PA } \\ \text { (deg) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sep } \\ & \text { (as) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | vmag1 | vmag2 | SpType 1 | SpType2 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{pmRA1} \\ \mathrm{mas} / \mathrm{yr} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { pmDE1 } \\ \text { mas } / \mathrm{yr} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{pmRA} 2 \\ \mathrm{mas} / \mathrm{Yr} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { pmDE2 } \\ \text { mas } / \mathrm{yr} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 005224.520 | -69 3013.56 | 00524-6930 | Dun | 2 | 81.4 | 20.44 | 6.646 | 7.317 | F7IV/V | G1V | 3.78 | -69.94 | 1.97 | -74.58 |
| 2 | 010823.083 | -55 1444.75 | 01084-5515 | RMK | 2AB, C | 241.4 | 6.61 | 3.977 | 8.668 | B6V + Bov | F3:V | 22.46 | 28.56 | 21.09 | 29.71 |
| 3 | 041740.272 | -63 1519.49 | 04177-6315 | RMK | 3 | 3.2 | 4.26 | 6.012 | 7.651 | B9 III/IV | B 9 v | 5.46 | 31.62 | 8.02 | 46.2 |
| 4 | 042412.208 | -57 0416.81 | 04242-5704 | RMK | 4 | 246.5 | 5.49 | 6.638 | 7.171 | 64V+... | 66 v | -104.04 | -73.19 | -96.38 | -60.77 |
| 5 | 071024.466 | -55 3515.77 | 07104-5536 | RMK | 5 | 225.8 | 6.95 | 7.59 | 7.725 | G8/Koititg/k |  | $-1.83$ | -9.14 | -0.4 | -9.48 |
| 6 | 072021.416 | -52 1841.59 | 07204-5219 | RMK | 6 | 26.1 | 9.22 | 5.965 | 6.534 | FO-2 IV-v | F9Ve+K3V+ | -43.88 | 135.71 | -29.69 | 135.69 |
| 7 | 080755.795 | -68 3701.42 | 08079-6837 | RMK | 7 | 24.2 | 6.04 | 4.39 | 7.296 | B6IV |  | -28.6 | 30.52 | -29.78 | 30.51 |
| 8 | 081515.920 | -62 54.56 .31 | 08153-6255 | RMK | 8 | 68.6 | 4.02 | 5.222 | 7.563 | A2 v |  | -26.75 | -10.64 | -26.86 | -10.93 |
| 9 | 084505.545 | -58 4327.55 | 08451-5843 | RMK | 9AB | 292.2 | 4.15 | 6.722 | 6.94 | B7III |  | -4.73 | 0.67 | -5.23 | 0.66 |
| 10 | 091754.988 | -69 4816.82 | 09179-6948 | RMK | 10 | 18.5 | 10.40 | 8.142 | 8.515 | aov: | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | -8.8 | 6.78 | -11.85 | 7.55 |
| 11 | 094706.122 | -65 0419.22 | 09471-6504 | RMK | 11 | 127.9 | 5.03 | 2.993 | 5.98 | ${ }^{\text {A8 } 8 . ~} \mathrm{Ib}$ | ${ }_{\text {F0 }}$ | -11.31 | 5.05 | -11.51 | 4.96 |
| 12 | 095505.606 | -69 1120.31 | 09551-6911 | RMK | 12 | 213.0 | 9.21 | 6.844 | 8.819 | B9V |  | -67.12 | 30.51 | -67.51 | 31.28 |
| 13 | 102054.796 | -56 0235.59 | 10209-5603 | RMK | 13 AB | 101.8 | 7.19 | 4.506 | 7.21 | B3III |  | -18.65 | 1.42 | -18.12 | 1.47 |
| 14 | 121402.698 | -45 4326.11 | 12140-4543 | RMK | 14 | 243.1 | 2.85 | 5.565 | 6.782 | K3III |  | -34.2 | 4.82 | -42.08 | 3.97 |
| 15 | 122635.896 | -63 0556.72 | 12266-6306 | dun | 252AB | 112.8 | 4.02 | 1.039 | 1.57 | B0.5IV | ${ }^{\text {B1 }} \mathrm{v}$ | -35.56 | -13.9 | -42.52 | -7.67 |
| 16 | 130807.153 | -65 1821.64 | 13081-6518 | RMK | 16 AB | 187.0 | 5.38 | 5.649 | 7.552 | WC6 + 09.5I | 09.5 II | -4.42 | 2.53 | -5.34 | -2.25 |
| 17 | 133203.910 | -63 $02 \quad 30.82$ | 13321-6303 | dun |  | 357.7 | 15.98 | 7.478 | 8.484 | B0.5III: |  | -3.8 | -1.87 | -3.16 | -4.11 |
| 18 | 135204.862 | -52 4841.52 | 13521-5249 | RMK | 18 | 288.7 | 18.17 | 5.25 | 7.469 | $\mathrm{B}^{\text {V }}$ n | ${ }^{\text {B8V }}$ | -39.13 | -28.37 | -46 | -26.69 |
| 19 | 142237.070 | -58 2732.70 | 14226-5828 | dun | 159AB | 157.8 | 9.42 | 4.914 | 7.151 | 68/K1 + F/G |  | -46.45 | 31.37 | -32.04 | -3.66 |
| 20 | 154753.058 | -65 2632.15 | 15479-6527 | RMK | 20 AB | 146.9 | 1.84 | 5.861 | 6.395 | A5 III-IV | F1IV | -26.65 | -30.53 | -26.42 | -31.37 |
| 21 | 160007.328 | -38 2348.14 | 16001-3824 | RMK | 21 АВ | 19.3 | 14.84 | 3.414 | 7.467 | B2.5IV | ${ }^{\text {A }}$ Vn | -16.44 | -26.18 | -26.2 | -29.52 |
| 22, 23 | 175713.209 | -55 $22 \quad 52.52$ | 17572-5523 | RMK | 22 | 94.4 | 2.46 | 6.755 | 7.894 | Kolil + ... |  | -8.38 | -27.75 | -6.69 | -25.86 |
| 25 | 201456.171 | -56 5835.28 | 20149-5659 | RMK | 25 | 28.7 | 7.16 | 7.894 | 7.971 | F6/8 + F |  | 37.31 | -90.2 | 35.41 | -97.76 |
| 26 | 205138.507 | -62 2545.61 | 20516-6226 | RMK | 26 | 82.3 | 2.45 | 6.173 | 6.518 | A2 Vn | A2 Vn | 82.51 | -49.27 | 82.55 | -44.88 |
| 27 | 233927.947 | -46 3816.08 | 23395-4638 | dun |  | 276.3 | 3.92 | 6.291 | 7.236 | ${ }_{\text {A } 8 \mathrm{~V}+\ldots}$. |  | 25.45 | 39.95 | 23.76 | 37.63 |
| 28 | 234734.208 | -60 3109.89 | 23476-6031 |  |  | 279.9 | 5.88 | 9.142 | 8.812 | ко | K1/2 III | 30.98 | -1.17 | 16.2 | 3.79 |
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Table 4 Notes:

| RMK 1 | $\lambda^{1}$ Tuc. | $\lambda^{1}$ Tuc is the double (Vmag $\left.\left(m_{v}\right) \sim 6.7+7.3\right)$. $\lambda$ Tuc a brighter single star separated from $\lambda^{1}$ by $\sim 13.6^{\prime}$. Norton \& Ridpath note for $\lambda^{1}$ : "Optical; little change". |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RMK 2 | $\zeta$ Phe. | Components $A$ and $B$ are a detached Algol-type eclipsing binary. WDS gives PA = $120^{\circ}$ and $\rho=0.6^{\prime \prime}$. Rümker pair is $A B-C$. Component $C$ is a high proper-motion star. |
| RMK 3 | $\theta$ Ret. |  |
| RMK 4 | HD 28255. | X-ray source $\sim 20$ from component A . |
| RMK 5 | HD 55598. | X-ray source $\sim 11$ " from component $A$. |
| RMK 6 | HD 57852. | Component A. Spectroscopic binary. |
| RMK 7 | $\varepsilon \mathrm{Vol}$. | Component A is spectroscopic binary. Norton \& Ridpath note "Fixed". |
| RMK 8 | HD 69863. | X-ray source 18" from component A. |
| RMK 9 | HD 75086. | Two 10th magnitude companion stars at $\sim 1$ ' make pretty field. WDS give stars A-D. |
| RMK 10 | HD 80807. | X-ray source 16 " from component A. |
| RMK 11 | u Car. | Excellent infrared source position coincident. Norton \& Ridpath note as "Fixed". |
| RMK 12 | HD 86388. |  |
| RMK 13 | $J$ Vel. | Be star. Norton \& Ridpath note "Little change". |
| RMK 14 | D Cen. | Norton \& Ridpath note "Fixed". |
| RMK 15 | a Cru. | Norton \& Ridpath note "little change. Very easy". |
| RMK 16 | $\theta$ Mus. | Component A is a Wolf-Rayet star. Spectroscopic binary. Norton \& Ridpath note as "Fixed". |
| RMK 17 | HD 117460. |  |
| RMK 18 | HD 120642. | Component B is HD 120641. |
| RMK 19 | HR 5371. | X-ray source $\sim 15$ " from component A . Infrared source close to component A. |
| RMK 20 | HD 140483. | Component B is HD 140484. |
| RMK 21 | $\eta$ Lup. | Norton \& Ridpath note as "Fixed". |
| RMK 22 \& 23 | HD 163028. | Also RMK 23 (see text). |
| RMK 25 | HD 191869. | Component A is a spectroscopic binary. X -ray source at 5.2 " from component Aa. |
| RMK 26 | HD 198160. | Component B is HD 198161. High proper-motion pair. |
| RMK 27 | $\theta$ Phe. | Norton \& Ridpath note as "Slow binary, little change" |
| RMK 28 | HD 223186. | Listed in the WDS as C00 261. |
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given below.
Because the Rümker catalogue was only the second list of southern hemisphere doubles, it includes many of the brighter and more interesting southern doubles. The combined visual magnitudes range from (Vmag) 0.5 to 8.2, and the faintest Comes is about 9.1 (RMK 28). The separations range from $\sim 1.8 \mathrm{sec}$ arc to $\sim 20.4 \mathrm{sec}$ arc (assuming little movement since discovery). These values are impressive considering the instruments available to Rümker at Parramatta.

Of the 285 "Interesting Objects - Double stars" in total in Norton's Star Atlas and Reference Handbook (Norton \& Ridpath, 1998), 10 of Rümker's 27 are to be found. Six out of 28 (21\%) are noted for the southern polar map (Maps 15 and 16) alone.

## Notes on Individual Pairs in Table 4

There are 26 binary pairs in Table 4 . Of these, 6 are associated with X-ray sources and 2 are associated with infrared sources. There are 3 spectroscopic binaries, one Algol-type eclipsing variable and another nonspecific variable. $\theta$ Muscae (RMK 16) is a complicated system containing a spectroscopic binary, one component of which is a most spectacular Wolf-Rayet star, and J Velorum (RMK 13) is a Be type star. The high Xray content $(23 \%)$ is in keeping with the recognized association between visual binaries and X-ray sources (see, for example, Makarov \& Eggleton, 2009; Makarov, 2002, 2003).

## Conclusion

Of the 28 pairs in Rümker's original catalogue of double stars of 1832,27 could be identified. RMK 23 is the same as RMK 22 and only RMK 24 could not be identified. We identify 5 pairs observed by Rümker that have the discoverer code DUN (for James Dunlop) in the WDS, and two with typographical errors in the minutes' column of Rümker's declination. We tentatively identify RMK 28 with COO 261.

Rümker did not specify an equinox or epoch of observation, however, we have shown that B1827.0 is appropriate.

We have shown the positional data in the original catalogue to be accurate to within the precision allowed in the original observations, and we present tables of modern identifications of pairs and a modern/revised version of Rümker's Double star catalogue.
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# Displaying New Measurements on WDS Orbit Plots 

Robert K. Buchheim<br>Altimira Observatory, Coto de Caza, CA USA<br>Bob@RKBuchheim.org


#### Abstract

Students who observe and measure a visual double star often want to see how their measurement compares with the historical record and with the orbit (if one has been determined). This paper describes how PowerPoint's graphical tools can display a newly-measured data point on the orbit plot from USNO's $6^{\text {th }}$ Orbit Catalog, and how a simple spreadsheet can transform measurements expressed as $(\rho, \theta)$ into a Cartesian plot of the sky positions $(E, N)$. This information is presented as a resource for future students.


## Introduction

Observing and measuring visual double stars is a fruitful project for student research (Genet, et al 2012). It provides the students with a genuine research experience, it requires only a few hours of telescope time, and it provides the double-star community with a new source of measurements (separation and position angle) of neglected pairs.

Students frequently want to see how their measurement "fits in" with the historical record, and they are particularly interested in comparing their measurements to the published orbit of the pair they have measured.

This paper describes two tools that have been used by students under my guidance, that seem to meet their needs: (1) a graphical method of displaying their new measurements on the orbit plot from the $6^{\text {th }}$ Orbit Cata$\log$, and (2) a spreadsheet method to display the new measurement alongside the historical measurements for a pair whose orbit has not yet been determined.

## Displaying a New Measurement on the Orbit Plot

If the pair under study has an orbit in the $6^{\text {th }}$ Orbit Catalog, students would like to display their new measurement on the orbit plot, at a level of accuracy that is appropriate for an illustration in their report, such as Figure 1.

The concept is straightforward: draw a line whose


Figure 1. Example of an illustration that shows how a newlymeasured position "fits in" to the orbit of a visual double star. The red dot is the student-measured position $(\rho, \theta)$.
length represents the measured separation ( $\rho$ ), at the scale of the orbit diagram, and rotate the line to align it with the measured position angle $(\theta)$. The presentation
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software MS PowerPoint contains graphics capability that can do this. The procedure - and the relevant commands - for doing this in PowerPoint 2010 are described in the following.

- Step 1: From the WDS 6th orbit catalog, display the orbit plot (in your browser). Copy and Paste it into PowerPoint.

Scale it larger/smaller to make it nearly fill the PowerPoint frame. Use the setting Format - Size "lock aspect ratio" to maintain the same scale in x- and $y$-axes. The exact size of the image is not important.

- Step 2: Use the command Insert-Shapes to draw a horizontal line that spans an appropriate distance along the x -axis. (In the example below, the line was drawn to span 20 arc-sec, according to the scale on the orbit plot)


Use the Format command to set the height of the line to zero (i.e. a perfectly horizontal line). The Format block will then display the length of the line, to $\pm 0.01$ inch.

Read the length of the line (in inches) and calculate the scale factor of the image.

In the example shown, the reference line as drawn was 1.77 inches long. So, in this example, the scale factor is 1.77 inches per 20 arc-sec.

- Step 3: Use the command INSERT-SHAPES to draw a vertical line (hence aligned with North).

Use the FORMAT command to set the width of the line to zero (i.e. a perfectly vertical line). Set its length ("height") to match your separation measurement:

For example: suppose your measured separation was $\rho=29.75 \mathrm{arcsec}$,

Convert this to inches at the drawing's scale:
$29.75 \mathrm{arc}-\mathrm{sec} * 1.77 / 20$ (inches/arc-sec) $=2.63$ inches.
Note, at this point, it isn't necessary that the line be sitting on the location of the primary star ... just get it vertical and make it the correct length. An example is shown below.

Optionally, you can use the Format - Shape Outline command to set the color of the line, and Format - Shape Outline - Arrows to put a symbol at the south end (e.g. the large "dot" shown in the example). You can also use Format - Shape Outline - Dashes to select the type of dashed line, and Format - Shape Outline - Weight to set the desired thickness of the line.


- Step 4: Rotate the line by selecting it (click on it), and using the Drawing tool Format - Rotate - More Rotation Options. This will open a window that allows you to enter a rotation angle.

Beware of the sign convention for rotation. On the USNO orbit plots, position angle increases in the counter-clockwise direction ("North toward East"). In PowerPoint, counter-clockwise rotation is negative.

For example: If your measured position angle was $\theta=74$ degrees, then in PowerPoint you select the line and set the rotation angle to -74 degrees (i.e. a negative angle).

The result for this example is illustrated below.
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When PowerPoint rotates the line, it uses the midpoint of the line as the center of rotation. As a result, both ends of the line move and it may appear as if the line has translated. This is not a problem: the next step is to translate the line into the correct position.

- Step 5: Translate the line so that one end sits on the position of the primary star (the "+" on the orbit diagram). In PowerPoint, click-hold on the line and drag it up/down and left/right so that one end sits on the location of the primary star. The other end will then mark the position that represents your new measurement of $\rho, \theta$, as was illustrated in Figure 1.

You can then put this diagram into your report. In PowerPoint, use Select All, then right-click to Copy; and in your Word processor right-click and use Paste Options to paste the diagram as a pictURE.

## Plotting Historical Data

An e-mail request to USNO will return all of the historical measurements of a double-star of interest (including all components, if there are more than two). The data is provided as a plain text file that contains (among other parameters) the date, measured position angle, and measured separation for each historical measurement of the pair. It is instructive for students to visualize the apparent motion of the pair as it would be seen in the plane of the sky, and to insert their own measurement to see how it "fits" with the historical data. This is a simple exercise with a spreadsheet, and it


Figure 2: Example of a plot of historical measurements $(\rho, \theta)$ converted into a Cartesian-coordinate display of the trajectory of the secondary star as it would be seen relative to the primary, in the plane of the sky.
is a practical application of the lessons they had in their math classes, about Cartesian coordinates and trigonometry functions. Figure 2 shows an example of the goal of this exercise.

The concept should be familiar to high school students who have taken trigonometry, except that the angle $\theta$ is defined differently than the angle used in standard cylindrical coordinates.


The description of the position of the secondary star is transformed from $\rho, \theta$ (cylindrical coordinates) into $\mathrm{E}, \mathrm{N}$ (Cartesian coordinates) using equations that should be familiar to high school students:
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$$
\cos (\theta)=\frac{N}{\rho}
$$

and

$$
\sin (\theta)=\frac{E}{\rho}
$$

so that the transformation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=\rho \sin \theta \quad \text { in arc-seconds } \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
N=\rho \cos \theta \quad \text { in arc-seconds } \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Doing the transformation and plotting the trajectory of the secondary star is a simple spreadsheet exercise:

- Step 1: Prepare a spreadsheet workbook with three columns, for Date, Position angle, and Separation. Enter all historical observations, one observation per row, into this spreadsheet. Dates should follow the WDS format (decimal year). Position angle ( $\theta$ ) will be in degrees (measured from North toward the East) and Separation $(\rho)$ will be in arc-seconds.
- Step 2: At the bottom of the table of observations (date, $\theta, \rho$ ), insert the students' new measurement (date, theta, $\theta, \rho)$.
- Step 3: In two columns to the right, program the equations Eq. 1 and Eq. 2, to calculate the Cartesian coordinates E ("east") and N ("north). Copy these equations downward, so that each observation is transformed to $\mathrm{E}, \mathrm{N}$ coordinates.

Beware that most spreadsheets (such as MS Excel) expect the angle in trig formulas to be expressed in radians (not degrees). Thus, the spreadsheet formulas will be $\sin (\theta \pi / 180)$ and $\cos (\theta \pi / 180)$, when the angle $\theta$ is expressed in degrees.

- Step 4: Create a graph that shows the measurements in Cartesian coordinates, to illustrate the trajectory of the secondary star relative to the primary, as it would appear in the sky. Select the two columns containing the calculated " E " and " N " coordinates, including all of the rows for all historical (and new) measurements. With the data array selected, use the command Insert - Scatter to create a scatter chart from this data.

This will yield a plot similar to Figure 2
This sort of display can highlight several features of the data. First, the graph is an intuitive visual display of the trajectory of the secondary star. In the example of Figure 2, a little imagination will suggest the shape of a probable elliptical orbit.

Second, the plot nicely displays the more-or-less random "scatter" in the observations, with individual points being arrayed near the probable orbit, but not lying cleanly on it. The inevitability of some random uncertainty in any scientific measurement is an important lesson for the students, and it can be seen in their own double star measurements.

Third, most plots will show some discordant data points - measurements that fall significantly "off" of their expected locations, given the overall trend of the majority of data points. In situations where there are only a few historical measurements, the student can be challenged to think about whether a discordant measure is "wrong", or if it is just a manifestation of normal measurement uncertainty; and of the difficulty of reaching a conclusion about an individual data point. This can lead to a discussion of when - if ever - it might be appropriate to "toss out" a data point.

Finally, it may be useful to format the data point that represents the students' measurement so that it is highlighted relative to the historical measurements. This gives the student a clear picture of how their measurement "fits in" to the historical record.

## Limitations

The display methods presented here (Figure 1 and Figure 2) are intended only as tools for visualization of double-star motion. They are not appropriate for determining orbits, nor for quantitative assessment of the residuals between an orbit versus a new measurement. If a quantitative comparison of a new measurement to an orbit is needed, then the student must refer to the orbit ephemeris (USNO $6^{\text {th }}$ Orbit Catalog) and use a rigorous calculation of the residual, such as that described in Smith et al 2016.
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#### Abstract

There are numerous binary pairs that are not in the Washington Double Star Cata$\log (\mathrm{WDS})^{1}$ that appear to be physical binaries. We show in this study that such pairs are often optical using Monte Carlo simulations of the sky.


A casual glance at the photos in the $\mathrm{DSS}^{2}$ with Aladin ${ }^{3}$ or WikiSky ${ }^{4}$ will reveal pairs of stars that seem to be isolated from other stars in the field. These wide (> 4") pairs, whose components are within a visual magnitude of each other, are sometimes not in the WDS. If they also have similar blue magnitudes, this would imply that their spectral types are also similar, which further suggests they are at similar distances, and probably orbiting one another.

To test the idea that two stars within 60 arc seconds of one another are physically connected, all stars brighter than 15 mv and within 20 degrees of the north galactic pole (NGP) were selected from the UCAC4 $5^{5} \mathrm{CCD}$ astrograph catalog. The NGP was selected as the stellar density there is less than in other regions of the sky, thereby increasing the probability that pairs in that region are physically connected.

To be included in the study, the stars had to be:

- Within 20 degrees of the NGP.
- Brighter than 15.0 mv .
- Each star's proper motion had to be greater than twice its proper motion error.

There were 129,762 stars found within 20 degrees of the NGP that met these criteria for the study.

A program was used to create an artificial sky, randomly assigning the stars to different positions within 20 degrees of the NGP. The magnitudes and proper motions of the stars themselves were unchanged.

A program to locate binaries was created to search the data, both real and simulated, for binary pairs using the following rules:

- Components of a pair of stars needed to be closer
than 60 " of one another.
- The magnitudes of the stars needed to be within 2 mv of each other.
- Once a star was identified as a member of a pair, it was removed from the list, preventing it from being chosen as a member of another pair.
- The proper motions of the stars had to be greater than 2 milliarcseconds per year, and the directions of their motion needed to be within 2 radians ( $\sim 115$ degrees) of one another, and finally not differ in magnitude by more than $+/-50 \%$ of each other's proper motion.
- The proper motion of each star in the pair needed to exceed the error in it's proper motion by a factor of two or more.

The pairs that were found were divided into 5 zones, based on their separations ( $\rho$ ).

The results from the stars of the UCAC4 yielded 1271 possible pairs, with the following distribution of separations:

- 32 pairs less than 4 ".
- $\quad 57$ pairs between 4 and $8^{\prime \prime}$.
- 123 pairs between 8 and $15^{\prime \prime}$.
- 275 pairs between 15 and 30 ".
- 784 pairs between 30 and 60 ".

