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#### Abstract

Using the criterion of Robert G. Aitken "log rho $=2.8-0.2 \mathrm{~m}$ " to select a group of neglected double stars for measurement will at least give the possibility that the majority of the group are physical doubles and will give the satisfaction that the measurement work could be useful.


I have written two articles for the now defunct "Double Star Observer" where I embarked on the measurement of neglected double stars. The double stars measured for these two articles were selected at random from the WDS neglected lists with just the object of measuring double stars with a CCD camera. I have had experience measuring double stars with the Celestron Microguide, the Retel filar micrometer and a video camera. My experience showed that the CCD camera in conjunction with recent star catalogs is the most accurate.

Working with my mentor Francisco Rica of the LIADA double star section, I have learned that there are various rudimentary methods that will give an indication of whether a double star is physical or optical. One of the easier methods is the criterion of Robert G. Aitken (1964) with his equation " $\log$ rho $=2.8-0.2 \mathrm{~m}$ " where " $m$ " is the apparent magnitude and rho the separation in arcseconds. Also some studies show that rho being less or equal to 10 arcseconds increases the possibility of the double being physical. I have used these two criterions for selecting a group of 45 neglected double stars for this article.

I began my selection of the possible double stars to be measured by using the program Astroplanner. This program allows a person to enter the neglected double star catalog (or any other catalog) with a set of prerequisites to select a group of desired objects or in this case neglected double stars. I had imposed limits that the primary component would be mag 10 or less with the separation between 4 and 10 arcseconds. The other limits were Right Ascension between 6:00 and 8:59 hours and the Declination between $25^{\circ}$ and $70^{\circ}$. Naturally these last limits were necessary to take images in February and at a declination comfortable for my telescope setup. This selec-
tion gave me about 70 neglected doubles in this sector of the sky. I then applied the Aitken criterion to this group, and that left me with a group of about 45 doubles for measurement with the confidence that the majority could be physical doubles.

I have a permanent roll off roof observatory in a small village in the western part of Spain. My setup for the measurement consists of a Celestron 9.25 inch SCT telescope mounted on a Losmandy GM8 with the Astrometric go to system for finding the doubles. The CCD camera is a Starlight Xpress MX7-16 which gives a good size image with sufficient reference stars for fixing the plate reference. On the nights of Feb. $10^{\text {th }}, 11^{\text {th }}$ and $22^{\text {nd }} \mathrm{I}$ obtained four images of each double.

Measuring the doubles on quiet dark cold winter nights under the stars with very little wind is the most pleasurable part of the work. Next comes the hours in front of the computer fixing the plate scales and then measuring the doubles. I use the program Astrometrica with the USNO B1.0 or the UCAC 2 catalogs for fixing the plate scale. I use four images to come up with a decent average but some of the images were on the poor side thus leaving some of the measurements with just three results and one had just two results. Following is the table showing the results of the PA and separation measurements for these neglected doubles.

The average standard deviation in PA was $0.97^{\circ}$. The average standard deviation in separation was 0.15 ". The deviation in PA tends to be higher for the closer doubles as in this case. The O-C residuals of the plate reductions averaged between 0.15 and 0.4 which has been the norm for all my measurements over the last six years.

Approximately $66 \%$ of the measurements were
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in reasonable agreement with the listings in the neglected list. The rest, or $33 \%$ the difference, was notable to very notable.

As noted the list in this article is just a small sector of
the sky. I hope to continue in this manner for the foreseeable future. If there are any questions or comments, I can be reached by contacting me through the Internet at jmryan@wanadoo.es.