The artificial sky was simulated 100 times, and the results shown are the averages of these runs. There were 893 possible pairs found with the following distribution of separations:

- 2 pairs less than 4 ".
- 10 pairs between 4 and $8^{\prime \prime}$.
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- 35 pairs between 8 and $15^{\prime \prime}$.
- 158 pairs between 15 and 30 ".
- 688 pairs between 30 and 60 ".

These results are graphed in Figure 1. The X axis value of each datum reflects the minimum value of a zone, e.g. $0=$ zone $0 "-4 "$. This can be shown more clearly if the number of simulated pairs for a given bin is shown as a percentage of UCAC4 for that bin, Figure 2. The UCAC4 data are set to 100 , in a line across the top of Figure 2.

Note that for the simulated pairs, the wider the pair zone, the closer its numbers get to those found in the UCAC4 survey. This indicates that many wide pairs, which at first glance appear to be mutually orbiting one another, are actually optical pairs or that their orbit is so large as to be easily disrupted when the pair interacts with the other stars, clusters, and spiral arms of the rest of the galaxy.

For details on the computing required to conduct this study, please see the appendix below.
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Figure 1. Distribution of separation of double stars for the artificial sky (green line) and from UCAC4 (red line).


Figure 2. Number of simulated pairs as a percentage of UCAC4 pairs.
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## Appendix

The programs used to generate this study are available on SourceForge: https://sourceforge.net/projects/are-wide-binaries-optical/ files/AreWideBinaries/OpticalSource.

You'll also need the gcc compiler and perl on your machine, as well as about 100 GB of disk space for the data to reproduce this study. Windows users can run them under cygwin.

The UCAC4 catalog is available on line (see its on line site in the above references) in binary format.

The catalog data are placed in a data directory. On the author's system, for example, it is /work/astro/data/.

The UCAC4 data are first parsed with readUcac4.c, and then ucac4TextToNA_Format.pl and splitUCAC4intoDecZoneFiles.pl create the data read by getDataFromCatalogs.c.

The format of the transformed UCAC4 data are then rendered into a "standard" format:

NGP stars. SimulateNGP.c does this by assigning a randomly chosen position for each of the stars in NGPstars. The magnitude and proper motion data for the stars are left intact.

Binaries are then found by findBinaries.c
Note that the following command will compile the C programs, where programName.c is the C source code and executableName is the executable the compiler creates:
gcc -std=gnu99 -O2 -o executableName Wunused programName.c -lm

The graphs were made using gnuplot.

```
ra| dec |R|G|B|Visual Magnitude|Catalog | Name | pmRa | pmDec |ePmRa |ePmDec
```

Definition of each field is as follows:

1. ra: Right ascension in radians.
2. dec: Declination in radians.
3. Red color estimate for the star. Not used in this study.
4. Green color estimate for the star. Not used in this study.
5. Blue color estimate for the star. Not used in this study.
6. Visual magnitude. Usually the Johnson V band magnitude.
7. Catalog: A single character identifier for the cata$\log$ the data was from.
8. Name: The star's identifier in the catalog.
9. pmRa: Proper motion in right ascension. In milliarcseconds / year.
10. pmDec: Proper motion in declination. In milliarcseconds / year.
11. ePmRa: Error in proper motion in right ascension. In milliarcseconds / year.
12. ePmDec: Error in proper motion in declination. In milliarcseconds / year.

The data itself is split into 180 different files, one for each degree of declination, and placed in directories named naFormatData which are placed in a sub directory, e.g. /work/astro/data/UCAC4/naFormatData.

From these files, the stars within $20^{\circ}$ of the NGP are found and placed in their own file.

We are now ready to create a simulation of the
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#### Abstract

CCD astrometric observations of three double star groups from the Orion constellation were made. Position angles and separations of corresponding pairs were obtained from the data acquired and compared to previous observations listed in the Washington Double Star Catalog. Present data agrees with previous observational data.


## Introduction

As a child I have always wondered about the stars and planets glowing above me. When I grew into my teenage years I found a love for astronomy. I used to watch any type of documentaries that would come on television as well as read up and look at all the pictures in text books. Two years ago, enrolling in an astronomy class at Leeward Community College rekindled my curiosity and passion for stars that has been a fantasy luxuriated by watching plenty of colorful astronomy programs on TV during my school years.

After completing the course I was able to enroll into an independent study class for hands on experience on operating telescopes and observing the night sky, eventually leading to an opportunity to conduct research in astronomy as well. Using the Eagle Creek Observatory web site, I chose three binary stars from the Orion constellation for their appearance near zenith and visibility, thus making them ideal double stars to take good images.

## Instrumentation and Data Acquisition Methods

Observations were made on a 0.5 meter $\mathrm{f} / 8.1$ Ritchey-Chretien Optical Guidance Systems telescope at the Leeward Community College Observatory. Images of interested objects were captured using an Apogee Alta U6 CCD camera with 24 micron pixels cooled to $-10^{0} \mathrm{C}$. No filters were used for these observations. After cooling the camera to the desired temperature, with the help of Sky6 software from Software Bisque, the telescope was slewed and centered on the first target, and a sample star field was captured using suitable exposure time (special care was taken to not saturate


Figure 1. The author at the telescope.
the star field). The exposure time was 10 seconds. The camera software was CCDSoft, also from Software Bisque. Using the same exposure time, ten dark background exposures were captured and the average of these ten frames was obtained for image processing. A sample image plate after background processing for star system SAO 113315 is shown in Figure 2.

The software used for determining the astrometric solutions also provided the plate scale and orientation of the camera. For the observational set up, the plate scale was computed to be 1.21 "/pixel and the camera angle to be 357.32 degrees respectively.
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Figure 2: Background corrected Plate for SAO 113315 in Orion.

## Results

Astrometric solutions for the acquired pairs of double stars were obtained by analyzing the collected data using the CCDSoft software in conjunction with the Sky6 software. Separation between the primary and secondary stars and their Position Angles were determined for each ten sets of observations, their average was computed, and the results are presented in the Table 1. The last column, current data, is compared to the last observed data provided in the Washington Double Star Catalog.

## Conclusion

Three sets of double star astrometric measurements using a CCD camera were successfully made and their separation and position angles were determined. The observational data agrees with previous reported data (WDS). This unique opportunity has helped me understand and appreciate astronomy more than before.
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Table 1: Present Observational Data compared to their last reported values in WDS Catalog.

| Double Star ID | Separation (arc sec) |  | Position Angle |  | (degrees) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SAO Number | Last | Present | Difference | Last | Present | Difference |
| SAO 95002 <br> $05561+1356 s ~ 503 B C ~$ | 24.1 | 24.27 | .17 | 166 | 167.18 | 1.18 |
| SAO 113315 <br> $05584+0150 A R N$ | 36.5 | 37.15 | 0.65 | 206 | 205.55 | 0.45 |
| SAO 113435 <br> $06051+0053$ STF | 40.5 | 40.24 | 0.25 | 329 | 328.82 | 0.18 |
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#### Abstract

This paper documents first use for speckle interferometry of the Tierra Astronomical Institute's 24 -inch telescope, located at Terra Del Sol, some 60 -miles east of San Diego, CA. Measurements are reported for four close binary systems - STF2173AB, D15, STF2205, and HSD2685-observed over the weekend of July 1-3, 2016. The objectives of this engineering checkout run were to evaluate the integration of the telescope and ZWO ASI 290MM high speed CMOS camera, and to establish observational procedures for future speckle observations, including those made with advanced high school and college student researchers. Difficulties encountered in the checkout are described, along with suggestions for overcoming them in the next run.


## Introduction

## Small Telescope Student Research in the San Diego Area

Members of the San Diego astronomical community are developing a collaborative initiative to introduce high school and college students to science through small telescope astronomical research. As part of this initiative, three community colleges and four high schools are adopting the Astronomical Research Seminar supported by the Institute for Student Astronomical Research (InStAR), see http://www.in4star.org.

As part of the seminar, student teams, with assistance from the astronomy community, focus on double star research that results in published papers. Expansion of this research to time-series photometry of exoplanet transits, binary star eclipses, brightness variations of intrinsically variable stars, and tumbling asteroids is planned. In addition to time and advice, assistance from the astronomy community extends to providing the facilities (telescopes, etc.) to support student research. One such facility is Tierra Astronomical Institute's (TAI) 24-inch F/8 Ritchey-Chretien telescope located at
the San Diego Astronomical Association (SDAA) in the high desert sixty miles east of San Diego (see www.tierra-astro.org). While primarily available for student and instructor projects, this telescope also supports other student activities such as class field trips and teacher training (Figure 1).

InStAR seminars support various types of double star research which are tailored for students with different skill sets. One of the more advanced types of research project includes speckle interferometry measurements of binary systems. These measurements require 'small' telescopes with a large enough aperture to obtain useable SNR values for a target system. Of course the larger the aperture, the better the theoretical resolving power. The telescope must also be capable of high precision pointing because of the high magnification required to obtain speckle images. Fortunately, the 24inch telescope was recently upgraded with Renishaw encoders on each axis to provide high resolution position feedback.

## Project Goals

First use of the TAI facilities for speckle interferometry of close binary systems took place over the


Figure 1. Tierra Astronomical Institute's 24-inch F/8 R-C telescope.
weekend of July 1-3, 2016. The project had three primary goals. The first goal was to perform an engineering checkout to see if all the assembled components (hardware, software, and procedures) work together properly. The second goal was to validate the suitability of the 24 -inch telescope facility for speckle interferometry of binary star systems, and define required or desired improvements to hardware, software, or procedures. The final goal was to make precise speckle interferometry observations of several binary star systems.

## Binary Stars

Repeated measurements of the position angles ( $\theta$ ) and separation ( $\rho$ ) of the two components of a gravitationally bound binary star can lead to the determination of its orbit (Heintz 1978). From Kepler's first law, we know that the observations must form an ellipse. However, the apparent ellipse we see from Earth could actually be oriented in almost any way in three-dimensional space. To determine this orientation, we use Kepler's second law of equal areas being swept out in equal intervals of time to determine the three-dimensional orientation that best fits the observational data.

With an orbit in hand, we know, besides the spatial orientation and the orbital period, the semi-major axes of the orbit as an observed angle in arc seconds ("). If we also know the distance to the binary, often the case from observations made by Tycho (and being greatly refined by Gaia), then we can convert this angular value into an actual physical length of the semi-major axis. We can then apply Kepler's third law which relates the period, semi-major axis, and combined mass of the two
stars:

$$
p^{2}=\frac{a^{3}}{M_{1}+M_{2}}
$$

where $p$ is the period in years, $a$ is the semi-major axis in astronomical units, and $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ are the masses of the two stars in solar masses

The dynamical (combined) mass of the two stars can be parsed into individual stellar masses using one of several methods. The most accurate method uses radial velocity curves. Accurate, assumption-free knowledge of individual stellar masses is the foundation of stellar evolutionary theory, hence the scientific importance of binary star astrometric measurements.

Obtaining accurate orbital parameters via binary astrometry requires a nearly complete orbit of high quality observations. Binaries with orbital periods less than a century, and ideally less than a decade or so, allow accurate observations to build up within practical time frames. The problem is that binaries with short periods also have small apparent angular separations, even if they are fairly close to the Earth. These separations are often so small that they are below the seeing limit, and thus the binary appears as a single star (perhaps with a slight elongation).

## Speckle Interferometry

Anton Labeyrie (1970) realized that very short exposures would freeze out atmospheric fluctuations that were blurring images. Many very short exposures could then be analyzed to determine the position angles and separations of close binary stars, with separations only limited by the resolution of the telescope (about $0.3^{\prime \prime}$ for a 24 -inch telescope), not atmospheric seeing. The analysis consisted of taking the Fourier transforms of all of the short-exposure images (typically hundreds or thousands), averaging these, and then taking the inverse transform to produce an autocorrelogram from which the position angle and separation could be obtained (although there is a $180^{\circ}$ ambiguity in the position angle).

## Recent Hardware and Software Developments

Unfortunately, the read noise of CCD cameras operated at high speed is too high for practical speckle interferometry, so images either had to be optically intensified, or (more recently) they had to be obtained with an electron-multiplying CCD camera (emCCD) with a gain register just prior to the camera's analog-todigital converter. However, emCCD cameras cost between $\$ 10,000$ and $\$ 40,000$, are somewhat large and heavy, and have to be used carefully so as not to degrade the gain register with overexposures (Genet 2013).

Sony, very recently, developed very low read noise (some less than 1 electron), low cost CMOS chips that have been incorporated into astronomical cameras by firms such as ZWO (Genet et al. 2016 and Ashcraft 2016) and QHY. In addition, Sony just this year released a new line of back-illuminated CMOS detectors with improved near-IR sensitivity. Driven by the mass market for cameras in hand-held electronic devices and many other applications, these low cost CMOS chips offer excellent potential for low-cost speckle imaging.

## Equipment

A schematic diagram of the TAI speckle interferometry imaging effort is shown in Figure 2. The yellow arrows represent the optical paths. The blue arrows represent the software applications controlling their associated hardware components. The red arrows represent manual operation, viz. of the flip mirror, centering eyepiece, and ZWO filter wheel.

## Optical Paths and Mount

The 24 -inch $\mathrm{f} / 8$ Ritchey-Chretien supports two optical paths, 'open' and 'flipped,' which are selected and indicated by use of a manual flip mirror. The speckle imaging setup was installed on the 'flipped' optical path which provides a standard 2-inch interface, as seen in Figure 3.

The optical tube assembly sits on a German Equatorial mount that uses a Sidereal Technology (SiTech)


Figure 3. Close-up of the TAI 24-inch optical paths for Speckle Interferometry. A 30 mm illuminated reticle eyepiece (not shown) was installed in the "open" path at left. For the engineering run, a ZWO ASI290MM speckle camera was used in place of the ZWO ASI224MC seen here.
controller (Grey 2016) for computer controlled pointing and tracking. The SiTech controller was part of a recent upgrade that started in the spring of 2015 that included a complete 12 -month maintenance overhaul of the telescope system. Along with the new controller, the RA/


Figure 2. Diagram of the TAI Speckle Interferometry Components.
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Figure 4. Left: The ZWO ASI290MM back-illuminated, low noise, high speed CMOS camera (fisheye lens installed). Right: Quantum efficiency relative to peak value, which is likely to be about $80 \%$.

DEC stepper motors were replaced with brushless DC servo motors and absolute encoder feedback was added to each axis. The brushless servos have shaft encoders on the armature shaft which provide closed loop control of the servos. The Renishaw mount encoders provide additional feedback accuracy (over 67 million ticks/ revolution) and very linear motion of the telescope ax-es-virtually eliminating any periodic error using the controller's Cascade Mode. The SiTech control software used for this session was SiTechExe version 0.91 T .

In the open optical path, a 30 mm illuminated reticle eyepiece was used for centering target stars in the field of view. The RoboFocus secondary focuser was used for focusing. After the target star was focused and centered, the flip mirror was manually flipped and the star would appeared in the speckle camera field-of-view.

High magnification is required in speckle interferometry so that details of the speckle pattern can be seen. Simulation studies have shown that Airy disk sampling should optimally be 6 to 8 pixels (Rowe, 2016). Filters are typically used in speckle work to minimize color dispersion by the atmosphere, which tends to spear out the speckles. Four standard $11 / 4$ inch photometric filters, mounted in a ZWO 5-position manual filter wheel, were used for this checkout run: Johnson B and V, and Cousins R and I, filters were located in positions 1 through 4, respectively. Even with filtering, stars beyond about 35 -degree zenith angle were not observed because no atmospheric dispersion corrector was yet available.

For this first TAI speckle run, it was estimated that a magnification of about 1.75 was needed to magnify
the native $\mathrm{f} / 8$ focal ratio to about $\mathrm{f} / 14$, yielding a little less than 8 pixels across the Airy Disk. A 2x Barlow was available, providing approximately $\mathrm{f} / 16$, for 9 pixels across the Airy disk, or a plate scale estimated to be $0.06 \mathrm{arc}-\mathrm{sec} / \mathrm{pixel}$ (but subsequently measured with high precision).

A Moonlite focuser was used for focusing the magnified image onto the ZWO high-speed imaging camera (see below). The camera was under the control of FireCapture software (Edelmann, 2016). The Moonlite focuser was periodically adjusted to account for changing environmental conditions during the observing session.

## Speckle Camera System

The camera used was a ZWO ASI290MM highspeed monochrome camera, shown in Figure 4 (https:// www.zwoptical.com). It has a Sony IMX291 BackIlluminated CMOS detector with $2.9 \mu$ square pixels in a $1936 \times 1096$ array, and rolling shutter. This camera was chosen because of its advertised low read noise ( $\sim 1 \mathrm{e}^{-} \mathrm{rms}$ ), high Quantum Efficiency (likely $\sim 80 \%$ peak), good near-IR sensitivity, high speed USB3.0 interface ( 170 fps full frame), and moderate price ( $\$ 449$ ). The detector size ( 6.46 mm diagonal) is small by CCD camera standards, but the very small pixels require less magnification than other cameras for speckle work, still providing a reasonable field of view for acquiring stars at high magnification and for evaluation of the sidereal drift method to calibrate images for orientation and plate scale (discussed in detail below).

## Camera Issues

This was the first use of the new ZWO ASI290MM CMOS camera by everyone on the team. The camera
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had two issues that affected our results. Number one was a significant "read" (line pattern) noise component that created horizontal bands in each image. This noise was small in most lines, but very much larger in other lines. Apparently, the advertised low noise level ( $\sim 1 \mathrm{e}-$ rms) is the result of most lines being low, but some still large-significant for faint stars. This noise is created by the CMOS camera electronics; each row of pixels has its own amplifier and A/D converter, so it is often called "line pattern noise." This noise is nonrepeatable, so it cannot be calibrated by taking bias and dark frames, as is commonly done with CCD cameras. Because it is in one direction only, the line noise can be nearly eliminated in Fourier transform processing, simply by selecting the "interference" filter feature of the Speckle Tool Box (STB) data reduction software (Rowe 2016).

The second issue was the camera gain setting. The maximum gain is 600 . Unfortunately, the digital gain process reduces dynamic range. Since our gain was set to 550 , which apparently increases the read noise, this affected all the data we gathered. In the future, the gain must be evaluated carefully, to find the best gain versus noise and dynamic range for detection of faint stars.

## Observing Procedures

## Target List

A master Target List of double stars, in Excel workbook format, had been prepared in advance of the run. Stars suitable for the TAI and similar size telescopes were chosen from the WDS Catalog, based on separation ( $0.3^{\prime \prime}$ to $2.5^{\prime \prime}$ ), brightness ( $\mathrm{V}<10$ and $\Delta$ $\mathrm{V}<2.5$ ), and Declination (between +70 and -30 ). The nifty WDS on-line search tool of Bryant (2015) was used to quickly find all candidates with the desired characteristics. Stars were then further selected by interest; for example, those already having an orbit solution, those with common proper motion, or recent Hipparcos discoveries.

Each sheet consisted of all the selected doubles within a two-hour RA window. Within each sheet, the stars were arranged generally by declination to minimize telescope slewing movements. Reference stars were chosen using the planetarium program Megastar5 (Mitchell 2003). For groups of doubles that happened to be near each other (typically 2 to 5 stars less than a few degrees apart), an appropriate Reference star was chosen to serve all doubles in the group. The workbook spanned RA from 06 to 22 hours, with each sheet generally containing 50 to 80 double stars, most of which were appropriate targets for the TAI 24 -inch telescope.

Several stars were chosen from the master Target List, within the boundaries of appropriate RA and Dec,
to aid the goals of this engineering checkout run. A bright pair with moderate separation and a well-defined (Grade 1) orbit, 17304-0104 STF2173AB, was selected as a check on the accuracy of our observations. Several pairs of varying brightness and separation, having poorly defined orbits, were also selected with the hope that our observations would lead to a more refined orbit. Finally, a few challenging pairs were selected with a faint or close secondary. All the stars were observed with the Johnson-Cousins B, V, Rc, and Ic photometric filters.

## Observing Organization and Work Flow Overview

- The observing team was organized into several functional tasks:
- Telescope control: target RA/Dec, slewing, focus, centering star on camera (JG)
- Manual operation: flip mirror, filter setting, centering in eyepiece reticle (BH \& BW)
- Camera control: set file directory, FITS format, star type, filter, exposure, ROI, number of frames, etc. (JG)
- Run logging: record target star, sequence number, filter, time (PB)
- Quick-look data reduction: calibration, FITS cubes, speckle reduction (DR \& RW)
For the engineering checkout run, it was convenient for both the telescope and camera to be operated by the same person (JG). To help avoid mistakes and later confusion during data reduction, the work flow sequence followed a repeating pattern for each target star:
- Double Star: one sequence of 1000 frames for each filter: B, V, R, I in that order.
- Reference Star: one sequence of 1000 frames for each filter: B, V, R, I in that order.
- Drift Calibration: two drifts of Reference Star, any filter easily visible.


## Telescope Configuration and Control

Telescope pointing and tracking were accomplished using SiTechExe v0.91T. This program offers a variety of features including the capability of defining custom 'databases' of targets that can be manually selected via the SiTechExe user interface for the next GoTo or Sync operation. The program has the ability to read text file coordinates and then GoTo those coordinates. For the speckle imaging run, one of us (DW) translated the Excel double star Target List into the format required for SiTechExe.

Figure 5 shows an example of a Messier database object loaded into SiTechExe. An example of the double star database format is also shown in Figure 5. The database targets included all the double stars and their
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| 11343.84 | 61454 | $\mathrm{N}=1$ | BU 1077 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11294.7 | 614640 | $\mathrm{N}=2$ | RefStar |
| 113220.76 | 61457.9 | $\mathrm{N}=3$ | STT 235 |
| 11534.65 | 544828.7 | $\mathrm{N}=4$ | A 1591 |
| $11 \quad 327.91$ | 543133 | $\mathrm{N}=5$ | A 1590 |
| $10 \quad 59 \quad 0.4$ | 55042 | $N=6$ | RefStar |
| 115157.86 | 48519.5 | $\mathrm{N}=7$ | HU 731 |
| 11555.74 | 462836.6 | $\mathrm{N}=8$ | A 1777 |
| 113844.6 | 45627 | $\mathrm{N}=9$ | Refstar |
| $11 \quad 3049.91$ | 411712.7 | $\mathrm{N}=10$ | STT 234 |
| $10 \quad 2843.86$ | 455751.1 | $\mathrm{N}=11$ | A 1993 |

Figure 5. Left: Example of the SiTechExe user interface, showing M6 from the Messier database accessible through the SiTechExe control system. Right: Example of a SiTechExe Double Star Database input text file, consisting of RA, Dec, Target List line number, and star ID.
reference stars that were used as the basis for telescope pointing. The purpose in creating the SiTech text files was to eliminate errors of manually typing in the coordinates during an observing run. Unfortunately, conversion of the coordinates from the Excel spreadsheet to SiTech data file required a lot of manual intervention (on every input) to get the correct format.

The process for target acquisition was simply to select the target from the SiTechExe database and instruct the telescope to slew to the target. The star of interest always appeared in the FOV of the illuminated reticle eyepiece. Using manual controls, the star was positioned at the appropriate spot to align it with the Barlow-amplified optical path. The manual operator (SS) did the centering and knew where the star needed to be placed to be centered on the Barlow path for the camera. The Barlow path was then selected by the manual flip mirror, and the camera operator confirmed that the target was in the speckle camera FoV. The star was then positioned as needed by the Telescope/Camera operator. During the run, the telescope was periodically and manually synced on known targets when centered in the camera FoV to maintain reliable pointing.

In the near future, a Finger Lakes Instruments CCD camera will be used for plate solving and object centering. The manual flip mirror will still be used in the near term, but eventually it will be motorized. This will enable the Telescope/Camera operator to have complete control over the target acquisition process.

## Camera Control

The data acquisition software used was FireCapture (FC) (Edelmann, 2016). This program, designed primarily for planetary imaging, was selected because it can handle many types of cameras and can output frames as FITS files, which is a convenient format for speckle data reduction. The highly-magnified, turbulent image of a moderately bright star did not fill the complete camera FOV; after the target was located, a smaller Region of Interest (RoI) was manually selected in FC to facilitate download speeds and subsequent processing, and minimize hard disk storage. The ROI was typically $512 \times 512$ pixels or less for this imaging setup. After the RoI was selected, the camera's exposure time, gain, and gamma were manually set to optimize signal-to-noise-ratio (SNR) for the target. Focus was also manually verified and adjusted when necessary using the MoonLite focuser control software, such that speckles became clearly visible on the screen.

The next step included manual verification that the correct filter on the ZWO 5-position manual filter wheel was selected. FireCapture was then configured for the appropriate target type (double, reference, or drift) and filter, then commanded to record a sequence of 1000 frames. A Log entry for each FC sequence was added to the Log spreadsheet. The display was monitored as the star boiled and danced for about 20 to 30 seconds, to be sure it didn't drift too near an edge of the ROI field. Only bright and well-separated double stars (more than about 1 arc-sec) were obviously seen as
double; a tight and/or faint companion was invisible in the seeing mess, but it was still there!

The targets alternated between double and reference, where the reference is a nearby single star used for "deconvolution" analysis in data reduction. All the same optical imperfections that affect the double star are captured in the reference star images as well, including even focus and some atmospheric effects. By Fourier Transform deconvolution, these small effects are cancelled from the double star data, greatly improving and sharpening the Autocorrelation end product.

## Run Log

The Run Log was a simplified version of the Target List, containing only the observed stars. Data for the Double and Reference stars were first copied from the Target List. As the session progressed, the Log was edited in real time by the dedicated Log Master (PB) while the images were being recorded. For each sequence of 1000 frames, the star type, FC sequence number, filter, date, and time were added to the Log. After the Reference star observations were completed, two Calibration Drifts, also using the Reference star, were performed and entered into the Log.

## Data Reduction

## Workflow

Data Reduction utilized two programs which are freely available on-line to amateur astronomers and students, by request to the authors: REDUC (Losse 2015) and Speckle Tool Box (STB) (Rowe 2016). Excel spreadsheet software was also used. The workflow followed this general outline:

- Drift Calibration
$\diamond$ Inspect images and delete images with no sidereal motion star (REDUC)
$\diamond$ STB drift calibration analysis (STB)
$\diamond$ Summarize and plot results in spreadsheet (Excel)
- Creation of FITS cubes for STB processing (STB)
- Processing FITS cubes (STB)
$\diamond$ STB Fast Fourier analysis of each frame
$\diamond$ Average all transforms
$\diamond$ Write average Power Spectral Density (PSD) file
- Speckle Reduction (STB)
$\diamond$ Select input double star and single star reference cubes
$\diamond$ Select appropriate dimensional and wavelength filters
$\diamond$ Enter camera angle and pixel scale calibration values
$\diamond$ Select Output File directory and name


Figure 6. The parameters of a double star measurement are Separation of the two components (arc-sec), and Position Angle (degrees) of the secondary from North (toward East first).

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\diamond & \text { Measure autocorrelogram } \\
\diamond & \text { Save results }
\end{array}
$$

## Calibration

The end products of any double star measurement are simply two quantities: Separation (arc-seconds) and Position Angle (degrees), as shown in Figure 6. In order to maximize accuracy of the measurement, two calibration factors must be determined: Pixel Scale or "Plate Scale" (arc second/pixel) and orientation of the camera on the sky. One way to calibrate the setup is by making multiple Calibration Drifts throughout the night. This method applies only to equatoriallymounted telescopes (no field rotation), and no adjustments can be made which could change the magnification or rotate the camera.

We used a special RoI for drift calibration, having full frame width (east-west) but fewer pixels in height (north-south), in order to speed up the frame rate, get more samples within the camera field, and reduce image storage space. To make a calibration drift sequence, a moderately bright star (we used a reference star) is moved slightly outside the east edge of the frame. The recording sequence is started and the telescope is commanded to stop tracking. After the star has drifted at sidereal rate across the chip, the telescope is commanded to begin tracking again, the star is recentered in the field, and the SiTech control system is re-synced on that star to re-establish accurate telescope pointing.

For this optical and camera combination, a drift typically lasted about 8 seconds near the equator, and longer at higher declination. The sidereal drift path of the star defines the true east-west direction, distorted
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slightly by the star bouncing around in the seeing disk. The short exposures, typically the same as the speckle exposures, stop the sidereal motion in each frame, as well as the seeing motion. The least squares slope of the star positions (assumed to be a straight line over the small FOV) calibrates the rotation angle of the camera relative to the true east-west direction. Each frame has a time tag, written by FC into the FITS header; thus the drift sequence records several hundred star positions (star image centroid in pixels) at known times. The sidereal drift rate across the camera field is a function only of the star's declination; spacing of the star pixel positions versus time is used to calculate the pixel scale calibration constant (arc-seconds / pixel).

The drift calibration data reduction process has been implemented in the STB freeware speckle data reduction program, making data reduction fast and easy. The only preparation needed is to edit the calibration sequence to include only valid frames. Although STB can eliminate out-lying points, a number of nonvalid frames, where the star has not yet entered, or has already left, or is not moving at the sidereal rate, would add needlessly spurious data points that should not be included in the calibration. The REDUC program was used to inspect each drift sequence; unwanted frames which didn't show the star clearly within the frame and moving at the sidereal rate were edited out simply by deleting them. REDUC can calculate the drift angle, and this was used for comparison with STB results.

For calculation of pixel scale, STB reads the time of each frame from the standard FITS header format, written there by FireCapture to the nearest millisecond. The computer clock time does not have to be accurate, but it is assumed to be self-consistent during the short duration of the drift, so that the frames are properly located in time relative to each other.

The STB calibration procedure is very simple for the user: just enter the declination of the star (hh_mm_ss) and select the folder having the (edited) calibration sequence of FITS frames; they do not have to be in FITS cube format. When the first file is selected, STB immediately begins its analysis, centroiding the brightest (usually only) star in each frame and plotting its pixel coordinates as a new point on a rapidlygrowing X-Y plot.

As each drift sequence was analyzed in STB, the drift angle and pixel scale results were entered into a spreadsheet, and the results of all the drifts were plotted and averaged. In this way, any suspicious shift in angle or scale could immediately be noticed. The good calibration data from our second night is seen in Figure 7. The scatter is caused primarily by seeing, which bounces the data points around randomly; the least squares curve fit could be slightly rotated (affecting drift angle) or stretched or compressed in length (affecting pixel scale) if there were a net shift in any one direction. Corresponding drift angles from the REDUC program are also shown, with a similar average value.

The calibration shows that we ended up with a pixel scale of 0.0498 arc-sec per pixel. This corresponds to an effective focal ratio of about $\mathrm{f} / 20$, and sampling of about 11 pixels across the Airy disk - a bit more than estimated or desired, but our stars were still bright enough to record adequately.

## Validation of Camera Orientation

A robust technique for establishing the proper sign of the camera rotation angle is to observe the same double star with two different camera angles. Only one combination of signs will then yield consistent Position Angle measurements.

The double star 16439+4329 D15 was observed on


Figure 7. Results of 8 Drift Calibration sequences during the Speckle run of night 2, July 2-3, 2016, using the methods of Speckle Tool Box (Rowe 2016) and REDUC (Losse 2015).
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Table 1. Validation of Calibration Results for Star D15. Different camera rotation angles (positive clockwise) on two nights produced good agreement for Position Angle

| Calibration and Measurement Summary for 16439+4329 D15 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Night | Camera <br> Angle | Plate Scale | Filter | Observed |  |
|  |  |  |  | PA | Sep. |
| Friday | 9.42 | 0.0441 | V | 24.6 | 0.579 |
|  |  |  | R | 25.2 | 0.587 |
|  |  |  | I | 25.3 | 0.578 |
| Saturday | 3.43 | 0.0498 | V | 25.5 | 0.578 |
|  |  |  | R | 24.7 | 0.583 |
|  |  |  | I | 24.4 | 0.577 |

both nights of our run, with different camera rotation angles and somewhat different Barlow magnification. The STB calibration data are shown in Table 1. The camera was rotated clockwise on each night, but by much different angles. Only the positive sense used in speckle data reduction gave consistent results for the star PA. The separation results also agree well, even though only three calibration drifts were done on the first night.

Later, during Speckle Data Reduction, it was realized that the Position Angles in Table 1 were actually mirror-reversed about the north-south axis, because the camera was mounted on the mirror leg of the Flip Mirror, giving three reflections instead of two. This effect was accounted for in the final measurements presented in Table 2.

The image files were stored automatically by FC in folders labeled by star type: Double, Reference, or Drift. All images were saved as 16 -bit FITS files, with computer clock time (to the millisecond) included in the FITS Header. Since the camera produces only 12bit data, FC automatically padded the four least significant bits with zeros. Within each folder, the file names included the FC Sequence number (automatically incremented after each group of requested files was captured by FC), the filter (B, V, Rc, or Ic), date, start time, and an order-identifying integer for each of the 1000 files in the sequence.

The first task in data reduction was to re-organize the data by creating new folders for each Double star / Reference star / filter combination observed, and move the sequences to the proper folders. The Sequence Number and Run Log (see below) are critical to keeping the thousands of files and dozens of folders straight! Easily-recognized folder and file names (e.g., Double star designation, Sequence, Filter, Reference) go a long
way toward avoiding confusion and mistakes later on.

## FITS Cubes

STB requires all data (except Drift Calibration files) to be in the form of FITS Cubes, and has a handy tool to create cubes from any number of separate FITS files. This process is simply reading and re-writing the data in the consolidated "cube" format, but considerable computer time is needed because of the large number of files.

## Power Spectral Density

This is the simplest step for the STB user, but the most complex number-crunching task for the computer. STB takes the Fast Fourier Transform of every image in the FITS cube, then averages all the transforms to create the average power spectrum (Power Spectral Density (PSD) file). This step condenses the multi-image cube (up to a GB in size) to roughly the size of one image (MB), which retains the essential spacing and orientation characteristics of the double star, with most of the atmospheric distortion and noise averaged out.

## Speckle Reduction

Several inputs are required in the "Speckle Reduction" tool of STB. Here the user has many choices, all well-explained in the User's Guide (Rowe and Genet 2015). Although the guide was written for a previous version of the software, PS3, the essential parameters have not changed. Some practice and "playing around" to see what happens will help the new user climb the learning curve. An excellent tutorial on PS3 speckle data reduction has been produced by Richard Harshaw (2015), and it is still applicable to STB.

In the Speckle Reduction window, the Double star and Reference star FITS cubes are first selected. STB1.05, the version used for the TAI data reduction, has inputs for filter center wavelength, photon noise
filtering, and interference (line pattern) noise filtering, which are simple and intuitive. Likewise, the calibration inputs are obvious. Inputs for High-Pass and LowPass dimensional filters must be calculated, and are explained in detail in the User's Guide (Rowe and Genet 2015). As soon as the inputs are selected, the Autocorrelogram appears, and a box allows toggling between the power spectrum and autocorrelogram displays. Other buttons display control size and brightness.

To make double star measurements of position angle and separation, the Astrometry button is selected, and a new window opens with three more inputs, including the calibration angle and pixel scale again. The third input controls the size of the measuring circle which has appeared on the autocorrelogram display, with an " $x$ " inside that marks the centroid. When the circle is placed over one of the secondary peaks, the measured position angle and separation values appear in the "Observed" boxes. The circle should be sized to contain all of the secondary peak, but none of the primary (central) peak or diffraction rings. When the circle can be moved slightly without the x moving at all,
the circle is sized correctly, the centroid is well defined, and the measurement is "solid." A right-click opens another window, where the "Set Target Location" (bottom option) is selected to freeze the measurement.

## Results Output

A browse button makes it easy to designate the output file directory and name. A comment may be added, and "Save Results" writes the measurement data to the output file, which is a spreadsheet in .csv format. For each new double star, a new line is added to the output file. When all the doubles have been measured, it may be convenient to open the .csv file and save it also as an Excel workbook sheet, which gives more formatting flexibility, such as column width or sorting.

## Results

Results of the first TAI speckle run are presented in Table 2. All four binaries were observed in all four standard photometric filters. The quality of the Speckle Tool Box autocorrelation solutions varied, as described in the notes. Some distortion of the autocorrelation peaks into elongated shapes was noted, particularly for

| Double Star Speckle Interferometry Observations |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TAI 0.60-m R-C Telescope |  | ZWO ASI 290MM Camera |  | Date | 2016.504 |
| WDS | Discovery | Filter | Theta | Rho | Notes |
| $16439+4329$ | D 15 | B | 336.35 | 0.564 | 5 |
| $16439+4329$ | D 15 | V | 334.86 | 0.584 | 1 |
| $16439+4329$ | D 15 | RC | 335.33 | 0.583 | 1 |
| $16439+4329$ | D 15 | IC | 335.71 | 0.591 | 2 |
| 17304-0104 | STF2173AB | B | 142.03 | 0.669 | 2 |
| 17304-0104 | STF2173AB | V | 141.87 | 0.624 | 2 |
| 17304-0104 | STF2173AB | RC | 142.04 | 0.683 | 1 |
| 17304-0104 | STF2173AB | IC | 142.89 | 0.678 | 1 |
| $17457+1743$ | STF2205 | B | 11.67 | 0.972 | 3 |
| $17457+1743$ | STF2205 | V | 10.75 | 0.946 | 1 |
| $17457+1743$ | STF2205 | RC | 10.78 | 0.941 | 1 |
| $17457+1743$ | STF2205 | IC | 10.51 | 0.936 | 1 |
| $18571+3451$ | HDS2685 | B | 214.72 | 0.586 | 4 |
| $18571+3451$ | HDS2685 | V | 221.33 | 0.665 | 4 |
| $18571+3451$ | HDS2685 | RC | 218.46 | 0.616 | 4 |
| $18571+3451$ | HDS2685 | IC | 223.92 | 0.618 | 5 |

Table 2 Notes

1. Bright, clear Autocorrelogram. Solid measurement.
2. Bright but smeared peaks. Fairly good measurement.
3. Companion faint, but measurement solid.
4. Companion faint. Measurement uncertain.
5. Companion too faint. Measurement NOT valid.
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Figure 8. All observations of Double Star D15 since Hipparcos, including all Speckle data.

STF 2173 AB in the B and V bands, presumably caused by atmospheric dispersion due to its southerly declination (-1 degree).

## D 15

The WDS gives magnitudes 9.04 and 9.27 , spectral type K5 for D 15. The latest orbit solution (Alzner 2007) has a period of 120 years and distance of about 88 light years. The orbit is classed as Grade 2 (good) because of complete coverage by micrometer observations.

Position angle and separation data for all observations since the Hipparcos era are shown in Figure 8. (Note that for PA, all data after 2012 are actually in the 360 - to 320 -degree range, but 360 was subtracted to show continuity). This star has been neglected since 2008, but our new PA data agree well with the project-
ed orbit. However, speckle separation data since about 2000 seem to be slightly higher than the orbit, and our new points continue that trend. If future observations verify the trend, this star will be a candidate for an updated orbit solution. In Figure 8 and following, the orbit points at the beginning of each year from 2000 to 2020, were taken from the Stelle Doppie WDS search site (Moltisanti 2016).

The WDS orbit plot and an example autocorrelogram (Rc band) are shown in Figure 9. The new TAI points have been superimposed on the orbit, showing all observations and the continued speckle trend toward a slightly wider orbit.

The STB autocorrelogram was $256 \times 256$ pixels, and shows the STB solution "ship's wheel" marking the selected secondary peak. The STB assumption is that


Figure 9. Left: WDS orbit plot of D15 with new TAI Rc speckle point added (blue open circle). Right: STB Autocorrelogram in the Rc band. (Orientation is North up, East right.)
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Figure 10. All observations of Double Star STF2173AB in the era of Speckle Interferometry.
served orientation is the same as in the WDS orbit plots. When that is not true, care must be taken to select the peak which gives the proper PA quadrant, or as in our case, the mirror image quadrant.

The WDS gives magnitudes 6.06 and 6.17 , spectral type G5V for STF2173AB. The latest orbit solution (Heintz 1994) has a period of 46.4 years, and Hipparcos gives a distance of 53 light years. The orbit is classed as Grade 1 (definitive) because of complete coverage by micrometer observations over several orbits, and nearly complete coverage by speckle and other more accurate techniques. This binary has been well observed since 1829, but only measurements since the first speckle observations in 1977, by Harold McAlister with the Kitt Peak 2.1-meter and 4-meter telescopes (McAlister 1979 \& 1982) are shown in Figure 10. (The earliest handful of speckle observations, before 1979,
had PA values in the 0 - to 20 -degree range, but are plotted here with 360 degrees added, for continuity).

STF2173AB was observed in the engineering checkout run to help evaluate the accuracy of the TAI speckle system, and Figure 10 shows that the measurements were indeed close to the predicted orbit ephemerides. On our observation date, the predicted PA was 142.85 degrees; our measurements were within 1 degree in all four bands. The predicted separation was $0.648^{\prime \prime}$; our B, Rc and Ic measures were as much a $0.036^{\prime \prime}$ higher, while the V band measure was $0.024^{\prime \prime}$ lower. These comparisons give a first rough assessment of the overall system accuracy.

The WDS orbit plot is shown in Figure 11. Our largest and smallest measured separation points ( V and Rc bands of Table 2) have been superimposed on the orbit plot, while the nearby smaller circle is a measure


Figure 11. Left: WDS orbit plot of STF2173AB with the two farthest apart new TAI points (blue circles). Right: STB Autocorrelogram in the V band. (Orientation is North up, East right.)
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Figure 12. All observations since 1990 of Double Star STF2205, including all Speckle data.
made in 1970 by a visual interferometry technique on the previous orbit (Laques et al. 1971). Both new points fall very near the orbit. The STB autocorrelogram, also seen in Figure 11, was $256 \times 256$ pixels, and shows the STB solution "ship's wheel" marking the selected secondary peak. The slight smearing of the peaks into an oval shape, as noted in Table 2, was likely caused by atmospheric dispersion; it was greatest in the B and V bands, but not noticeable in Rc and Ic. Although the star was observed not far from the meridian, its declination is about -1 degree, so it was at least 34 degrees from the zenith at our latitude, and atmospheric dispersion is strongest at shorter wavelengths.
STF 2205
The WDS gives magnitudes 9.37 and 9.59 , spectral

type K0 for STF2205. The orbit solution (Cvetkovic et al. 2008) has a period of 1,971 years, but there is no estimate of distance. The orbit has been observed by micrometer since 1830, but the first speckle measurements were not made until 1991, about the same time as Hipparcos. Position angle and separation data for all observations since the Hipparcos era are shown in Figure 12 .

Our new PA data are in reasonable agreement with the orbit ephemerides. Since this star is fairly faint and has spectral type K 0 , the data quality was poor in the B band, but much better in V, Rc, and Ic. In B, the companion was very faint, giving weak autocorrelogram peaks hardly distinguishable from the noise; therefore, it is not considered reliable. Likewise, the separation measurement in B is poor and displaced from those of


Figure 13. Left: WDS orbit plot of STF2205, with our new Rc band point added (blue circle). Right: STB Autocorrelogram in the Ic band. (Orientation is North up, East right.)
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Figure 14. All observations Double Star HDS2685.
the $\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{Rc}$, and Ic bands.
Note that there is considerable scatter in all the historic speckle interferometry separation data; in addition, there are large systematic deviations from the orbital solution, and our new data seem out of line with other recent data. However, close inspection suggests the possibility of a cyclic pattern, indicating that one of the components may itself be a close binary, perhaps with a period on the order of 15 years.

The WDS orbit plot and an example autocorrelogram (Ic band) are shown in Figure 13. The new TAI Rc point has been superimposed on the orbit plot, showing close agreement with the ephemerides, but as in Figure 12, shifted from the earlier speckle trend. Since the period is so long, nearly 200 years of observations have covered very little of the orbit so far. It will probably be classified as Grade 4 (preliminary) for a long time to come, but good measurements now will be appreciated by future astronomers! Frequent observations will still be useful in the near-term as the companion moves faster through periastron, and to explore the possibility of third body motion. The STB autocorrelogram was $256 \times 256$ pixels, and shows the STB solution "ship's wheel" marking the selected secondary peak.

The WDS gives magnitudes 7.8 and 9.78 , and spectral type F8 for HDS2685. There is no orbit solution, since this star has only been observed 11 times since its discovery by the Hipparcos satellite in 1991. Hipparcos measured its distance as 247 light years. All position angle and separation observations are shown in Figure 14.

This star was chosen as a challenge for our engineering checkout run, to evaluate performance on a faint, close target. All the HDS2685 measurements in

Table 2 are considered uncertain at best, because the companion peaks in the autocorrelograms, although well separated from the primary peak, were so faint as to not be unique or clearly distinguishable from numerous other small "noise" patches. This was true even in the Ic band, which should have had the best chance of reaching the faint, presumably red companion. Therefore, it is clear that this star was "in the noise," exceeding the capabilities of the current TAI speckle interferometry system.

It is noteworthy that the only successful speckle measurements prior to our attempt were made by professional astronomers with large telescopes: the 3.5meter WIYN telescope at Kitt Peak (Horch 2008 and 2010) and the Mt. Wilson 100-inch telescope (Hartkopf and Mason 2009).

## Conclusions

The TAI 24 -inch speckle imaging system, as it was configured for the July run, was still essentially an engineering exercise. As such, we were still able to successfully observe position angle and separation for the binary systems STF2173AB, D15, and STF2205, but obtained poor results for HDS2685.

There are currently too many manual steps involved in the data acquisition process for it to be of practical use for student research. Fortunately, many of these steps can be removed by hardware upgrades and increasing automation. TAI already has a $4 \mathrm{~K} \times 4 \mathrm{~K}$ Finger Lake Instruments CCD camera that will be used for plate solving, which will eliminate manual centering of each target. In fact, the camera has already been installed. Automated target pointing and centering should also easily be accomplished by writing scripts to
communicate with the mount using standard instrument software interfaces.

The speckle imaging camera was new and not fully understood. Because the camera had a digital gain setting that was likely not optimum, much of the camera's dynamic range was lost. Additionally, the operators had little experience with the FC camera control software that added extra time to the data acquisition process. Obtaining more experience with the complete system will be necessary to develop efficient procedures for student researchers to follow.
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# Jonckheere Double Star Photometry - Part IV: Cetus 
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#### Abstract

If any double star discoverer is in urgent need of photometry then it is Jonckheere. There are over 3000 Jonckheere objects listed in the WDS catalog and a good part of them have magnitudes which are obviously far too bright. This report covers the Jonckheere objects in the constellation Cet. Only one image per object was taken as despite the risk of random effects even a single measurement is better than the currently usually given estimation although the J -objects in this southern constellation are better covered with observations as usual for Jonckheere doubles.