| Name | RA $\boldsymbol{\text { Dec }}$ | MgA | MgB | PA $^{\circ}$ | Sep" | Date | No. | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ES1729 | $060306+4100$ | 9.6 | 11.4 | 310.50 | 6.78 | 2005.153 | 4 |  |
| BRT2343 | $060830+2228$ | 9.9 | 12.2 | 205.16 | 5.48 | 2005.153 | 4 | 1 |
| ES579 BC | $060830+4725$ | 9.6 | 12.1 | 114.88 | 7.55 | 2005.153 | 4 |  |
| ES2279 | $061036+3744$ | 9.4 | 11.5 | 290.38 | 6.57 | 2005.153 | 4 |  |
| J966 | $061048+3500$ | 9.3 | 11.5 | 34.92 | 6.71 | 2005.153 | 4 |  |
| COU272 | $061224+2253$ | 10.0 | 13.0 | 306.84 | 5.07 | 2005.153 | 3 |  |
| POU1144 | $061224+2351$ | 10.0 | 11.7 | 301.90 | 5.54 | 2005.153 | 4 |  |
| POU1156 | $061306+2352$ | 9.2 | 11.7 | 171.76 | 4.94 | 2005.153 | 4 |  |
| ES897 | $062306+5157$ | 9.6 | 12.2 | 92.88 | 6.48 | 2005.153 | 4 |  |
| ES2564 | $062618+3802$ | 10.0 | 11.5 | 261.90 | 6.33 | 2005.153 | 3 |  |
| MLB398 | $063106+6638$ | 9.3 | 11.8 | 155.63 | 5.14 | 2005.153 | 4 |  |
| HJ2320 | $063242+2053$ | 8.6 | 11.1 | 322.55 | 9.70 | 2005.153 | 4 |  |
| MLB751 | $063806+2826$ | 10.0 | 12.0 | 234.36 | 6.70 | 2005.153 | 4 |  |
| MLB260 | $064154+6515$ | 9.3 | 12.0 | 74.77 | 4.95 | 2005.153 | 2 |  |
| BRT2216 | $064342+3911$ | 9.1 | 11.9 | 25.97 | 5.94 | 2005.153 | 3 |  |
| MLB927 | $064548+3718$ | 10.0 | 11.5 | 272.93 | 4.54 | 2005.153 | 3 |  |
| ES1237 | $064654+4825$ | 9.3 | 11.5 | 357.20 | 4.93 | 2005.153 | 4 |  |
| POU2047AB | $065030+2427$ | 9.8 | 12.6 | 215.64 | 8.34 | 2005.153 | 5 | 2 |
| $?$ AC | $065030+2427$ | 9.8 | $12.7 ?$ | 152.70 | 8.22 | 2005.153 | 5 | 2 |
| MLB399 | $065154+6727$ | 9.6 | 11.6 | 259.91 | 6.88 | 2005.153 | 3 |  |
| MLB195 | $065342+6253$ | 9.6 | 12.0 | 192.15 | 5.76 | 2005.153 | 4 |  |
| ES1324AB | $070042+4527$ | 10.5 | 11.8 | 175.34 | 6.80 | 2005.112 | 4 |  |
| ES1893 | $070054+6331$ | 9.9 | 11.9 | 156.40 | 4.62 | 2005.115 | 3 |  |
| ES1079 | $070236+5039$ | 8.2 | 11.7 | 326.30 | 6.35 | 2005.115 | 4 |  |
| WNO18ABC | $070306+5410$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 59.07 | 9.39 | 2005.115 | 3 |  |
| ES713AB | $070818+5241$ | 8.6 | 13.7 | 46.23 | 6.17 | 2005.115 | 3 |  |
| STF1022 | $070924+3634$ | 6.8 | 10.0 | 140.95 | 5.16 | 2005.115 | 3 |  |
| MLB162 | $070942+6045$ | 8.7 | 12.3 | 111.22 | 6.36 | 2005.115 | 3 |  |
| SIN28Aa | $071930+4939$ | 8.5 | 12.9 | 321.18 | 9.53 | 2005.115 | 4 |  |
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| Name | RA $\quad$ Dec | MgA | MgB | PA $^{\circ}$ | Sep" | Date | No. | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ES772AC | $072600+5310$ | 9.5 | 14.4 | 355.62 | 10.03 | 2005.112 | 4 |  |
| ES903 | $072606+5021$ | 9.3 | 11.9 | 246.44 | 10.75 | 2005.112 | 4 |  |
| ES589 | $074830+4746$ | 8.1 | 14.1 | 185.33 | 11.12 | 2005.112 | 4 |  |
| MLB262 | $075512+5944$ | 9.5 | 13.0 | 264.29 | 7.37 | 2005.112 | 3 |  |
| MLB199 | $075806+6402$ | 9.5 | 11.8 | 154.29 | 7.27 | 2005.112 | 4 |  |
| ES1387 | $080024+4243$ | 10.7 | 11.4 | 141.10 | 5.39 | 2005.112 | 4 |  |
| ES907 | $080118+5117$ | 10.5 | 11.7 | 111.05 | 6.33 | 2005.112 | 4 |  |
| STF1195AB | $081236+3028$ | 9.0 | 11.5 | 333.12 | 9.10 | 2005.112 | 4 |  |
| HO38AB | $081354+2747$ | 7.6 | 12.6 | 86.86 | 7.85 | 2005.112 | 3 |  |
| ES1636 | $081700+3948$ | 10.4 | 13.1 | 231.53 | 10.45 | 2005.112 | 4 |  |
| HJ780AB | $081706+3348$ | 11.3 | 12.3 | 207.73 | 14.60 | 2005.112 | 4 | 3 |
| HJ780BC | $081706+3348$ | 10.0 | 0.0 | 172.69 | 12.25 | 2005.112 | 4 | 3 |
| ES714 | $081936+5316$ | 10.0 | 13.4 | 194.27 | 7.64 | 2005.112 | 4 |  |
| ES595 | $083112+4746$ | 9.0 | 13.7 | 238.59 | 9.07 | 2005.112 | 4 |  |
| ES597 | $084936+4526$ | 9.2 | 12.5 | 266.82 | 7.20 | 2005.112 | 3 |  |
| LDS2294 | $085148+6308$ | 11.0 | 11.3 | 13.62 | 4.8 | 2005.115 | 3 |  |
| STF3120AC | $085606+4341$ | 8.6 | 14.3 | 118.66 | 8.00 | 2005.112 | 3 |  |

$M$ denotes the number of images used in the measurements.
Note 1; The entry in the WDS shows the last PA as $21^{\circ}$. This has to be a mistake. The first entry was $208^{\circ}$ and my result is $205.16^{\circ}$ which agrees with the first entry.

Note 2; This is not a double but a triple. The triple is quite striking in its view; it looks like a large round object sitting on two small ball bearings, one bottom right and one bottom left almost in symmetry. The bottom right is the one listed but the bottom left I could not find in the catalog. The magnitude of the unlisted component is only slightly less than the B one. The only listing for this triple is the initial one of 1907 . I cannot see how the discoverer missed the bottom left component.

Note 3; This double by John Hershel escaped my Aitken criterion. It should not be in the list but as I had imaged the triple I added it to my results. In the LIADA double star section, we have been measuring John Hershel doubles and the majority of them are optical and also in general, the current measurements do not agree with the original measurements made by John Hershel such as in this case.
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