## Preamble

This report in no way intends to belittle the work of Jonckheere - on the contrary: He was obviously a very dedicated and able double star observer fighting with a lot of obstacles up to equipment destroyed in war. It seems that the basic double star parameters, RA/Dec coordinates and separation as well as position angle, were his main concern and the estimation of magnitudes was rather a side aspect to him. The often crass over estimation of magnitudes may also be a side effect of his obviously extraordinary eyesight.

## Introduction

The degree of contamination of the WDS catalog with wrong magnitude data is rather high - this might very well be a side effect of magnitudes considered being not as important as the basic double star parameters separation and position angle. Measurements of magnitudes without these basic parameters are not even counted as observations in the WDS catalog. As follow up to the report on J-objects so far I selected this time all J-objects in Cet to be imaged for measurements with iT27 located in Australia due to the low altitude. The number of objects is with $\sim 20$ rather small so I decided to have also a look at other catalogs like SDSS, URAT1 and GAIA DR1 with recent position data if available for the objects in question for counter-checking. This should compensate also for the questionable quality of some of the taken images.

## Results of Photometry and Catalog Checking

For each of the selected J-objects one single image was taken with iTelescope iT27 with V-filter and 3s exposure time, plate solved with Astrometrica using the UCAC4 (or if available URAT1) catalog with reference stars in the Vmag range of 10.5 to 14.5 giving not only RA/Dec coordinates but also photometry results for all reference stars used including an average dVmag error. The J-objects were then located in the center of the image and photometry was then done by the rather comfortable Astrometrica procedure with point and click at the components delivering Vmag measurements based on all reference stars used for plate solving.

The results are given in Table 1 with the following structure:

- The header line gives the WDS catalog data for each object per 08/2016 with RA/Dec in the HH:MM:SS/DD:MM:SS format and Date giving the date of the last observation
- The following rows give the data for the object in existing catalogs as far as available with
$\diamond$ RA/Dec in decimal degrees with the cata$\log$ reference given in the Source/Notes column
$\diamond$ Estimated visual M1 and M2 for 2MASS objects calculated from J- and K-band magnitudes if available
$\diamond$ Visual M1 and M2 for URAT1 objects if available
$\diamond$ Used Aperture and observation method code is given in the Ap and Me columns. As GAIA uses a rectangular aperture the value given in the Ap column is the calculated diameter for a corresponding circular surface
$\diamond$ Date gives the Bessel observation epoch
$\diamond$ If 2MASS and URAT1 or GAIA DR1 positions are available then also proper motion data is calculated (using the formulas provided by Buchheim - 2008 to determine proper motion vector direction and proper motion vector length) and checked for potential common proper motion with the CPM rating procedure according to Knapp and Nanson 2016. With the new GAIA DR1 data several objects qualify now for common proper motion pairs - in all cases with a relationship of Sep/PM of far less than 1000 years, in most cases even less than 100 years indicating a high probability of being real
- The last row gives then the measurements based on the iT27 images
$\diamond$ RA/Dec in decimal degrees from plate solving
$\diamond$ Sep and PA are calculated from the RA/ Dec coordinates in degrees using the formulas provided by Buchheim - 2008
$\diamond$ Visual magnitudes M1 and M2 based on the plate solving results
$\diamond$ Error estimations calculated on base of the average plate solving errors are given in the Notes column


## Summary

Table 1 shows with few exceptions significant differences for the magnitudes compared with the WDS data even if the J-objects in Cet seem rather well researched in comparison with northern constellations. A surprisingly high percentage of the objects qualify as CPM pairs based on calculations with the now available GAIA DR1 data.
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Jonckheere Double Star Photometry－Part IV：Cet
Table 1．J Objects in Cetus

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { y } \\ & \stackrel{1}{0} \\ & \text { z} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { o } \\ & \text { 炭 } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ran } \\ & \text { 合 } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \tilde{0} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \tilde{0} \\ & 0 \\ & 4 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 1 <br> $n$ <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> $\vdots$ <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> $j$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | U | 芹 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | U |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{y}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ \stackrel{y}{*} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \dot{\infty} \\ & 0 \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { m } \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \dot{6} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{v} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{1} \\ & \stackrel{y}{*} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \sim \\ \stackrel{n}{i} \\ \stackrel{y}{*} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \underset{\sim}{4} \\ \stackrel{y}{c} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{0} \\ & \dot{+} \\ & \dot{8} \\ & \dot{\sim} \\ & \dot{N} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\infty}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{1}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\sim}{\square} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\sim} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { H} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{N}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{\infty} \\ & \dot{\infty} \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{m}{N} \\ \stackrel{1}{N} \\ \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{8} \\ \underset{\sim}{2} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \vdots \\ & \hline- \\ & 0 \\ & \sim \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\infty}{-1} \\ & \infty \\ & \underset{\sim}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{y}{\sim} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \infty \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ} \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\sim}{7} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{*} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { m } \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \dot{0} \\ & \stackrel{1}{c} \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| $\stackrel{1}{2}$ |  | 留 | $\cup$ |  |  | 多 | $\cup$ |  | 箇 | 品 | 웊 | $\cup$ |  | 留 | 留 | 留 | 留 | 留 | 留 | 웊 | $\cup$ |  |
| 足 |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\underset{0}{\imath}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\because$ |  | $\stackrel{n}{i}$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{0} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\bigcirc$ |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\sim}{n} \\ & \stackrel{1}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \text { O } \\ & \text { n } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { J } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | N |  |  |  | ¢ |  |  | U |  | ก |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \tilde{N}_{1} \\ { }_{0} \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ̌ ゙ֶ | $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\sim} \\ \underset{\sim}{7} \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\jmath}{\tilde{n}} \\ & \dot{\sim} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \text { 0 } \\ & \text { Q } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{7}{7}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{7}{7}$ |  | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}} \underset{\substack{\sim}}{\sim}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{+}{*}$ $\stackrel{1}{*}$ $\cdots$ |  |  |
|  | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\sim}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{7}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{n}{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\stackrel{1}{2}} \\ & \dot{m} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\xrightarrow{\underset{1}{7}}$ |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \overbrace{2}^{2} \\ { }_{0} \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\sim}{\sim} \\ & \dot{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{m} \\ \underset{\sim}{-} \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{g}{2} \\ & \dot{\circ} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ت} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \text { E, } \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\rightarrow}{\underset{1}{2}}$ |  |  |  | m |  |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{N} \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{\sim} \\ & \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ＋ $\stackrel{+}{+}$ $\stackrel{1}{+}$ |  |  |
|  | $\underset{7}{ }$ |  |  |  | $\sim$ |  |  | $\sim$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { + } \\ & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{m}{n} \\ \stackrel{-}{m} \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{1}}{\stackrel{1}{+}}$ |  |  |
| N | $\begin{aligned} & \therefore \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{2} \\ & \underset{7}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{9} \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \underset{~}{-} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \infty \\ \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{-} \\ \underset{\sim}{2} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{n} \\ & - \\ & - \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \underset{\sim}{\mathrm{N}} \\ \dot{\sim} \end{gathered}$ |  |  | N $\cdots$ त | $\begin{gathered} \circ \\ \stackrel{\circ}{-} \\ - \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\circ$ $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ $\stackrel{\circ}{\square}$ |  |
| E | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\theta}{\theta} \\ & \dot{\theta} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{7} \\ & \dot{O} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{o}} \\ & \dot{\sim} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\square} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{\infty} \\ & \infty \\ & \dot{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{0} \\ & \stackrel{1}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { H} \\ & \text { ¢ } \\ & \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \dot{0} \\ & \vdots \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | n $\stackrel{3}{\square}$ $\cdots$ |  |
| 迫 | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{+} \\ & \dot{i} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\underset{\rightharpoonup}{\circ}}{\stackrel{1}{2}}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{0} \\ & \dot{m} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{\dot{g}} \\ & \dot{\mathrm{~m}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \ddot{\circ} \\ & \dot{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{0}{\dot{\infty}}$ | $\underset{\infty}{\stackrel{0}{\infty}}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{0}{\dot{\infty}}}{\underset{\infty}{2}}$ | $\stackrel{\Gamma}{\infty}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N} \\ & \dot{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \stackrel{0}{i} \\ & \stackrel{n}{4} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\ddots}{n}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\ddots}{n} \\ & \stackrel{n}{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \stackrel{0}{i} \\ & \stackrel{i}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\square} \\ & \dot{\sim} \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\sigma}{\dot{1}} \\ & \underset{\sim}{U} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{n}{x} \\ & \stackrel{n}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n } \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{\sim} \\ & \sim \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\square} \\ & \underset{\sim}{U} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & =0 \\ & 0_{0} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\square}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \underset{\sim}{\infty} \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\infty} \\ & \text { m. } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{m}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{m}} \\ \dot{m} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\bullet}{i} \\ & \dot{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\sim}{\sim} \\ & \sim \\ & \sim \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ت } \\ & \dot{\sim} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \vec{~} \\ & \dot{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \dot{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\infty} \\ & \dot{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{i}{i}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{~} \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{n}{n} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{+} \\ & \dot{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{~} \\ & \dot{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\mathrm{N}} \\ & \stackrel{y}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ロ0 } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { H} \\ & \text { İ } \\ & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{~} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \hline 0 \\ \dot{\sim} \\ \overleftarrow{\circ} \\ \ddot{\circ} \\ \ddot{0} \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \\ & \underset{\sim}{\infty} \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{N} \\ & \stackrel{1}{n} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{7} \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \hline 0 \\ \stackrel{\sim}{0} \\ \ddot{\Pi} \\ \ddot{\sigma} \\ \ddot{0} \\ + \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{y} \\ & \underset{y}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \dot{\infty} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\omega}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{\infty} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{N} \\ & \text { ín } \\ & \text { N} \\ & \underset{\sim}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \underset{~ N}{N} \\ & \underset{\sim}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{1}{\mathrm{~N}} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \underset{0}{0} \\ & \underset{\sim}{c} \\ & \dot{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\sim}{\infty} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{N} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{N} \\ & \stackrel{1}{\sim} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\sim} \\ & \dot{0} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 出 |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \tilde{n} \\ & \dot{0} \\ & 0 \\ & \ddot{I} \\ & 0 \\ & \ddot{0} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{3} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \vdots \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \dot{\sim} \\ & \ddot{\sim} \\ & \ddot{\sim} \\ & \ddot{m} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & 0 \\ & \infty \\ & \tilde{\sim} \\ & \tilde{0} \\ & \tilde{0} \\ & \dot{i} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{n} \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{c} \\ & \stackrel{m}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 劳 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & \text { O } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\stackrel{m}{0}$ |  |  | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{\text { ¢ }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Jonckheere Double Star Photometry－Part IV：Cet
Table 1．J Objects in Cetus

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 1 0 0 $\infty$ 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 $\vdots$ 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | O－1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | U | U |  |  |  | U | U |  |  |  | 苞 | 采 |  |  |  | U | U |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U } \\ & \text { 品 } \end{aligned}$ | 采 |  |  |  | U | U |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\text { ® }}{\text { ñ }} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \tilde{N} \\ & 0 \\ & \vdots \\ & \alpha \\ & \alpha \\ & \vdots \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\sim}{7} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{v} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { m } \\ & \text { o } \\ & \dot{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{c} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { g} \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \\ & \underset{子}{2} \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0 \\ & \stackrel{2}{2} \\ & \dot{\infty} \\ & 0 \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { İ } \\ & \underset{\sim}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{0}{\circ} \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & n \\ & \stackrel{n}{\sim} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{2} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \text { o } \\ & \dot{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{v} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \hline \infty \\ 0 \\ \hline \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{0}{0} \\ & 0 \\ & \dot{0} \\ & \dot{O} \\ & \dot{O} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{4} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{0}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{c} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & n \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\sim} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $n$ 0 $\vdots$ $\vdots$ $\stackrel{1}{8}$ N | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{\circ} \\ & i \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{0} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \dot{0} \\ & 0 \\ & \vdots \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{7} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\sim} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { m } \\ & \text { o } \\ & \dot{6} \\ & \stackrel{1}{\sim} \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | － |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{-}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{1}{\circ} \\ & \dot{\infty} \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{n}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \underset{\sim}{\infty} \\ \infty \\ \infty \\ \infty \\ \underset{\sim}{\alpha} \\ \underset{\sim}{2} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{1}{2} \\ & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\stackrel{\sim}{\mathrm{N}}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N} \\ & \dot{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{1} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{n} \end{aligned}$ |
| $\stackrel{\text { ® }}{ }$ |  | 箇 | 品 | 胫 | $\bigcirc$ |  | N | 留 | 尔 | $\bigcirc$ |  | N | 留 | 沙 | $\bigcirc$ |  | 箇 | 留 | 品 | $\bigcirc$ |  | 箇 | 留 | 多 | $\bigcirc$ |  | 箇 | 留 | 首 | $\cup$ |
| 品 |  | $\begin{gathered} n \\ \underset{r}{2} \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{~}{\circ}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{0}{\circ} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\because$ |  | $\begin{gathered} m \\ i \\ i \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\Omega}{\sim} \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & \stackrel{0}{\circ} \\ & \dot{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & m \\ & i \\ & i \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\llcorner }{\sim}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 . \\ & \stackrel{4}{\circ} \\ & \dot{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\because$ |  | $\begin{gathered} m \\ r \\ i \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{\llcorner }{\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \ddot{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{0}{\circ} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\because$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{m} \\ \underset{i}{2} \end{array}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{4}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\ddots}{\circ}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} m \\ i \\ i \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \ddot{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{\circ} \\ & \vdots \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\circ}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \text { on } \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | O |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \tilde{\sim} \\ & \dot{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hat{\imath} \\ & \stackrel{i}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\sim}{\tilde{\prime}} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{\dot{\sim}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{n} \\ & \dot{\sim} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ} \\ & \dot{\gamma} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{6} \\ & \stackrel{0}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\stackrel{-}{\stackrel{1}{+}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \dot{0} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | $$ |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{\circ}$ |  |
|  | m |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n} \\ & \underset{i}{+} \end{aligned}$ |  | i |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \stackrel{-}{-} \\ & \stackrel{1}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{\sim}{\sim} \\ \stackrel{-}{-1} \\ \underset{i}{1} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\stackrel{\square}{\text { ¢ }}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \underset{\sim}{2} \\ \underset{6}{1} \\ \underset{1}{2} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { オु } \\ & \underset{\sim}{y} \\ & \underset{i}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\underset{\infty}{\infty}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\imath}{0} \\ & \dot{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{1}{1} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\underset{-}{7}$ |  | in － － | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{0}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{1} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{\substack{e \\ 1}}{1}$ |  |  | $\stackrel{+}{\infty} \stackrel{+}{\infty}$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { N్ } \\ & \text { N } \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{i}{\sim}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathbf{N}} \\ & \underset{\sim}{1} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\overbrace{}}{i}$ |  | $\underset{i}{O}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{N} \\ & \stackrel{1}{0} \\ & \vdots \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{3}{2} \\ & \vdots \\ & i \end{aligned}$ |  | $\bigcirc$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{n}{2} \\ & \stackrel{1}{n} \\ & \stackrel{N}{1} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \underset{\sim}{u} \\ & \underset{\sim}{u} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No } \\ & \underset{\sim}{\infty} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\dot{~}} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\lambda} \end{aligned}$ |  | is |  | $\stackrel{i}{\stackrel{i}{2}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & i \\ & \stackrel{0}{2} \\ & \stackrel{i}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \infty \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{array}$ |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ |  |
| $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\overbrace{0}}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \vec{m} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\square} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{\imath}{n} \\ \stackrel{\sigma}{\circ} \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{0} \\ & \dot{\omega} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{m}{n} \\ & \dot{\sim} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{0} \\ & \dot{\gamma} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{n} \\ & \stackrel{0}{6} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\sim}$ |  |  |  | 0 0 $\vdots$ $\vdots$ | ¢8． |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { تす } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \text { é } \end{aligned}$ | ָ |  |  | $\stackrel{\otimes}{\underset{\sim}{N}}$ |  | $\stackrel{m}{\square}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \sim \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{+} \\ & \vdots \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \bullet \\ & \infty \\ & \dot{m} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{1} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{\text { ¢}}{i}$ |  | ¢ | $\stackrel{M}{\underset{\sim}{j}}$ |  | $\underset{\text { ¢ }}{\substack{\text { ® }}}$ |  | N | $\stackrel{m}{\sim}$ |  | $\bigcirc$ |  | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{6}$ | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\underset{\sim}{\gtrless}}$ |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{n}$ |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{N}{\sim}$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \overrightarrow{4} \\ & \text { 品 } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{-}{\sim}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\bullet}{1} \\ & \stackrel{i}{1} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\ddots}{\vdots}$ |  | $\underset{\sim}{\infty}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & \stackrel{0}{i} \\ & \dot{i} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}} \underset{\sim}{\sim}$ |  | $\stackrel{\text { ®}}{\substack{~}}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ \stackrel{0}{2} \\ \stackrel{1}{2} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\stackrel{\wedge}{i}$ |  |  | \％ |  | in |  | － | $\begin{aligned} & \tilde{\sim} \\ & \dot{8} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{\circ}{1}$ |  | $\stackrel{\leftarrow}{\infty}$ | ¢ |  |
| N | $\begin{gathered} \underset{\sim}{\mathrm{I}} \\ \underset{\sim}{\mathrm{y}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \overrightarrow{3} \\ & \underset{\gamma}{-} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \vec{~} \\ & \underset{\sim}{4} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{lll} \therefore & \alpha \\ \dot{y} & \alpha \\ \cline { 1 - 1 } & \\ \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \underset{\sim}{\mathbf{y}} \\ & \dot{\sim} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\Omega}{0} \\ & \dot{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{7} \\ & \stackrel{7}{7} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \tilde{\sim} \\ & \infty \\ & \dot{\eta} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \tilde{\sim} \\ & \text { o. } \\ & \underset{\sim}{1} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \underset{\sim}{-} \\ & \underset{\sim}{7} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \underset{\sim}{n} \\ \underset{\sim}{i} \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{n} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\dot{n}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{2} \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | ® $\stackrel{\text { a }}{ }$ İ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\circ} \\ & \underset{\sim}{1} \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \infty \\ \stackrel{\infty}{i} \\ \underset{\sim}{1} \end{gathered}$ |  |  | 6 $\stackrel{0}{-}$ - |
| 필 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \infty \\ \\ -\underset{-}{-} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{\infty} \\ & \infty \\ & \vdots \\ & - \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\text { IV }}{\underset{\sim}{\prime}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & -1 \\ & - \\ & - \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \\ \infty \\ \infty \\ \vdots \\ - \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \dot{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \dot{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \tilde{N} \\ \underset{-}{-1} \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{1} \\ & \underset{-}{7} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{0} \\ & \vdots \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \underset{\sim}{N} \\ \underset{\sim}{-} \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\circ$ $\stackrel{-}{\square}$ $\cdots$ - | $\xrightarrow{O}$ | $\begin{gathered} n \\ \\ \vdots \\ \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\infty$ $\infty$ $\stackrel{-}{-}$ - | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \infty \\ \cdots \\ \vdots \\ - \\ - \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\stackrel{\bigcirc}{\circ}$ |
| 台 | $\begin{array}{\|c} 0 \\ \dot{0} \\ \dot{0} \\ \underset{\sim}{2} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\sim} \\ & \underset{\sim}{8} \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\infty} \\ & \stackrel{1}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\sim}{n} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\mathrm{O}} \\ & \stackrel{2}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\underset{i}{2}} \\ \underset{\sim}{\lambda} \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{N} \\ & \stackrel{N}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\underset{\sim}{N}} \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\bullet}{\dot{T}} \\ & \underset{\sim}{*} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{n} \\ & \dot{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} m \\ \underset{m}{m} \\ \underset{\sim}{n} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\sim}{n} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\sim} \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \because \\ & \underset{\sim}{7} \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{N}} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{r}{\dot{\circ}}$ | $\stackrel{\underset{\sim}{N}}{N}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \dot{0} \\ & \dot{0} \\ & m_{1} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{L}{n} \\ & \infty \\ & \underset{\sim}{\infty} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ} \\ \dot{\sim} \\ \underset{m}{2} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \dot{n} \\ \tilde{m} \\ m \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{n}{\sim} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{m} \\ & \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \overline{0_{1}} \\ & 0_{1} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \hline \dot{\sigma} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\substack{9 \\ \underset{r}{2} \\ \hline}}{ }$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{8}{0} \\ & \dot{\gamma} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & \dot{\sim} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { H} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{n} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{\stackrel{n}{\mathrm{~N}}}$ | $\stackrel{\underset{\sim}{\text { d }} \underset{\sim}{r}}{ }$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{+} \\ & \stackrel{1}{r} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{-}{\bullet}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \vdots \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{2}{2} \\ & \dot{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\sim}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{1} \\ & \stackrel{0}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{\tilde{j}} \\ & \dot{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \tilde{m} \\ \dot{m} \\ \underset{\sim}{2} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\wedge}{\underset{\sim}{n}} \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{\dot{\sim}} \\ & \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\Omega} \\ & \underset{\sim}{r} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \text { in } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \dot{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{n} \\ & \stackrel{n}{n} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\stackrel{~}{~}}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} \underset{\sim}{4} \\ \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\sim} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\stackrel{\underset{7}{7}}{\stackrel{7}{i}}$ | 운 | $\stackrel{\sim}{\stackrel{n}{\gtrless}}$ |
| ロ̈ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \ddot{0} \\ \dot{y} \\ \ddot{\sim} \\ \ddot{0} \\ \ddot{\ddot{~}} \\ \dot{0} \\ \hline \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\mathfrak{l}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{\sim}{m} \\ \stackrel{1}{7} \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{r} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ \infty \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 7 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{array}\right\|$ |  |  | n 0 0 $\vdots$ $\vdots$ $\vdots$ $\vdots$ $\vdots$ $\vdots$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \underset{N}{N} \\ \omega \\ \infty \\ \tilde{0} \\ o \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\overleftarrow{ }} \\ & \stackrel{1}{\circ} \\ & \infty \\ & \underset{\sim}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{1} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\sim}{m} \\ & \stackrel{1}{\infty} \\ & \infty \\ & \underset{\sim}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \vdots \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{u} \\ & \underset{\sim}{4} \\ & \ddot{\sim} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{1}{1} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \underset{\sim}{N} \\ \underset{\sim}{0} \\ 0 \\ \underset{\sim}{0} \\ \underset{1}{1} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { m } \\ & \underset{\sim}{\infty} \\ & \infty \\ & \underset{\sim}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \vdots \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $: \begin{gathered} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \infty \\ 0 \\ \\ \\ \vdots \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\circ$ <br> $\stackrel{\circ}{n}$ <br>  <br> 0 <br> $\sim$ <br> $\sim$ <br> $i$ <br> $i$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{n} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{n} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{n} \\ & \stackrel{i}{i} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{n} \\ & \stackrel{i}{i} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 出 | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} 0 \\ 0 \\ \dot{\sim} \\ \dot{o} \\ 0 \\ \ddot{a} \\ \ddot{0} \\ \ddot{o} \\ \hline \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\mathfrak{l}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\infty} \\ & \stackrel{1}{4} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\sim} \\ & \sim \\ & \sim \\ & \sim \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\sim} \\ & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{0} \\ & \underset{\sim}{N} \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \\ & \dot{4} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{M} \\ & \tilde{\sim} \\ & \stackrel{\mu}{\sim} \\ & \dot{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{0}{\sim} \\ & \sim \\ & \sim \\ & \sim \\ & \dot{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{N} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{N} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \hline \stackrel{\circ}{0} \\ \tilde{m} \\ \stackrel{n}{r} \\ \ddot{m} \\ \ddot{0} \\ \ddot{\circ} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \vdots \\ & 0 \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\circ$ <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> $\stackrel{0}{0}$ <br> $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ <br> $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ |  | $m$ $\infty$ 0 0 0 $\vdots$ $\vdots$ $\vdots$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \vdots \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \dot{\rightharpoonup} \\ \ddot{0} \\ \ddot{0} \\ \ddot{0} \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{N} \\ & \sim \\ & \sim \\ & \sim \\ & \sim \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \dot{\sim} \\ \tilde{\sim} \\ \ddot{0} \\ \ddot{n} \\ \ddot{0} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \circ \\ & \stackrel{0}{n} \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \\ & \sim \\ & \underset{\sim}{3} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{n} \\ & \stackrel{n}{n} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{N} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{n} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{N} \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\sim} \\ & \underset{\sim}{m} \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \stackrel{1}{0} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\sim} \\ & \underset{\sim}{m} \\ & . \end{aligned}$ |
| 咅 | ｜r |  |  |  |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} N \\ \underset{\sim}{n} \\ \hline \end{gathered}\right.$ |  |  |  |  | ｜ras |  |  |  |  | ｜rar |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\underset{\sim}{-7}$ |  |  |  |  |

Table 1．J Objects in Cetus

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { y } \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \stackrel{2}{0} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left\|\begin{array}{ll} \Sigma & n_{0}^{0} \\ 0 & 0 \\ \hline \end{array}\right\|$ |  |  | U | U |  |  |  | U | U |  |  |  | U | U |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & U \\ & U \end{aligned}$ | 总 |  |  |  | U | U |  |  |  |  | U | U |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\text { ® }}{\text { ñ }} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\Pi} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\lambda} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\lambda} \\ & \infty \\ & 0 \\ & \vdots \\ & \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\sim}{1} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{v} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} n \\ \stackrel{m}{\mathrm{~N}} \\ \stackrel{y}{2} \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} n \\ \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\sim} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { m } \\ & \text { N} \\ & \dot{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\sim} \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} n \\ \stackrel{n}{\mathrm{~N}} \\ \stackrel{1}{2} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\lambda} \\ & \underset{\sim}{i} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ} \\ & \dot{\infty} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\sim} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & n \\ & \stackrel{n}{2} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { m } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \dot{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{v} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \circ \\ \underset{\sim}{2} \\ \underset{\sim}{2} \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \hline \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & 0 \\ & \underset{\sim}{0} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{7} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{v} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 7 \\ \hline 0 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \Omega \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & 0 \\ & \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{n}{0} \\ & \stackrel{y}{*} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \stackrel{1}{0} \\ & \stackrel{1}{v} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ò } \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \underset{\sim}{\infty} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\infty} \\ & 0 \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\infty} \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\pi} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\sim} \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} n \\ \stackrel{n}{c} \\ i \end{gathered}$ | $n$ 0 0 $\stackrel{0}{0}$ $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\sim}$ $\sim$ |
| $\stackrel{0}{2}$ |  | 等 | 留 | \％ | $\bigcirc$ |  | 箇 | 留 | \％ | $\bigcirc$ |  | 箇 | 留 | \％ | $\bigcirc$ |  | 箇 | 留 | \％ | $\bigcirc$ |  | 箇 | 留 | \％ | $\bigcirc$ |  | 箇 | 留 | 品 | \％ | $\bigcirc$ |
| 年 |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\sim}{\sim} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{2} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ}$ |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} n \\ i \end{array}\right\|$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \vdots \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\ddots}{\circ}$ |  | $\stackrel{c}{n} \begin{gathered} i \\ -i \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} n \\ - \\ - \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}}{\stackrel{1}{2}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} m \\ -i \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\sim}{\sim} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{2} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \vdots \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\ddots}{\circ}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} m \\ -i \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\sim}{\sim} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\circ}{\sim}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \circ \\ & \vdots \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\circ}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { N} \\ & \text { O } \\ & \text { n } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \overrightarrow{0} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 河 |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\otimes}{\bigcirc}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \tilde{N}_{1} \\ { }_{1} \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\stackrel{\stackrel{n}{\mathrm{o}}}{\infty}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{0} \\ & \dot{6} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{N}{N} \\ & \dot{N} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\stackrel{n}{\mathrm{o}}}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\underset{\sim}{n}}{\stackrel{1}{n}}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\dot{\sigma}}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{0} \\ & \infty \\ & \sim \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hat{\lambda} \\ & \dot{\sigma} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{m}{7} \\ & \stackrel{\sigma}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{6} \\ & \stackrel{0}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{6} \\ & \dot{\circ} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { N} \\ & \text { © } \\ & \text { E. } \end{aligned}$ | へ̀ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\sim}{\sim} \\ & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\underset{1}{1}} \\ & \underset{\text { II }}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  | － |  | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\infty} \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{7} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{~}{-} \\ & \dot{r} \end{aligned}$ |  | の |  | $\stackrel{\circ}{4}$ $\stackrel{n}{\square}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{O}} \\ & \stackrel{-}{4} \end{aligned}$ |  | İ |  | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{n}}{\stackrel{1}{n}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\infty}{\stackrel{\infty}{0}} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\bullet} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} 0 \\ \vdots \\ \hline 1 \end{gathered}\right.$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} n \\ \stackrel{n}{\top} \\ \underset{\sim}{1} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\stackrel{त}{7}$ |  | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\infty}}{\substack{\infty \\ 1}}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \stackrel{0}{i} \\ & i \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | $\stackrel{\sim}{\imath}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{O}} \\ & \dot{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{o}} \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\underset{\sim}{\underset{~}{~}} \underset{\underset{\sim}{2}}{2}}{ }$ |  | $\sim$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\sim} \\ & \dot{\sim} \end{aligned}$ |  | ت |  | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{\infty} \\ & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{\infty} \\ & \stackrel{1}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{1} \\ & \dot{\sim} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \pi \\ i \end{array}\right\|$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { io } \\ & \stackrel{1}{\circ} \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \dot{\alpha} \\ & \stackrel{1}{1} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \underset{1}{4} \\ \hline \end{gathered}\right.$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{r} \\ & \underset{\sim}{r} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\overrightarrow{-}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{0} \\ & \dot{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{1}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{n} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{7} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{~} \\ & \stackrel{1}{\circ} \\ & \vdots \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  |  | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\sim}{\mathrm{N}}}{\infty}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { of } \\ & \dot{6} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\stackrel{n}{\mathrm{~N}}}{\infty}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{n} \\ & \dot{n} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\stackrel{n}{\mathrm{~N}}}{\underset{\infty}{2}}$ | $\stackrel{\underset{\sim}{\mathrm{H}}}{\substack{2}}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { すु } \\ & \dot{r} \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{H}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ}}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{+} \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\hat{N}}{\dot{\sigma}}$ |  |  |  | $\stackrel{m}{\stackrel{m}{\dot{\circ}}}$ | $\stackrel{\underset{\sim}{\mathrm{N}}}{ }$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hat{\varphi} \\ & i \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | － |  | $\begin{aligned} & \vec{O} \\ & \stackrel{i}{N} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \underset{\sim}{\sim} \\ \underset{\sim}{2} \end{gathered}$ |  | － |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\Omega} \\ & \stackrel{i}{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \underset{\sim}{\infty} \end{aligned}$ |  | － |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ} \\ & \infty \\ & \underset{\sim}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\underset{\sim}{\text { İ }}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\Gamma}{1} \\ & \cdots \\ & \vec{i} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\infty} \\ & \stackrel{+}{\circ} \\ & \underset{\sim}{1} \end{aligned}$ |  | ${ }_{6}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{\tilde{r}}{\dot{m}} \\ \dot{m} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \cdots \end{aligned}$ |  | $\underset{\sim}{\square}$ |  |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{I}} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{I}} \\ & \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\sim}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{r} \\ & \stackrel{n}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{7} \\ & \dot{\sim} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { H} \\ & \stackrel{1}{m} \\ & \dot{m} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{\prime} \\ & \infty \\ & \dot{\sim} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\sim$ |  | $\stackrel{\text { ̈ }}{\text { ¢ }}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{x}}$ |  | N |  | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{7} \\ & \underset{i}{n} \\ & i \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\vdots}{\infty} \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{\sim} \\ & \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{\circ}{\sim}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \vdots \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\dot{m}} \end{aligned}$ |  | ก |  | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{m}}}{\mathrm{~m}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{1} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{~} \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| N | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \infty \\ & \underset{\sim}{\square} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \\ & 0 \\ & \dot{y} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{U}{\text { H}} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\top} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \infty \\ & - \\ & - \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \underset{y}{y} \\ \dot{y} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\infty}{2} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\mathbf{n}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{0} \\ & \infty \\ & \dot{y} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\infty}{\infty} \\ & \dot{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \underset{y}{c} \\ \dot{c} \\ \hline \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\begin{gathered} \underset{y}{\tilde{y}} \\ \dot{y} \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\underset{\sim}{n}}{\dot{\sim}} \end{aligned}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{\|c} 0 \\ 0 \\ -i \\ -i \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{m}{m} \\ & \dot{r} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \tilde{\sim} \\ & \dot{Z} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{N} \\ & \dot{\sim} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\mathrm{I}} \\ & \dot{y} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\Sigma$ | $\begin{aligned} & n \\ & \stackrel{m}{\square} \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hat{a} \\ & \vdots \\ & o \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ず } \\ & \text { ö } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & n \\ & \underset{\sim}{0} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\hat{\sigma}} \overrightarrow{\hat{\sigma}} \mid$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jु } \\ & \text { ó } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \infty \\ & \underset{\sim}{7} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \substack{x \\ \underset{\sim}{y}\\ } \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\infty}{\Gamma} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} n \\ 0 \\ \dot{0} \\ \dot{-} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & \bullet \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\stackrel{\ddots}{\underset{\sigma}{i}}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} 0 \\ \stackrel{n}{2} \\ \vdots \\ \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hat{} \\ & \hat{\alpha} \\ & \dot{\sigma} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n} \\ & \stackrel{1}{0} \\ & \dot{O} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{7} \\ & \dot{7} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \tilde{\sim} \\ \underset{\sim}{7} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ $\stackrel{1}{\prime}$ $\stackrel{-}{\prime}$ |
| 近 | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{0} \\ & \dot{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} o \\ \dot{o} \\ \infty \\ \infty \\ \cdots \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\infty} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\infty} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\Im}{2} \\ & \dot{\infty} \\ & \stackrel{1}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{\infty} \\ & \rightarrow \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \stackrel{0}{\dot{0}} \\ \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{-} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { m} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\hat{O}} \\ & \stackrel{1}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\dot{\sigma}} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\dot{\circ}}{\dot{\infty}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \ddot{O} \\ \dot{\infty} \\ \infty \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \bullet \\ & \dot{\infty} \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\infty} \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{\circ} \\ & \dot{\infty} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \stackrel{0}{\dot{~}} \\ \underset{-1}{-1} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \underset{\sim}{\sim} \\ \underset{\sim}{\sim} \\ \underset{\sim}{2} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{i} \\ & \underset{\sim}{y} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\sim} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\tilde{\sim}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\ddots}{j} \\ & \underset{\sim}{J} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} 0 \\ \dot{\dot{r}} \\ \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{v} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{n}{n} \\ & \dot{O} \\ & \stackrel{N}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{o}{2} \\ & \dot{\sim} \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{0} \\ & \dot{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{+}{\dot{N}} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{~N}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \dot{o} \\ & \dot{n} \\ & - \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{\varrho} \\ & \dot{0} \\ & \underset{\sim}{1} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{n} \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{+}{\dot{M}}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & =0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{?}{\dot{b}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \stackrel{n}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { J } \\ & \vdots \\ & 6 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \widetilde{N} \\ & \dot{6} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{o}}}{\stackrel{0}{2}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{0} \\ & \dot{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ 0 \\ i \\ i \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0 \\ & \stackrel{0}{i} \\ & i n \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{8} \\ & \dot{8} \\ & \dot{i} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{6} \\ & \stackrel{1}{6} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\infty}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} 0 \\ \stackrel{n}{\infty} \\ \infty \end{array}\right\|$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { + } \\ & \stackrel{.}{\infty} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{ং}{\infty}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & \dot{0} \\ & \dot{-} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \dot{0} \\ & \dot{O} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \overrightarrow{0} \\ & 0 \\ & \vdots \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { in } \\ & \dot{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\sim}{\sim} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & m \\ & \dot{m} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{0} \\ & \dot{N} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & \dot{m} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \underset{\sim}{N} \\ \stackrel{m}{2} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{o} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{\mathrm{~N}} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\infty}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 9 \\ 0 \\ \infty \\ \infty \end{array}\right\|$ | $\stackrel{n}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{+}{\mathrm{m}} \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\stackrel{ }{r}}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{n}{\sim}}{\underset{\sim}{\sim}}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{\stackrel{1}{r}} \stackrel{+}{\sim}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ロ0 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{\circ} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\circ$ <br> 0 <br> $\cdots$ <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br>  |  |  |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ \dot{1} \\ 1 \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{y}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{1}{0} \\ & \stackrel{1}{i} \\ & \dot{i} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N} \\ & \underset{N}{0} \\ & \stackrel{1}{6} \\ & \dot{1} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 0 $\infty$ $\stackrel{1}{N}$ $\vdots$ $\vdots$ $\dot{0}$ $\dot{N}$ 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M } \\ & \stackrel{N}{N} \\ & \stackrel{N}{\circ} \\ & \dot{\sim} \\ & \dot{N} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \infty \\ \dot{m} \\ \ddot{n} \\ \ddot{7} \\ \ddot{\ddot{n}} \\ \ddot{0} \\ \hline 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\infty} \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & 0 \\ & \underset{\sim}{0} \\ & \dot{0} \\ & i \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \hline \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \\ & \vdots \\ & 0 \\ & 1 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { o } \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \stackrel{0}{\infty} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \vdots \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ \dot{M} \\ \dot{1} \end{gathered}$ | 0 $\stackrel{0}{0}$ 0 0 O $\vdots$ $\vdots$ $\vdots$ |  |  |  |
| \＆ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{m}{/} \\ & \underset{\infty}{\infty} \\ & \infty \\ & \underset{\sim}{N} \\ & \dot{\sigma} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{m}{\omega} \\ & \underset{\infty}{\infty} \\ & \infty \\ & \underset{\sim}{N} \\ & \dot{\sigma} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \\ & \dot{0} \\ & \dot{-} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{o} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{\infty} \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\Pi} \\ & \dot{\sigma} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} o \infty \\ 0 \\ \stackrel{0}{-1} \\ \stackrel{n}{2} \\ \ddot{2} \\ \ddot{0} \\ \ddot{0} \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & 0 \\ & \underset{\sim}{c} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{I} \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{\infty} \\ & \sim \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \underset{\sim}{\infty} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\sim}{\sim} \\ & \stackrel{ }{\infty} \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \underset{\sim}{\infty} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \underset{0}{0} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \dot{0} \\ & \sim \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 芳 |  |  |  |  |  | ｜rid |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \infty \\ \underset{-1}{\infty} \\ -1 \end{gathered}\right.$ |  |  |  |  | $\underset{\substack{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{¢} \\ \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\top} \\ \hline \\ \hline}}{ }$ |  |  |  |  | ｜ras |  |  |  |  |  |
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Table 1 Explanations Notes column:

- "iT27 1x3s/URAT1 or UCAC4" indicates the use of telescope iT27 images with 3s exposure time and use of URAT1 or UCAC4 for plate solving
- „Err_Sep ", Err_PA ${ }^{\circ}$, Err_Mag M1/M2" gives the error estimations calculated as Err_Sep = SQRT (dRA^ $\bar{\wedge}^{\wedge} 2+\mathrm{dDec}^{\wedge} 2$ ) with dRA and dDec as average RA and Dec plate solving errors, Err_PA = arctan (Err_Sep/ Sep) assuming the worst case that Err_Sep points perpendicular to the separation vector and Err_Mag = SQRT(dVmag^2+(2.5*LOG10(1+1/SNR $\left.))^{\wedge} 2\right)$ with dVmag as average Vmag plate solving error and SNR as signal to noise ratio for the given object
- "Touching star disks" indicates that the rims of the star disks are touching and that the measurement results might be a bit less precise than with clearly separated star disks
- "Touching/Overlapping star disks" indicates that the star disks overlap to the degree of an elongation and that the measurement results is probably less precise than with clearly separated star disks
- "SNR <20" indicates that the measurement result might be a bit less precise than desired due to a low SNR value but this is already included in the calculation of the magnitude error range estimation
- "SNR <10" indicates that the measurement result is probably a bit less precise than desired due to a very low SNR value but this is already included in the calculation of the magnitude error range estimation
- "Image quality questionable" or similar indicates rather large average errors for the reference stars used for plate solving for different reasons. But this is already included in the calculation of the error range estimation
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#### Abstract

The unusual prototype Be shell star $\gamma$ Cas is part of a triple system (WDS J00567+6043) that illuminates two arc-shaped nebulae: IC 59 and IC 63. The HR 266 system (WDS J00568+6022) is a massive quadruple lying 1274" away from $\gamma$ Cas (projected separation 1.0 pc ), opposite of the reflection nebulae, which appears to be physically associated with this system based on common proper motion, radial velocity, and parallax. The entire $\gamma$ Cas system appears to constitute a massive septuplet (at least), with approximately $15 \mathcal{M}_{\text {sun }}$ in mass associated with the $\gamma$ Cas triplet, and about $13.5 \mathcal{M}_{\text {sun }}$ in mass associated with the HR 266 quadruplet. The $\gamma$ Cas system appears to be one of the highest multiplicity systems known ( $\mathrm{N}=7$ ).


## Introduction:

$\gamma$ Cas (HR 264, HIP 4427, ADS 782, 2MASS $\mathrm{J} 00564251+6043002$ ) is a famous eruptive Be shell star, which has shown irregular brightness variations since its discovery as the first Be star by Secchi (1867; see historical discussion by Harmanec, et al. 2000 and also Jim Kaler's summary at http:// stars.astro.illinois.edu/sow/cas.html).

It is listed with magnitude $\mathrm{V}=2.15$ in the Hipparcos catalog (ESA 1997), but $\mathrm{V}=2.47$ in the Bright Star Catalog (Hoffleit \& Warren 1991). $\gamma$ Cas has varied between approximately $\mathrm{V} \sim 1.6$ to 3.0 over much of the past century, and at times it outshines both $\alpha$ Cas (Schedar; $\mathrm{V} \sim 2.2$ ) and $\beta$ Cas (Caph; $\mathrm{V} \sim 2.2$ ), making it the brightest star in the "W" of Cassiopeia. The XHIP catalog (Anderson \& Francis 2012) places $\gamma$ Cas as the $28^{\text {th }}$ most luminous star known within 200 pc of the Sun. $\gamma$ Cas illuminates two bright rim nebulae in its immediate vicinity (IC 59 and IC 63) as well as a small, irregular H II region nearly 3 deg in diameter (Karr, et al. 2005). $\gamma$ Cas and the nebulae IC 59 and IC 63 are shown in Digitized Sky Survey imagery shown in Figure 1 .
$\gamma$ Cas A is a spectroscopic binary with $\mathrm{P}=203$ day (Harmanec, et al. 2000; Nemravova, et al. 2012). The
primary Aa is a B 0.5 IVe with mass $\sim 13 \mathcal{M}_{\text {sun }}{ }^{1}$, and the secondary Ab has a mass of approximately $1.0 \mathcal{M}_{\text {Sun }}$ (Nemravova, et al. 2012). The primary Aa itself has a 1.1 day photometric periodicity which has been attributed to a close-in white dwarf companion (Apparao 2002), however the existence of such a companion has not yet been confirmed, and more recent work favors this periodicity as being due to the primary's rotation (e.g. Shrader, et al. 2015). The circumstellar disk of the Be star has been resolved interferometrically, and the disk appears to have inclination $42^{\circ}$ (Stee, et al. 2012). With this inclination, $\gamma$ Cas A is likely rotating near its critical velocity (Stee, et al. 2012). The $\gamma$ Cas A pair companion further out at 2.2 separation (WDS J00567+6043 B = BU 1028) appears to be a V=10.9 F6V star sharing common proper motion. The WDS lists another companion at wider separation (WDS $\mathrm{J} 00567+6043 \mathrm{C}$ ) at separation 54.3 " with $\mathrm{V}=12.9$, however the UCAC4 proper motion of this star (UCAC4 754-011021 = 2MASS J00564070+6043518; $\mu_{\alpha}, \mu_{\delta}=-$ $5.3 \pm 2.7,+9.3 \pm 3.8 \mathrm{mas} / \mathrm{yr}$ ) is a poor match for $\gamma$ Cas A $\left(\mu_{\alpha}, \mu_{\delta}=+25.17 \pm 0.08,-3.92 \pm 0.08 \mathrm{mas} / \mathrm{yr}\right.$; van Leeuwen 2007), so it is unlikely to be a physical companion. At present, the $\gamma$ Cas system appears to be at least a triple with a total mass of $\sim 15 \mathcal{M}_{\text {Sun }}$. Adopting
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> Table 1. Positions, Proper Motions, and Trignometric Parallaxes for g Cas and HR 266 from revised Hipparcos Catalog

| NAME | RA (deg) | Dec (deg) | pmRA (mas/yr) | pmDec (mas/yr) | plx(mas) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Y Cas | 014.17708782 | 60.71674955 | $25.17 \pm 0.08$ | $-3.92 \pm 0.08$ | $5.94 \pm 0.12$ |
| HR266 | 014.19558681 | 60.36284788 | $26.13 \pm 0.30$ | $-3.79 \pm 0.33$ | $6.06 \pm 0.41$ |

its trigonometric parallax from van Leeuwen (2007) of $5.94 \pm 0.12$ mas, and its radial velocity from Harmanec, et al. (2000) of $-7.38 \pm 0.64 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{s}$, I estimate the velocity of the $\gamma$ Cas system in Galactic coordinates to be U, $\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{W}=-12.7,-17.4,-2.4( \pm 0.5,0.5,0.4) \mathrm{km} / \mathrm{s}$ (total heliocentric velocity $21.6 \pm 0.5 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{s})$.

HR 266 (ADS 784, WDS J00568+6022, 2MASS J00564697+6021463) is a quadruple system consisting of stars of type B7IV (A), B9IV HgMn (Ba), and A1V $(\mathrm{Bb})$ star, plus an astrometrically detected component Bc which may be a single B/A-type star or a pair of lower-mass stars (Fekel 1979, Cole, et al. 1992, Docobo \& Andrade 2006). The system lies $\sim 0.35$ degree south of $\gamma$ Cas, diametrically opposite of the IC 59 nebula (Fig. 1). Component B splits into Ba and Bb with a short orbital period of 4.2 days, and that duo orbits the unseen star Bc with period 4.8 yr , detected via speckle astrometry as a perturbation on the motion of $\mathrm{Ba}+\mathrm{Bb}$ around A (see e.g. Fig. 7 of Cole, et al. 1992). The B trio and component A have a well-characterized visual orbit with period 83 yr . The component C listed in the WDS at separation 41.3" (BU 1353; PA = 157 deg) has near-IR colors consistent with a K3 dwarf. However the star is approximately a magnitude too faint to be a dwarf co-distant with HR 266, and so it is a likely interloper.

The HR 266 system has at least 4 components, and possibly 5 if Bc is a tight pair as speculated by Cole, et al. (1992). The total mass of the HR 266 system is approximately $13.5 \mathcal{M}_{\text {sun }}$ (Cole, et al. 1992). Adopting the revised Hipparcos parallax for the system $(6.06 \pm 0.41$ mas; van Leeuwen 2007) and the radial velocity from Cole, et al. (1992) of $-8.7 \pm 0.2 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{s}$, I estimate the velocity of the HR 266 system in Galactic coordinates to be U, V, W $=-12.2,-18.7,-2.2( \pm 1.2,0.7,0.4) \mathrm{km} / \mathrm{s}$ (total velocity $22.4 \pm 0.9 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{s}$ ).

The positions, proper motions, and trigonometric parallaxes for $\gamma$ Cas and HR 266 in the revised Hipparcos catalog (van Leeuwen 2007; epoch 1991.25, ICRS) are given in Table 1.

Using the revised Hipparcos astrometry, HR 266 lies at separation $1274.4669 \pm 0.0004$ arcseconds from $\gamma$ Cas at position angle 178.52 deg. The uncertainty in the separation is dominated by the uncertainty in the position of HR 266 ( $\pm 0.3,0.4$ mas in RA, Dec), as the uncertainty in the position of $\gamma$ Cas is 0.07 mas in both

RA and Dec. The revised Hipparcos trigonometric parallaxes for $\gamma$ Cas ( $5.94 \pm 0.12 \mathrm{mas}$ ) and HR 266 ( $6.06 \pm$ 0.41 mas) from van Leeuwen (2007) are statistically consistent (differing only at the $0.3 \sigma$ level), and correspond to distances of $168.4 \pm 3.4 \mathrm{pc}$ and $165.0 \pm 11.2$ pc , respectively. Their weighted mean trigonometric parallax ( $5.95 \pm 0.12 \mathrm{mas}$ ) is consistent with a distance of $168.1 \pm 3.3 \mathrm{pc}$. At this distance, the projected separation is $214,000 \mathrm{AU}$ or 1.04 pc . The tidal radii $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{d}}$ for the individual subsystems $\gamma$ Cas and HR 266 can be estimated using the expression in Mamajek, et al. (2013): $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{t}}$ $=1.35 \mathrm{pc}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\text {tot }} / \mathcal{M}_{\text {sun }}\right)^{1 / 3}$. Using the previously mentioned subsystem mass estimates, I estimate the tidal radii of the $\gamma$ Cas and HR 266 subsystems to be $t_{d} \sim 3.3$ and 3.2 pc , respectively. The stars are clearly projected within $\sim 1 \mathrm{pc}$ of each other, and their trigonometric parallax distances are statistically consistent to within $\sim 3 \pm$ 12 pc . Their proper motions differ negligibly $-\Delta$ $(\mathrm{pmRA})=0.96 \pm 0.31 \mathrm{mas} / \mathrm{yr}$ and $\Delta(\mathrm{pmDec})=0.13 \pm$ $0.34 \mathrm{mas} / \mathrm{yr}$. At d $=168 \mathrm{pc}$, these differences in proper motion translate to a miniscule difference in tangential velocity of $0.77 \pm 0.37 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{s}$. The radial velocities for $\gamma$ Cas and HR 266 (from Harmanec, et al. 2000, Cole, et al. 1992, respectively) differ only at the $1.3 \pm 0.7 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{s}$. Indeed, when comparing the 3D velocity vectors previously calculated, we see that they only differ at the $\Delta(\mathrm{U}, \mathrm{V}, \mathrm{W})=-0.5,1.3,-0.3( \pm 1.3,0.8,0.5) \mathrm{km} / \mathrm{s}$ level. Hence, $\gamma$ Cas and HR 266 are not only very close in position and distance, but they are demonstrably comoving to within $1.4 \pm 1.6 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{s}$. This case is fairly similar to the examples of the very wide Mizar-Alcor sextuplet (Mamajek, et al. 2010) and the case of Fomalhaut B and C (Mamajek, et al. 2013). I conclude that the $\gamma$ Cas + HR 266 system is likely to be physical, designate it MAM 20, and list HR 266 as component "D" to WDS $00567+6043$ (B. Mason, priv. comm.). Table 2 gives PA, separation and magnitudes of the proposed MAM 20 multiple system.

## Discussion

Eggelton \& Tokovinin (2008) examined the multiplicity of the 4559 brightest stars $(\mathrm{V}<6)$ and identified only 2 septuplets ( $v$ Sco and AR Cas), and none of higher multiplicity. Both of these systems appear to be quite young ( $<$ tens Myr) and within OB associations (Sco-Cen and Cas-Tau, respectively). A search for ad-
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Table 2. Measurements of MAM 20

| NAME | RA+DEC | MAGS | PA | SEP | DATE | N | NOTE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MAM 20 | $00567+6043$ | $2.15,5.56$ | 178.5 | 1274.5 | 1991.25 | 1 | 1 |

1. Revised Hipparcos astrometry (van Leeuwen 2007), magnitudes from original Hipparcos catalog (ESA 1997).
ditional co-moving stars in the close vicinity $\left(<1^{\circ}\right)$ of $\gamma$ Cas system has yielded no interesting candidates, however the system could be a cluster remnant of a subgroup within the greater Cas-Tau OB association (see Fig. 19 of de Zeeuw, et al. 1999). The Cas-Tau OB association is an extremely diffuse stellar group, and despite its proximity ( $\mathrm{d} \sim 170 \mathrm{pc}$ ) it has been barely studied since it was first proposed by Blaauw (1956). Age estimates range from 20 to 50 Myr (e.g. Blaauw 1956, Blaauw 1983, de Zeeuw \& Brand 1985), however it has been proposed to be associated with the Alpha Persei cluster, whose age is more like $\sim 90 \mathrm{Myr}$ (Stauffer, et al. 1999). De Zeeuw, et al. (1999) did not select either $\gamma$ Cas (HIP 4427) or HR 266 (HIP 4440) as members of the Cas-Tau group. Only two purported members of Cas-Tau from de Zeeuw, et al. (1999) lie within 5 deg (HD 5409 and HR 342), however they appear to be background ( $\sim 340 \mathrm{pc}$ ) and foreground ( $\sim 124 \mathrm{pc}$ ) stars, respectively. Neither HD 5409 nor HR 342 appears to share the motion of the $\gamma$ Cas system, nor lie within its estimated tidal radius. De Zeeuw, et al. (1999) estimates the space velocity of Cas-Tau to be $(\mathrm{U}, \mathrm{V}, \mathrm{W})=(-$ $13.2,-19.7,-6.4 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{s}$ ). Given the rarity of early B-type stars in the field, and their short lifetimes, plus the similarities in position, distance, and velocity between the $\gamma$ Cas and Cas-Tau association (only $\sim 4 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{s}$ mismatch), it seems likely that the $\gamma$ Cas system is somehow related to the Cas-Tau association.

Cas-Tau has relatively few early B-type stars, with the hottest members among the de Zeeuw et al. (1999) Hipparcos membership being of spectral type B1.5 (1 Per and Phi Per). It is possible that the B0.5IVe star $\gamma$ Cas is a blue straggler, having been disrupted to its near -critical rotational speed by interaction(s) with member (s) from its evaporating cluster. With $\sim 6$ or $\sim 7$ members with mass $>1 \mathcal{M}_{\text {Sun }}$, and assuming a typical initial mass function (Kroupa, et al. 2001), the $\gamma$ Cas protocluster likely had at least $\sim 70$ stellar members. If the $\gamma$ Cas and HR 266 subsystems have close passes, the perturbations among the components could account for the high eccentricity of the tight subsystem HR $266 \mathrm{Ba}+\mathrm{Bb}$ ( $\mathrm{e}=0.415, \mathrm{P}=4.24$ day). Among 677 spectroscopic binaries in the SB9 catalog (Pourbaix, et al. 2004) with orbital periods within $\pm 50 \%$ of 4.24 day, one finds only 17 ( $2.5 \%$ ) with eccentricities exceeding 0.4, making HR $266 \mathrm{Ba}+\mathrm{Bb}$ a $\sim 2 \sigma$ outlier in terms of eccentricity compared to comparably tight binaries.

The $\gamma$ Cas + HR 266 septuplet may constitute one of the highest-N multiple systems known. The evolution of disintegrating young clusters into high-order multiples like this system may provide some context for the dynamical conditions which spawn the classic Be star phenomena.
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Figure 1. One degree field of view image of $\gamma$ Cas and vicinity generated using Skyview. The image is RGB using DSS2 red (red), DSS1 blue (green), and DSS2 blue (blue). At $d=168$ pc, 1 degree equals about 2.9 pc .
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# Measurements of Close Visual Binaries with a 280 mm Reflector and the ASI 290MM Camera 

J. Sérot<br>jocelyn.serot@free.fr


#### Abstract

This paper presents the measurements of 305 visual binary stars obtained between Feb and Oct 2016 with an 11" reflector telescope and a ASI 290MM CMOS-based camera. Binaries with a secondary component up to mag 12 or as close as 0.5 arcsec could be routinely measured. Exceptionally, pairs with very faint secondary components (up to mag 13) or with separation at the theoretical diffraction limit ( 0.4 arcsec ) have also been measured. We also point out several binaries with known orbits for which our measurement, together with the latest ones, suggest a recalculation of the orbit. Finally, we report the discovery of a yet unobserved component for the star A 303 (WDS 21555+2724).


## 1. Introduction

This paper is a continuation of the work published in previous issues of JDSO [1,2]. It presents the measurements of 305 visual binary stars obtained between Feb and Oct 2016 with an 11" reflector telescope. Compared with the previously published work, the two most significant changes are i) the replacement of the EMCCD camera by an ASI 290MM camera; ii) the modification of the calibration procedure, which is now based upon analysis of timed star drifts instead of calibrating pairs; and iii) a systematic estimation of observational errors by means of repeated acquisitions and measurements.

## 2. Instrumental setup

As described in [1] and [2] the telescope is a 280 mm Schmidt-Cassegrain reflector (Celestron C11). The EM-CCD used in the previous papers has here been replaced by an ASI 290MM camera [3]. This camera is equipped with a $1 / 3^{\prime \prime}$ (1936 x 1096) Sony IMX290 CMOS back-illuminated sensor, providing unprecedented sensibility and very low read-out noise. Figure 1 shows the so-called Variance Photon Transfer Curve (as defined in [4] for example) actually obtained for this camera for a system gain setting of 300 (full range is $0-$ 600). The inverse sensor gain and read-out noise derived from this curve are $\mathrm{K}=1.78 \mathrm{e}^{-} / \mathrm{ADU}$ and RON $=1.3 \mathrm{e}^{-}$. Compared to previous CMOS sensors, the IMX290 sensor also has an improved sensibility in the


Figure 1. Variance Photon Transfer Curve for the Sony IMX290 sensor of an ASI 290MM camera as measured by the author
red and near infrared parts of the spectrum $(\mathrm{QE}>0.9$ up to 660 nm and $\mathrm{QE}>0.7$ up to 800 nm ), which could be useful when imaging double stars with K or M spectral types. Finally, the IMX290 sensor has relatively small pixels ( $2.9 \times 2.9 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ), making it easier to reach the very small plate scales required for close double star imaging. In our case, a simple 2x Barlow lens gives a resulting focal length of 6225 mm and a plate scale of
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96 mas / pixel. Figure 2 shows the used optical train, with, from left to right: the focusing mechanism, the flip-mirror (with 12 mm eyepiece), the filter wheel, the Barlow lens, the atmospheric dispersion corrector (ADC) and the ASI 290MM camera. It can be noticed that the ADC is placed after the Barlow lens, minimizing potential astigmatism problems (ADCs generally work better at high F-ratios). The filter wheel offers the possibility to easily switch from the large L-band filter ( $400-700 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) generally used for acquisitions to a narrower band filter such as the G-band ( $500-580 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) we used for very close binaries.

## 3. Image acquisition and reduction

As in [1] and [2], acquisition is carried out with the Genika Astro software [5]. The gain of the camera is set at 550 (range is $0-600$ ). Experiments with higher settings did not show significant improvements in detectability. Exposure time for individual images range from 10 to 60 ms typically.

For each target star, we now acquire four sequences of 1000 images. This gives us the possibility to improve the reliability of our measures and estimate their internal precision by computing the mean and standard deviation of the values obtained for the separation and position angle from each sequence. The standard deviations, when they can be computed, are reported in Table 1 along with the position angle and separation values (PA and SEP resp.) between brackets. The average value of these standard deviations for the whole set of measurements are respectively $0.89^{\circ}$ for PA and 13 mas for SEP, giving a mean estimated precision of $0.45^{\circ}$ and 7 mas respectively.

For precise on-sky scale and orientation calibration, we also have replaced our previous method based upon calibrating pairs by a method based upon the analysis of timed star drifts. Each observing night, we record five distinct drifts of a single, relatively bright star at declination between approximately 10 and $30^{\circ}$. The duration of each drift is typically $6-8 \mathrm{sec}$ and it contains $180-$ 250 images. Precise data extraction of each frame is performed by the Genika software. After conversion of the corresponding .SER files to FITS images, each drift is analyzed using the DriftAnalysis module integrated to the latest version of the Speckle Toolbox software developed by D. Rowe [6]. In order to assess the precision of the calibration values obtained with this method, we recorded, for 15 observing nights, the corresponding data. Results are given in Table 4. For each line, the table gives, in columns 1-8: the date, the name of the star, its J2000 declination, the number of drifts used, the mean and standard deviation values obtained for the camera orientation (in degrees) and plate scale. In col-


Figure 2. Used optical setup behind the Celestron C11
umns 9-11, we also give, when available, the calibration values obtained by using a single calibrating pair. The name of the calibrating pair, obtained from the list given in [7] is given in column 9. Note that these latest values were not used to produce the measures reported in this paper; they are only given to assess the precision of the method based upon such calibrating pairs. The variation in mean values observed in Table 4 should be ignored because they only reflect the fact that the optical setup is not installed on a permanent basis on the telescope (which is also used for planetary imaging with a slightly different configuration). Columns 6 and 8 respectively give the standard deviation of the camera orientation and plate scale, computed from the five distinct drifts. The maximum value is $0.43^{\circ}$ ( $1.2 \mathrm{mas} /$ pixel). The mean value is $0.28^{\circ}$ ( $\left.0.5 \mathrm{mas} / \mathrm{pixel}\right)$. Comparison of the mean values obtained with two distinct drifts during the same night (28/09/2106, $\rho$ Psc and 16 Peg) also shows a very good consistency, with a difference of $0.12^{\circ}$ and 1.8 mas/pixel. Comparison of the values obtained by the drift analysis method on the one hand and the calibrating pair method on the other hand is also instructive. In the eleven cases reported here, the difference in calibration obtained calibration values that never exceeded $0.76^{\circ}$ and $1.3 \mathrm{mas} /$ pixel respectively. This justifies a posteriori the use of the latter in our previous papers. We have nevertheless chosen to rely on the former from now on for two reasons. First, it provides, along with the calibration values themselves, estimations of the associated precision (by computing standard deviations). Second, it does not require finding calibration pairs in the observed area of the sky (which, in certain situations, can be difficult).

Selection of the binaries to measure is carried out using the latest, online version of the WDS catalog [8] with the help of the WdsPick software described in [9]. We now almost systematically restrict ourselves to
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pairs with a primary component having a magnitude $<12$, separation in the range $0.4-1 \mathrm{arcsec}$ and for which the latest reported measure is more than 10 years old. This maximizes the chance, in our opinion, to observe significant displacement since the last reported measure. In this campaign, we also included a dozen pairs having separations in the range $1-5 \operatorname{arcsec}$ but showing a relatively large difference in magnitude (up to 3 ) in order to assess the ability of the IMX290 sensor to detect faint companions relatively close -but not too close- to the primary. These pairs are listed with Note 10 in Table 1.

Image reduction is still carried out with the Reduc software [10] using the pixel autocorrelation technique described in [1] and [2]. The only difference is that the measurement of the peak positions is now performed on the autocorrelogram obtained from each of the 1000 frames acquisition sequence, giving four values both for the position angle and separation. Reduc then computes the mean and standard deviation of these values (as reported in Table 1).

## 4. Results

The reported measurements were obtained on 28 nights, between 2016-04-18 and 2016-10-31. The total number is 305 measures, concerning 304 binaries. Of these, 49 have a published orbit.

Figures 3, 4 and 5 show the distribution of all measurements according to the magnitude and separation of components.

The measures themselves are listed in Table 1. As indicated in Section 3, the values for the position angle (PA) and separation (SEP) are given with their corresponding standard deviation when the latter can be computed. The standard deviation was derived, as described in Section 3, from $n$ distinct measures, where $n$ is given in column 8 (in most of cases, $\mathrm{n}=4$ ). A selection of reduced images from which the measures were obtained is given in Plate 1. The details of all measurements are available online [12].

We also report in Table 2 on 25 stars which were either viewed as simple or perceived as binaries but cannot be reliably measured because their separation was too close ( $<0.4$ arcsec typically).

For several pairs, our measurement shows a significant deviation with respect to the latest one reported in the WDS catalog. Our observations for these pairs are shown in Plate 2. For COU2525 the quadrant inversion may have been induced by the small difference in magnitude. For COU1468, the observed magnitudes do not match those reported in the WDS (10.16/10.14 whereas our observation shows a significantly fainter secondary component). The same discrepancy in magnitudes is


Figure 3. Distribution of measurements according to the magnitude of the primary component


Figure 4: Distribution of measurements according to the magnitude of the secondary component


Figure 5: Distribution of measurements according to the separation of components
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Plate 1 -Examples of images after reduction. (left: co-addition of 10-20 best frames, right: auto-correlogram computed on 1000 frames. $N$ up, E left)
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Plate 2 - Images of pairs for which observations do not match the data recorded in the WDS catalog (as of Oct 2016). (left: co-addition of 15 best frames, right: auto-correlogram computed on 1000 frames. North up, East left)

## Measurements of Close Visual Binaries with a 280 mm Reflector and the ASI 290MM Camera



Figure 6. Orbit of A 764AB with predicted (*) and observed ( + ) positions of component $B$
(Continued from page 270) observed, to a lesser extent, for A1286BC. For COU1504AC, the observed theta value is not in agreement with the latest measure reported in WDS (theta $=359^{\circ}, 1992$ ). There could be a confusion with the AB pair (TDS1697AB), reported at theta $=86^{\circ}$, rho $=0,4^{\prime \prime}$ in 1991) but the magnitudes do not match $(10.58 / 10.59)$ whereas the observed magnitudes seem to match those reported for COU1504AC (10.56/11.91), as shown in the corresponding image of Plate 2.

For pairs having a known orbit, Table 3 gives the O-C residuals, computed from the ephemerides published in the 6th Catalog of Orbits [11] (such as published at Oct 1, 2016). Only three pairs show significant O-C values: A 764AB, COU 812 and HU 991. For A 764 AB and COU 812, these values are coherent with the latest measures published in the WDS Catalog, as shown in Figure 6 and 7, and suggest a revision of the orbital parameters. For HU 991, we have no explanation for the large deviation between our measure and the latest ones.

For pairs having a orbit with grade 1 or 2 , the residuals are plotted using a polar to rectangular conversion in Figure 9 in order to assess the precision of the measurements.


Figure 7 - Orbit of COU 812 with predicted (*) and observed (+) positions of component $B$


Figure 8. Orbit of HU 991 with predicted (*) and observed (+) positions of component $B$
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Figure 9. O-C residuals plotted in rectangular coordinates for pairs having an orbit graded 1-2. The dash-lined square represents a pixel in the used instrumental setup.

## 5. A new component for WDS $21555+2724$

A 303 (WDS 21555+2724) was observed for the first time in the night of Sep 1, 2016 because of the relatively large difference in magnitude of its two components ( 9.2 and 11.6 respectively). When reducing the data, a very faint companion was noticed on an image obtained by co-adding the 100 best frames of the sequence. The presence of this companion was confirmed on the four auto-correlograms computed on 1000frames sequences (see Figure 10). The pair was reobserved on two subsequent nights ( 27 and 28 Sep
2016), with similar results, thus excluding any possibility of an artifact due to seeing or induced by the reduction methods. We did not find mention of this component in the literature and it has no entry in the WDS catalog. The measured position angle and separation are (mean and standard deviation computed on $\mathrm{N}=4$ samples): $\mathrm{PA}=337.4^{\circ}(0.57)$ and $\mathrm{SEP}=3.41^{\prime \prime}(0.015)$

A rough estimation of the magnitude of this new component, based upon that of the B component and the exposure times needed to record it ( 50 ms at minimum) gives 13-13.5.

## 6. Conclusion

The results reported here show that cameras equiped with the most recent back-illuminated CMOS sensors, such as the ASI 290MM, can provide results on the par with those obtained with low-end EM-CCDs. We think this opens unprecedented opportunities for the community of double star observers, by dramatically extending the range of pairs which can be reliably measured with «small» telescopes, both in terms of magnitudes and separation.
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Figure 10. Images of A 303 (WDS 21555+2724) showing the new companion in Q4 (left: co-addition of 100 best frames, right: auto-correlogram computed on 1000 frames. North up, East left)
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Table 1. Measurements


Table 1 continues on next page.
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Table 1 (continued). Measurements

| NAME | RA+DEC | MAG 1 | MAG 2 | PA ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | SEP (arcsec) | DATE | N | NOTES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STT 43 | 02407+2637 | 7.9 | 9 | 335.5 (0.5) | 0.67 (0.009) | 2016.825 | 4 |  |
| COU 863 | $02420+3255$ | 10.3 | 10.4 | 174.4 (0.3) | 0.66 (0.009) | 2016.833 | 4 |  |
| COU1376 | 02505+4044 | 11.7 | 12 | 25.7 (0.9) | 0.79 (0.007) | 2016.833 | 4 |  |
| HEI 99 | 02513+1515 | 10.8 | 10.8 | 159.2 (0.1) | 0.85 (0) | 2016.833 | 4 |  |
| A 1281AB | 02517+4559 | 8.9 | 10.7 | 146.2 (0.5) | 0.68 (0.021) | 2016.825 | 4 |  |
| MET 17AB | $02524+3729$ | 9 | 13.4 | 74 | 5.06 | 2016.833 | 1 | 2 |
| COU1222 | 02549+3857 | 11.2 | 11.1 | 142.8 (0.5) | 0.7 (0.014) | 2016.833 | 4 |  |
| COU1513 | $03176+4322$ | 9.5 | 11.1 | 117.7 (0) | 1.4 (0.001) | 2016.833 | 4 |  |
| A 1286BC | $03238+5436$ | 11.2 | 11.2 | 55.9 (1.1) | 0.71 (0.003) | 2016.833 | 4 | 3 |
| COU1684 | 03266+4415 | 10.6 | 11 | 43 (1.6) | 0.64 (0.002) | 2016.833 | 4 |  |
| COU2022 | $03291+4632$ | 10.7 | 11.1 | 227.8 (0.1) | 0.98 (0.005) | 2016.833 | 4 |  |
| A 1832 | 04101+3639 | 9.7 | 11 | 168.5 (0.4) | 0.79 (0.006) | 2016.833 | 4 |  |
| HU 212AC | $04142+5149$ | 10.3 | 12.3 | 191.2 | 4.31 | 2016.833 | 1 | 2 |
| HU 212AB | $04142+5149$ | 10.3 | 10.2 | 24.4 (1.4) | 0.57 (0.005) | 2016.833 | 4 |  |
| COU2027 | $04187+4426$ | 11.1 | 11.1 | 91.6 (0.6) | 0.72 (0.006) | 2016.833 | 4 |  |
| COU 37 | $04392+1724$ | 10 | 10.5 | 261.5 (1.4) | 0.57 (0.018) | 2016.833 | 4 |  |
| A 1302 | $04559+4432$ | 11.2 | 12 | 2.5 (0.3) | 0.62 (0.003) | 2016.833 | 4 |  |
| A 1550 | $04565+4311$ | 10 | 10.3 | 149.4 (1.9) | 0.65 (0.022) | 2016.833 | 4 |  |
| A 2163 | 12022+2108 | 10.2 | 10.3 | 168.9 (0.2) | 0.68 (0.017) | 2016.296 | 4 | 4 |
| STF1613 | $12126+3546$ | 9.2 | 9.3 | 6.1 (0.2) | 1.15 (0) | 2016.296 | 4 |  |
| HU 570 | $12137+2118$ | 9.2 | 11.8 | 115.4 | 2.63 | 2016.296 | 1 |  |
| HU 569 | $12138+2143$ | 10.1 | 11.2 | 161.6 (0.2) | 1.19 (0.024) | 2016.296 | 4 |  |
| COU1425 | $12430+4008$ | 10.9 | 11.1 | 354.7 (1.6) | 0.77 (0.01) | 2016.296 | 4 |  |
| HU 1141 | $13004+3545$ | 10 | 10.6 | 343.2 (0.2) | 0.61 (0.018) | 2016.326 | 4 | 5 |
| POP 119 | $13232+4029$ | 10.6 | 10.6 | 7.5 (1.6) | 0.74 (0.007) | 2016.296 | 4 |  |
| HU 1260 | $13254+3548$ | 10.6 | 11.1 | 184.9 (0.5) | 0.69 (0.006) | 2016.296 | 4 |  |
| A 1609AB.C | $13258+4430$ | 8.3 | 13 | 220.2 | 2.58 | 2016.326 | 1 | 2.5 |
| A 1857 | $13305+3430$ | 8.1 | 9.4 | 344.1 (0.1) | 0.63 (0.026) | 2016.326 | 4 |  |
| A 685 | $13512+2948$ | 9.9 | 10.3 | 18.1 (0) | 0.78 (0) | 2016.326 | 3 |  |
| A 569 | $14012+2522$ | 10.1 | 10.3 | 150 (0.2) | 0.61 (0.042) | 2016.326 | 4 |  |
| STT 276AB | $14082+3645$ | 8.9 | 9.4 | 207 (3.2) | 0.42 (0.007) | 2016.326 | 4 | 5 |
| COU1916 | $14241+4331$ | 10.6 | 10.8 | 268.9 (0.4) | $0.73 \quad(0.001)$ | 2016.296 | 4 |  |
| COU 99 | $14432+2246$ | 11 | 11.5 | 205.6 (2.4) | 0.67 (0.011) | 2016.296 | 4 |  |
| COU 972 | $15092+3508$ | 10.7 | 12 | 144.3 (0) | 1.44 (0) | 2016.296 | 3 |  |
| A 1630 | $15192+4329$ | 10 | 10.5 | 245.1 (0.2) | 0.78 (0.006) | 2016.296 | 4 |  |
| COU 103 | $15200+2338$ | 10.1 | 10.1 | 281.2 (0.6) | $0.61 \quad(0.004)$ | 2016.326 | 2 |  |
| HU 147 | 15204+5309 | 10.2 | 10.3 | 286.6 (2.2) | 0.59 (0.033) | 2016.296 | 4 |  |
| HU 146 | $15210+2104$ | 9.5 | 9.7 | 122.7 (1.9) | $0.72 \quad(0.012)$ | 2016.326 | 4 | 5 |
| STF1937AB | $15232+3017$ | 5.6 | 5.9 | 217.2 (0.3) | $0.63 \quad(0.001)$ | 2016.326 | 4 | 5 |
| A 1367AB | $15233+3619$ | 10.9 | 11 | 155.5 (0.4) | 0.62 (0.028) | 2016.296 | 4 |  |
| COU1443 | $15272+4133$ | 8.6 | 10.3 | 169.8 (0) | 0.59 (0) | 2016.296 | 2 |  |
| COU 610 | $15329+3122$ | 4.2 | 6.2 | 199.1 (0.2) | $0.79 \quad(0.021)$ | 2016.326 | 4 |  |
| A 1640 | $16013+4529$ | 10.6 | 10.6 | 344.9 (1.2) | $0.65 \quad(0.004)$ | 2016.326 | 4 |  |
| COU1290 | $17007+3951$ | 10.4 | 10.5 | 27.4 (2) | $0.74 \quad(0.009)$ | 2016.51 | 6 |  |
| COU1593 | $17043+4445$ | 10.5 | 10.4 | 191.4 (0.2) | 0.62 (0.007) | 2016.51 | 4 |  |

Table 1 continues on next page.
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Table 1 (continued). Measurements

| NAME | RA+DEC | MAG 1 | MAG 2 |  | ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | SEP | (arcsec) | DATE | N | NOTES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COU1454 | $17250+4306$ | 10.5 | 10.6 | 147.7 | (0.7) | 0.94 | (0.011) | 2016.51 | 7 |  |
| STF2267 | $18017+4011$ | 8.4 | 8.8 | 276.3 | (0.2) | 0.54 | (0.024) | 2016.573 | 4 |  |
| BU 1127AB | $18025+4414$ | 7.3 | 9.2 | 42.3 |  | 0.77 |  | 2016.507 | 1 | 6 |
| COU1147 | $18036+3731$ | 11 | 11.3 | 174.6 | (0.4) | 0.74 | (0.008) | 2016.512 | 4 |  |
| COU2513 | $18054+5155$ | 10.9 | 11.3 | 57.2 | (2.8) | 0.88 | (0.014) | 2016.512 | 4 |  |
| STT 341AB | $18058+2127$ | 7.3 | 8.8 | 105.8 | (0.2) | 0.37 | (0.005) | 2016.573 | 4 |  |
| COU 812 | $18092+3129$ | 10.7 | 10.8 | 264.2 | (0.5) | 0.69 | (0.01) | 2016.512 | 4 |  |
| HU 318 | $18146+2335$ | 10.6 | 10.7 | 154.5 | (1.1) | 0.71 | (0.004) | 2016.512 | 4 |  |
| A 241 | $18172+2640$ | 10.5 | 10.7 | 287.7 | (0.5) | 0.67 | (0.053) | 2016.573 | 4 |  |
| A 244 | $18242+2818$ | 10.4 | 10.4 | 267.6 | (0.7) | 0.64 | (0.014) | 2016.512 | 4 |  |
| HU 583 | $18304+1348$ | 10.2 | 10.4 | 305.4 | (0.2) | 0.77 | (0.002) | 2016.6 | 4 |  |
| COU1928 | $18306+4429$ | 10 | 11.1 | 262.6 | (1.7) | 0.47 | (0.03) | 2016.575 | 4 |  |
| COU1150Aa.Ab | $18309+3417$ | 9.8 | 10.1 | 283.3 | (1.2) | 0.41 | (0.028) | 2016.575 | 4 |  |
| A 248 | $18312+2516$ | 11 | 10.9 | 31.4 | (4.3) | 0.55 | (0.017) | 2016.575 | 4 |  |
| COU 639 | $18349+2717$ | 10.9 | 11.1 | 267.8 | (1.6) | 0.73 | (0.021) | 2016.575 | 4 |  |
| HU 247 | $18370+1016$ | 9.9 | 10 | 358.5 | (0.5) | 0.44 | (0.004) | 2016.6 | 4 |  |
| COU 641 | $18406+2636$ | 10.2 | 10.3 | 56.3 | (0.9) | 0.61 | (0.011) | 2016.512 | 4 |  |
| A 1381 | $18423+3616$ | 10.4 | 10.3 | 92.9 | (4.6) | 0.38 | (0.091) | 2016.573 | 4 |  |
| COU1309 | $18459+3657$ | 11.3 | 11.3 | 175 | (0.1) | 0.53 | (0.001) | 2016.6 | 4 |  |
| COU1930 | $18505+4335$ | 10.3 | 10.1 | 325.9 | (2) | 0.42 | (0.021) | 2016.512 | 4 |  |
| Cou1611 | $18572+3845$ | 11 | 11.2 | 110.8 | (1.4) | 0.67 | (0.04) | 2016.6 | 4 |  |
| COU1156 | $19006+3300$ | 11.1 | 11.2 | 111 | (1.8) | 0.74 | (0.035) | 2016.586 | 4 |  |
| A 2991 | 19034+2511 | 10.4 | 10.8 | 81.7 | (1.1) | 0.72 | (0.022) | 2016.586 | 4 |  |
| HU 940 | $19055+3352$ | 9.1 | 9.7 | 186.9 | (2.5) | 0.44 | (0.024) | 2016.575 | 4 |  |
| COU1614 | $19061+3549$ | 9.9 | 9.9 | 116.9 | (1.1) | 0.5 | (0.038) | 2016.575 | 4 |  |
| ES 1658 | $19088+4004$ | 11.4 | 11.9 | 106.5 | (0.6) | 1.36 | (0.016) | 2016.682 | 4 |  |
| A 151 | $19114+2116$ | 8.5 | 9.5 | 158.3 | (0.9) | 0.64 | (0.009) | 2016.6 | 4 |  |
| A 1177 | $19177+1147$ | 10.3 | 10.6 | 14.1 | (1.4) | 0.65 | (0.072) | 2016.586 | 4 |  |
| COU 422 | 19208+1717 | 10.6 | 11.3 | 218.9 | (0.8) | 0.95 | (0.013) | 2016.6 | 2 |  |
| HEI 269 | $19232+1320$ | 9.8 | 10.3 | 10.6 | (0.5) | 0.75 | (0.007) | 2016.6 | 4 |  |
| A 2196BC | $19238+3119$ | 10.8 | 11.2 | 234.1 | (1.5) | 0.69 | (0.016) | 2016.575 | 4 |  |
| COU2399 | $19243+4038$ | 10.4 | 11 | 332.5 | (0.3) | 0.96 | (0.003) | 2016.682 | 4 |  |
| COU2122 | $19253+3749$ | 10.8 | 10.9 | 20.5 | (0.7) | 0.79 | (0.013) | 2016.682 | 4 |  |
| COU2525 | $19263+4319$ | 10.9 | 10.9 | 164 | (0.1) | 0.61 | (0) | 2016.682 | 4 | 7 |
| A 1648 | $19270+1606$ | 9.6 | 9.8 | 180.7 | (0.5) | 0.82 | (0.001) | 2016.682 | 4 |  |
| A 593 | $19272+4307$ | 9.9 | 10.4 | 355 | (0.9) | 0.69 | (0.004) | 2016.682 | 4 |  |
| COU2401 | $19303+4204$ | 11.1 | 11.5 | 193.6 | (1.3) | 0.93 | (0.04) | 2016.682 | 4 |  |
| A 269 | $19305+2714$ | 9.3 | 9.8 | 208 | (0.6) | 0.69 | (0.01) | 2016.6 | 4 |  |
| HU 949 | $19335+3305$ | 9.7 | 9.6 | 87 | (0.3) | 0.46 | (0) | 2016.586 | 4 |  |
| A 271 | $19345+2620$ | 10.5 | 10.4 | 124.7 | (0.9) | 0.65 | (0.006) | 2016.575 | 4 |  |
| STT 375 | $19346+1808$ | 7.7 | 8.8 | 187.5 | (0.9) | 0.7 | (0.012) | 2016.6 | 4 |  |
| BU 1132 | $19432+2701$ | 9.3 | 9.9 | 211.9 | (1) | 0.62 | (0.007) | 2016.586 | 4 |  |
| BU 657 | $19438+2238$ | 9.7 | 10.4 | 132.5 | (2.1) | 0.85 | (0.015) | 2016.674 | 4 |  |
| COU2284 | $19460+3717$ | 8.9 | 10.1 | 330.6 | (1.1) | 0.62 | (0.01) | 2016.6 | 4 |  |
| HU 758 | 19471+3321 | 9.4 | 9.4 | 145.5 | (0.2) | 0.88 | (0.002) | 2016.575 | 4 |  |

Measurements of Close Visual Binaries with a 280 mm Reflector and the ASI 290MM Camera

Table 1 (continued). Measurements

| NAME | RA+DEC | MAG 1 | MAG 2 | PA | $\left({ }^{\circ}\right.$ ) | SEP | (arcsec) | DATE | N | NOTES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BU 1473AB | 19480+3513 | 11.4 | 11.3 | 16.3 | (0.8) | 0.98 | (0.013) | 2016.682 | 4 |  |
| STT 387 | $19487+3519$ | 7.1 | 7.9 | 106.4 | (0.8) | 0.49 | (0.017) | 2016.575 | 4 |  |
| HU 346 | $19496+1707$ | 9.2 | 9.9 | 190 | (2.6) | 0.65 | (0.007) | 2016.674 | 4 |  |
| COU1468 | $19503+3127$ | 10.1 | 10.1 | 150.6 | (0.8) | 0.74 | (0.027) | 2016.674 | 4 | 8 |
| COU2528 | 19508+3906 | 11.5 | 11.5 | 168.9 | (0.2) | 0.63 | (0.013) | 2016.682 | 4 |  |
| HO 580 | $19525+2227$ | 8.5 | 8.9 | 270.9 | (0.2) | 0.77 | (0.005) | 2016.586 | 4 |  |
| A 603 | $19528+4045$ | 8.9 | 10.2 | 98.4 | (1.5) | 0.73 | (0.005) | 2016.6 | 4 |  |
| BU 831 | $19556+4723$ | 9.6 | 10.3 | 127 | (0.1) | 0.94 | (0.001) | 2016.682 | 4 |  |
| COU 519 | $19593+2237$ | 10.5 | 10.6 | 72 | (1.5) | 0.65 | (0.037) | 2016.586 | 4 |  |
| HU 1308 | $20001+3423$ | 9.5 | 9.8 | 207.6 | (1.2) | 0.52 | (0.008) | 2016.685 | 4 |  |
| A 1664 | $20005+1317$ | 10.2 | 10.5 | 68.4 | (1.7) | 0.7 | (0.019) | 2016.584 | 4 |  |
| BU1289AB | $20010+3742$ | 8.1 | 9.2 | 55.3 | (0.8) | 0.71 | (0.006) | 2016.685 | 4 |  |
| STT 395 | $20020+2456$ | 5.8 | 6.1 | 127 | (0.4) | 0.78 | (0.003) | 2016.742 | 4 |  |
| COU1473 | $20027+2939$ | 9.4 | 9.7 | 345.9 | (0.5) | 0.63 | (0.006) | 2016.685 | 4 |  |
| A 1412 | $20034+3815$ | 9 | 9.9 | 227.8 | (2.6) | 0.78 | (0.015) | 2016.685 | 4 |  |
| A 380 | $20036+3220$ | 9.7 | 9.7 | 202.1 | (0.7) | 0.38 | (0.008) | 2016.685 | 4 |  |
| A 382 | $20080+4223$ | 7.2 | 9.4 | 96.3 | (0.1) | 1.68 | (0.005) | 2016.666 | 2 | 10 |
| COU2413 | $20098+3629$ | 10.2 | 10.4 | 91.1 | (1) | 0.8 | (0.03) | 2016.674 | 4 |  |
| STT 400 | $20102+4357$ | 7.6 | 9.8 | 329 | (0.2) | 0.7 | (0.019) | 2016.742 | 4 |  |
| COU1323 | $20110+2834$ | 10.3 | 10.4 | 334.1 | (0.3) | 0.78 | (0.019) | 2016.674 | 4 |  |
| A 1202 | $20133+1047$ | 9.9 | 10 | 124 | (0.6) | 0.79 | (0.001) | 2016.584 | 4 |  |
| A 1420 | $20143+3657$ | 9.9 | 9.7 | 70.2 | (2.4) | 0.64 | (0.018) | 2016.685 | 4 |  |
| STF2659AB.C | $20157+4339$ | 8.6 | 10.8 | 313.2 |  | 2.97 |  | 2016.666 | 1 |  |
| A 1669 | $20179+1508$ | 8.8 | 11.4 | 247 | (0.3) | 3.12 | (0.022) | 2016.668 | 4 | 10 |
| A 1205 | $20182+2912$ | 9.1 | 10 | 96.2 | (0.4) | 1.21 | (0.012) | 2016.742 | 4 |  |
| A 725 | $20210+4437$ | 9.4 | 10.2 | 25.9 | (0.8) | 0.81 | (0.009) | 2016.742 | 4 |  |
| A 1209AB | $20244+1213$ | 9.2 | 10.6 | 324 | (0.3) | 1.9 | (0.001) | 2016.668 | 4 | 10 |
| WOR 33Aa.Ab | $20244+1213$ | 8.6 | 9.3 | 131.8 | (1.3) | 0.65 | (0.008) | 2016.668 | 4 |  |
| HU 1198 | $20244+1301$ | 8.5 | 10 | 32.7 | (1.4) | 0.62 | (0.02) | 2016.584 | 4 |  |
| A 291 AB | $20253+4355$ | 9.8 | 10.4 | 148.2 | (1.2) | 0.78 | (0.022) | 2016.597 | 4 |  |
| A 392 | $20256+2504$ | 9.5 | 10.4 | 293.2 | (0.4) | 0.9 | (0.003) | 2016.674 | 4 |  |
| COU2643 | $20256+4132$ | 10.8 | 11.3 | 107.6 | (4.8) | 0.53 | (0.009) | 2016.74 | 4 |  |
| A 1429 | 20257+5508 | 8.5 | 9.3 | 186.8 | (0.6) | 0.68 | (0.003) | 2016.685 | 4 |  |
| A 292 | $20264+4125$ | 9.2 | 11.7 | 134.3 | (0.1) | 2.1 | (0.009) | 2016.666 | 2 | 10 |
| A 393 | $20268+2804$ | 9.2 | 9.9 | 216.5 | (0.7) | 0.53 | (0.002) | 2016.685 | 4 |  |
| A 732 | $20274+4727$ | 9.6 | 9.8 | 78.4 | (1) | 0.71 | (0.004) | 2016.685 | 4 |  |
| HU 587 | $20280+4829$ | 9.9 | 10.2 | 338.4 | (2.2) | 0.62 | (0.021) | 2016.732 | 4 |  |
| A 394 | $20290+2658$ | 9.7 | 10.1 | 286.3 | (2.6) | 0.7 | (0.011) | 2016.732 | 4 |  |
| COU1960 | $20300+3222$ | 10.1 | 10.6 | 217.3 | (1) | 0.89 | (0.039) | 2016.732 | 4 |  |
| WOR 9AB | $20302+2651$ | 10.5 | 10.6 | 230.1 | (0.6) | 0.62 | (0.008) | 2016.742 | 4 |  |
| BU 1136 | $20318+4933$ | 7.7 | 9.1 | 215 | (0.9) | 0.66 | (0.008) | 2016.685 | 4 |  |
| A 1677 | $20321+1511$ | 8.1 | 10.9 | 183.9 | (0.4) | 1.32 | (0.021) | 2016.668 | 4 | 10 |
| BU 670AB | $20329+1357$ | 9.4 | 9.8 | 5.3 | (0.3) | 0.84 | (0.006) | 2016.584 | 4 |  |
| L 35CD | $20329+1357$ | 10.6 | 10.9 | 142.5 | (1.4) | 0.6 | (0.012) | 2016.742 | 4 |  |
| COU2644 | 20329+1906 | 10.2 | 11.5 | 286.3 | (2.6) | 0.81 | (0.01) | 2016.584 | 4 |  |

Measurements of Close Visual Binaries with a 280 mm Reflector and the ASI 290MM Camera

Table 1 (continued). Measurements


## Measurements of Close Visual Binaries with a 280 mm Reflector and the ASI 290MM Camera

Table 1 (continued). Measurements

| NAME | RA+DEC | MAG 1 | MAG 2 | PA | $\left({ }^{\circ}\right.$ ) | SEP | (arcsec) | DATE | N | NOTES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COU2229 | 21161+4101 | 10.1 | 10.3 | 272 | (0.7) | 0.78 | (0.011) | 2016.685 | 4 |  |
| COU2437 | $21170+4704$ | 11 | 11.2 | 241.3 | (3.7) | 0.5 | (0.056) | 2016.682 | 4 |  |
| BU 163AB | $21186+1134$ | 7.3 | 8.8 | 256.2 | (0.4) | 0.87 | (0.008) | 2016.742 | 4 |  |
| HU 769 | $21186+3430$ | 9.6 | 10.2 | 178.8 | (0.9) | 0.78 | (0.006) | 2016.597 | 4 |  |
| A 1694 | $21197+5455$ | 10.1 | 10.5 | 92.5 | (0.5) | 0.8 | (0.009) | 2016.668 | 4 |  |
| COU2439 | $21199+4307$ | 9.6 | 9.9 | 265.7 | (0.7) | 0.78 | (0.012) | 2016.685 | 4 |  |
| STT 437AB | $21208+3227$ | 7.1 | 7.4 | 19.3 | (0.1) | 2.48 | (0.002) | 2016.742 | 4 |  |
| A 764AB | $21223+5734$ | 8.2 | 10.6 | 19.2 | (0.7) | 1.27 | (0.029) | 2016.742 | 4 |  |
| COU 428 | $21235+1728$ | 10.4 | 11.4 | 137.1 | (1.3) | 0.89 | (0.024) | 2016.756 | 4 |  |
| A 1892 | $21237+5518$ | 8.1 | 9.3 | 350.9 | (0.7) | 0.76 | (0.007) | 2016.685 | 4 |  |
| A 765 AB | $21238+4710$ | 9 | 7.3 | 21.6 | (1) | 0.55 | (0.012) | 2016.732 | 4 |  |
| A 618 | $21256+4138$ | 9.8 | 10.2 | 275.8 | (0.8) | 0.62 | (0.018) | 2016.668 | 4 |  |
| COU2306 | $21257+4043$ | 11.1 | 11.3 | 17.8 | (0.4) | 0.66 | (0.035) | 2016.682 | 4 |  |
| HEI 285 | $21277+1431$ | 9.5 | 10.5 | 95 | (0.2) | 0.85 | (0.008) | 2016.597 | 4 |  |
| COU 535 | $21297+1719$ | 10.6 | 10.5 | 36.6 | (1.7) | 0.78 | (0.043) | 2016.732 | 4 |  |
| A 768 | $21305+4620$ | 10.3 | 10.5 | 335.4 | (0.2) | 0.68 | (0.008) | 2016.685 | 4 |  |
| A 1893AB | $21346+5633$ | 10.2 | 10.6 | 23.7 | (1.6) | 0.71 | (0.017) | 2016.668 | 4 |  |
| ROE 127 | $21354+1344$ | 10.8 | 11.2 | 35.3 | (1.1) | 0.76 | (0.015) | 2016.756 | 4 |  |
| HO 463 | $21362+4253$ | 8.9 | 9.3 | 179.9 | (1.1) | 0.55 | (0.036) | 2016.597 | 4 |  |
| COU2309 | $21370+4520$ | 10.7 | 11 | 53.4 | (2) | 0.7 | (0.02) | 2016.74 | 4 |  |
| CoU2310 | $21372+4527$ | 10.4 | 10.4 | 9.9 | (0.5) | 0.5 | (0.005) | 2016.74 | 4 |  |
| COU2310 | $21372+4527$ | 10.4 | 10.4 | 8.4 | (2.4) | 0.49 | (0.071) | 2016.732 | 4 |  |
| A 402 | $21380+4153$ | 9.1 | 10.5 | 46.6 | (0.1) | 0.83 | (0.003) | 2016.685 | 4 |  |
| BU 1331 | $21392+4411$ | 9.3 | 10.4 | 339.8 | (1.6) | 0.72 | (0.042) | 2016.732 | 4 |  |
| A 1444 | $21398+3749$ | 10.5 | 10.7 | 82.4 | (0.3) | 1.11 | (0.015) | 2016.668 | 4 |  |
| BU 688AB | $21426+4103$ | 8.1 | 8.6 | 197.6 | (2.3) | 0.45 | (0.05) | 2016.742 | 6 |  |
| COU2548 | $21429+5053$ | 11.1 | 11.2 | 166.9 | (0.4) | 1.04 | (0.005) | 2016.74 | 4 |  |
| A 1222 | $21431+3149$ | 10.3 | 10.1 | 352.6 | (0.6) | 0.67 | (0.018) | 2016.597 | 4 |  |
| COU 536 | $21434+2147$ | 11.4 | 11.8 | 226.8 | (0.8) | 0.95 | (0.015) | 2016.756 | 4 |  |
| A 773 | $21470+4759$ | 8.2 | 11.1 | 201.1 | (0.1) | 3.33 | (0.002) | 2016.668 | 4 | 10 |
| COU2316 | $21477+4759$ | 11.4 | 11.8 | 184.9 | (0.6) | 0.71 | (0.011) | 2016.756 | 4 |  |
| A 774 | $21511+4711$ | 8.9 | 10.8 | 14.4 | (0.9) | 0.62 | (0.01) | 2016.668 | 4 | 10 |
| COU2321 | $21539+4839$ | 11.1 | 10.9 | 255.3 | (0.8) | 0.66 | (0.011) | 2016.74 | 4 |  |
| HO 173 | $21553+1842$ | 10.3 | 10.6 | 73.1 | (0.7) | 0.9 | (0.013) | 2016.597 | 4 |  |
| BU 75AB | $21555+1053$ | 8.4 | 8.5 | 25.5 | (0.3) | 1.04 | (0.001) | 2016.685 | 4 |  |
| A 303 | $21555+2724$ | 9.2 | 11.6 | 52.3 | (0) | 2.3 | (0.006) | 2016.668 | 4 | 9 |
| COU2656 | $21558+5052$ | 10.8 | 11 | 64.6 | (0.5) | 1.13 | (0.019) | 2016.74 | 4 |  |
| A 780 AB | $22013+4515$ | 9.4 | 9.9 | 148.5 |  | 1.51 |  | 2016.764 | 1 |  |
| A 780 CD | $22013+4515$ | 10.5 | 11 | 110 | (0.7) | 0.98 | (0.008) | 2016.764 | 4 |  |
| COU 445 | $22029+3436$ | 11.5 | 11.8 | 34.9 | (2.6) | 0.85 | (0.014) | 2016.764 | 4 |  |
| A 407AC | $22061+4159$ | 10 | 11 | 58.3 |  | 13.15 |  | 2016.764 | 1 |  |
| A 407AB | $22061+4159$ | 10 | 10.1 | 21.5 | (0.6) | 0.68 | (0.006) | 2016.764 | 4 |  |
| STF2872BC | $22086+5917$ | 7.9 | 8 | 297 | (0.1) | 0.81 | (0.005) | 2016.742 | 4 |  |
| COU1828 | $22099+4254$ | 11 | 11 | 273.3 | (0.7) | 0.86 | (0.009) | 2016.764 | 4 |  |
| COU2660 | $22115+5110$ | 10.3 | 11.6 | 249.4 | (1.7) | 0.66 | (0.004) | 2016.764 | 4 |  |

Table 1 concludes on next page.

## Measurements of Close Visual Binaries with a 280 mm Reflector and the ASI 290MM Camera

Table 1 (conclusion). Measurements

| NAME | RA+DEC | MAG 1 | MAG 2 | PA ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | SEP | (arcsec) | DATE | N | NOTES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COU2659 | 22115+5232 | 10.5 | 11.3 | 161.4 (0.6) | 1.24 | (0.016) | 2016.764 | 4 |  |
| HU 695 | $22129+5058$ | 10.8 | 10.9 | 17.2 (0.1) | 0.83 | (0.005) | 2016.764 | 4 |  |
| COU2327 | $22226+4738$ | 10.2 | 12 | 332.8 (0.9) | 0.86 | (0.007) | 2016.764 | 4 |  |
| COU2142 | $22229+4637$ | 11.2 | 11.3 | 116.7 (1.6) | 0.61 | (0.056) | 2016.764 | 4 |  |
| HO 183AB | $22248+2233$ | 9 | 11.5 | 221.3 (0.1) | 2.48 | (0.005) | 2016.742 | 4 |  |
| COU1984 | $22257+4353$ | 11.2 | 11.4 | 284.6 (0.3) | 0.86 | (0.011) | 2016.764 | 4 |  |
| COU1832AB | $22262+4228$ | 11.5 | 11.6 | 157.3 (0.3) | 1 | (0.014) | 2016.764 | 4 |  |
| KR 60AB | $22280+5742$ | 9.9 | 11.4 | 265.5 (0) | 1.5 | (0) | 2016.742 | 4 |  |
| COU2242 | $22360+4515$ | 10.7 | 10.7 | 274.2 (0.3) | 0.81 | (0.008) | 2016.729 | 4 |  |
| COU2334 | $22373+4653$ | 10.8 | 10.8 | 112.6 (0.8) | 0.52 | (0.021) | 2016.764 | 4 |  |
| HO 296AB | $22409+1433$ | 6.1 | 7.2 | 49.7 (0.4) | 0.57 | (0.001) | 2016.742 | 4 |  |
| COU2697 | $22430+5012$ | 11 | 10.9 | 301.9 (3.8) | 0.7 | (0.02) | 2016.729 | 4 |  |
| COU2663 | $22497+5007$ | 10.4 | 10.5 | 109.9 (0.1) | 0.66 | (0.001) | 2016.729 | 4 |  |
| COU1990 | $22532+4157$ | 11.1 | 11.3 | 47.1 (3.2) | 0.64 | (0.015) | 2016.729 | 4 |  |
| HEI 193AB | $22545+1517$ | 11 | 11.5 | 210.6 (0.6) | 0.93 | (0.014) | 2016.764 | 4 |  |
| COU2666 | $22548+4908$ | 11.4 | 11.6 | 138.3 (0.5) | 1.02 | (0.009) | 2016.729 | 4 |  |
| COU2144 | $22564+4443$ | 11 | 11.1 | 156.1 (0.5) | 0.93 | (0.012) | 2016.729 | 4 |  |
| A 1477 | $22579+5439$ | 9.1 | 9.5 | 354 (1.2) | 0.59 | (0.024) | 2016.729 | 4 |  |
| HU 991 | $23009+3522$ | 10.2 | 11.1 | 303.2 (0.4) | 0.93 | (0.014) | 2016.745 | 4 |  |
| A 196 | $23055+4643$ | 8.7 | 9.3 | 315.5 (1.2) | 0.52 | (0.026) | 2016.729 | 4 |  |
| COU1492 | $23091+4153$ | 10.9 | 11.6 | 256 (1) | 0.86 | (0.003) | 2016.764 | 4 |  |
| BU 385AB | $23103+3229$ | 7.4 | 8.2 | 83 (0.5) | 0.74 | (0.01) | 2016.745 | 4 |  |
| COU1645 | $23195+4225$ | 10 | 11 | 77.3 (0.5) | 0.77 | (0.014) | 2016.764 | 4 |  |
| COU2668 | $23207+4739$ | 11.4 | 11.2 | 10.9 (3.3) | 0.71 | (0.034) | 2016.729 | 4 |  |
| COU1495 | $23216+3901$ | 11.1 | 11.9 | 254.7 (0.3) | 0.84 | (0.007) | 2016.729 | 4 |  |
| ROE 135 | $23218+1151$ | 11.1 | 11.6 | 141 (0.5) | 1.19 | (0.029) | 2016.764 | 4 |  |
| COU1994 | $23237+4425$ | 11 | 11.2 | 43.6 (0.6) | 0.95 | (0.011) | 2016.729 | 4 |  |
| BU 720 | $23340+3120$ | 5.6 | 6.1 | 102.1 (1) | 0.65 | (0.012) | 2016.745 | 4 |  |
| COU2346 | $23352+4732$ | 11.4 | 11.6 | 206.9 (4) | 0.76 | (0.034) | 2016.729 | 4 |  |
| COU2249 | $23377+4457$ | 10.3 | 10.5 | 27.4 (1.1) | 0.57 | (0.01) | 2016.729 | 4 |  |
| COU2675 | $23392+5033$ | 10.7 | 11 | 16 (2.4) | 0.66 | (0.018) | 2016.764 | 4 |  |
| HU 1325 | $23401+1258$ | 9.8 | 10 | 36.4 (1.2) | 0.87 | (0.022) | 2016.745 | 4 |  |
| A 1242 | $23431+1150$ | 9.3 | 9.6 | 340.2 (0.2) | 1.04 | (0.014) | 2016.745 | 4 |  |
| A 794 BC | $23509+4730$ | 11.4 | 11.8 | 10.2 (1.5) | 0.78 | (0.004) | 2016.729 | 4 |  |
| STT 510AB | $23516+4205$ | 7.8 | 8.4 | 299.5 (0.5) | 0.65 | (0) | 2016.745 | 4 |  |
| HEI 415 | $23588+4647$ | 11.8 | 11.6 | 51.4 (0.5) | 1.07 | (0.015) | 2016.729 | 4 |  |

## Notes for Table 1

1. Observed theta value is not coherent with the latest measure reported in WDS (theta $=359^{\circ}$, 1992).
2. Direct measure with Reduc (no auto-correlation)
3. The observed magnitudes do not match those reported in WDS (11.2/11.2). Secondary component is fainter
4. Astronomik R filter
5. Astronomik G filter
6. Auto-correlation computed on a single sequence of 10000 frames
7. Quadrant inversion wrt. WDS data (see Plate 2, Fig. a)
8. The companion is much fainter than indicated in WDS (see Plate 2, Fig. b)
9. New C companion observed (see Sec. 5 and Fig. 10)
10. Large dM pair

## Measurements of Close Visual Binaries with a 280 mm Reflector and the ASI 290MM Camera

Table 2 - Pairs Observed but for Which no Measure was Obtained

| NAME | RA+DEC | MAG 1 | MAG 2 | DATE | NOTES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COU1854 | 00425+4808 | 12 | 12 | 2016.825 | 2 |
| A 1819 | 02400+3910 | 10.2 | 11.4 | 2016833 | 1 |
| MET 17Aa, Ab | 02524+3729 | 9.3 | 10.7 | 2016833 | 2 |
| COU 565 | $04255+1942$ | 9.8 | 10.3 | 2016833 | 2 |
| A 690 | $15092+2809$ | 10 | 10.5 | 2016326 | 1 |
| COU1787 | $18054+4306$ | 11.1 | 11 | 2016573 | 1 |
| COU1928 | $18306+4429$ | 10 | 11.1 | 2016575 | 2 |
| COU1150Aa, Ab | $18309+3417$ | 9.8 | 10.1 | 2016512 | 2 |
| COU1150Aa, Ab | $18309+3417$ | 9.8 | 10.1 | 2016575 | 1 |
| A 1381 | $18423+3616$ | 10.4 | 10.3 | 2016573 | 1 |
| COU2407 | $19401+3943$ | 10 | 10.6 | 2016.6 | 2 |
| COU2636 | $19592+4233$ | 11.6 | 11.8 | 2016.6 | 2 |
| A 720 | $20012+4821$ | 10.4 | 10.4 | 2016685 | 1 |
| STF2659AB | $20157+4339$ | 8.6 | 10.8 | 2016666 | 1 |
| COU2220Aa, Ab | $20463+3646$ | 11.4 | 11.5 | 2016756 | 1 |
| COU2426 | $20495+4035$ | 11.1 | 11.4 | 2016584 | 1 |
| COU 523 | $21010+1910$ | 10.3 | 10.3 | 2016597 | 2 |
| COU2442 | $21321+4828$ | 8.9 | 10.3 | 2016756 | 2 |
| LBU 2Aa, Ab | $21420+1856$ | 8 | 9.5 | 2016756 | 2 |
| COU 837 | $21561+2846$ | 11.1 | 11.6 | 2016597 | 2 |
| COU2325 | $22166+5036$ | 10.7 | 11.2 | 2016764 | 2 |
| KUI 112Aa, Ab | $22329+5348$ | 10.8 | 10.8 | 2016742 | 1,4 |
| A 632 | $22520+5743$ | 8.5 | 9.3 | 2016742 | 1,3 |
| A 192 | $22590+4617$ | 9.9 | 10 | 2016729 | 2 |
| CHE 504 | $23338+1159$ | 10.5 | 10.7 | 2016764 | 2 |

Notes for Table 2:

1. Viewed elongated but too close to be measured
2. Viewed as simple
3. Has orbit, grade=3. Ephemerides give sep=0,37" for 2016
4. Has orbit, grade=3. Ephemerides give sep=0,40" for 2016

## Measurements of Close Visual Binaries with a 280 mm Reflector and the ASI 290MM Camera

Table 3 - O-C Residuals for pairs having an known orbit

| NAME | RA+DEC | DATE | $\begin{gathered} O-C-P A \\ (\operatorname{deg}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { O-C - SEP } \\ \text { (arcsec) } \end{gathered}$ | GRADE | REF | NOTE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HLD 60 | 00014+3937 | 2016.745 | -0.5 | 0.05 | 3 | Hrt2011a |  |
| BU 862 | $00048+3810$ | 2016.745 | -0.5 | 0 | 4 | Cou1986b |  |
| STF3062 | 00063+5826 | 2016.745 | 0.1 | 0.02 | 2 | Sod1999 |  |
| BU 1093 | 00209+1059 | 2016.745 | -0.3 | 0.04 | 5 | Lin2010c |  |
| BU 394AB | $00308+4732$ | 2016.745 | 1.2 | -0.01 | 4 | Zul1997b |  |
| A 651 | 00434+4726 | 2016.745 | -1 | -0.02 | 5 | USN2002 |  |
| BU 232AB | 00504+5038 | 2016.745 | -0.3 | 0.03 | 3 | Sca2008a |  |
| STT 20AB | $00546+1911$ | 2016.745 | 0.5 | 0.12 | 3 | Doc2014a |  |
| STF 73AB | $00550+2338$ | 2016.745 | 0.3 | 0.01 | 2 | Mut2010b |  |
| STT 515AB | 01095+4715 | 2016.825 | -1 | 0.05 | 4 | Mut2010b |  |
| HU 531AB | 01409+4952 | 2016.825 | 1.7 | -0.01 | 4 | Msn2014b |  |
| BU 453AB | 01450+5707 | 2016.825 | -4.7 | 0.05 | 5 | Sul1984 |  |
| STF 228 | 02140+4729 | 2016.825 | 1.3 | 0.01 | 2 | Sca2015c |  |
| STF 248 | 02211+4246 | 2016.825 | 1.8 | 0.06 | 4 | Pbx2000b |  |
| STT 43 | $02407+2637$ | 2016.825 | 0.4 | 0.07 | 4 | Sca2001d |  |
| A 1281AB | 02517+4559 | 2016.825 | 2 | 0.02 | 4 | Hrt2014a |  |
| STF1937AB | $15232+3017$ | 2016.326 | -0.4 | 0.05 | 1 | Mut2010b |  |
| BU 1127AB | $18025+4414$ | 2016.507 | -5.5 | -0.03 | 4 | Cve2006e |  |
| STT 341AB | $18058+2127$ | 2016.573 | 5.9 | 0.07 | 2 | Hei1982b |  |
| COU 812 | $18092+3129$ | 2016.512 | -22.4 | 0.01 | 5 | Cou1999b | 2 |
| HU 940 | $19055+3352$ | 2016.575 | -2.4 | -0.02 | 3 | Doc2009g |  |
| STT 387 | $19487+3519$ | 2016.575 | -1.4 | 0.01 | 2 | WSI2006b |  |
| STT 395 | $20020+2456$ | 2016.742 | 0.3 | -0.07 | 4 | Zir2013a |  |
| STT 400 | $20102+4357$ | 2016.742 | 0.4 | 0.05 | 2 | Hei1997 |  |
| A 1205 | $20182+2912$ | 2016.742 | -0.5 | -0.01 | 5 | WSI2006b |  |
| A 725 | $20210+4437$ | 2016.742 | 0 | 0.03 | 4 | Hrt2009 |  |
| WOR 9AB | $20302+2651$ | 2016.742 | 7.9 | 0.09 | 5 | Zir2003 |  |
| L 35CD | $20329+1357$ | 2016.742 | -0.6 | 0.12 | 3 | Hrt2014b |  |
| STT 410AB | $20396+4035$ | 2016.671 | 0 | 0 | 4 | Hrt2011a |  |
| BU 64AB | $20450+1244$ | 2016.671 | -1.8 | 0.03 | 4 | USN2007a |  |
| STT 413AB | 20474+3629 | 2016.671 | 4.3 | -0.03 | 4 | Rab1948b |  |
| J 194AB | $20494+1124$ | 2016.671 | 1.9 | 0.09 | 3 | Sod1999 |  |
| STT 418 | $20548+3242$ | 2016.742 | -0.2 | 0.01 | 4 | Zir2013a |  |
| AGC 13AB | $21148+3803$ | 2016.742 | 0.7 | -0.08 | 1 | Mut2010e |  |
| BU 163AB | $21186+1134$ | 2016.742 | 178.5 | -0.03 | 2 | Fek1997 | 1 |
| STT 437AB | $21208+3227$ | 2016.742 | 0.7 | 0.05 | 4 | Hrt2011a |  |
| A 764 AB | $21223+5734$ | 2016.742 | -6 | 0.29 | 5 | Hei1995 | 3 |
| BU 688AB | $21426+4103$ | 2016.742 | -2.3 | 0.01 | 3 | USN2006a |  |
| BU 75AB | $21555+1053$ | 2016.685 | 0.1 | -0.03 | 2 | Hei1996a |  |
| STF2872BC | $22086+5917$ | 2016.742 | 0.2 | 0.01 | 5 | USN2002 |  |
| HO 183AB | $22248+2233$ | 2016.742 | 0.9 | 0.09 | 4 | Zir2003 |  |
| KR 60AB | $22280+5742$ | 2016.742 | -1.1 | 0.04 | 2 | Hei1986b |  |
| HO 296AB | $22409+1433$ | 2016.742 | 2.1 | 0.11 | 1 | Mut2010b |  |
| HU 991 | $23009+3522$ | 2016.745 | -41.8 | 0.15 | 5 | Baz1985b | 4 |
| BU 385AB | $23103+3229$ | 2016.745 | -1.1 | 0.06 | 4 | Lin2010c |  |
| BU 720 | $23340+3120$ | 2016.745 | -2.9 | 0.08 | 4 | Mut2010e |  |
| HU 1325 | $23401+1258$ | 2016.745 | 4.5 | 0 | 5 | Sca2003a |  |
| A 1242 | $23431+1150$ | 2016.745 | 0.7 | 0.06 | 5 | Lin2004c |  |
| STT 510AB | $23516+4205$ | 2016.745 | -0.3 | 0.05 | 4 | Nov2006e |  |

## Notes for Table 3:

1. The ephemerides reported in The Sixth Orbit Catalog should probably be offset by $180^{\circ}$
2. The large $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}$ reported here is coherent with the latest measures published in the WDS Catalog. A revision of
the orbit is likely to be necessary. See Fig. 6
3. The large $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}$ reported here is coherent with the latest measures published in the WDS catalog. A revision of the orbit is likely to be necessary. See Fig. 7

## Measurements of Close Visual Binaries with a 280 mm Reflector and the ASI 290MM Camera

Table 4 - Drift calibration data for 15 nights

| DATE | STAR | J2000 Dec | N | ORIENT <br> $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | SDEV | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SCALE } \\ & \text { (arcsec) } \end{aligned}$ | SDEV | CAL. PAIR | ORIENT | SCALE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 31/10/2016 | 44 Tau | 26²8'51" | 5 | 5.19 | 0.26 | 0.09604 | 0.000091 | STF 534 | 5.18 | 0.09575 |
| 28/10/2016 | HD9780 | $17^{\circ} 26^{\prime \prime} 02^{\prime \prime}$ | 5 | 5.68 | 0.31 | 0.09627 | 0.00062 | STF 222 | 5.89 | 0.09568 |
| 06/10/2016 | 71 Peg | 22*29'55" | 5 | 4.25 | 0.27 | 0.09641 | 0.00047 | STF 222 | 4.71 | 0.09614 |
| 03/10/2016 | Omi Peg | $29^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 27^{\prime \prime}$ | 5 | 8.11 | 0.18 | 0.09698 | 0.00066 | STF2922 | 7.55 | 0.09594 |
| 25/09/2016 | HD5316 | $24^{\circ} 33^{\prime \prime} 25^{\prime \prime}$ | 5 | 5.23 | 0.19 | 0.0978 | 0.00102 |  |  |  |
| 28/09/2016 | Rho Psc | 19** ${ }^{\prime}$ '21" | 5 | 2.78 | 0.25 | 0.09677 | 0.00035 | STF2769 | 2.58 | 0.09575 |
| 28/09/2016 | 16 Peg | 25*55'31" | 5 | 2.66 | 0.21 | 0.09695 | 0.00035 |  |  |  |
| 27/09/2016 | Omi Peg | 29¹8'27" | 5 | 2.98 | 0.41 | 0.09643 | 0.0012 |  |  |  |
| 24/09/2016 | 31 Peg | $12^{\circ} 12^{\prime} 19^{\prime \prime}$ | 5 | 4.82 | 0.18 | 0.09697 | 0.00086 |  |  |  |
| 23/09/2016 | 58 Psc | $11^{\circ} 58^{\prime} 25^{\prime \prime}$ | 5 | 13.16 | 0.34 | 0.09666 | 0.00016 | STF2985 | 12.4 | 0.09613 |
| 07/09/2016 | 33 Vul | $22^{\circ} 19^{\prime} 33^{\prime \prime}$ | 5 | 0.71 | 0.23 | 0.09559 | 0.00052 | STF2691 | 1.03 | 0.09629 |
| 06/09/2016 | 70 Cyg | $33^{\circ} 07^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ | 5 | 3.89 | 0.34 | 0.09753 | 0.00026 | STF2691 | 3.97 | 0.09636 |
| 02/09/2016 | Zet Del | $14^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 28^{\prime \prime}$ | 5 | 5.68 | 0.43 | 0.09699 | 0.00076 | STF2691 | 5.34 | 0.09626 |
| 03/09/2016 | 9 Peg | $17^{\circ} 21^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ | 5 | 9.79 | 0.41 | 0.09753 | 0.00037 | STF2691 | 9.94 | 0.09622 |
| 01/09/2016 | HD209761 | $26^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 26^{\prime \prime}$ | 5 | 8.47 | 0.24 | 0.09666 | 0.00042 | STF2691 | 8.47 | 0.09609 |

(Continued from page 274)
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